Blind Eye: Ignoring Modern Slavery in the Race to Construction Project Completion. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 150(10), 04024136. https://doi.org/10.1061/JCEMD4.COENG-14653 https://ascelibrary.org/doi/full/10.1061/JCEMD4.COENG-14653?casa\_token=NCY9t7snoCQAAAAA%3AtfkZmZHqIEzhXo4SN40elmReoeGR8H2bx AsSSuPKHOz3v61FFwsGcKWKvKic5lf043venqNz Copyright © 2024 American Society of Civil Engineers. 

PLEASE CITE THIS PAPER AS: Alzoubi, Y., Locatelli, G., & Sainati, T. (2024). Turning a

#### **Authors in order:**

- 18 1. Mr Yazan Alzoubi\* (corresponding author)
- 19 University of Leeds, School of Civil Engineering, United Kingdom. Email: <a href="mailto:cn18ymys@leeds.ac.uk">cn18ymys@leeds.ac.uk</a>
- 20 2. Prof Dr Giorgio Locatelli
- 21 Politecnico di Milano, School of Management, Italy. Email: Giorgio.Locatelli@Polimi.it
- 22 3. Dr Tristano Sainati
- 23 BI Norwegian Business School, Department of Leadership and Organizational Behavior, Norway.
- 24 Email: tristano.sainati@bi.no

# Turning a Blind Eye: Ignoring Modern Slavery in the Race to Construction Project Completion

#### Abstract

Modern slavery is an illegal and unethical phenomenon affecting more than 40 million victims worldwide, with many of them employed in construction. This article aims to investigate why modern slavery continues to exist on construction sites managed by companies that have pledged to fight it. Primary data were collected using semi-structured interviews with 22 experts working in construction companies in three OECD countries (UK, Australia, and Switzerland) and analyzed using inductive thematic analysis. The construction companies were the unit of analysis, and their managers were the primary source of information. The results present the mechanisms that explain the difference between the official anti-modern slavery statements of construction companies and the empirical observations on construction sites. The primary mechanisms are a lack of awareness, training, response, and responsibility, as well as alternative priorities. The results further show the role and importance of reporting cases of modern slavery in addressing it. The risk areas of modern slavery in construction projects, which include the lower tiers of subcontracting and the supply chains of construction materials, are identified. Furthermore, the article discusses the reasons behind the trivialization of modern slavery in construction companies: the presence of alternative priorities, the fear of reputational damage, blame-shifting, and minimizing the problem. Finally, we propose recommendations for construction companies

- and managers to help address modern slavery and offer areas for future research. This article presents two
- 45 key novel contributions. First, we discovered a disconnection between construction companies' official
- anti-modern slavery statements and the reality on construction sites. Second, we identified the reasons
- 47 behind this disconnection.
- 48 Keywords: Dark side, Ethics, Labor exploitation, Social sustainability, Health and safety,
- 49 Organizational issues, Labor and personnel issues

50

51

# **Practical Applications**

- 52 Due to its low profit margins and project time constraints, the construction sector is plagued by unethical
- 53 and illegal practices, including modern slavery. Modern slavery can result in reputational damage,
- 54 financial repercussions from legal penalties, and loss of trust and opportunities among stakeholders.
- Therefore, construction companies and employees must be better informed about the ethical, legal, and
- 56 economic implications of modern slavery, and construction companies must implement stricter
- 57 regulations to address modern slavery. They should provide continuous and mandatory training to all
- 58 employees, conduct regular site audits for laborers, raise awareness through posters and social media,
- and educate employees about reporting. Modern slavery should be addressed not only for ethical reasons
- but also for the quality and sustainability of construction projects. Finally, addressing modern slavery
- protects the reputation of construction companies and professionals and, most importantly, safeguards
- laborers' dignity, health, safety, and well-being.

#### Introduction

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

Modern slavery is an illegal and unethical phenomenon (Crane, 2013), negatively affecting the victims' physical, mental, and psychological health (Sabella, 2011; Such et al., 2020; Wright et al., 2020) and the reputation of the involved companies (Gold et al., 2015; Kidd & Manthorpe, 2017; New, 2015; Yagci Sokat & Altay, 2023). Modern slavery is receiving increasing attention from scholars in different sectors, including agriculture (Davies, 2019; Scaturro, 2021), manufacturing (Stevenson & Cole, 2018), and fishing (Stringer et al., 2022). Construction management journals have a long history of publications on important topics relevant to modern slavery, such as ethics (Bowen et al., 2007; Halder & Batra, 2024; Mukumbwa & Muya, 2013), social sustainability (Kordi et al., 2021; Valdes-Vasquez & Klotz, 2013), well-being (Kotera et al., 2020; Sunindijo & Kamardeen, 2017), and human resource management (Gurmu & Ongkowijoyo, 2020; Rajhans & Bhavsar, 2023). However, modern slavery has been scarcely studied in construction and project management journals, except for studies by Alzoubi et al. (2023a, 2023b) and Locatelli et al. (2022).Modern slavery is an increasingly relevant and prevalent issue in construction (Alzoubi et al., 2023a). Construction companies rely on complex supply chains that could involve unethical or illegal practices, including modern slavery (Trautrims et al., 2021). Therefore, it is essential to emphasize the importance of ethical procurement, sustainable sourcing, and due diligence in construction projects, in addition to the urgent need for training, raising awareness, and preventive measures to address modern slavery. In the last two decades, anti-modern slavery legislation has been issued (Wilhelm et al., 2020; Wray-Bliss & Michelson, 2022); including the California Transparency in Supply Chains Act in 2012 (LeBaron et al., 2017), the UK Modern Slavery Act in 2015 (Home Office, 2014), the Corporate Duty of Vigilance Law in France in 2017 (Wilhelm et al., 2020), and the Australian Modern Slavery Act in 2018 (Australian Government, 2018). Such legislation pushes organizations to develop anti-modern slavery statements, which explain their strategies to ensure that modern slavery does not occur in their organizations (Wilhelm et al., 2020). Many large construction companies have developed their official anti-modern slavery statements (Jones & Comfort, 2022). Nevertheless, modern slaves can still be found on the construction sites of these companies, usually employed by subcontractors (Alzoubi et al., 2023a).

Therefore, there is a disconnection between the official anti-modern slavery statements of construction companies and the on-site reality. Accordingly, the aim of this article is to investigate why modern slavery still exists on construction sites managed by companies that pledged to fight it. The research question (RQ) is as follows:

RQ) What mechanisms explain the difference between the official anti-modern slavery statements of construction companies and the empirical observations on construction sites? The remainder of this article provides a brief overview of the current literature on modern slavery in general and its presence in construction projects. Next, the methodology is presented, encompassing the rationale for the research design and the process of data collection and analysis selected for this study. Subsequently, the results of this study are presented and discussed. Finally, we present a research agenda proposing areas for future research and conclude the article by highlighting the main takeaways.

#### **Literature Review**

#### Modern Slavery - Background

Modern slavery is "the status or condition of a person over whom any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership are exercised" (Slavery Convention, 1926, p. 16). It is an umbrella term (Carrington et al., 2021; Nolan & Bott, 2018; Rioux et al., 2020) that represents the severest or most heinous forms of labor exploitation (Caruana et al., 2021; Wray-Bliss & Michelson, 2022). Modern slavery refers to conditions in which individuals are subjected to the exercise of "power" and "ownership" by another person (Slavery Convention, 1926), who is sometimes known as the "perpetrator." The term "modern slavery" should be understood as an overarching term that refers to a combination of various forms of exploitation, each with unique characteristics but all sharing the common element of severe violation of human rights and dignity for personal or commercial gain (Bales et al., 2011).

Modern slavery affects individuals who a) are employed and forced to work under some threat, b) are subject to forms of control and intimidation by their employers (perpetrators), c) are categorically used as a commodity, and d) have some restriction placed on their movement (Crane, 2013; Flynn, 2019;

- Wray-Bliss & Michelson, 2022). Modern slavery includes several forms with the main ones being human trafficking, forced labor, sex trafficking, and debt bondage (Alzoubi et al., 2023a).
- 1) **Human trafficking:** It involves the "recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons, by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion [...] for the purpose of exploitation" (United Nations, 2000, p. 2). The victims of human trafficking are often forced into exploitative practices such as forced labor and sexual exploitation (Emberson, 2019).
- 2) **Forced labor:** In forced labor, victims are coerced to work against their will, often under the threat of punishment (ILO, 1930). Forced labor can occur in several industries, in which victims are subjected to poor working and living conditions, restricted freedom, and no control over their employment rights (Bales et al., 2011).

- 3) **Debt bondage:** It occurs when a person is forced to work to repay a debt or loan to their perpetrators and is unable to leave until the debt is paid (Sabella, 2011). The loan repayment terms are often exploitative, unclear, unfair, or changing, with the value of work done by the victim significantly outweighing the original sum of the debt, often trapping victims to keep working for their slaveholders (Wallis, 2017).
- 4) **Sex trafficking:** It involves individuals (often young females) being coerced, deceived, forced, and threatened physically and emotionally into commercial sex acts against their will (Bakirci, 2007).

Modern slaves receive low wages (compared to the market benchmark) that, together with other contextual factors, make their standard of living unsustainable (Han et al., 2022; Islam & Van Staden, 2022). It is deeply troubling that at present, many people continue to be subjected to physical and mental abuse by their perpetrators; according to several studies, this is a widespread issue (Bales et al., 2011; Wallis, 2017). The latest estimates suggest that more than 40 million people live in modern slavery worldwide (Alzoubi et al., 2023a; Banerjee, 2020; Landman & Silverman, 2019). Moreover, it is even more concerning that due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting global economic crisis, the number of cases of modern slavery is predicted to increase (Evans et al., 2022). This grave injustice requires the attention and action of scholars and organizations.

