TiO2 Nanotubes: Interdependence of Substrate Grain Orientation and Growth Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal:</th>
<th>ACS Applied Materials &amp; Interfaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript ID:</td>
<td>am-2014-07181p.R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Type:</td>
<td>Article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted by the Author:</td>
<td>06-Dec-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete List of Authors:</td>
<td>Leonardi, Silvia; Technische Universität München, Physics Department E19 Russo, Valeria; Politecnico di Milano, Department of Energy and NEMAS - Center for NanoEngineered MAterials and Surfaces Li Bassi, Andrea; Politecnico di Milano, Department of Energy Di Fonzo, Fabio; Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Center for Nanoscience and Technology Murray, Thomas; SUNY Polytechnic Institute, Colleges of Nanoscale Science and Engineering Efstathiadis, Harry; SUNY Polytechnic Institute, Colleges of Nanoscale Science and Engineering Agnoli, Andrea; MINES ParisTech, Center for Materials Forming (CEM EF) Kunze-Liebhäuser, Julia; Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Institute of Physical Chemistry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TiO$_2$ Nanotubes: Interdependence of Substrate Grain Orientation and Growth Rate

Silvia Leonardi$^a$, Valeria Russo$^b$, Andrea Li Bassi$^b$, Fabio Di Fonso$^e$, Thomas M. Murray$^d$, Harry Efstathiadis$^d$, Andrea Agnoli$^e$, and Julia Kunze-Liebhäuser$^*$$^{a, f}$.

(a) Department of Physics E19 and Institute for Advanced Study, Technische Universität München, James-Franck-Str. 1, 85748, Garching, Germany

(b) Department of Energy and NEMAS - Center for NanoEngineered MAterials and Surfaces, Politecnico di Milano, via Ponzio 34/3, 20133 Milan, Italy

(c) Center for Nano Science and Technology@PoliMi, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, via Pascoli 70/3, 20133 Milan, Italy

(d) Colleges of Nanoscale Science and Engineering, State University of New York Polytechnic Institute, 257 Fuller Road, Albany NY, 12203, USA

(e) MINES ParisTech, Center for Materials Forming (CEMEF), UMR CNRS 7635, BP 207, 06904 Sophia-Antipolis, France

(f) Institute of Physical Chemistry, University of Innsbruck, Innrain 52c, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
ABSTRACT

Highly ordered arrays of TiO₂ nanotubes can be produced by self-organized anodic growth. It is desirable to identify key parameters playing a role in the maximization of the surface area, growth rate and nanotube lengths. In the present work, the role of the crystallographic orientation of the underlying Ti substrate on the growth rate of anodic self-organized TiO₂ nanotubes in viscous organic electrolytes in the presence of small amounts of fluorides is studied. A systematic analysis of cross sections of the nanotubular oxide films on differently oriented substrate grains was carried out by a combination of electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The characterization allows for a correlation between TiO₂ nanotube lengths and diameters and crystallographic parameters of the underlying Ti metal substrate, such as planar surface densities. It is found that the growth rate of TiO₂ nanotubes gradually increases with decreasing planar atomic density of the titanium substrate. Anodic TiO₂ nanotubes with the highest aspect-ratio form on Ti(-151) (which is close to Ti(010)), whereas nanotube formation is completely inhibited on Ti(001). In the thin compact oxide on Ti(001), the electron donor concentration and electronic conductivity are higher, which leads to a competition between oxide growth and other electrochemical oxidation reactions, such as the oxygen evolution reaction, upon anodic polarization. At grain boundaries between oxide films on Ti(hk0), where nanotubes grow, and Ti(001), where thin compact oxide films are formed, the length of nanotubes decreases most likely due to electron migration from TiO₂ on Ti(001) to TiO₂ on Ti(hk0).
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Introduction

