Novel insights by 4D Flow imaging on aortic flow physiology after valve-sparing root replacement with or without neosinuses†
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Abstract

OBJECTIVES: This study was undertaken to evaluate the flow dynamics in the aortic root after valve-sparing root replacement with and without neosinuses of Valsalva reconstruction, by exploiting the capability of 4D Flow imaging to measure in vivo blood velocity fields and 3D geometric flow patterns.

METHODS: Ten patients who underwent valve-sparing root replacement utilizing grafts with neosinuses or straight tube grafts (5 cases each) were evaluated by 4D Flow imaging at a mean of 46.5 months after surgery. We used in-house processing tools to quantify relevant bulk flow variables (flow rate, stroke volume, peak velocity and mean velocity), wall shear stresses and the amount of flow rotation characterizing the region enclosed by the graft and the aortic valve leaflets.

RESULTS: Despite bulk flows with similar peak velocities, flow rates and stroke volumes (P = 0.31–1.00), the neosinuses graft was associated with a lower mean velocity (P < 0.03) and magnitude of wall shear stress along the axial direction of the vessel wall (P < 0.05) at the proximal root level but remained comparable along the circumferential direction (P = 0.22–1.0) to the straight tube graft. Flow rotation was evidently and systematically higher in the neosinuses grafts, characterized by streamline rotations higher than 270°, nearly triple that of tubular grafts (10.3 ± 14.0% of all aortic streamline vs 2.2 ± 5.7%, P = 0.008).

CONCLUSIONS: Recreation of the sinuses of Valsalva during valve-sparing root replacement is associated with significantly lower wall shear stress and organized vortical flows at the level of the sinus that are not evident using the straight tube graft. These findings need confirmation in larger studies and could have important implications in terms of aortic valve durability.
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INTRODUCTION

Valve-sparing aortic root replacement (VSRR) is an attractive surgical option for the treatment of aortic root aneurysms with preserved cusps [1]. In the traditional David VSRR procedure, the aortic root is replaced with a straight cylindrical tube graft in which the native valve is reimplanted [2]. Among the many technical evolutions proposed in VSRR surgery, new grafts designed with the recreation of the sinuses of Valsalva (SV) have gained increasing interest as they may reproduce native aortic flow physiology [3, 4].

The importance of vortical flow generated in the SV in modulating the leaflet movements and regulating progressive and low stress closure of the aortic valve has been extensively demonstrated in vivo and in experimental models [5–8]. However, the clinical advantages offered by a surgical approach preserving the physiological aortic flow dynamics and its impact on valve mechanics still remain a matter of debate [3, 9–12].

3D phase-contrast magnetic resonance (4D Flow) imaging has emerged as a powerful non-invasive technique to elucidate vascular...
flow characteristics due to its capability to analyse in vivo blood velocity fields and 3D flow patterns [13, 14].

Volumetric 4D Flow imaging not only allows the 3D geometric evaluation of helical and vertical blood flow formations [13] but also enables the assessment of derived flow parameters, such as the wall shear stresses (WSS) that measure the tangential forces exerted by flowing blood acting on the aortic wall [15, 16].

The aim of this study was to compare the fluid dynamic effects of neosinuses reconstruction in patients who had undergone VSRR by using 4D Flow imaging to explore the intra-vessel fluid dynamics and the corresponding mechanical loads acting on the aortic wall, as measured via magnetic resonance imaging-derived computational analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

Ten patients who underwent aortic VSRR for the treatment of aortic root aneurysms without associated aortic valve disease were enrolled. All patients had technically satisfactory surgical results (none/trivial aortic regurgitation). Surgery was performed at 2 sites using 2 different surgical techniques (see below): the NY Presbyterian Hospital/Weill Cornell Medicine (WCMC, n = 5) and the European Hospital/Rome (EHR, n = 5). Patients from each site were age- and gender matched; all were followed up postoperatively for at least 6 months. Patients were excluded based on technically inadequate surgical repair (mild aortic insufficiency), genetically mediated aortopathies (e.g. bicuspid aortic valve and Marfan syndrome) or contraindication to magnetic resonance imaging.

