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InGaAs/GaAs quantum wells (QWs) grown on μ-patterned Ge/Si substrates by metal organic vapor phase epitaxy are investigated by electron microscopy and spatially resolved photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy. The lattice parameter mismatch of GaAs and Si is overcome by a Ge buffer layer grown by low-energy plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition. The GaAs crystals form truncated pyramids whose shape is strongly affected by the geometry of the underlying pattern consisting of 8 μm deep and 3–50 μm wide square Si pillars. Comparing the measured PL energies with calculations performed in the effective mass approximation reveals that the QW emission energies are significantly influenced by the GaAs morphology. It is shown that the geometry favors indium diffusion during growth from the inclined facets towards the top (001) facet. The Si pillar-size dependent release of thermally induced strain observed in the PL measurements is confirmed by X-ray diffraction. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928609]

I. INTRODUCTION

III/V compounds such as gallium arsenide (GaAs) form the fundamental ingredients of optoelectronic devices due to their high carrier mobilities (9200 cm 2 V −1 s −1 for GaAs at 300 K), and direct band gap. On the other hand, silicon (Si) is the dominant material in semiconductor electronics fostered by unrivalled crystal perfection, decades of miniaturization, low cost, and unlimited abundance. Thus, combining the benefits of both materials would pave the way for the monolithic integration of GaAs elements like quantum emitters and optical switches with Si integrated circuits featuring future multifunctional semiconductor devices.1,2

However, this heteroepitaxial integration is complicated by several issues, most notably the large lattice and thermal mismatch of the materials in question (4.1% and 120%, respectively, for GaAs on Si at 300 K).2 Plastic strain relaxation by dislocations forming at the materials’ interfaces during the growth may become additional obstacles.1 Among the extended defects, threading dislocations (TDs) are the most problematic since they propagate through the whole epilayer up to its surface, acting as trapping and recompression centers for charge carriers.3 TDs always accompany the misfit dislocations forming at the materials’ interfaces during the strain relaxation. Anti-phase domain boundaries arising from the growth of a polar semiconductor on a nonpolar substrate are also critical defects. These can, however, be avoided by using offcut Si substrates.4,5

Much effort has been undertaken in the past decades in order to resolve the drawbacks arising from the monolithic integration of III/V compounds on Si. Aspect ratio trapping and epitaxial necking have evolved as efficient techniques to filter TDs by terminating their propagation.6,7 We chose to apply the recently developed method of 3D-heteroepitaxy that makes use of the epitaxial necking mechanism.8 In this approach, germanium (Ge) crystals are grown on top of μm-sized Si pillars by low-energy plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (LEPECVD).9 The peculiarity of this approach is that a large fraction of TDs escape through the Ge sidewalls such that crack-free, high quality relaxed Ge crystals are obtained.10 Furthermore, wafer bowing is avoided. Since Ge and GaAs are almost lattice matched (0.08% mismatch at 300 K) and only slightly differ in thermal expansion, low defect GaAs can be obtained by overgrowing these Ge crystals.2

II. EXPERIMENTAL

In this work, we have investigated the PL from InGaAs/GaAs quantum well structures grown on various Ge/Si pillars. Offcut Si(001) substrates (6° towards [110])5 were patterned by conventional photolithography and deep reactive ion etching by means of the Bosch process.11 The patterns consisted of 8 μm-tall square Si pillars 3 to 50 μm wide. The Si pillars were capped with 2 μm of epitaxial Ge by LEPECVD at the growth rate and temperature of 4.2 nm/s and 500 °C, respectively. After epitaxial growth, the Ge were crystal was in-situ cyclically annealed between 600 °C and 800 °C for six times at a pressure of ~5 × 10−7 mbar. The III-V heterolayers were grown by metal-organic vapor-phase epitaxy (MOVPE) at a reactor pressure of 100 mbar. First, a 0.3 μm GaAs buffer
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layer followed by a 0.3 μm thick short-period AlAs/GaAs superlattice was grown at 680 °C and 720 °C, respectively. On top of the superlattice, 1.7 μm of GaAs containing a single In0.13Ga0.87As QW of 10 nm nominal thickness was deposited at 680 °C and 720 °C, respectively. Since the band gap energy of AlAs is larger than that of GaAs, the superlattice serves as a barrier for charge carrier diffusion towards the Ge buffer region. Thereby, the active layer above the superlattice is electronically decoupled from the GaAs/Ge interface. Structural characterization was carried out with a Zeiss ULTRA 55 scanning electron microscope (SEM) and a FEI Tecnai F30 scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM). High resolution X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed with Cu Kα radiation using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro-MRD diffractometer equipped with a 4-bounce Ge(220) crystal monochromator on the incident beam, and an analyzer crystal and a Xe point detector on the diffracted beam. The scattering plane was chosen perpendicular to the offcut direction. Spatially resolved PL was excited at T = 5 K in a helium flow cryostat using a 638 nm continuous wave diode laser and a long working distance 100 × near infrared microscope objective lens (NA = 0.7) yielding a focal spot size ~2 μm. The spatial position of the collected PL relative to the sample was controlled by means of an optical camera. The PL spectra were taken with a liquid nitrogen cooled silicon charge coupled device camera attached to a TRIAX 550 spectrometer.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Electron micrographs