Since the first report on anodic self-organized Al$_2$O$_3$ pore formation on Al substrates$^1$, anodic self-organized oxide nanostructures grown on valve metals have been intensely investigated. Anodic oxide films grown on valve metals have no or very low electronic conductivity, so that besides the ionic current responsible for oxide film growth, almost no electronic current, associated with side-reactions, such as the oxygen evolution reaction, taking place at the surface of the oxide film, can flow through the film. Self-organized anodic TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays$^2$-$^4$ are highly interesting materials among valve metal oxides, due to the combination of a regular and controllable nanoscale geometry with the various functional properties of titania, that make the material suitable for applications in e.g., electro-$^5$-$^6$ and photo-catalysis$^7$, solar energy conversion$^8$-$^9$, sensing$^{10}$, biomedical devices$^{11}$-$^{12}$ and Li-ion batteries.$^{13}$-$^{15}$ A large variety of nanotube morphologies can be obtained by fine tuning the electrochemical anodization parameters. Effects of applied potential, anodization time, solution composition and pH on the resulting oxide properties have been reported.$^{16}$ The proposed growth mechanisms for TiO$_2$ nanotube formation refer to a combination of flow and dissolution models.$^{17}$-$^{18}$ Self-organized anodic processes in highly-viscous electrolytes can lead to the formation of high aspect-ratio nanotubular TiO$_2$ arrays.$^{16}$ In this regard, it is desirable to identify the key parameters playing a role in the maximization of the surface area, overall growth rate and nanotube lengths.

Nanotubular anodic TiO$_2$ growth in fluoride containing electrolytes has been extensively investigated since its discovery in 1999.$^2$ Compact anodic TiO$_2$, formed in fluoride-free electrolytes, has also been thoroughly studied for a long time, a review is given in.$^{29}$ In the case
of compact anodic TiO$_2$ grown on Ti, it has been shown that the TiO$_2$ film thickness, donor concentration and electronic conductivity depend on the crystallographic orientation of the Ti substrate grains, and that the rate of ion transfer reactions (e.g., passive film growth) and electron transfer reactions (e.g., oxygen evolution) differ from grain to grain.$^{19-23}$ A combination of electrochemical analysis with high spatial resolution and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) has been employed to study the correlation between crystallographic orientation of the underlying Ti metal substrate and the electrochemical behaviour of the compact anodic oxide formed on top.$^{22}$ Layer thickness and crystallinity of anodic compact TiO$_2$ were analyzed. It was found that thin crystalline, electronically conductive oxide films are formed on Ti(001), whereas thicker less crystalline films with lower electronic conductivity grow on Ti(hk0). A direct consequence of the significant electron conductivity of the growing anodic TiO$_2$ films is that, besides the ionic current responsible for film growth, an electronic current, associated with side-reactions taking place at the surface of the oxide film, can flow through the film. Therefore, there is a competition between oxide growth and other electrochemical oxidation side-reactions, being mainly the oxygen evolution reaction.$^{29}$ For TiO$_2$ on Ti(001) anodic oxygen evolution takes place at potentials $>4$ V, whereas on Ti(hk0) and misoriented grains oxide formation is the only charge transfer reaction observed, which leads to the formation of thick passive films.$^{22}$

In an earlier paper the authors showed how the growth characteristics of anodic nanotubular TiO$_2$ films, produced in an aqueous electrolyte solution of 1 M (NH$_4$)$_2$PO$_3$ + 0.5 wt % NH$_4$F, can be tailored by controlling the orientation of the underlying Ti metal substrate.$^{24}$ It was found that open or partially capped amorphous TiO$_2$ nanotubes form on Ti(hk0) grains, where growth of thick nanotubular oxide films was enabled. On Ti(001) grains, no open nanotubes but compact oxide films were observed, exhibiting a mixed anatase and rutile nanocrystalline character with a
large degree of structural disorder.\textsuperscript{24,30} Except for this study,\textsuperscript{24} only one paper describes retarded nanotube growth on the Ti(001) surface.\textsuperscript{31} The results reported therein, even though interesting, do not provide a detailed understanding of the interdependence of nanotube growth and grain structure, resulting in deriving only qualitative conclusions.

