RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 19629

Received 11th April 2020 Accepted 14th May 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra04142d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

In recent decades, great effort has been devoted to the reduction of the environmental impact of spent fuel produced by nuclear power plants, both in terms of quantity and radiotoxicity.¹⁻⁵ In this regard, combination of metal ions and organic ligands using crystal engineering methods and supramolecular principles is among the most promising approaches towards selective separation of metals.⁶⁻¹⁰ These rely on removal of metal ions such as lanthanides and actinides from aqueous or nonaqueous solutions *via* the formation of metal organic complexes by addition of chelating agents (*i.e.* extractants). A large number of extractants have been proposed and tested in the past decades, with the ultimate goal of selectively extracting actinide ions from the mixed actinide/lanthanide-containing aqueous nitric acid solutions produced as high level waste.^{11,12} Such ligands must meet several criteria in order to qualify as

Structural properties of the chelating agent 2,6bis(1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine: a combined XRD and DFT structural study⁺

Greta Colombo Dugoni, ^(D)^a Alberto Baggioli, ^(D)^a Antonino Famulari, ^(D)^a Alessandro Sacchetti, ^(D)^a Javier Martí-Rujas, ^(D)^{*ab} Mario Mariani, ^(D)^c Elena Macerata, ^(D)^c Eros Mossini ^(D)^c and Andrea Mele ^(D)^a

The conformational isomerism of the chelating agent 2,6-bis(1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) pyridine (PTD), exploited in fuel reprocessing in spent nuclear waste, has been studied by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis in combination with an extensive DFT conformational investigation. In the solid-state, the elucidated crystal structure (*i.e.*, not yet published) shows that by thermal treatment (DSC) no other phases are observed upon crystallization from the melt, indicating that the conformation observed by X-ray data is rather stable. Mapping of intermolecular and intramolecular noncovalent interactions has been used to elucidate the unusual arrangement of the asymmetric unit. Considerations relating to the stability of different conformational isomers in aqueous and non-aqueous solutions are also presented. The accurate structural description reported here might open various research topics such as the potential of PTD to act as an outer sphere ligand in the formation of second sphere coordination complexes and their interconversion by mechanochemical means.

effective extractants for nuclear waste reprocessing, including selectivity towards actinides,¹³ chemical stability in strong acidic conditions, radiolytic stability,¹⁴ and fast (de)complexation kinetics.¹⁵

In this regard, the flexible ligand 2,6-bis(1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (PyTri-Diol, hereafter PTD), proposed and tested by Macerata and co-workers¹⁶ (Scheme 1), is an appealing candidate. PTD also features sought-after characteristics which make it suitable for industrial application: water solubility and complete incinerability.17-19 In addition, PTD has been intensively studied as terdentate chelating agent for different applications such as catalysis or biomedical application²⁰ both in supramolecular and coordination chemistry.²¹ From a fundamental point of view, the full three-dimensional characterization of PTD, despite its important role in complex formation, remains still unpublished. Moreover, studies regarding the solid-state structure of PTD are of fundamental importance to understand its chelating potential. Additionally, in view of its functional applications, not only in solution but also in the solid-state, PTD might be used in the formation of second sphere coordination adducts which can be further transformed in complexes via mechanochemical reactions (i.e., dehydrochlorination reactions).6,22-28 Thus, a full understanding of the structural aspects of PTD such as conformational behavior are necessary to fully exploit its coordination chemistry abilities.

Here, we report for the first time, and thoroughly discuss, the solid-state structure of **PTD** by means of single crystal X-ray

[&]quot;Department of Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering "Giulio Natta", Politecnico di Milano, Piazza L. da Vinci 32, 20133 Milan, Italy. E-mail: javier. marti@polimi.it

^bCenter for Nano Science and Technology@Polimi, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Via Pascoli 70/3, 20133 Milano, Italy

^cDepartment of Energy, Nuclear Engineering Division (CeSNEF), Politecnico di Milano, Piazza L. da Vinci 32, 20133 Milan, Italy

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: NCI details and quantitative analyses, CSD survey, model systems optimized geometries. CCDC **PTD**: 1984000. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0ra04142d



Scheme 1 Molecular structure of 2,6-bis(1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (PTD) showing the torsion angles among the triazole and pyridine rings.