The institutional review board or ethics committee at each participating centre approved the study; written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Surgical technique

Surgical technique was indicated by valvular and aortic pathological criteria according to the established best practice, European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) [17] and American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) [18] guidelines. At the WCMC, the classical David I technique was used with reimplantation of the aortic annulus into a straight Dacron tube without SV (Macquet Corp., Fairfield, NJ, USA), whereas at the EHR, a graft with recreation of neo-SV (graft with neo-SV) was used (Vascutek® Gelweave Valsalva™ Grafts, Terumo, Inchinnan, Scotland). Details of the surgical techniques used in the 2 centres have been previously published [4, 10, 19].

4D Flow data processing

4D Flow data were automatically processed through 4D Flow imaging to explore the intra-vessel fluid dynamics and the corresponding mechanical loads acting on the aortic wall, as measured via magnetic resonance imaging-derived computational analysis.

4D Flow acquisitions

All magnetic resonance (MR) examinations were performed on identical 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging units (Discovery MR750, GE Healthcare) at the Policlinico Umberto I Hospital of ‘Sapienza’, University of Rome and the WCMC.

The blood flow dynamics in the thoracic aorta was assessed using flow-sensitive 4D Flow sequences acquired in the oblique-sagittal orientation to encompass the entire thoracic aorta with prospective electrocardiography gating during deep breathing, using a respiratory navigator and acquired in 20 phases/cardiac cycle (Fig. 1A). Sequence parameters were as follows: voxel sizing = 1.48 x 1.48 x 1.8 mm³, flip angle=10–14°, echo time = 2.02–2.15 ms and repetition time = 4.3–5.27 ms; velocity encoding limits had been tailored (VENC~150 cm/s) as the lowest value avoiding aliasing (3dcine_ktarc_WIP package).

CineMR imaging

A stack of 4 contiguous 2D steady-state free-precession cine images (cineMR) covering the aortic root was acquired to analyse valve function, measure aortic root diameters and support the anatomical delimitation of aortic contours. Diameters of the ascending aorta were measured through additional cineMR images transverse to aortic long axis, next to the right pulmonary artery. The cineMR was also used to measure cardiac structure and function, left ventricle chamber volumes and ejection fraction, which were quantified based on planimetry of contiguous end-diastolic and end-systolic short-axis slices. Typical cineMR sequence parameters were as follows: 20 phases, slice thickness 6 mm for the aortic root/8 mm for the left ventricle, no overlap and no gap for the aortic root/gap: 2 mm for the left ventricle, reconstruction matrix 256 x 256, repetition time 3.58–3.97 ms, echo time 1.42–1.60 ms, flip angle 45–60°, in-plane resolution ranging between 0.73 x 0.73 mm and 1.40 x 1.40 mm (Fig. 1B).

Image analysis was performed using Cvi42 (v.5.3, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Calgary, Canada). Transverse diameters of the aortic root were measured in a standardized fashion based on the cusp commissure distance [20].

1. CineMR and 4D Flow sequences were rigidly coregistered, and misalignments, if any, were manually corrected.

2. On cineMR images, the silhouette of the graft was manually traced. Also, the aortic-valve-free margin and the coronary ostia were traced on the 2 planes best allowing for their visualization (Fig. 1C).

3. 4D Flow data were automatically processed through prefiltering of velocity components to correct for eddy current errors and aliasing and to decrease MR noise [16, 21]. The wall of the aortic lumen was manually traced on 4D Flow images to define the region of interest (ROI) on each slice of the acquired volume, exploiting the information obtained at Step 2 (Fig. 1D).