In the present work, we report on the anodization of polycrystalline Ti metal surfaces in glycerol-based electrolytes with small additions of fluorides and on the analysis of the growth rates of anodic titania nanotubes on differently oriented Ti substrate grains. The organic electrolyte with small amounts of fluorides was chosen to optimize the conversion efficiency by slowing down the oxide dissolution rate and, at the same time, to fabricate nanotubes with a more defined ripple-free geometry, as previously shown in studies on the nanotube growth mechanism.\textsuperscript{18} The cross section analysis of TiO$_2$ nanotubes allowed the characterization of their length as a function of the crystallographic orientation and the corresponding planar atomic densities of the underlying Ti metal substrate, and provided information on the growth mechanism.

**Experimental section**

**Reagent, solution and electrode materials**

TiO$_2$ nanotubes have been grown on polycrystalline Ti sheets of 1mm thickness (99.96\% purity, AdventMaterials Ltd., England) with an edge length of 1cm. Prior to the anodic treatment, each Ti substrate was mechanically polished by using wet SiC grinding paper (grade 4000) followed by an electropolishing step in a mixture of methanol (purity $\geq$ 99.9\%, Merck)
perchloric acid (HClO₄, 40%) (99.9% suprapure, Merck) and butoxyethanol (purity ≥ 99%, Alfa Aesar). For electropolishing, the Ti substrates were wrapped in teflon tape with an opening providing an exposed area of ~80 mm² during the electropolishing treatment. A potential of 60 V was applied for 5 minutes between the sample and a gold counter electrode. The electropolishing solution was held between –20 and –40°C during the entire growth process. At the end of each electropolishing treatment, all substrates were cleaned in ethanol (absolute grade emsure®, VWR Chemicals) in an ultrasonic bath (Sonorex, Bandelin Electronic) and rinsed with Milli-Q (DI) water (resisitivity of 18.2 MΩ.cm at 25°C, Millipore). After two electropolishing cycles, the teflon mask was removed and the samples were sonicated for 30 min in ethanol (99.9% empure, VWR Chemicals) before rinsing with DI water. Prior to the anodization treatment, the Ti substrates were further degreased by sonication in acetone, isopropanol and methanol, thoroughly rinsed with DI water and finally dried in a nitrogen stream. Anodic growth of all TiO₂ nanotube arrays presented in this paper was carried out in a mixed electrolyte solution of glycerol (anhydrous, purity ≥ 99.95%, Merck) and 0.25wt % NH₄F (99.998 % purity, Merck). All chemicals were used without further purification.

**Electrochemical synthesis and instrumentation**

A conventional two-electrode teflon electrochemical cell with a platinum gauze as counter electrode was employed for the synthesis of the TiO₂ nanotubes. Prior to each experiment, the cell was cleaned in Caro’s acid (3:1 mixture of H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂) and thoroughly rinsed with DI water. Nanotube growth was carried out at room temperature without stirring at a constant voltage of 20 V for 6 hours by using a DC power supply (PS240 3D, Fluke). The final potential was reached by ramping from 0 to 20 V with a ~1 V s⁻¹ rate. After anodic nanotube production, each sample was thoroughly rinsed with DI water and dried in a nitrogen stream.
Surface analysis

Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD): The inverse pole figure (IPF) of polycrystalline Ti and the related grain orientation map was obtained on a defined area of the metal substrates by EBSD. The IPF represents the stereographic projection leading to 32 crystallographic classes in six systems and shows the grain orientations characterizing the surface texture of the titanium substrate. The IPF shows how the selected direction in the sample reference frame is distributed in the reference frame of the crystal. The directions plotted are the stereographic projection of crystal directions parallel to the normal direction (ND), rolling direction (RD) or transverse direction (TD) in the sample. During the measurement, an amorphous native oxide of ~1.3-5.4 nm thickness was considered, which is thin compared to the information depth of ~20 nm of EBSD and does therefore not lead to a decrease of pattern quality due to scattering. The area for the map was indicated by fiducial marks milled into the sample using a Ga beam with an accelerating voltage of 30 kV and a beam current of 21 nA. During milling, the surface was sealed with ink from a Sharpie pen to avoid contamination. After milling, the ink was removed by sonicating the sample in acetone followed by ethanol. The standard measurement configuration (45° pretilted specimen mount, Ted Pella Item 16355, 70° angle between electron beam and surface) with tilt correction was used to record a microstructural map of (50 x 50) µm² with a step size of 0.5 µm. A field-emission scanning electron microscope (FEI Nova NanoSEM600 Dualbeam, HKL Channel 5), with an acceleration voltage of 30 kV, a working distance of 12 – 15 mm, and a beam current of 0.64 nA, was used to collect the EBSD pattern. A set of three Euler angles ϕ₁, Φ, and ϕ₂ is generally retrieved from EBSD analysis to fully determine the crystallographic orientation of grains in a three dimensional system. To describe the crystallographic texture in hcp systems, like Ti, either Miller-Bravais Indices \{hkil\} or Miller
indices \{hkl\} were used: The transformation from Euler space to the \((hkl)\) planes was obtained by applying a simple matrix multiplication.\textsuperscript{22,27} Planar surface densities were calculated for the differently oriented Ti substrate surfaces using a modeling tool enclosed in the software CrystalKit.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM):** All SEM analysis has been carried out with a Zeiss Supra 40 field emission microscope. Cross section images of specific grains were acquired by tilting the specimen mount to a 45° angle between the surface normal and the microscope detector. The tilt was then corrected to determine the real nanotube lengths. For cross-section SEM imaging, a home-made set up consisting of tungsten needles with tip dimensions of 10 µm in diameter mounted on micro manipulators (Wenthwort, model PVX-400) and equipped with an optical microscope was used to scratch through grains on the anodized surface inside the area previously mapped with EBSD.

**Results and discussion**

Figure 1 shows SEM micrographs of TiO\(_2\) nanotubes grown on non-electropolished and on electropolished Ti substrates. The TiO\(_2\) nanotube array formed on the non-electropolished Ti substrate is covered by a disordered capping layer (Figure 1a), which is typically observed for organic electrolytes.\textsuperscript{28} The crystallographic structure of the underlying metal substrate has no influence on the nanotube growth rate. Based on statistical analysis of cross sections taken on different areas, it is observed that the nanotubes have the same average length of \(~3\) µm all over the surface (Figure 1c). Their length depends on the fluoride concentration and on the anodization time, as reported earlier.\textsuperscript{16} The outer nanotube diameter and the side wall thickness,
both measured at the nanotube top, are \( \sim 50 \) nm and \( \sim 4 \) nm (see Figs. 1c and S1a in the supporting information (SI)). The walls have a completely smooth morphology from top to bottom (see Figure S1 in the SI). On electropolished substrates, a grain structure is clearly visible and the capping layer that covers the topmost nanotube surface shows a different morphology on different substrate grains (Figure 1b). At least four zones with different growth rates are identified (see Figure S2 in the SI) in which nanotube lengths range from \( \sim 1.5 \) µm to \( \sim 3.1 \) µm (see Figure 1d,e). The nanotube outer diameters range from \( \sim 30 \) to \( \sim 90 \) nm, where the longest nanotubes (3.1 µm) have the smallest diameter of \( \sim 30 \) nm and the shorter nanotubes (1.5 and 1.7 µm) have diameters of \( \sim 90 \) and 75 nm, respectively. The morphology of the tube walls is mainly smooth, but on some grains ripples are observed at the nanotube bottoms that form at later growth stages (Figure 1d).
In order to gain a better understanding of the factors that lead to the formation of high-aspect ratio TiO$_2$ nanotubes in organic electrolytes and, in particular, of the role the substrate grain orientations play, the Ti metal substrate was characterized by EBSD after electropolishing and prior to anodization. The inverse pole figure (IPF) of the Ti metal substrate, detected with EBSD, is depicted in Figure 2. Crystallographic orientations of the planes characterizing the Ti substrate texture are given by the color legend of the corresponding orientation distribution map (Figure 1).