diffraction and dispersion-corrected DFT calculations. A comprehensive analysis of weak electrostatic interactions OH… X, $\pi \dots \pi$, CH… π , and CH…X (X = O, N) among **PTD** molecules within the experimental crystal lattice is presented. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) shows that no other phases are obtained at higher temperatures, and that upon melt **PTD** crystallizes in the same phase, indicating the rather stable periodic solid-state conformation of **PTD**. Simplified structural models were also exploited to assess conformational isomerism of both the bis-(triazolyl)pyridine core and the (hydroxypropyl) triazole subunit, with interesting results in the context of **PTD** isomerism in aqueous solution in views of its applications as chelating agent.

Results and discussion

Single crystal X-ray diffraction of PTD

PTD was synthesized following the procedure reported by Gelinas *et al.*²⁹ A suitable specimen for single crystal X-ray diffraction (SC-XRD) experiments was obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of **PTD** in chloroform. Room-temperature SC-XRD measurements reveal that **PTD** crystallizes in an orthorhombic cell in the *Pbca* space group with lattice parameters: a = 19.985(3) Å, b = 7.6989(10) Å, c = 21.093(4) Å; V = 3245.42 Å³.

The simulated powder XRD pattern is comparable to that of the bulk powder sample, suggesting the same crystal structure was observed in both cases (Fig. 1). Differential scanning calorimetry showed that **PTD** melts at *ca.* 111 °C while further heating results in the decomposition of the ligand (see ESI†). Upon cooling to room temperature and reheating, no new solid phase was observed (*i.e.*, polymorph), as the melting point of



Fig. 1 Experimental (a) and simulated (b) powder XRD pattern of PTD.



Fig. 2 ORTEP representation of the SC-XRD structure of PTD.

the re-crystallized sample was the same as that measured during the first heating cycle.

PTD crystal structure description: a combined SC-XRD and DFT analysis

The asymmetric unit consists of one molecule of **PTD** (Fig. 2). Despite two identical side chains in 2 and 6 positions on pyridine, the **PTD** unit in the bulk crystal is highly asymmetric. The two triazole rings are found in opposite arrangements, one in *syn* and one in *anti* to pyridine's heteroatom. Hydroxypropyl chains also feature different conformations. As such, **PTD** units are not neatly aligned along crystallographic directions, and there is no segregation between aromatic and aliphatic moieties at the nanoscale.

This combination of *syn-* and *anti-*arranged triazole rings is rather unusual, at least based on a survey of the Cambridge Structural Database. In fact, out of 30 deposited crystal structures (excluding cyclic and cationic species, see ESI† for details) comprising a 2,6-bis(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine subunit whose N centres are not partaking in metal ion complexation, only one (refcode PEQGEA)³⁰ features a similar configuration. It should be noted, however, that, in a few entries, an electron-rich moiety interacting with the C–H groups of both triazole rings likely drives the common *anti/anti* arrangement (*e.g.* refcodes IPE-ZAF,³¹ LITJUU,³² XUYBAV³³).

In order to better understand both crystal packing and the intermolecular interactions involved into it, the noncovalent



Fig. 3 Map of the intermolecular interactions of one reference PTD molecule with its neighbours. Colour-coding was used to distinguish 'islands' representing strong hydrogen bonds (purple), $\pi \cdots \pi$ (orange), CH $\cdots \pi$ interactions (cyan), and weak CH \cdots O and CH \cdots N interactions (green).

Paper

interactions (NCI) descriptor by Johnson and co-workers³⁴ was used. This allows the visualization of noncovalent interactions as small objects separating interacting moieties and provides a semi-quantitative estimate of the strength of the corresponding interaction by highlighting specific features of these objects' surface. Pairs of neighboring PTD molecules were thus extracted from the bulk of the crystal structure, their charge density simulated by DFT means at fixed experimental geometry, and finally used to carry out NCI calculations. Overall, 54 interactions of widely different magnitude and spatial extent were detected, only half of which unique due to symmetry. Technical details on the NCI descriptor, as well as a full evaluation of all these interactions, are provided in the ESI.[†] Meanwhile, Fig. 3 shows a map of the interactions of a reference PTD unit with its neighbour images. Each irregular object depicted around the reference molecule represents one interaction or a group of interactions. Rather than the usual NCI colour-mapping showing interaction magnitude, crystal packing information were colour-coded instead, as to distinguish between different kinds of interactions such as strong OH…X hydrogen bonds (purple), $\pi \dots \pi$ (orange), CH… π (cyan), or weak CH···X hydrogen bonds (green), with X = N, O.