Millions of individuals worldwide live in extreme poverty, a phenomenon that according to the United Nations represents the primary cause of modern slavery (Han et al., 2022; Rassam, 2005). The reasons that enhance the likelihood of individuals falling into modern slavery include corruption, war, and an oppressive and discriminatory social culture (Sabella, 2011; Such et al., 2020). Moreover, uneducated individuals are often unaware of the risks associated with modern slavery (Han et al., 2022). Modern slavery is a worldwide issue: it is not confined only to the Global South countries, which are often characterized by weak job security and welfare, but also affects the Global North countries (Han et al., 2022; Stevenson & Cole, 2018), such as the UK (Bales et al., 2015; Craig, 2017; Jones & Comfort, 2022) and Australia (Christ & Burritt, 2021; McGaughey, 2021).

Although no definite indicators show that an individual is a victim of modern slavery, general signs can

# [Table 1 here]

# **Modern Slavery in Construction Projects**

be identified, as listed in Table 1.

The complexity of construction projects, combined with their labor-intensive nature (Schoultz et al., 2023), creates a fertile ground for exploitative and unfair practices; thus, the construction sector is one of the sectors most exposed to modern slavery (Alzoubi et al., 2023a; Cockbain & Brayley-Morris, 2018; Dodd et al., 2022; Gutierrez-Huerter O et al., 2021; Jones & Comfort, 2022; Liu et al., 2022; Trautrims et al., 2021), with an estimated 18% of modern slavery victims worldwide (Australian Human Rights Commission, 2020). During different development stages of construction projects, temporary subcontractors are hired and terminated as they often switch jobs (Trautrims et al., 2021). Additionally, numerous workers may be required throughout the project's life cycle, most of whom are recruited from different subcontractors and employment agencies. Consequently, construction site workers may change daily, making it challenging to identify cases of modern slavery (Crates, 2022).

Modern slavery in construction projects encompasses a range of exploitative practices that include forced labor, debt bondage, and human trafficking (Alzoubi et al., 2023a, 2023b). These practices manifest in various forms, such as laborers being coerced or forced to work under physical and mental

- violence (Brookes et al., 2020), receiving minimum or no salary (Gutierrez-Huerter O et al., 2021), and having their freedom of movement restricted, for instance, through the confiscation of personal documents, such as passports (Jones & Comfort, 2022). Additionally, situations in which laborers are indebted to recruiters and are working to pay off unrealistic, unethical, and illegal high recruitment fees, are very common (Alzoubi et al., 2023b).

  Due to the complex nature of the construction sector with a complex network of subcontractors and suppliers, the transparency and visibility of occurrences in the lower contracting tiers of construction supply chains are limited (Gutierrez-Huerter O et al., 2021; Russell et al., 2018; Trautrims et al., 2021) and hence create risk and fertile ground for modern slavery practices, often hidden in plain sight (Gold et al., 2015; Stevenson & Cole, 2018). Moreover, construction projects are characterized by tight deadlines (Fordjour et al., 2021), which often leads to a prioritization of cost and time savings over
- 184 Construction projects include several sector-specific drivers of modern slavery:

• Time constraints in construction projects: Because of limited materials or labor shortages, some construction companies tend to disregard recruitment laws and requirements for obtaining labor on-site, leading them to unknowingly facilitate modern slavery (Crates, 2022) to avoid project delays (Liu et al., 2022).

ethics and equality in the workplace (Cicmil & Hodgson, 2006; Fournier & Grey, 2000).

- Low profit margins: The low profit margin characteristic of the construction sector (Greco, 2021) serves as a fundamental driver for companies to adopt cost-saving measures and, in some cases, to cut corners to maximize their profitability (Khan et al., 2020). The economic pressures encourage the use of modern slavery to reduce labor costs by using low-skilled and migrant laborers (Gutierrez-Huerter O et al., 2021), forcing laborers to work overtime without compensation, and providing poor living and working conditions to laborers (Alzoubi et al., 2023a). These unethical and illegal practices occur to meet the demand for low-cost construction, as labor costs represent a significant portion of total project expenditures (Santoso, 2009).
- **Poor government-enforced regulations:** Construction workers who attempt to enter the sector without fulfilling the legal entry requirements (Crates, 2022), for example, working full-time while on a student visa or overstaying (Liu et al., 2022) are particularly susceptible to modern slavery.

Moreover, weak enforcement of laws, lack of political will to address modern slavery in the construction sector (Alzoubi et al., 2023b), and a corrupted environment foster modern slavery.

High reliance on migrants and low-skilled laborers: The construction sector relies heavily on migrant and low-skilled labor (Gutierrez-Huerter O et al., 2021). The rights and social protections of these laborers in the host country are often limited, and they often enter into risky employment situations (Alzoubi et al., 2023b). The probability of perpetrators using modern slavery is also increased by the language difficulties of migrant workers (Walsh et al., 2022) or workers being ignorant about their local labor rights (GLAA, 2020), including their pay, terms and conditions of their contracts, breaks, and overtime hours. Consequently, the workers lack options for contesting unjust requests, such as being required to work long hours for lower compensation due to the fear of losing their jobs or facing legal repercussions (Crates, 2022).

As suggested by Jones & Comfort (2022), Liu et al. (2022), and Trautrims et al. (2021), construction companies should improve their monitoring and reporting of modern slavery. Additionally, because many workers are averse to reporting cases of modern slavery for fear of retaliation, techniques such as anonymous phone calls and online reporting are becoming more common (Crane, 2013; Han et al., 2022).

# Methodology

This article focuses on construction projects because of a) the relevance and prevalence of modern slavery in construction projects (Alzoubi et al., 2023a; Cockbain & Brayley-Morris, 2018; Dodd et al., 2022; Gutierrez-Huerter O et al., 2021; Jones & Comfort, 2022; Trautrims et al., 2021); b) the relevance of construction projects for the economy; c) the accessibility to secondary data on modern slavery in construction projects, including research articles, government publications, and professional associations reports in different countries, such as the UK (CIOB, 2016, 2018; Cockbain & Brayley-Morris, 2018; Jones & Comfort, 2022; United Kingdom Government, 2023) and Australia (Australian Human Rights Commission, 2020; Dodd et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022), which helped us become familiar with the approaches that construction companies take to address modern slavery.

In this article, we use construction companies as a unit of analysis and the interviewees working for them as the primary source of information. As a combined entity, construction companies exhibit behaviors, make decisions, and hold conflicts. They frequently issue specific statements highlighting the importance of ethics, integrity, and community responsibility. However, when these statements are disconnected from reality, in which the companies engage in or ignore unethical and illegal practices including modern slavery, it is essential to investigate the mechanisms that explain this disconnection.

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

227

228

229

230

231

232

#### **Data Collection**

Primary data were collected through semi-structured interviews (Qu & Dumay, 2011) for the following reasons. First, they are an extremely useful method by which the researcher and interviewees can make logical sense and explore complex, sensitive, and evolving topics (Keene et al., 2016; Loosemore et al., 2021). Second, they enable the interviewees to voice their opinions and express their experiences and ideas openly and comprehensively (Kivilä et al., 2017). They also allow the researcher to probe further with specific questions based on the interviewee's responses. Finally, semi-structured interviews allow the researchers to address potential "social desirability bias" (Loosemore & Lim, 2017) when discussing sensitive research topics, such as modern slavery. The researchers can further question interviewees if they suspect that the interviewees provided answers they believed to be socially acceptable instead of sharing their true opinions (Loosemore et al., 2021). The interview questions were developed based on a) a document analysis of modern slavery statements from large construction companies, which allowed us to become familiar with the approaches construction companies undertake to address modern slavery, and b) a review of existing literature on modern slavery in construction projects. The interview agenda encompassed various topics regarding modern slavery in construction projects, as presented in the Appendix. To review the suitability of the interview questions, pilot tests were conducted (Zuofa & Ochieng, 2016) by interviewing two experts on modern slavery. Their feedback was used to refine the interview questions to enhance their understandability and flow. We employed several strategies to ensure the reliability and validity of the interview questions (Yagci Sokat, 2022). Reliability was ensured by using a consistent interview process: each interview was conducted following the same structured guide. To

ensure validity, we adopted a triangulation approach across different sources on modern slavery in construction projects, such as organizational documents and published reports (Brookes et al., 2020; CIOB, 2016, 2018; Crates, 2022). Furthermore, participants' responses were continuously compared to identify patterns and inconsistencies. These steps were crucial in ensuring that our data collection methods were both reliable and valid, providing a solid foundation for the data analysis. Due to the topic's sensitivity and it being one of the "uncomfortable topics" (Locatelli et al., 2022), which many individuals dislike discussing, the questions were designed to encourage the interviewees to participate in the interview. Therefore, the questions, shown in the Appendix, do not reflect, suggest, or reference any involvement of the interviewees or their companies in modern slavery.