**Figure 1:** SEM top views and cross-sections of TiO$_2$ nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25wt.% NH$_4$F at 20 V for 6 hours on non-electropolished (a,c) and on electropolished (b,d,e) Ti substrates. In panel (d), the nanotube layer is flipped upside down, the nanotube bottoms show ripples (see left inset in (d)).
To evaluate the growth rate dependence of TiO$_2$ nanotubes on the orientation of the underlying substrate (see Figure 1), cross sections of TiO$_2$ nanotubes grown on differently oriented substrate grains were taken to correlate nanotube length and substrate orientation. The positions where cross-sections were analyzed are indicated on the EBSD map in Figure 2.

Figure 2: (a) Inverse pole figure (IPF) map of the area where cross sections of the nanotubular film are investigated with SEM, cross sections are marked by white lines. (b) color legend for the IPF explaining the crystallographic orientations (orientations of the vertices refer to substrate planes).

Figure 3 shows a SEM cross-section through TiO$_2$ nanotubes formed on substrate grains identified as Ti(-160), Ti(001), and Ti(-120) planes. These orientations correspond to the light blue, red, and green areas of the map (Fig 3b). Anodization of titanium metal grains with Ti(-160) and Ti(-120) orientations leads to the formation of ~650 and ~610 nm long TiO$_2$
nanotubes, whereas on the low index plane Ti(001) (red area in the color legend) an 80 nm thick compact oxide film with no trace of porosity, chemical etching or self-organized nanotube formation is grown. A pronounced grain boundary effect can be noticed where nanotube lengths on Ti(-160) and Ti(-120) oriented grains dramatically decrease close to the compact oxide layer formed on Ti(001).

Figure 3: (a) Cross section of anodic TiO$_2$ nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt.% NH$_4$F at 20 V for 6 hours on Ti(-160), Ti(001) and Ti(-120) oriented grains. (b) EBSD map and stereographic triangle showing the positions of substrate grains underlying the nanotubular oxides.

Figure 4 depicts a SEM cross section of nanotubular anodic oxide formed on a Ti(-151) oriented grain, located in the blue area of the orientation distribution map, where the TiO$_2$ nanotube
length reaches a value of \( \sim 920 \text{ nm} \). This indicates that the nanotube growth rate is enhanced on substrate orientations such as Ti(-151), when compared to Ti(001). It is important to note that, in the present study, the nanotube lengths measured on the surface that was mapped with EBSD prior to nanotube growth were smaller compared to the lengths of nanotubes grown on Ti metal substrates right after electropolishing under the same growth conditions. This effect is most likely due to the treatment of the surface during milling with the Ga beam.

![SEM cross section of anodic TiO\(_2\) nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt.% NH\(_4\)F at 20 V for 6 hours on a Ti(-151) oriented grain. (b) EBSD map and stereographic triangle showing the position of the substrate grain underlying the nanotubular oxide.](image)

**Figure 4:** (a) SEM cross section of anodic TiO\(_2\) nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt.% NH\(_4\)F at 20 V for 6 hours on a Ti(-151) oriented grain. (b) EBSD map and stereographic triangle showing the position of the substrate grain underlying the nanotubular oxide.
Analysis of the thickness of the titania nanotube films on different substrate grain orientations in the mapped area allows correlation of the substrate grain orientation with the growth rate. Figure 5 depicts SEM micrographs of six different grains from the IPF and the corresponding positions in the stereographic triangle. Clearly, different nanotube lengths are measured for the different substrate grain orientations. It is observed that short nanotubes of only 175 nm length covered with a thick compact capping layer are grown on approximately Ti(001) oriented substrate grains, such as on Ti(017) (Figure 5a). On Ti(134) and Ti(115) oriented grains, nanotube lengths reach values of 290 and ~330 nm, respectively (Figure 5b).