PTD molecules within the bulk crystal are organized in linear stacks of **PTD** dimers, aligned along the *b* direction as depicted in Fig. 4a and b. The tilt angle between units in a dimer favours CH… π interactions over π … π ones. This accounts for most of the cyan islands in Fig. 3, and for orange islands around the lefthand triazole ring. Along a stack, adjacent units are also connected *via* a rather strong hydrogen bond through the terminal hydroxyl groups (purple disks in Fig. 3 and 4c; $d_{OH…O} = 2.717$ Å; 175.43°). The hydroxypropyl chain extending outwards (lefthand chain in Fig. 2 and 3) acts as a hydrogen bond donor, while the folded one (right-hand chain in Fig. 2 and 3) reaches out to the following unit in the stack to accept the hydrogen.

Inter-stack interactions along the *a* crystallographic direction are rather poor due to aliphatic spacers. Instead, stacks are interlinked in the *c* direction *via* additional hydrogen bonds as it is depicted in Fig. 4c and 5. While already engaging in the







Fig. 5 Representation of interlinked stacks within *ac* (a) and *bc* (b) crystallographic planes. Bright colours are used as visual aid to distinguish between adjacent lamellae. Dashed purple lines are used to represent strong hydrogen bonds. For clarity, one **PTD** dimer is highlighted in green.

intra-stack OH···O interaction described previously, both hydroxyl groups interact with a **PTD** unit belonging to a different stack opposite to the first in *c* direction, and slipped by half a unit cell along the *a* direction. This configuration allows one OH group to engage in an additional hydrogen bond with a triazole ring acting as hydrogen acceptor (purple disks in Fig. 3 and 4c; $d_{OH···N} = 2.803$ Å; 166.09°), and another to engage in weaker CH···O interactions (green islands around hydroxyl groups in Fig. 3 and 4c).

Fig. 4c highlights the N···HO···HO chain of noncovalent interactions which ultimately drives the uncommon syn/anti configuration of triazole rings observed for PTD in the solid state. A similar network of hydrogen bonds, N···HOC= O…H(triazole), drives the same syn/anti arrangement in the PTD congener featured in CSD entry PEQGEA, with a carboxylic group forming a bridge between the two triazole units. These two 2,6-bis(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine derivatives' crystal structures, however, are not the only ones containing electrophilic groups such as acidic or alcoholic protons. Among the 30 crystal structures mentioned earlier, a few include either CHCl₃ solvent molecules, -NH₂ or -C(O)OH groups, or iodine substituents, which qualify as potential halogen-bond donors. However, what distinguishes these electrophilic groups from those in PTD and PEQGEA derivatives is the inability to simultaneously interact with both triazole rings of another molecule as both electron donor and electron acceptor, either due to geometrical/steric constraints, or due to the availability of stronger or more convenient bonding motifs discouraging such bridged arrangements.

Interlinked stacks form rather rigid lamellae extending in 2D, parallel to the *ac* plane. Fig. 5 shows packed lamellae as seen along *a* and *b* crystallographic axes. Inter-lamellae interactions consist of slipped $\pi \cdots \pi$ interactions and extended CH \cdots π networks, as **PTD** spacing within a stack allows for a certain degree of interdigitation, most evident in Fig. 5b (orange islands on pyridine and right-hand triazole and surrounding green/cyan islands in Fig. 3).



Fig. 6 Torsional potential energy surface for a PTD congener with truncated side chains. Conformers A through D are signaled by circles, squares, diamonds, and triangles, respectively. Labels and corresponding relative electronic energies ($kJ mol^{-1}$) are reported only once per symmetry-related minimum. Optimized geometries and dihedral angles are reported for quick reference.

PTD conformational study

Now we turn to the study of **PTD** in its free form, either in gas phase or solvated state. **PTD** is in fact soluble in water, such that most of its technological applications take place in aqueous solution. Due to the inherent flexibility of its side chains, however, a full conformational study of **PTD** could potentially lead to a few hundreds of stable isomers. As such, a piece-wise approach was adopted instead.