4. A 3D binary ROI was defined as the cloud of voxels included in the closed isosurface encompassing the traced borders of the ROI [16]. This surface was smoothed through the Laplacian diffusion filtering to obtain a regularized surface mesh; the centre line of the vessel was created through skeletonization of the ROI.
5. According to previously published methods [16, 21], 4 cross-sections (Fig. 1F) were manually defined: aortic annulus (P1), mid-portion of the aortic bulb (P2), sinotubular junction (P3) and the cross-section 2 cm downstream of the sino-tubular junction (P4). At P4, peak flow rate ($Q_{\text{MAX}}$), stroke volume and cardiac output were quantified. On each cross-section, velocity data were processed to compute the following: (i) peak and mean velocity magnitude ($|V|_{\text{MAX}}$ and $|V|_{\text{mean}}$ respectively); (ii) the space-dependent WSS vectors, assuming blood as a Newtonian fluid [16]. The mean value of WSS magnitudes ($|WSS|_{\text{mean}}$) and of WSS axial and circumferential components ($WSS_{ax,\text{mean}}$ and $WSS_{circ,\text{mean}}$) were extracted.

6. By means of the Paraview (ParaView, Sandia Corporation, Kitware Inc., NM, USA), a long-axis section of the ascending aorta was defined, corresponding to the plane perpendicular to the cineMR planes and passing through the coronary ostia (Fig. 1G). We computed the projection on this plane of the 3D velocity vectors whose origin was less than half voxel away from this plane. Projected vectors were used to compute the associated in-plane streamlines, i.e. the curves that are instantaneously tangent to the velocity vectors and describe the instantaneous trajectory of mass-less particles within the considered plane. Computed streamlines were clustered and colour coded according to the amount of
rotational flow, i.e. the angle spanned by the in-plane velocity vectors associated with each streamline.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed in terms of mean ± standard deviation (aortic dimensions and left ventricular volumes) or minimum-to-maximum range (extracted 4D Flow variables) and compared using the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test. Differences with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Diagnostic 4D Flow and cineMR datasets were successfully acquired in all patients with no complications. No significant differences were noted in heart rate values between the straight tube graft group, with a significant difference at Section P1 (P = 0.0317) and close to statistically significant at Section P2 (P = 0.0952).

This result was consistent with the differences in velocity profiles at the proximal aortic root in the neo-SV group, peripheral slow-flow regions with velocity magnitudes largely below 50 cm/s were systematically visible within the neosinuses (Fig. 2a), whereas in the straight tube group, these regions were minimal and localized close to the aortic wall (Fig. 2b).

Wall shear stress. In the neo-SV group, |WSS|mean was lower compared with those computed for the straight tube group at Sections P1–P3, and differences were almost statistically significant at Section P1 (P = 0.0952) and statistically significant at Sections P2–P3 (P = 0.0159 and P = 0.0079, respectively). These differences were almost exclusively due to the WSS axial component (Table 2).

Rotational flows. Organized vortical flows at the SV level were observed only in the neo-SV group (Fig. 3A). In the left (L) and the right (R) coronary neo-SV, the local analysis of velocity vectors highlighted a common rotation pattern, with blood progressively moving from the aortic central jet towards the wall and rotating backwards within each neo-SV (Fig. 3B) by an angle $\phi > 270^\circ$ in the majority of the neo-SV volume (Fig. 3C). The analysis of the frequency distribution of the in-plane streamlines based on the respective amount of rotation confirmed this result (Fig. 4). Even when considering the whole domain, in the neo-SV