After obtaining full ethical research approval, invitations to participate in the research, along with the participant consent form and interview questions, were sent to 329 experts working in construction

- companies via LinkedIn messages or email. The initial selection of individuals aimed to identify experts who are likely to possess relevant insights into modern slavery within the construction sector. The selection criteria of the potential participants were as follows:
- Current position and industry: We targeted experts currently working in various roles in the construction sector, as they are likely to have direct or indirect experience or knowledge about modern slavery in construction projects.
  - Work experience: participants with extensive working experience in the construction sector and
    those holding positions of influence, such as project managers, construction managers, sustainability
    and ethics managers, and human resource managers, were preferred, as they are likely to have a
    deeper understanding and experience of the construction sector labor practices.
  - Geographical and organizational size: We ensured that the sample represented a diverse range of locations and organization sizes to capture a broad range of perspectives on modern slavery in construction projects.
  - Among the recipients, 291 did not respond, and 16 declined to participate. Among those who declined the invitation, the main reasons were that a) they felt uncomfortable speaking about modern slavery (two potential participants "blocked" communication with the researcher who contacted them after receiving

the invitation), b) their company did not allow them to participate in the interview, or c) they were not experts on the topic. These statistics show the methodological challenges experienced when dealing with a sensitive topic like modern slavery. Finally, 22 online interviews were conducted between October 2022 and May 2023; thus, the response rate was 6.7%. The extremely low response rate indicates a potential self-selection bias (Elston, 2021), where the managers who volunteered may represent a sample with distinct experiences or perspectives within the construction industry that may not be reflective of the broader population. The substantial number of nonrespondents and decliners indicate unexplored views and knowledge within the contacted target population. This bias might have resulted in the exclusion of insights from potential participants with different or nuanced perspectives on modern slavery in construction projects, particularly from individuals reluctant to discuss such a sensitive topic (Locatelli et al., 2022) or whose companies discourage participation in external research. The duration of the interviews varied, ranging from 16 min to 66 min, with an average length of 30 min. To ensure theoretical saturation (Saunders et al., 2018), interviews continued until no new or relevant insights emerged concerning the research question of this study.

1. **Preliminary screening through LinkedIn profiles:** This allowed us to look for indications of expertise or involvement in areas relevant to labor practices and modern slavery, such as sustainability, corporate social responsibility, ethics, or specific mention of labor rights initiatives.

To determine the participant's understanding of modern slavery, we employed a two-step approach

- 2. **Interview questions:** The first interview question tested the participants' understanding of modern slavery. This was an additional way to ensure that the participants had sufficient knowledge to contribute meaningfully to our study.
- The interviewee sampling was broad to capture diverse perspectives (Manu et al., 2015; Yagci Sokat, 2022). The interviewees encompassed various roles and backgrounds and were based primarily in three OECD countries, the UK, Australia, and Switzerland, explicitly working on construction projects. Table 2 summarizes the sampling structure of the interviewees.

before and during the interview:

# 309 [Table 2 here]

#### **Data Analysis**

After the researcher obtained permission from the 22 interviewees to record the interviews, the interviews were recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using inductive thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) with the NVivo software. Thematic analysis is a flexible data analysis method allowing for comprehensive data exploration. It enables the comparison of different views from different interviewees, leading to unexpected perceptions (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Moreover, it aids in summarizing essential aspects of large datasets by encouraging the researcher to organize and present the data in a structured manner (King, 2004; Nowell et al., 2017).

We followed the thematic data analysis approach outlined by Braun & Clarke (2006), Guest et al. (2012), and Loosemore et al. (2021). First, we read and reviewed the interview transcripts to familiarize ourselves with the collected data. Second, open coding was employed to generate a preliminary list of codes from the collected data. The third stage required identifying repeated patterns from the preliminary code lists relevant to the RQ. Next, we examined how the codes merged to form the main themes connected to the RQ. Subsequently, the evolving themes were revised by seeking additional information to confirm or disprove the initial themes. This process facilitated identifying connections between themes to uncover new patterns. Finally, we identified the final themes, which are presented in the

# **Results**

results section.

This section presents the results that emerged from the thematic analysis. Specifically, the following main themes are presented: lack of awareness of modern slavery and alternative priorities, lack of training on modern slavery, lack of response and responsibility to address modern slavery, addressing modern slavery in construction companies, and risk areas of modern slavery in construction projects. We have carefully selected the included direct quotes, considering the length of the article.

#### Lack of Awareness of Modern Slavery and Alternative Priorities

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

Lack of awareness among managers significantly increases the risk of modern slavery in construction companies. Managers 1 and 20 said, "we need to realize that slavery is not a thing from the history books. It is arguably never been more prevalent, and for me, working in the construction industry, after domestic services, the construction is the worst area of the UK economy for modern slavery," and "People may have the mindset that [modern slavery] does not happen over here when it does, and it happens more often than you think." Some managers do not expect to have victims of modern slavery in their company because they pay their employees real living wages. However, Manager 5 argued that "because you commit to paying the real living wage does not mean to say that it is [...] going to the workers themselves [...], exploiters are really clever, and they take away the bank cards."

Reflecting on a real-case scenario of the lack of awareness, Manager 5 said,

I was delivering some training for site managers, and they all were able to articulate what modern slavery was. But when I said to them, have you ever seen anything dodgy happening on your sites there and they were all like, oh yes, definitely. And I said, ok, what did you do as a result of that? And they said we did not do anything because we were not sure if they were a slave, and if they were not, we did not want to cause a fuss. So, for me, they were site managers for a big main contractor, and it just made me think, the site manager is the eyes and ears on the ground! Further examples confirming the lack of awareness are the views of Managers 2 and 19: "As a project manager, if you work for me and for whatever reason I do not like you, I will try to make the most out of you [...]. Is it slavery? I do not know," and "I do not think in the UK itself, there is a huge modern slavery problem." (Manager 19). Several managers mentioned the presence of alternative priorities of companies and managers, and modern slavery is not one of them: "I think that [modern slavery] is not visible, so it feels a bit like climate change [...]. So, especially on construction sites, project managers are under pressure with a lot of competing priorities. So, that is their main focus, and everything else gets pushed down the priority list" (Manager 13). Moreover, Manager 16 added, "people are selfish, and human beings are probably the most selfish creatures on the planet. [...] People have their own desires and priorities [...] Not everyone, but the people you are talking to do not probably have a lot of time to think about [modern

slavery]." Most priorities were related to completing the project on time to avoid project delay: "when you are working in construction, what is important is the end product [...]. The time, the deadline is very important for the employers because their credibility depends on the timeline [...], so they are not interested in modern-day slavery." (Manager 10).

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

364

365

366

367

#### **Lack of Training on Modern Slavery**

Training managers to understand, detect, and respond to cases of modern slavery in construction projects is one of the main ways to address this criminal activity (Alzoubi et al., 2023a; Jones & Comfort, 2022; Liu et al., 2022). As confirmed by Manager 17, "I think the training is effective in a sense that it makes individuals aware [of modern slavery] so people can appreciate the situation and understand and have a more sympathetic and compassionate view." Based on the personal experience of managers in their company, they believe modern slavery is a crime: "I think that modern slavery signifies an unhealthy, unethical, and likely unlawful relationship that exploits the vulnerable" (Manager 9). Moreover, Manager 10 added, "Modern slavery to me is the exploitation of another human being, and aside from it being ethically wrong, whatever the context, I believe it is a crime against humanity. It is among the severest forms of violence that one person can inflict on another." Furthermore, Manager 21 articulated that "Modern slavery in construction projects is a bad, complex issue with multiple layers. It is an illegal practice that only serves to hide the true cost of construction projects, casting a foggy veil over the true cost of labor, materials, and other factors while degrading the rights of the person at the core of the issue." However, many managers lack training, as their companies have never given them any: "I have not particularly had any modern slavery training at my employer." (Manager 12). Manager 11 added, "at the moment, we have not actually received any training." Furthermore, in some cases, the training is done very infrequently, as emphasized by Manager 2: "This is the first time I am looking at [the online training] [...] I think I have done it like ages ago." In our analysis of the modern slavery statements available on the official websites of 22 construction organizations, we observed a similar approach to fighting modern slavery. Although each statement is distinct in its wording, they converge to similar thematic elements. These organizations provide a basic

definition of modern slavery, emphasize the importance of training employees to fight modern slavery, and mention some mechanisms by which modern slavery can manifest in the construction sector. Notably, the statements often include similar guidance on recognizing signs of modern slavery and procedures for reporting suspected cases of this criminal activity within the construction sector. Table 3 further compares what construction companies claim to be doing to address modern slavery and an explanation of the real situation by their managers.

398

392

393

394

395

396

397

# 399 [Table 3 here]

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

As affirmed by Managers 2 and 18, the modern slavery training provided by their companies is a "tickbox activity": "I have to tick the boxes, and one of the boxes will be to tick the slavery thing," and "I would say most training is simply a case of completing it." This is because some managers continue to believe that modern slavery is not prevalent and does not affect them. The training is not mandatory nor consistent in some companies: "there is no mandatory or consistent training done internally" (Manager 1), which also results in managers not knowing the importance or value of such training: "You have got someone who sits in an office doing the accounts [...], but you would struggle to see the value in giving [the training] to certain people." (Manager 15). Moreover, Manager 5 added, "Typically, a lot of people turn up thinking, why is [the training] relevant to me?" Therefore, to demonstrate the relevance and value of training managers, construction companies should "make the information more contextualized and specific to the kind of work that employees do [so the training] becomes more interesting." (Manager 21). On the contrary, very few companies conducted proper training for their managers, and few managers discussed the content of the training, including the length, points discussed, assessment, and frequency of the training. For instance, Manager 6 said that the training conducted by their company is mandatory for everyone and repeated regularly: "every single staff member has to complete that training every year, and I personally monitor the completion rates, follow up directly with our staff to make sure it is completed on an annual basis."