The extent of **PTD**'s conformational space was assessed at first *via* a relaxed scan of the torsional potential of triazole rings about bridging bonds connecting to pyridine, in the gas phase. For this purpose, a simplified model congener of **PTD** was used, obtained by replacing side chains on triazole units with methyl groups. This is a reasonable approximation because of the modest length of the aliphatic $-(CH_2)_3$ - side chain, which negates any hydroxyl/pyridine interaction and hampers hydroxyl/triazole ones significantly. Degrees of freedom θ_1 and θ_2 were defined as $N_{(tr)}$ - $C_{(tr)}$ - $C_{(py)}$ - $N_{(py)}$ dihedral angles of the two non-equivalent triazole units, with a 0° reference chosen to correspond to *syn* configuration (see Scheme 1). The resulting potential energy surface (PES) is reported in Fig. 6.

Four conformational isomers were obtained, hereafter labelled **A** through **D** from the most to the least stable, all featuring θ_1 and θ_2 angles in the neighborhood of either 0° or 180°. Regarding Fig. 6, conformer **A** is characterized by triazole units in *anti* arrangement to pyridine, conformer **B** by one triazole in *anti* and one in *syn* arrangements, and conformers **C** and **D** by both triazole units in *syn* position. As it is immediately evident upon comparison between Fig. 2 and 6, the geometry of **PTD** in the single

Table	1	Conformational	isomers	of	1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1,2,3-tri-
azole.	No	otes include a list	of intram	ole	cular interactions detected

Isomer ^a	$\Delta E/\mathrm{kJ}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	Notes
$\overline{g^-g^-g^+}$	0.0	$OH\cdots\pi, CH\cdots\pi,$
		CH···O
$g^{-}g^{+}g^{-}$	6.9	$OH\cdots\pi$
g ⁺ g ⁺ a	7.4	$CH\cdots\pi$, $CH\cdotsO(\times 2)$
$g^{+}g^{+}g^{+}g^{+}$	8.8	$CH\cdots\pi$, $CH\cdotsO(\times 2)$
ag ⁻ a	13.4	CH···O
g ⁺ g ⁻ g ⁻	14.7	$OH\cdots\pi$
ag ⁻ g ⁻	14.7	CH···O
g ⁻ aa	15.0	$CH\cdots\pi$
ag^+g^+	15.1	CH···O
g ⁺ ag ⁺	17.0	$CH\cdots\pi$ (×2)
ag ⁺ a	17.1	СН…О
g g g	17.2	$CH\cdots\pi$, $CH\cdotsO$
g ⁻ ag ⁻	17.4	$CH\cdots\pi$
g ⁻ ag ⁺	17.4	$CH\cdots\pi$
$g^{+}g^{-}g^{+}$	17.7	$OH\cdots\pi$
g ⁺ aa	18.4	$CH\cdots\pi$
g ⁺ ag ⁻	19.4	$CH\cdots\pi$
g ⁺ g ⁻ a	19.4	CH···O
aag [_]	19.5	—
aaa	20.6	
ag^+g^-	21.1	CH···O
aag+	21.3	—
$g^{-}g^{+}g^{+}$	24.3	$OH\cdots\pi$

^{*a*} Symbols represent sequences of dihedral angles in the side chain, in order: N-C₍₁₎-C₍₂₎-C₍₃₎, C₍₁₎-C₍₂₎-C₍₃₎-O, and C₍₂₎-C₍₃₎-O-H (see Scheme 1), whereas a stands for *anti* (about 180°) and g^{\pm} stands for *gauche* (about $\pm 60^{\circ}$).

crystal relates most closely to that of conformer **B** rather than to that of the more stable conformer **A**. This is a rather common occurrence, since higher energy conformers can oftentimes crystallize more readily than absolute minimum energy ones due to the ability to strike a better balance between intramolecular and intermolecular interactions.³⁵ Furthermore, typical arrangements relevant to metal ion complexation, *i.e.* with θ_1 and θ_2 both in *syn* position (**C** and **D**), appear to be inaccessibly high in energy, at least in the gas phase. However, solvation effects in practical applications are likely to mitigate the issue (*vide infra*).