Electron microscopy images of characteristic structures are presented in Figure 1. Perspective view SEM images of GaAs/Ge crystals on 5 × 5 μm² Si pillars (Figure 1(a)) illustrate the truncated pyramidal morphology. Bright field STEM images and the corresponding schematic view visualize the build-up of the heterolayers (Figure 1(b)). The Ge layer is located on top of the Si pillar, followed by the GaAs. The darker layer in the GaAs represents the AlGaAs/GaAs superlattice. The InGaAs QW is visible as a faint, narrow white line below the top surface in the STEM picture. From the STEM analysis, we found a QW thickness of 16 nm, 13 nm, and 11 nm for GaAs/Ge on 3 × 3 μm², 5 × 5 μm² and 15 × 15 μm² pillars, respectively. However, the GaAs layer thickness slightly decreases with decreasing Si pillar dimensions, as inferred from the cross sections. The inclined surfaces of the pyramids are formed by {111} and {113} facets which are characterized by a significantly smaller growth rate compared to the (001) facet. The high stability of the GaAs {111} surfaces favors the growth of the (001) surface, resulting in a truncated pyramidal crystal structure. Therefore, the pyramidal morphology of the GaAs depends on the size of the Si pillar as evidenced from the top view SEM images (Figures 1(c)–1(h)). A detailed description of the morphology can be found in the work of Taboada et al.

B. Photoluminescence

Figure 2 shows the low temperature PL spectra measured by exciting the central area of the top (001) surface of the GaAs/Ge crystals on differently sized Si pillars. The peak centered at ~1.5 eV stems from recombination in the GaAs, whereas the distinct peak on the lower energy side is attributed to emission from the InGaAs QW. The InGaAs emission energy gradually redshifts with decreasing Si pillar width (dashed line). This energy shift is particularly strong for the InGaAs emission from the two smallest pillar sizes. The top (001) QWs on the 3 × 3 μm² Ge/Si pillars emit at
tron neutral acceptor transition ($e_C - 0$) due to carbon impurities incorporated during the MOVPE growth.\textsuperscript{16} This suggests that the homogeneity and quality of the QWs degrade with decreasing Si pillar size.

In general, the InGaAs QW emission energy is mainly determined by three parameters. First, the thickness of the QW determines the confinement energy of the bound states. Second, strain leads to a change in band gap energy due to the change of atomic spacing. Third, the exact In content highly influences the band gap energy ($E_g(\text{InAs}) = 0.415$ eV and $E_g(\text{GaAs}) = 1.519$ eV at low temperatures).\textsuperscript{17} Since the InGaAs QW emission energies do not follow the trend of the GaAs peaks, interplay of the different parameters must occur.

Figure 3 compares the calculated In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$As transition energies (1e-1hh) as a function of QW width and In content were calculated for a coherently lattice matched rectangular QW by solving the Schrödinger equation in the effective mass approximation\textsuperscript{19} using an open-source software\textsuperscript{20} and applying material parameters published by Vurgaftman \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{21} The calculated transition energies decrease with increasing In content, as expected from the band gap energies of the binary materials. Likewise, an increase of QW thickness decreases transition energies as a result of smaller confinement, which turns in a decrease of the energies of the bound states in the QW. The fact that the measured emission energy corresponding to a 10 nm In$_{0.13}$Ga$_{0.87}$As QW in planar GaAs/Ge lies quite close to the calculated In$_{0.13}$Ga$_{0.87}$As line supports the correctness of the reasoning.