Several companies only have mandatory training for newcomers: "we have a mandatory, modern slavery training, so every employee, when they start with the company, they need to do an online training" (Manager 22). The managers who completed the training said that their companies provided "an online training module" on modern slavery: "It is an e-learning session" (Manager 8), ranging from 30 to 45 min, and focusing on the following areas: understanding modern slavery and its causes, identifying warning signs—high working hours, not having the proper ID papers, not having a contract—how to address it, and how it is relevant to companies and their managers. In some instances, to facilitate effective training, real-case studies were used to "make sure there is a practical learning experience." (Manager 14). After completing the training, some companies assess their managers to test their knowledge and "demonstrate the individual understanding of the policy." (Manager 17). In most cases, managers were tested using "multiple choice questions, and [employees] needed to answer all those to go through the module." (Manager 14). Managers were required to score a minimum grade on the test to pass the training module, as described by Manager 8: "it is a pass mark of 80%, and if they score any less than 80%, they have to go back [and] take the test again. If they fail again, they have to reset all of the programs."

# Lack of Response and Responsibility to Address Modern Slavery

Construction companies showed a lack of response to address modern slavery in terms of the amount of time spent and the availability of resources to check that modern slavery does not exist, which increases the risk of modern slavery: "We have not had the tools to [address modern slavery]. We have not had the resources, or the skill sets internally within the business" (Manager 14). Manager 18 added, "I do not think [companies spend time and resources] at all. There is the very beginning of that [...], but I think very few construction firms are really doing a good job here." There were different reasons behind the lack of response to address modern slavery. For example, Manager 21 said: "[people] do not have the expertise to dedicate to [check that modern slavery does not exist]."

Regular construction site audits by construction companies are essential for finding loopholes and

issues, helping identify improvements, and detecting illegal or unethical practices including modern

slavery. Managers emphasized that their companies do not undertake audits to check timely and periodically that modern slavery does not exist. For example, Managers 19 and 21 said, "We do not do audits on modern slavery" and "We currently do not have any audits at all." According to Manager 3, audits do not occur because they can be expensive for the company: "it has a cost, that has a cost. Not every project does it." A second reason for avoiding audits is that managers may believe that they are competent in avoiding modern slavery: "you think things are going well and it is a big company, or it is a well-reputed company, so everything is fine, but that is not the case." (Manager 10). Manager 18 highlighted the importance of undertaking audits in companies to help address modern slavery: "audits create a culture of complacency [...] so I think audits need to be done regularly [...] so conversations with the workers, and understanding whether they are being paid properly? Are they being paid regularly? Are they receiving their full entitlements? Are they receiving days off?" Furthermore, Manager 5 added, "if you are not doing audits, it is about those conversations. It is about somebody going and doing those kinds of spot checks with workers." All managers stated that their companies have no employees solely responsible for dealing with modern slavery. However, some managers emphasized that not having one is not a negative factor. For instance, Manager 5 stated, "Modern slavery is something that everybody within an organization needs to know about [...] It is like sustainability, isn't it? So ideally, you want sustainability to be embedded within your business." By contrast, Manager 22 highlighted the importance and usefulness of companies hiring an employee whose sole job responsibility is modern slavery: It is still a growing area of understanding, and I feel like I could spend my whole job on [modern slavery], and I would make a lot more progress [...] in terms of the learning, best practices, putting things in place, risk mapping assessments. I still think that there should be a center of excellence

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

or real subject matter experts who advise on [modern slavery].

# **Addressing Modern Slavery in Construction Companies**

#### Reporting

If a case of modern slavery is suspected within their company, some managers said they would report the perpetrators. For instance, Manager 8 explained, "We would prevent [the offenders] from working for our organization again, but also we would report them to relevant authorities and make sure that they would not ever do any work for us in the industry." Manager 6 explained the detailed procedure for investigating and reporting a case of modern slavery. First of all, to identify the problem, "gather the evidence and the facts and assess the incident and then understand whether [the organization] caused, contributed to, or is directly linked [to the case of modern slavery]." Second, protect the victim by "escalating [the case] to the relevant bodies. If it is the police, legal help, border force [...] NGO, or a union." Next, rectify the issue by "figuring out how we would best remedy the situation," and finally, "analyze the risk" to ensure that it does not happen again.

Nevertheless, surprisingly, some managers affirmed that no action would be taken if they reported a case of modern slavery in their company:

I can tell you what I think would happen if I raised [a case of modern slavery]; nothing would happen. I would be told to ignore it, stop causing trouble, and stop getting involved. There is absolutely no reporting protocol to deal with [modern slavery]. It is too hot a topic. Main contractors are terrified of dealing with issues like that. Tier one contractors across the UK will not deal with it. They will not deal with it! (Manager 9).

Some of the subcontractors and workers are under so much pressure to get the job completed on time that if it meant reporting the problem to any superiors or any line management, then the line management is going to put a stop to it or ignore it because that is going to affect their output and therefore extend the time and put themselves under more pressure to get the job completed on time. (Manager 19).

#### Risk Areas of Modern Slavery in Construction Projects

The area with the highest risk of modern slavery in construction projects is subcontracting in lower tiers with low-skilled laborers as "there is less visibility [...] on the policies, the ordinance, and the

procedures" (Manager 1). Manager 3 added, "In construction projects, you will have a huge sort of conflict between clients wanting [the work] done quickly, efficiently, cheaply at the same time." This puts pressure on the contractors as there is a huge shortage of laborers in construction. Further, because "people chasing for profit [...] take shortcuts" (Manager 4), many contractors will not care or pay attention to how they obtain the laborers and whether they are skilled as long as they can perform the work. Therefore, some construction companies address modern slavery differently. For instance, Manager 1 stated: "The main answer that you are going to hear from myself and from other people is in prequalification." Prequalification is the minimum tool construction companies use to prevent contractors from using modern slaves while working on a construction project. For instance, companies will use the common assessment standard in which contractors must answer questions to meet the minimum standards around fair working practices, wages, right to work, and having a policy on modern slavery. The second risk area of modern slavery in construction projects is the supply chain of construction materials. Manager 1 stated that "a [risk], which is much more complex [...] would be on [...] raw materials." In addition, Manager 4 emphasized, "suppliers remotely providing goods [...] the risk there is high, [...] where there tends to be forced labor to produce those goods." This is primarily due to the complexity of supply chains and the presence of many tiers, making visibility difficult for managers. Table 4 summarizes the main findings based on the eight interview questions.

519

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

# 520 [Table 4 here]

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

# Discussion

#### Lack of Action on Modern Slavery

- According to our data, the lack of action by construction companies and managers to address modern slavery is due to several reasons:
- Lack of training by construction companies: Several scholars described the importance of training managers in construction to address modern slavery (Jones & Comfort, 2022; Liu et al.,

2022; Trautrims et al., 2021). We confirm the findings of previous studies (Alzoubi et al., 2023a; Cockbain & Brayley-Morris, 2018; David & Salter, 2021) that construction companies provide insufficient training to address modern slavery. If construction companies do not train their managers on what modern slavery is, how it occurs, what the signs are, and where it is common, managers will be unable to recognize it or believe in its existence (lack of awareness). Moreover, if managers suspect a case of modern slavery, they will not know how to report it and will, therefore, ignore it (lack of response and responsibility).

- Lack of awareness among managers: Raising awareness is important in educating managers to address modern slavery (Jones & Comfort, 2022; Liu et al., 2022; Trautrims et al., 2021). However, as shown in our results, managers lack awareness regarding modern slavery. Thus, many managers continue to believe that modern slavery is not prevalent although it is estimated that there are more than 40 million modern slaves worldwide (Banerjee, 2020; Landman & Silverman, 2019), including those working on projects (Alzoubi et al., 2023a). Furthermore, some managers believe that there is minimal risk of modern slavery because they pay their laborers a real living wage according to legal standards. However, perpetrators are skilled and have different ways to take away laborers' wages, for example, by taking away their bank cards and not allowing them to control their payments.
- Lack of response and responsibility: A lack of response and responsibility by construction companies and managers will result in their being unfamiliar with the strategies to report cases of modern slavery. For instance, most managers emphasized that modern slavery is a sensitive topic to discuss, implying their reluctance and discomfort to openly discuss or address it. Despite official website statements, modern slavery is still a taboo on construction sites. An explanation for this being taboo is that if a manager reports a suspected case of modern slavery, it can cause reputational damage to the company, retaliation by the perpetrator against the manager, or project delays due to the investigation of the incident. Hence, construction companies and managers often avoid discussing modern slavery and leave this topic to their human resources or communications office, which often produces generic and brief statements against modern slavery.

Moreover, consistent construction site audits are important for companies to identify problems, improve on-site conditions, and detect modern slavery. If audits are not performed, the laborers will

not understand their rights, and the possibility of them becoming victims of modern slavery will be higher. Furthermore, many construction companies rely on modern slavery standards, which can be beneficial but also have some drawbacks. For example, corruption can occur anywhere, rendering the standards ineffective. Therefore, construction companies should not rely solely on them to prevent modern slavery.

#### **Trivializing Modern Slavery in Construction Companies**

- A key result of our research is that construction companies and managers trivialized the issue of modern slavery, that is, they ignored it or made it less important and relevant. The following mechanisms lead to trivialization:
  - Alternative priorities: Most construction companies and managers prioritize factors other than being anti-modern slavery, such as revenue maximization. Managers declared that they were under considerable pressure from their companies to finish projects on time and within budget and specifications. Manager 19 said, "I think the modern slavery issue in some respects, can be ignored in order to get the job done." Moreover, Manager 21 added, "[modern slavery] is not even on the top 100 priorities [...] and really, their goal and their job description is to deliver a project on time under budget." It is clear from these interviews that managers are under considerable pressure when undertaking a project and are focused on the "iron triangle" of finishing the work within the constraints of schedule, budget, and quality. These circumstances compel managers to become "ethically blind" (Palazzo et al., 2012) and neglect ethical aspects, including modern slavery.
- Fear of reputational damage: Managers may fear speaking out or reporting a suspected case of modern slavery due to the reputational damage (Alzoubi et al., 2023a; Brookes et al., 2020) their companies could face, which would have financial implications, including legal penalties and loss of trust and business opportunities. Consequently, companies and managers trivialize the issue and ignore the suspected or reported cases of modern slavery to avoid this potential risk. For example, Manager 9 said, "If a person thinks there is [modern slavery] and raises it with the line manager, it will not go above that manager, and it will not be dealt with. [...] Nobody is interested in [modern slavery] because it means they have to do something, and it is a hot topic."