The soundness of the approximation introduced by neglecting hydroxypropyl side chains was assessed next by a separate conformational investigation on an isolated 1-(3hydroxypropyl)-1,2,3-triazole unit. All permutations of anti (a, 180°), gauche⁺ (g⁺, 60°), and gauche⁻ (g⁻, -60°) arrangements of the side chain were explored, starting from the same orientation of the triazole ring, and relaxing the dihedral angle between the ring and the chain. The resulting 23 unique conformational isomers are listed in Table 1. Details on dihedral angles series, along with an account of intramolecular interactions within the NCI framework, are collected in the ESI.[†] It should be noted that each unique conformational isomer listed in Table 1 exists alongside another representing its mirror image. The propyl spacer is only long enough to provide OH groups with limited access to π electrons on triazole. Nonetheless, aliphatic chains bound to aromatic rings are known to allow for stabilizing CH····

Paper

 π interactions.³⁶⁻⁴⁴ These, however weak compared to an hydrogen bond, can control the conformational space of the aliphatic chain in the absence of heteroatoms. Furthermore, bent aliphatic chains bearing an electron-rich groups such as OH are also able to produce weak CH…O interactions.⁴⁰ However, donor-acceptor locking due to a strong interaction can sometimes generate mechanical strain in the short aliphatic spacer, so that the stabilization due to that interaction is negated. As such, despite the weak stabilizing interactions, most isomers are 6 *kT* or higher in energy than the absolute minimum at room temperature.

It is interesting to note that hydroxypropyl chains within the asymmetric unit of the **PTD** single crystal show $g^+g^+g^+$ and ag^+a conformations, both rather far from the absolute minimum obtained for the isolated alkyltriazole unit, at $g^-g^-g^+$. These conformations, evidently, allow them to engage in the two strong intermolecular OH···O and OH···N hydrogen bonds mentioned above, so that their higher energy is likely to be handsomely compensated in the process.

In hindsight, with regard to the choice of a piece-wise conformational study, we note that the results presented so far suggest that **PTD**'s full conformational space might potentially comprise about 46 (hydroxypropyl arrangements including mirror images) squared (because of the two independent hydroxypropyl chains) times 4 (bis(triazolyl)pyridine arrangements) isomers, which justifies the approximation used in the first place. Within this framework, then, **PTD** isomers featuring a combination of relatively optimal bis(triazolyl)pyridine (*i.e.* **A**) and hydroxypropyl (*i.e.* $g^-g^-g^+$, $g^-g^+g^-$) conformations are likely to be more stable than isomers featuring subunits in higher energy conformations. In turn, the more stable an isomer, the larger the relative population of that isomer will be, in compliance with a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution.

Exploring the chelating ability of PTD in typical solvents involved in the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel

Finally, in order to investigate the ability of **PTD** to act as a terdentate ligand for metal ion chelation in solution, a further elaboration into the relative stability of conformers **C** and **D** of truncated **PTD** was attempted. In particular, the relative free energy of all four conformers was re-evaluated in the presence of an implicit solvent, modelled as a continuum dielectric medium with an aptly-shaped cavity in which the solute is lodged. While handling of explicit solvent molecules is

Table 2Relative free energy of truncatedPTD conformers incommon solvents

	ΔG (298 K)/k]	K)/kJ mol ⁻¹			
Conf.	$C_{8}H_{18}$	C ₈ H ₁₇ OH	H_2O		
A	0.00	0.00	0.00		
В	13.4	7.2	2.8		
С	42.3	24.4	12.6		
D	41.8	22.2	10.1		

problematic⁴¹⁻⁴⁵ (*e.g.* added degrees of freedom, shallow intermolecular potential energy wells, multiplicity of spatial arrangements), implicit solvation models have been proven in numerous occasions to provide a reliable description of shortrange and long-range solute–solvent interactions.⁴⁶⁻⁵⁰ Thermal corrections to free energy were computed within the rigid-rotorharmonic-oscillator (RRHO) formalism,^{51,52} and manually adjusted for standard state (1 mol L⁻¹ was adopted),⁴¹ rotational symmetry,⁴² and low-frequency vibrational normal modes.^{53,54} Typical solvents involved in the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel include kerosene and water, the former often in combination with small amounts of fatty alcohols.¹⁶ Accordingly, *n*octane, *n*-octanol, and water, were chosen as model solvents, the former two representing kerosene and its alcohol additives, respectively.