| Table 1: Population characteristics and cardiovascular magnetic resonance features |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| WCMC group                     | EHR group graft with neo-SV |
| straight tube graft             | (n=5)            | (n=5)           | Overall (n=10)  |
| **Age (years), mean ± SD**     | 52.8 ± 15.6      | 51.2 ± 11.1     | 52 ± 12.8       | 1.000           |
| **Male gender, n (%)**         | 4 (80)           | 5 (100)         | 9 (90)          | 0.310           |
| **BSA, mean ± SD**             | 1.95 ± 0.28      | 2.05 ± 0.10     | 2.00 ± 0.2      | 0.690           |
| **Time from operation (months), mean ± SD** | 29.9 ± 10.2 | 63 ± 81.5 | 46.5 ± 57.5 | 0.690 |
| **Aortic diameters**           |                 |                 |                |
| Diameter of prosthesis (32 mm/30 mm/28 mm), n | 0/4/1 | 1/3/1 |                |
| Annulus diameter (cm), mean ± SD | 26.5 ± 1.3      | 27.6 ± 1.8      | 27.1 ± 1.6      | 0.222           |
| Root diameter (cm), mean ± SD  | 27.6 ± 1.1       | 35 ± 1.6        | 31.3 ± 4.0      | 0.008*          |
| Ascending aortic diameter (cm), mean ± SD | 29 ± 1.7         | 30.9 ± 2.3      | 30.0 ± 2.2      | 0.310           |
| Aortic arch diameter (cm), mean ± SD | 26 ± 3.8        | 27.2 ± 6.4      | 26.6 ± 5.1      | 1.000           |
| Descending aortic diameter (cm), mean ± SD | 24.7 ± 2.1       | 23.6 ± 4.4      | 24.2 ± 3.4      | 0.690           |
| **Left ventricular function, mean ± SD** |                 |                 |                |
| LV end-diastolic volume (mL/m²) | 77.6 ± 12.5      | 79.1 ± 14.2     | 78.3 ± 12.6     | 1.000           |
| LV end-systolic volume (mL/m²)  | 30.5 ± 9.3       | 33.4 ± 6.1      | 31.9 ± 7.5      | 0.690           |
| LV stroke volume (mL/m²)        | 47.1 ± 4.5       | 45.6 ± 8.8      | 46.4 ± 7.3      | 0.841           |
| Cardiac output (/min)           | 5.5 ± 1.7        | 5.0 ± 0.9       | 5.3 ± 1.3       | 0.841           |
| LV ejection fraction (%)        | 63.1 ± 6.0       | 57.2 ± 4.5      | 60.1 ± 5.9      | 0.056           |
| LV mass (g/m²)                  | 66.6 ± 9.1       | 57.1 ± 7.1      | 61.8 ± 9.2      | 0.151           |

The non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test (P < 0.05).

*Diameters of the ascending aorta have been measured downstream of prosthetic anastomosis.

BSA: body surface area; EHR: the European Hospital/Rome; LV: left ventricle; SD: standard deviation; SV: stroke volume; WCMC: the Weill Cornell Medicine.
Figure 2: 3D streamlines describing the bulk flow field at peak systole for 2 patients implanted with a graft with neo-SV (A) and a straight tube graft (B), respectively. Streamlines are colour coded based on the instantaneous and local 3D velocity magnitude. For each panel in the upper small box, the cineMR corresponding to the plane passing through the aortic root is represented, and the lower boxes show the vortices of the neo-SV graft (left) and the disorganized flow within straight tubular graft (right).

### Table 2: Results of 4D Flow analysis on the 4 selected cross-sections (P1–P4) encompassing the entire aortic root

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planes</th>
<th>Neosinuses</th>
<th>Straight tube</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>_{\text{max}}$ (cm/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>100.6 ± 170.7</td>
<td>117.5 ± 192.2</td>
<td>0.3095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>116.4 ± 180.1</td>
<td>89.8 ± 177.0</td>
<td>0.4206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>95.3 ± 155.2</td>
<td>94.8 ± 159.1</td>
<td>0.5476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>90.0 ± 123.7</td>
<td>75.3 ± 139.8</td>
<td>0.4206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>_{\text{mean}}$ (cm/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>42.0 ± 58.7</td>
<td>55.6 ± 83.4</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>37.9 ± 60.9</td>
<td>50.9 ± 89.9</td>
<td>0.0952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>38.8 ± 62.6</td>
<td>44.6 ± 75.1</td>
<td>0.2222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>41.0 ± 67.2</td>
<td>43.4 ± 60.2</td>
<td>0.5476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>WSS</td>
<td>_{\text{mean}}$ (Pa)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.0952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.53</td>
<td>0.4206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>WSS</td>
<td>_{\text{circ}}$ (Pa)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.0952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.52</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.4206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as min–max values. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney test (P < 0.05). For each variable, the range between the minimum value and the maximum value computed on the single plane is reported.