- Blame-shifting: To avoid responsibility, companies and managers shift the blame for modern slavery to other parties, such as subcontractors or material suppliers. For instance, Manager 9 said, "[modern slavery] is not our problem; it is for the subcontractor to deal with." In addition, Manager 13 said: "It feels like [modern slavery] is not their problem; it is somebody else's problem [...] [modern slavery] is not really in their scope, or it does not fit within their role." Furthermore, Manager 21 said: "[employees'] goal and their job description is to deliver a project on time under budget. Anything outside of that scope, in a way, is not their responsibility." Hence, managers should develop the mindset that modern slavery is the responsibility of everyone within the company, and companies should teach that to their managers through mandatory training, as they teach health and safety.
- Minimizing the modern slavery problem: Companies and managers minimized the prevalence of modern slavery issues because the companies pay their laborers real living wages: "We pay people properly, and it's in accordance with all the rules" (Manager 4) or because of a lack of awareness among managers in construction companies: "I do not think [modern slavery] happens probably less than 1% of the time." (Manager 16).

#### Recommendations

- Based on our findings, we offer the following recommendations to construction companies and managers to address modern slavery:
  - The grievance and remediation area of modern slavery is weak. Companies should raise awareness by providing all their managers with mandatory training. Modern slavery topics should be given the same importance and training as health and safety ones. Moreover, companies should improve and repeat the training annually to ensure that managers are informed and aware.
  - Because modern slavery training is often considered a "tick-box activity," companies should assess managers after completing the training to ensure they have a sufficient understanding of modern slavery, its detection, and reporting, including knowledge of employment rights. Moreover, the training should be repeated if the manager fails the assessment.

- The training should be customized for the manager's role so that they are engaged and interested in
  the training. For instance, companies can use real-life case studies, which can promote managers'
  engagement and understanding of modern slavery.
- Companies should have a clear strategy for their managers to report suspected cases of modern slavery in construction projects: Who should be contacted? What should be done? How do you follow up with the case?
- Companies should teach their managers how to support victims of modern slavery after their treatment so that they can work again. For instance, companies could collaborate to help victims of modern slavery find new jobs.
- Companies, managers, and academics should promote the relevance and explain the consequences of modern slavery in construction projects and raise awareness through social media platforms.

623 Research Agenda

622

624

625

626

627

628

635

636

- This section presents a research agenda aimed at highlighting the gaps that require further research in the area of modern slavery in construction projects. Through our research, we identified and clarified two areas for further research: modern slavery in developing countries and the effectiveness of modern slavery training.
- **Modern Slavery in Developing Countries**
- The data collected in this research originate exclusively from three OECD member countries (UK,
  Australia, and Switzerland). The results of our research, mainly highlighting the lack of training,
  awareness, response, and responsibility by construction companies and managers, as well as the
  trivialization of modern slavery issues, reflect a critical gap in addressing modern slavery in construction
  projects in developed countries.

  However, these issues may manifest differently in developing countries due to varying socioeconomic
  - and cultural reasons (Crane, 2013). In developing countries, the issue of modern slavery is often exacerbated by deeper systemic vulnerabilities, such as higher poverty rates, weaker governance structures, and less rigorous legal frameworks regarding labor rights (Nwosu et al., 2022). This context

can lead to a lack of awareness and training on modern slavery issues in the construction sector, not necessarily due to trivialization as found in our research, but as a consequence of limited resources and other essential survival priorities.

Therefore, future research should aim to include data from these developing countries to provide a more comprehensive and globally representative understanding of modern slavery in the construction sector. This expansion would offer a deeper exploration of how the dynamics of modern slavery in construction projects vary across different global contexts. Moreover, comparative studies could determine whether the patterns observed in developed countries are valid in developing countries or whether distinct factors come into play.

# **Effectiveness of Modern Slavery Training**

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

Scholars have consistently highlighted the crucial importance of training as a preventive measure of modern slavery, including in construction projects (Alzoubi et al., 2023a; Stevenson & Cole, 2018; Trautrims, 2020). These studies highlight training as a critical mechanism for raising awareness and providing individuals with the necessary knowledge to identify and respond to cases of modern slavery in different sectors, including construction. The results of this research further substantiate the significance and importance of training initiatives within construction companies. Despite these insights, the effectiveness of existing training programs in fighting modern slavery remains unclear. This gap highlights the need for a deeper understanding of training effectiveness and calls for rigorous investigation into the design, implementation, and outcomes of training tailored to construction sector employees. Therefore, future research should analyze the elements that constitute effective training programs. It should also assess their effect on the awareness and actions of construction companies and employees regarding modern slavery to ensure that effective strategies to combat modern slavery in the construction sector are established. Moreover, the broader implications of antislavery measures within construction companies require further exploration. This includes an examination of how training initiatives influence company operations, project execution, and overall organizational culture. An equally important avenue for future

research involves the comparative analysis of relevant laws and regulations across different countries and sectors. Understanding the legal framework and its enforcement effectiveness could provide invaluable insights into fighting modern slavery in different sectors, including construction.

#### **Conclusions**

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

This article elucidates the present state of the construction sector in dealing with modern slavery. It addresses the lack of information available on the topic in the context of construction projects, emphasizing that although modern slavery is a significant and widespread issue in the sector, construction companies and managers tend to overlook it. Moreover, this article describes the mechanisms that explain the difference between the official anti-modern slavery statements of construction companies and the empirical observations on construction sites. We show that the mechanisms behind this difference are due to the construction companies' lack of training, which leads to a lack of awareness of managers and a lack of response and responsibility to address modern slavery among the construction companies and their managers. Moreover, "alternative priorities" are another reason for the lack of action of construction companies and managers; modern slavery is not one of them. Hence, construction companies and managers trivialize the issue of modern slavery. Furthermore, the results demonstrate the varying responses on the topics of reporting cases of modern slavery and understanding the risk areas of modern slavery in construction projects. Therefore, the authors conclude that much work remains to be done by construction companies and managers to address modern slavery. Construction companies and managers ought to act against modern slavery, perhaps starting from the recommendations provided in this article. The critical time to address modern slavery in construction projects is now, and if construction companies and managers remain complacent, the problem will worsen. This article focuses on modern slavery in construction projects. However, this research is relevant to other project sectors, as modern slavery can occur in other types of projects. Moreover, future research should investigate how construction companies address other dark side topics in projects, such as corruption, money laundering, and sexism.

# 691 Appendix: Interview questions

# **Interview Questions**

- 1. What does modern slavery mean to you?
- 2. Does your organization provide training for employees on modern slavery?

(If yes, how long is the training? How often is it done? Who has to attend the training? What kind of training is it? What are the key points discussed/addressed during the training? How are the employees assessed to ensure understanding of the training?

- 3. Which areas within your organization have a higher risk of modern slavery? Why?
- 4. Is there anyone in your organization whose job description deals with modern slavery?

(If yes, who are they? Is it their only job? If not, why?)

- 5. In your organization, what do you do when selecting contractors to avoid them using modern slavery?
- 6. What barriers are present to detecting modern slavery on construction sites managed by your organization?
- 7. How are audits carried out to identify signs of modern slavery in your organization?
- 8. How would you react to a case of modern slavery in your organization?

# **Data Availability Statement**

692

693

694

696

Some or all data, models, or code that support the findings of this study are available from the

695 corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# 697 **References**

- 698 Alzoubi, Y., Locatelli, G., & Sainati, T. (2023a). Modern Slavery in Projects: A Systematic Literature
- Review and Research Agenda. Project Management Journal, 54(3), 235-252.
- 700 https://doi.org/10.1177/87569728221148158
- Alzoubi, Y., Locatelli, G., & Sainati, T. (2023b). The ugly side of construction: Modern slavery in the
- 702 2022 FIFA World Cup program. Construction Management and Economics, 1–19.
- 703 https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2023.2269434
- 704 Australian Government. (2018). Modern Slavery Act 2018.
- 705 https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2018A00153#:~:text=This%20Act%20requires%20e
- ntities%20based,actions%20to%20address%20those%20risks.
- 707 Australian Human Rights Commission. (2020). Property, construction & modern slavery—Practical
- 708 responses for managing risk to people. https://policycommons.net/artifacts/3750761/property-
- 709 construction-modern-slavery/4556689/
- Bakirci, K. (2007). Child pornography and prostitution: Is this crime or work that should be regulated?
- *Journal of Financial Crime*, *14*(1), 5–11. https://doi.org/10.1108/13590790710721756
- 712 Bales, K., Hesketh, O., & Silverman, B. (2015). Modern slavery in the UK: How many victims?
- 713 Significance, 12(3), 16–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-9713.2015.00824.x
- 714 Bales, K., Trodd, Z., & Williamson, A. (2011). Modern slavery A Beginner's Guide. Oneworld
- 715 publications.
- Banerjee, B. (2020). Modern Slavery Is an Enabling Condition of Global Neoliberal Capitalism:
- 717 Commentary on Modern Slavery in Business. Business & Society, 000765031989847.
- 718 https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650319898478
- Bowen, P., Akintoye, A., Pearl, R., & Edwards, P. J. (2007). Ethical behaviour in the South African
- 720 construction industry. Construction Management and Economics, 25(6), 631–648.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190701225707
- 722 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in
- 723 *Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