With reference to Table 2, although **A** remains the most stable conformer, the relative free energy (ΔG) of other conformers decreases significantly from gas phase to octane, then to octanol, and finally to water. However, in aqueous solution, all four conformers are rather accessible, all lying roughly within a 5 *kT* range at room temperature. The marked difference between C₈H₁₈ and C₈H₁₇OH suggests that the latter can stabilize *syn/syn* arrangements of **PTD** (conformers **C** and **D**) beyond the capabilities of the former, hence enhancing **PTD** chelating activity in mixed alcohol/kerosene solvents compared to kerosene alone.

Conclusions

A detailed structural analysis of the chelating agent PTD has been carried out combining single crystal X-ray diffraction and dispersion-corrected DFT calculations. PTD crystallizes in linear stacks, inter-locked in loosely-interdigitated lamellae by strong hydrogen bonds. Powder X-ray diffraction and calorimetric analysis are presented as evidence of the absence of polymorphism above room temperature. An unusual asymmetric syn/anti arrangement of the two otherwise symmetric substituents on pyridine was successfully elucidated as the result of hydrogen bonds involving three separate molecules. Only one example of a similar arrangement was detected in literature, involving however multiple hydrogen bonds between just two separate molecules. Because the relative populations of different PTD isomers in solution can relate to its efficacy and efficiency in metal ion complexation, extensive exploration of the PTD conformational space has been done by a piece-wise approach.

Relative Gibbs free energies comprising implicit solvation effects and free-rotor corrections suggest **PTD** conformational space in aqueous solution to express a rather shallow PES, implying lower reorganization energies associated with the transition from free to bound ligand, thus favouring metal ion chelation. A similar stabilizing effect, although weaker, is obtained for *n*-octanol solutions, hinting to a stabilization of *syn/ syn* arrangements of **PTD** in kerosene/alcohol mixed solvent solutions compared to kerosene alone.

The thorough **PTD** structural description presented here might be useful in various research fields such polymorphism

in **PTD** or to evaluate the potential of **PTD** to act as outer sphere ligand in the formation of super-complexes (*i.e.*, second sphere coordination complexes) which is another approach to extract metals from contaminated solutions. The interconversion from second-to-first coordination spheres by mechanochemical means *via* dehydrohalogenation reactions in the solid-state is certainly an intriguing research topic that deserves to be explored.

Experimental details

Single-crystal XRD data were collected on a Bruker X8 Prospector APEX-II/CCD diffractometer equipped with a microfocusing mirror (Cu-K_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) at room temperature. The structure was determined using direct methods (SHELXTL 97) and refined (based on F2 using all independent data) by full-matrix least-squares methods (SHELX 2014). All non-hydrogen atoms were located from different Fourier maps and refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were added in riding positions.

Powder XRD experiments were carried out at room temperature using a Bruker D2-Phaser diffractometer equipped with Cu radiation ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å) using Bragg–Brentano geometry.

DSC measurements were performed in air using a PerkinElmer DSC7 differential scanning calorimeter. Samples, sliced off from corks and weighing 10.79 \pm 0.10 mg, were fitted in an aluminium capsule. Heat flow variations were determined over a temperature range from 20 to 200 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

Geometry optimizations and normal modes analyses (within the rigid-rotor-harmonic-oscillator approximation, RRHO)51,52 were performed without symmetry restraints at wB97X-D/ccpVTZ level using Gaussian16.55-57 NCI analyses were carried out using the Multiwfn 3.6 code.58 The SMD variant of the IEFPCM formalism was used to simulate implicit solvation.59 Free energies were recomputed at 298.15 K considering a 10^{-3} $m^3 mol^{-1}$ standard state (corresponding to 1 mol L⁻¹).⁴¹ Symmetry-related corrections to rotational entropy were considered.⁴² Vibrational frequencies were corrected by a factor of 0.956 based on the combination of density functional and basis set used.⁶⁰ Enthalpic and entropic vibrational contributions from low-frequency modes were corrected by weighted interpolation between rigid-rotor and free-rotor terms according to Head-Gordon and co-workers53 and Grimme,54 respectively. A threshold vibrational frequency of 100 cm⁻¹ (about 0.5 kT at room temperature) and an average molecular moment of inertia of 10⁻⁴⁴ kg m² were used throughout as suggested by the authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the CINECA award under the ISCRA initiative, for the availability of high performance computing resources

and support. A. F. thanks MIUR for the Fondo Finanziamento delle Attività Base di Ricerca (FFARB). G. C. D. thanks Politecnico di Milano for her Inter-Departments PhD scholarship. J. M.-R thanks Politecnico di Milano for funding "Fondo Chiamata Diretta Internazionalizazzione. Prg. Id. 61566".