Comparing the measured emission energies with the calculated theoretical values, it becomes evident that the observed Si pillar size dependent shift in InGaAs emission energies (Figure 2) must be driven by a significant change in In concentration (~16%, ~20%, ~21% for InGaAs/GaAs on 15, 5, and 3 μm wide Si pillars, respectively). We explain this observation by enhanced In diffusion from the inclined surface.
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**FIG. 2.** Photoluminescence spectra (at 5 K) of InGaAs/GaAs QWs on differently sized Ge/Si pillars, as measured by exciting on the top (001) facet. The InGaAs peak redshifts with decreasing pillar size, whereas the GaAs peak blueshifts.

1.313 eV, whereas those on 50 × 50 μm$^2$ Ge/Si pillars emit at 1.375 eV, which amounts to a difference of 62 meV. In contrast to the InGaAs peak, the GaAs peak strongly blueshifts with decreasing pillar size (dotted line), indicating increasing elastic relaxation of the thermal strain induced by the mismatch of the thermal expansion coefficients between the GaAs/Ge epilayer and the Si substrate. Given the blueshift of the GaAs peak, the redshift of the InGaAs peak is even more striking since the QW is expected to experience the same amount of thermal strain as the surrounding GaAs. The broad intermediate PL peaks (energy of ~1.42 eV) in the 3, 5, and 9 μm spectra are attributed to InGaAs QW emission from the inclined surfaces. PL signal from those facets is related to two concomitant reasons. First, the laser spot size is comparable or even larger than the central (001) area, such that charge carriers are also photogenerated in the slanted facets. Second, electron-hole pairs photo-generated in the (001) area can diffuse and recombine in the [111] and [113] facets. However, QW emission from inclined surfaces showed irreproducible behavior as a function of excitation position, and in terms of energy. We attribute this behavior to the rough morphology of those surfaces leading to an inhomogeneous InGaAs QW. The low intensity PL peak next to the GaAs could be assigned to a free electron neutral acceptor transition ($e_C - 0$) due to carbon impurities incorporated during the MOVPE growth.\textsuperscript{16} Furthermore, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the InGaAs PL peak increases with decreasing pillar size. This suggests that the homogeneity and quality of the QWs degrade with decreasing Si pillar size.
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**FIG. 3.** Calculated emission energies vs QW thickness for InGaAs/GaAs QWs of different In concentration (black lines), and strain-corrected experimental results (symbols).

In general, the InGaAs QW emission energy is mainly determined by three parameters. First, the thickness of the QW determines the confinement energy of the bound states. Second, strain leads to a change in band gap energy due to the change of atomic spacing. Third, the exact In content
The tensile strain in the GaAs crystals gradually decreases with the Si pillar width implying compliant elastic strain release. The small discrepancy between the evolutions of the strain versus Si pillar width dependence.

C. X-ray diffraction

The Si pillar size dependent release of the strain in the GaAs crystals induced by the mismatch of thermal expansion coefficients was cross-checked with XRD as shown in Figure 4. The tensile strain in the GaAs crystals gradually decreases with the Si pillar width implying compliant elastic strain release. The small discrepancy between the evolutions of strain versus Si pillar width of the two different methods is explained by their different space and depth sensitivities. While the X-ray spot size was of the order of 1 mm, the laser beam spot was 0.27 mm in GaAs.22,23 Therefore, the strain calculated from XRD measurements is averaged over the entire volume of several crystals, leading to a smaller slope of the strain versus Si pillar width dependence.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have studied a novel material structure made of GaAs/Ge crystals with InGaAs/GaAs QWs on μm-sized square Si pillars. Such structures would allow for the monolithic integration of GaAs-based quantum emitters on Si via a Ge buffer layer. The crystals form truncated pyramids where the ratio of inclined to top facet area depends on the Si pillar size. PL spectroscopy in combination with STEM results reveals that the In concentration in the QWs increases with decreasing Si pillar size. This observation is attributed to pronounced In diffusion from the inclined facets to the top (001) facet during growth. These results will help to improve and contribute to the development of quantum opto-electronic devices and are encouraging for future experiments, such as the incorporation of quantum dots.15
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