- Prookes, N., Glass, J., Castro, A., Locatelli, G., & Oliomogbe, G. (2020). *Eliminating Modern slavery*
- 725 from Projects. Association for Project Management.
- https://www.apm.org.uk/media/47794/modern-slavery-final.pdf
- 727 Carrington, M., Chatzidakis, A., & Shaw, D. (2021). Consuming Worker Exploitation? Accounts and
- Justifications for Consumer (In)action to Modern Slavery. Work, Employment and Society,
- 729 *35*(3), 432–450. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017020926372
- 730 Caruana, R., Crane, A., Gold, S., & LeBaron, G. (2021). Modern Slavery in Business: The Sad and
- 731 Sorry State of a Non-Field. Business & Society, 60(2), 251–287.
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650320930417
- 733 Christ, K. L., & Burritt, R. L. (2021). Exploring effectiveness of entity actions to eliminate modern
- slavery risk Early Australian evidence. The British Accounting Review, 101065.
- 735 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2021.101065
- 736 Cicmil, S., & Hodgson, D. (2006). New Possibilities for Project Management Theory: A Critical
- 737 Engagement. Project Management Journal, 37(3), 111–122.
- 738 https://doi.org/10.1177/875697280603700311
- 739 CIOB. (2016). Building a Fairer System: Tackling Modern slavery in Construction Supply Chains.
- 740 Chartered Institute of Building (CIOB). https://www.ciob.org/industry/research/Building-
- 741 Fairer-System-Tackling-modern-slavery-construction-supply-chains
- 742 CIOB. (2018). Construction and the Modern slavery Act: Tackling Exploitation in the UK. Chartered
- Institute of Building (CIOB). https://www.ciob.org/industry/research/State-of-Well-being-
- 744 Construction-Industry
- 745 Cockbain, E., & Brayley-Morris, H. (2018). Human Trafficking and Labour Exploitation in the Casual
- Construction Industry: An Analysis of Three Major Investigations in the UK Involving Irish
- 747 Traveller Offending Groups. *Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice*, 12(2), 129–149.
- 748 https://doi.org/10.1093/police/pax032
- 749 Craig, G. (2017). The UK's Modern Slavery Legislation: An Early Assessment of Progress. Social
- 750 *Inclusion*, 5(2), 16–27. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.v5i2.833

- 751 Crane, A. (2013). Modern Slavery As A Management Practice: Exploring the Conditions and
- Capabilities for Human Exploitation. Academy of Management Review, 38(1), 49-69.
- 753 https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2011.0145
- 754 Crates, E. (2022). Operation Cardinas and Beyond: Addressing exploitation risk in the construction
- 755 *sector*. Independent Anti-Slavery Commissioner.
- 756 https://www.antislaverycommissioner.co.uk/resources/
- 757 David, K., & Salter, M. (2021). 'They Are Here Without Chains, but With Invisible Chains':
- 758 Understandings of Modern Slavery Within the New South Wales Settlement Sector. Social &
- 759 *Legal Studies*, 096466392199010. https://doi.org/10.1177/0964663921990103
- Davies, J. (2019). From severe to routine labour exploitation: The case of migrant workers in the UK
- 761 food industry. Criminology & Criminal Justice, 19(3), 294–310.
- 762 https://doi.org/10.1177/1748895818762264
- Dodd, T., Guthrie, J., & Dumay, J. (2022). Management controls and modern slavery risks in the
- building and construction industry: Lessons from an Australian social housing provider. *The*
- 765 *British Accounting Review*, 101098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2022.101098
- 766 Elston, D. M. (2021). Participation bias, self-selection bias, and response bias. *Journal of the American*
- 767 Academy of Dermatology, S0190962221011294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2021.06.025
- 768 Emberson, M. (2019). Human Trafficking & Modern Slavery. Introducing the issue and recognizing the
- 769 signs. Redemptorist Publications. https://www.amazon.com/Trafficking-Modern-Slavery-
- 770 Introducing-recognising-ebook/dp/B07PHGTBFC
- Evans, H., Sadhwani, S., Singh, D. N., Robjant, D. K., & Katona, P. C. (2022). Prevalence of complex
- 772 post-traumatic stress disorder in survivors of human trafficking and modern slavery: A
- systematic review. The European Journal of Psychiatry, 36(2), 94–105.
- 774 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpsy.2022.01.005
- 775 Flynn, A. (2019). Determinants of corporate compliance with modern slavery reporting. Supply Chain
- 776 *Management: An International Journal*, 25(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-10-2018-
- 777 0369

- Fordjour, G. A., Chan, A. P. C., & Tuffour-Kwarteng, L. (2021). Exploring Construction Employees'
- Perspectives on the Potential Causes of Psychological Health Conditions in the Construction
- 780 Industry: A Study in Ghana. International Journal of Construction Education and Research,
- 781 *17*(4), 373–393. https://doi.org/10.1080/15578771.2020.1804491
- 782 Fournier, V., & Grey, C. (2000). At the critical moment: Conditions and prospects for critical
- management studies. *Human Relations*, Vol. 53 No. 1, pp. 7-32.
- 784 GLAA. (2020). Gangmasters & Labour Abuse Authority (GLAA). Labour Exploitation: Spot the Signs.
- 785 https://www.gla.gov.uk/i-am-a/i-use-workers/construction-protocol/
- Gold, S., Trautrims, A., & Trodd, Z. (2015). Modern slavery challenges to supply chain management.
- 787 Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 20(5), 485–494.
- 788 https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-02-2015-0046
- 789 Greco, M. (2021). How does open innovation enhance productivity? An exploration in the construction
- 790 ecosystem. *Technological Forecasting*, 18.
- 791 Guest, G., MacQueen, K. M., & Namey, E. E. (2012). Applied Thematic Analysis. SAGE Publications,
- 792 Inc. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483384436
- 793 Gurmu, A. T., & Ongkowijoyo, C. S. (2020). Predicting Construction Labor Productivity Based on
- 794 Implementation Levels of Human Resource Management Practices. *Journal of Construction*
- 795 Engineering and Management, 146(3), 04019115. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-
- 796 7862.0001775
- 797 Gutierrez-Huerter O, G., Gold, S., & Trautrims, A. (2021). Change in Rhetoric but not in Action?
- Framing of the Ethical Issue of Modern Slavery in a UK Sector at High Risk of Labor
- 799 Exploitation. Journal of Business Ethics. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-05013-w
- Halder, A., & Batra, S. (2024). Navigating the Ethical Discourse in Construction: A State-of-the-Art
- Review of Relevant Literature. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 150(3),
- 802 03124001. https://doi.org/10.1061/JCEMD4.COENG-13880
- Han, C., Jia, F., Jiang, M., & Chen, L. (2022). Modern slavery in supply chains: A systematic literature
- 804 review. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 1–22.
- 805 https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2022.2118696

806	Home	Office.	(2014).	Modern	Slavery	Act	2015.	GOV.UK.
807	h	ttps://www.gov.	uk/governme	nt/collections/n	nodern-slaver	y-bill		
808	ILO. (193	30). What is fo	orced labour,	modern slaver	y and huma	n trafficki	ng. Internati	onal Labour
809	O	rganization.		https://www.ilo	.org/global/to	pics/force	d-labour/def	inition/lang
810	eı	n/index.htm#:~:	text=The%20	Definition%20	of%20forced%	%20labour	offered%20;	himself%20
811	Ol	r%20herself%2	0voluntarily.9	622				
812	Islam, M.	A., & Van Sta	den, C. J. (20	22). Modern Sl	avery Disclo	sure Regu	lation and G	lobal Supply
813	C	hains: Insights	from Stakeh	older Narrative	s on the UK	Modern	Slavery Act	t. Journal of
814	В	usiness Ethics,	180(2), 455–4	179. https://doi.c	org/10.1007/s	10551-02	1-04878-1	
815	Jones, P.,	& Comfort, I	D. (2022). M	odern slavery s	statements ar	d the UK	C's largest h	ousebuilding
816	C	ompanies: An ex	xploratory res	earch paper. Pro	operty Manag	gement. htt	ps://doi.org/	10.1108/PM-
817	0	6-2021-0043						
818	Keene, K	., Keating, K., &	& Ahonen, P.	(2016). The Po	wer of Storie.	s Enrichin	g Program F	Research and
819	R	eporting. OPR	RE Report#	2016-32a. Off	fice of Plar	nning, Re	search and	Evaluation,
820	A	dministration f	or Children a	nd Families, U	.S. Departme	nt of Hea	lth and Hum	nan Services.
821	h	ttps://www.jbas	soc.com/reso	urce/power-stor	ies-enriching	-program-	research-repo	orting/
822	Khan, A.	N., Khan, N. A	., & Soomro,	M. A. (2020). 7	The Impact of	Moral Le	adership on	Construction
823	Е	mployees' Psyc	chological Be	haviors. <i>IEEE</i> 2	Transactions	on Engine	ering Mana	gement, 1–9.
824	h	ttps://doi.org/10	.1109/TEM.2	020.3020371				
825	Kidd, J.,	& Manthorpe, .	J. (2017). Mo	dern slavery –	the adult safe	eguarding	interface. Th	ie Journal of
826	A	dult Protection,	19(3), 158–1	66. https://doi.o	org/10.1108/J	AP-09-20	16-0021	
827	King, N.	(2004). Usin	g templates	in the themat	ic analysis	of text. S	SAGE Publi	cations Ltd.
828	h	ttps://dx.doi.org	/10.4135/978	1446280119				
829	Kivilä, J.	, Martinsuo, M	., & Vuorine	n, L. (2017). S	ustainable pr	oject man	agement thro	ough project
830	Co	ontrol in infrast	ructure projec	cts. Internationa	al Journal of	Project M	anagement,	<i>35</i> (6), 1167–
831	1	183. https://doi.	org/10.1016/j	ijproman.2017.	.02.009			