References

- 1 Ch. Poinssot, S. Bourg, N. Ouvrier, N. Combernoux, C. Rostaing, M. Vargas-Gonzalez and J. Bruno, *Energy*, 2014, **69**, 199–211.
- 2 C. Hill, in *Ion exchange and solvent extraction. A series of advances*, ed. B. A. Moyer, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2019, pp.119–193.
- 3 G. Modolo, A. Geist and M. Miguirditchian, in *Reprocessing and recycling of spent nuclear fuel*, ed. R. Taylor, Woodhead Publishing, Oxford, UK, 2015, pp. 245–287.
- 4 J. N. Mathur, M. S. Murali and K. L. Nash, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2001, **19**, 357–390.
- 5 S. Bourg, C. Hill, C. Caravaca, C. Rhodes, C. Ekberg, R. Taylor, A. Geist, G. Modolo, L. Cassayre, R. Malmbeck, M. Harrison, G. de Angelis, A. Espartero, S. Bouvet and N. Ouvrier, *Nucl. Eng. Des.*, 2011, 241, 3427–3435.
- 6 J. Martí-Rujas and F. Guo, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 13648–13662.
- 7 Z. Liu, A. Samanta, J. Lei, J. Sun, Y. Wang and J. F. Stoddart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 11643–11653.
- 8 J. J. M. Nelson and E. J. Schelter, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, 58, 979– 990.
- 9 J. J. M. Nelson, T. Cheisson, H. J. Rugh, M. R. Gau, P. J. Carroll and E. J. Shelter, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **3**, 7.
- 10 C. Kiefer, A. T. Wagner, B. B. Beele, A. Geist, P. J. Panak and P. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 7301–7308.
- 11 J.-P. Glatz, P. Souček and R. Malmbeck, in *Reprocessing and recycling of spent nuclear fuel*, ed. R. Taylor, Woodhead Publishing, Oxford, UK, 2015, pp. 49–62.
- 12 A. Leoncini, J. Huskens and W. Verboom, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 7229–7273.
- 13 H. H. Dam, D. N. Reinhoudt and W. Verboom, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 367–377.
- 14 M. J. Hudson, L. M. Harwood, D. M. Laventine and F. W. Lewis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52, 3414–3428.
- 15 P. J. Panak and A. Geist, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 1199-1236.
- 16 E. Macerata, E. Mossini, S. Scaravaggi, M. Mariani, A. Mele,
 W. Panzeri, N. Boubals, L. Berthon, M. C. Charbonnel,
 F. Sansone, A. Arduini and A. Casnati, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, 138, 7232–7235.
- 17 E. Mossini, E. Macerata, L. Brambilla, W. Panzeri, A. Mele,
 C. Castiglioni and M. Mariani, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*,
 2019, 322, 1663–1673.
- 18 C. Wagner, E. Mossini, E. Macerata, M. Mariani, A. Arduini, A. Casnati, A. Geist and P. J. Panak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 2135–2144.
- 19 E. Mossini, E. Macerata, A. Wilden, P. Kaufholz, G. Modolo, N. Iotti, A. Casnati, A. Geist and M. Mariani, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 2018, **36**, 373–386.