**Figure 5:** SEM cross sections of TiO$_2$ nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt % NH$_4$F at 20V for 6 hours on differently oriented grains: (a) Ti(017), (b) Ti(115) and Ti(134), (c) Ti(-135) and Ti(114) and (d) Ti(01-3) with the corresponding IPF maps. (e) Stereographic triangle showing the positions of substrate grains underlying the nanotubular oxides.
On Ti(-135) and Ti(114) the growth rate is increased, and nanotube lengths of ~450 and 550 nm are obtained (Figure 5c). A further increase of the growth rate is observed for nanotubes grown on Ti(01-3) oriented grains, where 585 nm thick nanotubular films are formed (Figure 5d). As the thickness of the nanotube films increases, the thickness of the topmost capping layer decreases from ~60 nm on Ti(017) to less than ~20 nm on Ti(01-3).

![Graph of nanotube lengths vs. Crystalllographic orientation](image)

**Figure 6:** TiO$_2$ nanotube lengths for anodic films grown in glycerol with 0.25wt% NH$_4$F for 6 hours at 20V on differently oriented substrate grains (standard deviations refer to length variations inside the same substrate grain). Change of plane orientations (a) along upper (black curve) and lower (blue curve) edges of the stereographic triangle, and (b) from (-120) to (010) (blue curve) and through the high-index inner planes (black curve) of the stereographic triangle.
In Figure 6, all nanotube lengths measured on differently oriented substrate grains are summarized. Figure 6 shows that nanotube growth rates are smallest on approximately (001) oriented Ti substrate grains. Growth rate increases when the substrate grain orientation is changed by moving along the upper and lower edges of the stereographic triangle, (with higher growth rates observed for the lower edge, black and blue curve in Figure 6a). Growth rates are considerably higher on grains with orientations belonging to the triangle edge connecting the Ti(-120) and Ti(010) vertices, where nanotube lengths are never shorter than ~600 nm (blue line in Figure 6b). When substrate grain orientations change following a virtual line through the center of the stereographic triangle (black line in Figure 6b), the trend is not continuous because a lower growth rate is observed for TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes grown on Ti(134).

The correlation of Ti substrate grain orientation and its corresponding atomic planar density calculated as number of atoms per surface area with TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube lengths, shows higher nanotube growth rates for lower planar atomic densities (Figure 7). It is known that TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube growth starts with the formation of a compact oxide layer which is first chemically etched by the fluorides in the electrolyte, leading to the formation of an unordered porous oxide layer. After this initial phase, a self-organized growth of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes takes place.\textsuperscript{28} Consequently, the nature of the compact oxide film formed prior to nanotube formation plays an important role for self-organized nanotube growth. As mentioned in the introduction, compact anodic oxide grown on Ti(001) is characterized by the highest electronic conductivity which leads to an enhanced oxygen evolution at the expense of oxide layer formation.\textsuperscript{22,29} Our findings demonstrate that no TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube growth takes place on Ti(001) substrate surfaces, which confirms our previous studies.\textsuperscript{24}
It is important to note that a total absence of nanotubular features in the anodic film is only observed on a small portion of the Ti(001) grain narrowed between nanotube films on Ti(-160) and Ti(-120), whereas on a wider region of the same grain, dimples have formed. These dimples originate from TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes formed at the metal/oxide interface during the anodic self-organization process that were mechanically removed from the surface upon preparation of the cross-section (see arrows in Figure 3). A possible explanation for this finding could be the limited lateral resolution of the EBSD map; the system is very sensitive to small changes in the

**Figure 7:** Atomic surface densities of Ti metal substrate planes and their correlation with TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube lengths (standard deviations refer to length variations inside the same grain), connecting lines are inserted as guide for the eye. Inset: orientation of hexagonal 3D unit cells of Ti substrate grains determined from Euler angles obtained with EBSD.
crystallographic orientation, which might lead to nanotube growth on approximately (001) oriented substrate grains (see e.g., Figure 5a).