Kordi, N. E., Belayutham, S., & Che Ibrahim, C. K. I. (2021). Mapping of social sustainability attributes 832 833 to stakeholders' involvement in construction project life cycle. Construction Management and 834 Economics, 39(6), 513–532. https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2021.1923767 835 Kotera, Y., Green, P., & Sheffield, D. (2020). Work-life balance of UK construction workers: 836 Relationship with mental health. Construction Management and Economics, 38(3), 291–303. 837 https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2019.1625417 Landman, T., & Silverman, B. W. (2019). Globalization and Modern Slavery. Politics and Governance, 838 839 7(4), 275–290. https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v7i4.2233 LeBaron, G., Lister, J., & Dauvergne, P. (2017). Governing Global Supply Chain Sustainability through 840 958–975. 841 the Ethical Audit Regime. Globalizations, 14(6), https://doi.org/10.1080/14747731.2017.1304008 842 843 Liu, T., Suprun, E., Stewart, R. A., & Duran, S. (2022). Developing a framework for assessing the readiness of entities in the construction industry in addressing modern slavery. Sustainable 844 Production and Consumption, 13. 845 846 Locatelli, G., Konstantinou, E., Geraldi, J., & Sainati, T. (2022). The Dark Side of Projects: 847 Dimensionality, Research Methods, and Agenda. Project Management Journal, 875697282211039. https://doi.org/10.1177/87569728221103911 848 Loosemore, M., Alkilani, S. Z., & Murphy, R. (2021). The institutional drivers of social procurement 849 850 implementation in Australian construction projects. International Journal of Project 851 Management, 39(7), 750–761. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2021.07.002 852 Loosemore, M., & Lim, B. T. H. (2017). Linking corporate social responsibility and organizational performance in the construction industry. Construction Management and Economics, 35(3), 90-853 854 105. https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2016.1242762 855 Manu, E., Ankrah, N., Chinyio, E., & Proverbs, D. (2015). Trust influencing factors in main contractor and subcontractor relationships during projects. International Journal of Project Management, 856 857 33(7), 1495–1508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.06.006 858 McGaughey, F. (2021). Behind the scenes: Reporting under Australia's Modern Slavery Act. Australian Journal of Human Rights, 27(1), 20–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/1323238X.2021.1962788 859

860 Mukumbwa, B., & Muya, M. (2013). Ethics in the Construction Industry in Zambia. *International* 861 **Journal** ofConstruction Management, 13(2), 43–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2013.10773211 862 863 New, S. J. (2015). Modern slavery and the supply chain: The limits of corporate social responsibility? 864 Chain Management: AnInternational Journal, 20(6), 697–707. Supply https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-06-2015-0201 865 Nolan, J., & Bott, G. (2018). Global supply chains and human rights: Spotlight on forced labour and 866 867 modern slavery practices. Australian Journal of Human Rights, 24(1), 44-69. https://doi.org/10.1080/1323238X.2018.1441610 868 Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic Analysis: Striving to Meet 869 the Trustworthiness Criteria. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16(1), 870 160940691773384. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847 871 872 Nwosu, I. A., Eteng, M. J., Ekpechu, J., Nnam, M. U., Ukah, J. A., Eyisi, E., & Orakwe, E. C. (2022). 873 Poverty and Youth Migration Out of Nigeria: Enthronement of Modern Slavery. SAGE Open, 874 12(1), 215824402210798. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221079818 875 Palazzo, G., Krings, F., & Hoffrage, U. (2012). Ethical Blindness. Journal of Business Ethics, 109(3), 323–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1130-4 876 Qu, S. Q., & Dumay, J. (2011). The qualitative research interview. Qualitative Research in Accounting 877 878 & Management, 8(3), 238–264. https://doi.org/10.1108/11766091111162070 879 Rajhans, K., & Bhavsar, V. (2023). Impending need of sustainable human resource management 880 practices in construction industry: Evidence from India. International Journal of Construction Management, 23(13), 2249–2259. https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2022.2050569 881 Rassam, A. Y. (2005). International Law and Contemporary Forms of Slavery: An Economic and Social 882 883 Rights-Based Approach. 23, 49. Rioux, S., LeBaron, G., & Verovšek, P. J. (2020). Capitalism and unfree labor: A review of Marxist 884 perspectives on modern slavery. Review of International Political Economy, 27(3), 709–731. 885

https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2019.1650094

887	Russell, E., Lee, J., & Clift, R. (2018). Can the SDGs Provide a Basis for Supply Chain Decisions in the
888	Construction Sector? Sustainability, 10(3), 629. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10030629
889	Sabella, D. (2011). The Role of the Nurse in Combating Human Trafficking. American Journal of
890	Nursing. Vol. 111, No. 2.
891	Santoso, D. S. (2009). The construction site as a multicultural workplace: A perspective of minority
892	migrant workers in Brunei. Construction Management and Economics, 27(6), 529-537.
893	https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190902960482
894	Saunders, B., Sim, J., Kingstone, T., Baker, S., Waterfield, J., Bartlam, B., Burroughs, H., & Jinks, C.
895	(2018). Saturation in qualitative research: Exploring its conceptualization and
896	operationalization. Quality & Quantity, 52(4), 1893–1907. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-017-
897	0574-8
898	Scaturro, R. (2021). Modern Slavery Made in Italy—Causes and Consequences of Labour Exploitation
899	in the Italian Agricultural Sector. <i>Journal of Illicit Economies and Development</i> , 3(2), 181–189.
900	https://doi.org/10.31389/jied.95
901	Schoultz, I., Spanger, M., Jokinen, A., Økland Jahnsen, S., Muhire, H., & Pekkarinen, AG. (2023).
902	Constructions of migrant victims of labor exploitation in Nordic court cases. International
903	Review of Victimology, 026975802311749. https://doi.org/10.1177/02697580231174912
904	Slavery Convention. (1926). The Bellagio-Harvard Guidelines on the Legal Parameters of Slavery.
905	https://glc.yale.edu/sites/default/files/pdf/the_bellagio-
906	_harvard_guidelines_on_the_legal_parameters_of_slavery.pdf
907	Stevenson, M., & Cole, R. (2018). Modern slavery in supply chains: A secondary data analysis of
908	detection, remediation and disclosure. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal,
909	23(2), 81–99. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-11-2017-0382
910	Stringer, C., Burmester, B., & Michailova, S. (2022). Modern slavery and the governance of labor
911	exploitation in the Thai fishing industry. Journal of Cleaner Production, 371, 133645.
912	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133645

913	Such, E., Laurent, C., Jaipaul, R., & Salway, S. (2020). Modern slavery and public health: A rapid
914	evidence assessment and an emergent public health approach. Public Health, 180, 168-179.
915	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2019.10.018
916	Sunindijo, R. Y., & Kamardeen, I. (2017). Work Stress Is a Threat to Gender Diversity in the
917	Construction Industry. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 143(10),
918	04017073. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001387
919	Trautrims, A. (2020). Modern Slavery Responses Need International Business Scholarship. AIB
920	Insights, 20(2). https://doi.org/10.46697/001c.13539
921	Trautrims, A., Gold, S., Touboulic, A., Emberson, C., & Carter, H. (2021). The UK construction and
922	facilities management sector's response to the Modern Slavery Act: An intra-industry initiative
923	against modern slavery. BUSINESS STRATEGY & DEVELOPMENT, 4(3), 279-293.
924	https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.158
925	United Kingdom Government. (2023). Modern Slavery: A briefing for the construction industry. United
926	Kingdom Government.
927	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/
928	file/638768/FACTSHEET_Modern_Slavery_Construction_v2_WEB.PDF
929	United Nations. (2000). PROTOCOL TO PREVENT, SUPPRESS AND PUNISH TRAFFICKING IN
930	PERSONS, ESPECIALLY WOMEN AND CHILDREN, SUPPLEMENTING THE UNITED
931	NATIONS CONVENTION AGAINST TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME.
932	https://ec.europa.eu/anti-trafficking/united-nations-protocol-prevent-suppress-and-punish-
933	trafficking-persons-especially-women-
934	$and\_en\#: \sim : text = The \%\ 20 protocol \%\ 20 stipulates \%\ 20 that \%\ 20 states, children \%\ 20 that \%\ 20 leads \%\ $
935	20to%20trafficking.
936	Valdes-Vasquez, R., & Klotz, L. E. (2013). Social Sustainability Considerations during Planning and
937	Design: Framework of Processes for Construction Projects. Journal of Construction
938	Engineering and Management, 139(1), 80-89. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-
939	7862.0000566