- 20 Y. Li, J. C. Huffman and A. H. Flood, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 2692–2694.
- 21 J. P. Byrne, J. A. Kitchen and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5302–5325.
- 22 C. J. Adams, M. H. Colquhoun, P. C. Crawford, M. Lusi and A. G. Orpen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 1124–1128.
- 23 E. Coronado, M. Gimenez-Marques, G. M. Espallargas and L. Brammer, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 828.
- 24 F. Guo, H. D. Shao, Q. Yang, A. Famulari and J. Martí-Rujas, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 969–973.
- 25 H. Y. Guan, Z. Wang, A. Famulari, X. Wang, F. Guo and J. Martí-Rujas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 7438–7445.
- 26 F. Guo, Z. Wang, J. J. Zhang, A. Famulari, H. Li and J. Martí-Rujas, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 9466–9471.
- 27 H. Li, F. Guo, M. Kou, A. Famulari, Q. Fu and J. Martí-Rujas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 6584–6590.
- 28 F. Guo, H. C. Wang, A. Famulari, H. D. Lu and J. Martí-Rujas, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, **20**, 6721–6726.
- 29 B. S. Gelinas, J. A. Jaye, G. R. Mattos and E. H. Fort, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2015, **56**, 4232–4233.
- 30 E. P. McCarney, C. S. Hawes, J. A. Kitchen, K. Byrne, W. Schmitt and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 3920–3930.
- 31 D. Zornik, R. M. Meudtner, T. El Malah, C. M. Thiele and S. Hecht, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 1473–1484.
- 32 R. M. Meudtner, M. Ostermeier, R. Goddard, C. Limberg and S. Hecht, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 9834–9840.
- 33 M. Ostermeier, M.-A. Berlin, R. M. Meudtner, S. Demeshko, F. Meyer, C. Limberg and S. Hecht, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 10202–10213.
- 34 E. R. Johnson, S. Keinan, P. Mori-Sánchez, J. Contreras-García, A. J. Cohen and W. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 6498–6506.
- 35 H. P. G. Thompson and G. M. Day, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, 5, 3173–3182.
- 36 Y. Kodama, K. Nishihata, M. Nishio and N. Nakagawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1977, 24, 2105–2108.
- 37 A. Baggioli, S. V. Meille, G. Raos, R. Po, M. Brinkmann and A. Famulari, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2013, **113**, 2154–2162.
- 38 A. Baggioli and A. Famulari, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, 16, 3983–3994.
- 39 A. Baggioli, C. A. Cavallotti and A. Famulari, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, 18, 29616–29628.

- 40 J. R. Lane, J. Contreras-García, J.-P. Piquemal, B. J. Miller and H. G. Kjaergaard, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9, 3263– 3266.
- 41 V. S. Bryantsev, M. S. Diallo and W. A. Goddard III, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 9709–9719.
- 42 J. H. Jensen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, 17, 12441-12451.
- 43 J. R. Pliego, Jr. and J. M. Riveros, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2001, **105**, 7241–7247.
- 44 A. Baggioli, O. Crescenzi, M. J. Field, F. Castiglione and G. Raos, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 1130–1140.
- 45 B. Thapa and K. Raghavachari, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2019, 15, 6025–6035.
- 46 F. Egidi, V. Barone, J. Bloino and C. Cappelli, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2012, **8**, 585–597.
- 47 R. Cammi, C. Cappelli, S. Corni and J. Tomasi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, **104**, 9874–9879.
- 48 F. Castiglione, A. Baggioli, A. Citterio, A. Mele and G. Raos, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2012, **116**, 1814–1819.
- 49 A. Baggioli, M. Sansotera and W. Navarrini, *Chemosphere*, 2018, **193**, 1063–1070.
- 50 F. Santoro, R. Improta, A. Lami, J. Bloino and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **126**, 084509.
- 51 J. W. Ochterski, *Thermochemistry in Gaussian*, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2000, pp 1–19.
- 52 D. A. McQuarrie and J. D. Simon, *Molecular Thermodynamics*, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA, 1999.
- 53 Y.-P. Li, J. Gomes, S. Mallikarjun Sharada, A. T. Bell and M. Head-Gordon, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 1840–1850.
- 54 S. Grimme, Chem.-Eur. J., 2012, 18, 9955-9964.
- 55 M. J. Frisch, et al., Gaussian 16, Revision B. 01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 56 J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615–6620.
- 57 T. H. Dunning, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 1989, 90, 1007-1023.
- 58 T. Lu and F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 580-592.
- 59 A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 6378–6396.
- 60 NIST Computational Chemistry Comparison and Benchmark Database, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 101, Release 20, August 2019, ed. R. D. Johnson III, http:// cccbdb.nist.gov/.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence. Open Access Article. Published on 22 May 2020. Downloaded on 6/4/2024 9:45:52 AM.