The pronounced grain boundary effect, where nanotube lengths on Ti(-160) and Ti(-120) oriented grains decrease at the boundary with the compact oxide layer formed on Ti(001), can be explained by a change of electronic properties of TiO$_2$ grown on differently oriented substrate grains: Davepon et al.$^{23}$ provided evidence that the electron concentration in the oxide film formed on a given grain is not homogeneous, but exhibits gradients across grain boundaries, if the film grown on an adjacent grain has a significantly different conductivity. According to these authors, this observation is proof for lateral electron migration across the oxide. For the case of decreasing TiO$_2$ nanotube length at grain boundaries with compact TiO$_2$ on Ti(001), lateral electron migration can occur from TiO$_2$ grown on Ti(001) to TiO$_2$ grown on Ti(hk0) leading to an increased electronic conductivity of TiO$_2$, and thus to a decrease of oxide growth rate, at the grain boundary.

**Conclusions**

Electron backscatter diffraction and scanning electron microscopy were used to investigate the growth rate of anodic, self-organized nanotubular titania in organic electrolytes and its dependence on the crystallographic orientations of the underlying Ti substrate grains. It was found that TiO$_2$ nanotubes with the highest-aspect ratio are formed on Ti(-151), whereas compact TiO$_2$ films grow on Ti(001). It was observed that the growth rate of TiO$_2$ nanotubes gradually increases with decreasing planar atomic density of the titanium substrate. TiO$_2$ nanotube length decreases at grain boundaries between compact oxide films formed on Ti(001)
and nanotube forming films on Ti(hk0) due to lateral electron migration from TiO₂ with higher electron concentration present on Ti(001) to TiO₂ with lower electron concentration present on Ti(hk0).
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Lithographic Approach to Determine Volume Expansion Factors During Anodization: Using


Highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays are produced by self-organized anodic growth. The influence of the underlying Ti substrate orientation on the nanotube growth rate is studied. Nanotubes with the highest aspect-ratio are found on substrate planes that have low atomic surface densities, nanotube formation is inhibited on Ti(001) oriented grains.
Figure 1: SEM top views and cross-sections of TiO2 nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25wt.% NH4F at 20 V for 6 hours on non-electropolished (a,c) and on electropolished (b,d,e) Ti substrates. In panel (d), the nanotube layer is flipped upside down, the nanotube bottoms showing ripples (see left inset in (d)).
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Figure 2: (a) Inverse pole figure (IPF) of the area where cross sections of the nanotubular film have been investigated with SEM; all cross sections are marked. (b) Color legend for the IPF explaining the crystallographic orientations (orientations of the vertices refer to substrate planes).
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Figure 3: (a) Cross section of anodic TiO2 nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt.% NH4F at 20 V for 6 hours on Ti(-160), Ti(001) and Ti(-120) oriented grains. (b) EBSD map and stereographic triangle showing the positions of substrate grains underlying the nanotubular oxides.
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Figure 4: (a) SEM cross section of anodic TiO₂ nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt.% NH₄F at 20 V for 6 hours on a Ti(-151) oriented grain. (b) EBSD map and stereographic triangle showing the position of the substrate grain underlying the nanotubular oxide.
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Figure 5: SEM cross sections of TiO$_2$ nanotubes grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt % NH$_4$F at 20V for 6 hours on differently oriented grains: (a) Ti(017), (b) Ti(115) and Ti(134), (c) Ti(-135) and Ti(114) and (d) Ti(01-3) (b) EBSD map and stereographic triangle showing the positions of substrate grains underlying the nanotubular oxides. 

74x47mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Figure 6: TiO2 nanotube lengths for anodic films grown in glycerol with 0.25 wt% NH4F for 6 hours at 20V on differently oriented substrate grains (standard deviations refer to length variations inside the same substrate grain). Change of plane orientations (a) along upper (black curve) and lower (blue curve) edges, (b) from (-120) to (010) (blue curve) and through the high-index inner planes (black curve) of the IPF color legend.
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Figure 7: Atomic surface densities of Ti metal substrate planes and their correlation with TiO2 nanotube lengths (standard deviations refer to length variations inside the same substrate grain), connecting lines are inserted as guide for the eye. Inset: orientation of hexagonal 3D unit cells of Ti substrate grains determined from Euler angles obtained with EBSD.
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