$|V|_{\text{max}}$: peak velocity magnitude; $|V|_{\text{mean}}$: mean velocity magnitude; $|WSS|_{\text{mean}}$: mean WSS magnitude; $|WSS|_{\text{circ}}$: mean WSS circumferential component; $|WSS|_{\text{ax}}$: mean WSS axial component.

### DISCUSSION

This study provides several key findings regarding the impact of surgical technique on aortic root flow physiology. First, straight tube VSRR yielded higher mean aortic flow velocity at the aortic annulus when compared with neosinus reconstruction (P = 0.03) with no significant differences in peak velocity. Second, differences in the aortic flow were paralleled by increased WSS in the axial plane at the proximal aortic root. Third, in the prosthesis with neo-SV, there is a clear distinction between fast central laminar flow (82.5 ± 89.5% of streamlines) and slow peripheral turbulent flow with vortex generation (10.3 ± 14.0%), whereas this is not the case in the straight tube graft. Taken together, our results support the notion that aortic blood flow pattern is not solely dependent on intact aortic valve opening but is also affected by aortic root geometry.

Previously, Oechtering et al. [22] demonstrated similar SV vortices in patients with an anatomically shaped sinus prosthesis and normal controls, even though a tendency towards more pronounced SV vortex formation was observed in surgical patients as assessed by visual grading of vortex size and maximal retrograde velocity.

Another preliminary investigation in a patient with the same prosthesis did not detect any vortex in the neosinuses, but the method used had major limitations in terms of spatial resolution [23].
Markl et al. [24] reported that, although vortex formation was enhanced by the re-creation of neo-SV, normal vorticity was visually detected even in the patients with straight tube graft.

Our study is the first quantitative demonstration of a greater amount and organization of vortical flows in the patients with neo-SV graft when compared with the patients with straight tube grafts. This discordance could be due to the preliminary delineation of the graft margins on the cineMR images prior to processing 4D Flow data used in our study, which could ensure that we avoided misinterpreting false rotating-streamlines near the graft due to background noise or other nearby vessel flows.

Our findings in patients with straight tube grafts are similar to those of Oechtering et al. [25] who reported the presence of small systolic vortices in the neoroot with a rotation axis orthogonal to the prosthesis wall, which was angled approximately 90° along the vessel axis (‘malrotated’ vortices).

In our series, the turbulent flow generated in the straight tube is inconstant, disorganized and asymmetric and did not seem to respect any rotational axis, as opposed to the grafts with neo-SV (Fig. 2).

We can hypothesize that this disorganized turbulent flow dissipates the response energy to the pulse wave exerted by the Dacron wall in patients with straight tube grafts. The recirculation zone offered by the neo-SV might warrant a reservoir effect that canalized the response energy in organized vortices.

The analysis of velocity streamlines according to the degree of rotation revealed a gradual transition from the vectors of laminar flow at the centre of the bloodstream to regions at a high degree of rotation (\(\phi > 270°\)) at the border of the root graft, which is significantly more pronounced in patients with reconstruction of the neo-SV (Figs 3 and 4). This could result in a better valve mechanism, as demonstrated in a simulation model using fluid–structure interaction finite-element analysis [7].

Replacement of a portion of the ascending aorta with the Dacron material in VSRR leads to reduced compliance due to the absence of the normal physiological Windkessel effect. This results in an increase in valve peak velocities and aortic flow acceleration [26]. We did not find any significant differences in aortic peak velocity values between the 2 surgical approaches. Conversely, mean velocity was significantly reduced in patients with neo-SV at the aortic annulus, and a trend (not statistically significant) towards lower mean flow velocity values is appreciable in the graft with the neo-SV group at all other levels. Although the lower mean velocity at the aortic bulb in the neo-SV graft can be presumably due to the larger mean diameter and recirculation in the low-velocity regions of the neo-SV, this difference at the aortic annulus and other levels requires further extensive investigation with a larger population. Indeed, it is not possible to exclude that this discrepancy in mean velocity values is due to the small non-significant differences in graft diameters, cardiac output and stroke volume.