940	Wallis, A. (2017). Effective eradication of modern slavery. Nature Human Behaviour, 1(5), 0089.
941	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0089
942	Walsh, D., Pajón, L., Lawson, K., Hafeez, K., Heath, M., & Court, N. (2022). Increased Risks of Labor
943	Exploitation in the UK following Brexit and the Covid-19 Pandemic: Perspectives of the Agri-
944	food and Construction Sectors. Journal of Human Trafficking, 1-16.
945	https://doi.org/10.1080/23322705.2022.2079063
946	Wilhelm, M., Kadfak, A., Bhakoo, V., & Skattang, K. (2020). Private governance of human and labor
947	rights in seafood supply chains - The case of the modern slavery crisis in Thailand. Marine
948	Policy, 115, 103833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.103833
949	Wray-Bliss, E., & Michelson, G. (2022). Modern Slavery and the Discursive Construction of a
950	Propertied Freedom: Evidence from Australian Business. Journal of Business Ethics, 179(3),
951	649–663. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-04845-w
952	Wright, N., Hadziosmanovic, E., Dang, M., Bales, K., Brookes, C., Jordan, M., & Slade, M. (2020).
953	Mental health recovery for survivors of modern slavery: Grounded theory study protocol. BMJ
954	Open, 10(11), e038583. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038583
955	Yagci Sokat, K. (2022). Understanding the role of transportation in combating human trafficking in
956	California. Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 15, 100673.
957	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2022.100673
958	Yagci Sokat, K., & Altay, N. (2023). Impact of modern slavery allegations on operating performance.
959	Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 28(3), 470–485.
960	https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-08-2021-0387
961	Zuofa, T., & Ochieng, E. (2016). Sustainability in Construction Project Delivery: A Study of
962	Experienced Project Managers in Nigeria. Project Management Journal, 47(6), 44-55.
963	https://doi.org/10.1177/875697281604700604

# **Tables**

Table 1: General indicators implying modern slavery (Adapted from Alzoubi et al. (2023a))

Signs	Examples
Restricted Liberty	<ul> <li>The retention of their personal documents, such as passports or identity cards</li> <li>The restriction of individuals to a particular workspace without the freedom to leave</li> <li>Restrictions on communication with external parties and barriers to accessing healthcare services</li> </ul>
Behavior	<ul> <li>Lacking proficiency in the local languages of the region they are in</li> <li>Showing a lack of awareness or knowledge about their current location</li> <li>Tending to remain silent during direct interactions, often deferring to others (typically those in control, such as slaveholders) to speak for them</li> <li>Looking anxious and depressed</li> <li>Showing physical signs of undernourishment</li> <li>Subjected to threats of violence that render them scared and unwilling to speak up</li> <li>Experiencing intimidation and threats of physical and mental violence</li> </ul>
Working Conditions	<ul> <li>Have no choice about the time or place of their work</li> <li>Forced to work in a particular location</li> <li>Forced to work for extensive hours without breaks</li> <li>Lacking training or professional qualifications</li> <li>Low-skilled laborers who possess no formal training or professional credentials for their tasks</li> </ul>
Living Conditions	<ul> <li>Forced to live in crowded, unsuitable accommodations that lack proper hygiene</li> <li>Having no control over their living conditions, including the location or individuals they live with</li> </ul>
Financial Conditions	<ul> <li>Receiving no salaries or extremely minimal salaries for their work</li> <li>Denied access to their earnings and personal bank accounts</li> <li>Forced to work to pay off debts to their slaveholders with no salaries given until the full debt is cleared</li> </ul>

Table 2: Sample structure of interviewees

Interviewee	Gender	Country	Size of	Years of	Role	
			company	experience		
1	Male	UK	Medium	14	Supply chain manager	
2	Male	UK	Medium	17	Project manager	
3	Female	UK	Medium	23	Sustainable procurement	
					manager	
4	Male	UK	Medium	35	Managing director in	
					construction	
5	Female	UK	Medium	25	Sustainable procurement	
					manager	
6	Male	UK	Large	39	Project manager	
7	Male	UK	Small	15	Director of ethics and	
					compliance	
8	Male	UK	Medium	23	Health, safety,	
					environment, and quality	
					director	

9	Male	UK	Medium	28	Senior construction
					planner
10	Female	Switzerland	Small	24	Senior communication
					manager, leadership, and
					mental health
11	Male	UK	Large	25	Construction manager
12	Male	UK	Medium	12	Construction manager
13	Female	Australia	Medium	12	Head of sustainable and
					ethical procurement
14	Male	Australia	Small	10	Sustainable procurement
					manager
15	Male	UK	Medium	17	Director of pre-
					construction operations
16	Male	UK	Medium	37	ESG and sustainability
					director
17	Male	UK	Small	12	Project manager
18	Male	Australia	Medium	37	Sustainable procurement
					and supply chain
					manager
19	Male	UK	Medium	16	Lead health and safety
					manager
20	Female	UK	Medium	14	Health, safety, and well-
					being manager
21	Female	Australia	Small	6	Corporate social
					sustainability manager
22	Female	Australia	Small	9	Sustainable procurement
					manager

Table 3: Comparison between construction companies' statements and managers' explanations

Construction companies' official website	Managers' explanations		
statements			
Company-A has a modern slavery and human	"There is a [modern slavery] policy, but I do not		
trafficking statement and policy. The statement	believe that I have ever seen any training"		
discusses the importance of training employees	(Manager 9).		
on modern slavery to raise awareness.			
Company-B has an antislavery and human	"do I have a modern slavery policy? No,		
trafficking policy. It provides regular training for	because, as I said, I think some of these things are		
employees, and newcomers must complete the	just written for the sake of it. [] I would say very		
training within a short period.	little [training] about a year or two ago"		
	(Manager 16).		
Company-C has a modern slavery statement and	"I would say that most businesses we work with,		
training. It has a human rights risk assessment	including ourselves, will maybe do a one-off		
and a remediation strategy for modern slavery.	training and that it might not necessarily be		
	repeated after that" (Manager 13).		

Table 4: Summary of the main results based on the interview questions

Interview Questions	Main Findings			
1. What does modern slavery mean to you?	<ul> <li>Managers' Perspectives on Modern Slavery:</li> <li>An unhealthy, unethical, and likely unlawful relationship exploiting the vulnerable.</li> <li>The exploitation of human beings and a crime against humanity using severe violence.</li> <li>A complex, illegal practice that obscures the true cost of projects and degrades workers' rights.</li> </ul>			
2. Does your organization provide training for employees on modern slavery?  (If yes, how long is the training? How often is it done? Who has to attend the training? What kind of training is it? What are the key points discussed/addressed during the training? How are the employees assessed to ensure understanding of the training?)	<ul> <li>Reasons for the Huge Lack of Training on Modern Slavery:</li> <li>Employees perceive modern slavery as not prevalent or relevant to them.</li> <li>Employees struggle to see the value of training for certain personnel.</li> <li>Improving Training Relevance:</li> <li>Need to contextualize and specify training to employee roles for increased interest and relevance.</li> <li>Current Training Practices:</li> <li>Mostly online modules, lasting 30–45 min.</li> <li>Focus areas: understanding modern slavery, its causes, identifying warning signs (e.g., a high number of working hours, lack of ID papers or contracts).</li> <li>Use of real-case studies for practical learning.</li> <li>Assessment of Training:</li> <li>Involves multiple-choice questions.</li> <li>Requires a minimum of 80% to pass.</li> <li>Involves retaking if the score is below 80%, with a reset</li> </ul>			
3. Which areas within your organization have a higher risk of modern slavery? Why?	<ul> <li>of the program after repeated failures.</li> <li>Subcontracting Challenges:         <ul> <li>Lower tiers with low-skilled laborers have less visibility in policies and procedures.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Complex Supply Chains:         <ul> <li>Many tiers in the supply chain make managerial visibility difficult.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
4. Is there anyone in your organization whose job description deals with modern slavery? (If yes, who are they? Is it their only job? If not, why?)	<ul> <li>Managerial Consensus:</li> <li>No single employee is dedicated solely to handling modern slavery issues.</li> <li>Reason:</li> <li>Every employee should know about modern slavery.</li> </ul>			
5. In your organization, what do you do when selecting contractors to avoid them using modern slavery?	<ul> <li>Prequalification as a Minimum Tool:         <ul> <li>Used by construction companies to prevent contractors from using modern slavery.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Common Assessment Standard:         <ul> <li>Contractors answer questions to meet minimum standards.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Focus areas include fair working practices, wages, and the right to work.</li> <li>There is a requirement to have a policy on modern slavery.</li> </ul>			

6 What harriage are present to	Tools of Amonomora
6. What barriers are present to detecting modern slavery on construction sites managed by your organization?	<ul> <li>Lack of Awareness:         <ul> <li>Misconception that modern slavery does not occur.</li> <li>The assumption that paying real living wages negates the presence of modern slavery.</li> <li>Uncertainty in identifying instances of modern slavery.</li> <li>Focus on alternative priorities, such as timely project completion.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Lack of Response and Responsibility:         <ul> <li>Limited time and resources were allocated to detect modern slavery.</li> <li>Lack of expertise to effectively check for modern slavery.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
7. How are audits carried out to identify signs of modern slavery in your organization?	<ul> <li>Audits are not taking place because:         <ul> <li>Audits can be costly for companies.</li> <li>Companies believe that they are effectively avoiding modern slavery without audits.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Importance of Site Audits:         <ul> <li>Audits prevent complacency and need to be conducted regularly.</li> <li>Emphasis on engaging with workers to check:</li></ul></li></ul>
8. How would you react to a case of modern slavery in your organization?	<ul> <li>Reporting Process:         <ul> <li>Identify: Collect evidence, assess the incident, and understand the organization's link to modern slavery.</li> <li>Protect the victim: Escalate the case to relevant authorities, such as police, legal bodies, NGOs, or unions.</li> <li>Rectify the issue: Determine the best remedy for the situation.</li> <li>Analyze risk: Ensure prevention of future incidents.</li> </ul> </li> <li>No action will take place         <ul> <li>Lack of a formal reporting protocol for modern slavery cases.</li> <li>Sensitive topic to deal with.</li> <li>Alternative priorities, such as subcontractors and workers facing significant work pressure.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>