A significant reduction in mean WSS magnitude and WSS axial component was found at the bulb and sino-tubular junction levels in patients with neo-SV reconstruction when compared with the patients with straight tube grafts (\(P = 0.007\) and 0.015, respectively). A better leaflet-sinus assembly in patients with neosinuses probably explains the minor tension at the annulus. In fact, in another finite-element simulation, Beck et al. [27] tested aortic grafts under pressure and found a major stress concentration along the leaflet attachment in straight tube grafts. Conversely, in the root graft where the neo-SV expanded in a uniform manner, a proper leaflet-sinus assembly was maintained, and the leaflet stress was reduced. The complexity of these dynamic phenomena might confer a lower rigidity of the aortic root-valve system in patients with neo-SV; the consequent more homogeneous energy propagation might reduce the working stress on the valve and potentially slow down the structural deterioration of the leaflet. Furthermore, a decreased WSS at the bulb implicates decreased tension on the coronary buttons that might potentially reduce the incidence of bleeding or late pseudoaneurysm formation [28].

In our experience, vortical flow persists for a long time in the graft with neosinuses, even during early diastole (Supplementary Material, Fig. 51), confirming previous studies [22]. This could suggest a key role of these rotational streams in maintaining kinetic energy, which is of crucial importance in a more
continuous and homogeneous supply of coronary blood flow during the entire cycle. Moreover, the clock-wise-rotating vortexes occurring in the neosinuses at the end of systole might aid the closure of the valve leaflets: vortex forming in the SV at the late systole and persistent in early diastole could be determinant in regulating smooth and progressive aortic valve closure, ensuring a synchronous, homogeneous and stress-free closure [7]. Further studies, through MR systems with higher spatial and temporal resolution, will enable to explore the key role of the SV and vortex in the modulation of coronary blood flow and in the regulation of valve leaflets biomechanics.

From a clinical point of view, it must be mentioned that there are several studies reporting on good long-term results in patients with straight tube grafts [29] and prostheses with neo-SV [10–12]. Although modified prostheses have been increasingly used across the world, the number of reports on the long-term results is still low. In a recent study [11], re-creation of the SV did not affect short-term clinical outcomes and aortic valve status when compared with straight tube grafts. On the other hand, the series with the longest follow-up to date (13 years) reported survival rate and freedom from residual aortic insufficiency comparable with the majority of long-term follow-up studies using straight tube grafts [10]. Because of the low incidence of events in VSRR patients, a larger sample size and longer follow-up are certainly needed to evaluate eventual differences in clinical outcomes. However, as VSRR procedures are often performed in young and active patients, it is important to establish the technical variations that assure a more physiological aortic root flow even in stress conditions.

This study has several limitations. The sample size is extremely limited, and therefore our results must be viewed as exploratory and need confirmation on a larger number of patients. Also, we did not have a group of healthy subjects as controls, and so, even though the flow in the neo-SV groups visually resembles that of the normal aorta, we cannot objectively conclude that re-creation of the SV leads to more physiological dynamics.

Finally, on a technical note, in this type of surgery, the reimplantation of the aortic leaflets, with re-establishment of an effective leaflet coaptation and absence of tension and distortion of the commissural posts, is likely more important than SV reconstruction. It is highly likely that a technically imperfect valve reimplantation would affect valve function much more than re-creation of the neo-SV.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, these preliminary data suggest that neo-SV reconstruction during VSRR is associated with a significantly lower WSS and organized vortical flows at the level of the sinus that are not

---

**Figure 4:** Frequency distribution of the in-plane streamlines according to the respective amount of rotation, i.e. the angle spanned by each streamline. Data were obtained for every patient of each group (green = neosinuses graft patients, light blue = straight tube graft patients). Continuous lines represent the median value over each group of patients. Box plots represent the distribution of data as observed when clustering the streamlines based on the rotation angle: boxes range from the 25th to the 75th percentile, and the median value is indicated. Whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum value.
evident using the straight tube graft. If these results can be confirmed in larger studies, it is possible that neo-SV reconstruction provides advantages in terms of aortic valve function and durability after VSRR.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at ICVTS online.
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