

RESILIENCE FINDINGS

The Role of Nuclear Small Modular Reactors for the Resilience of Integrated Energy Systems

Francesco Di Maio¹ , Enrico Zio²

¹ Department of Energy, Politecnico di Milano, ² Department of Energy, Politecnico di Milano; Centre de recherche sur les Risques et les crises, MINES ParisTech/ PSL Universitè Paris

Keywords: Small Modular Reactors (SMRs), Integrated Energy Systems (IESs), Resilience

https://doi.org/10.32866/001c.37211

Findings

Integrated Energy Systems (IESs) can provide resilience to energy supply. Nuclear Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) have design features that can add resilience to IESs. In this work, such features are qualitatively analyzed with respect to a case study of a typical IES of literature.

Acronyms

CCGTs Combined Cycle Gas Turbines FR Functional Requirement IES Integrated Energy Sytstems LOOP Loss of Offsite Power NPP Nuclear Power Plants cNNP conventional NPP aNNP advanced NPP PV Solar Photovoltaics P2G Power-to-gas SMR Small Modula Reactors SoSs Systems-of-Systems WF Wind Farms

1. Questions

Integrated Energy Systems (IESs) are systems-of-systems (SoSs) that include gas and electricity production, conversion, storage systems and infrastructures (Di Maio, Tonicello, and Zio 2022). In this work, we objectively consider the contribution of nuclear Small Modular Reactor (SMRs) to the resilience of IESs. We refer to the Functional Requirements (FRs) of Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs) listed in <u>Table 1</u> and identify those useful for resilience (Chen et al. 2016; Greene 2018). FR 1 ensures that NPPs are capable of withstanding and absorbing credible external accidents by avoiding dependent failure mechanisms with the other systems of the IESs, thereby facilitating IESs recovery and restoration. FRs 2, 3 and 7 make NPPs independent from the offsite power supply, in normal operation as well as in shutdown conditions. FR 4 ensures that the NPPs are not made unavailable by events in which their power supply is necessary. FR 5 reduces the NPPs recovery time to support the IESs operation. FR 6 implies that the NPPs are to work in traditional baseload configuration as well as in load-follow configuration.

Table 1. Functional Requirements (FRs) of NPPs

1. Low vulnerability to external hazards

- 2. Natural circulation of primary coolant
- 3. Prompt, unlimited, and independent core cooling under shutdown conditions
- 4. Shutdown avoidance in response to variations in the offsite power supply quality and electrical load
- 5. Island mode operation

6. Robust load-following

7. Independent, self-cranking start

Table 2. Design features of SMRs that enable the FRs of Table 1 (Ingersoll 2011)

Design Feature	Functional Requirement Enabled	
Integral reactor vessel layout	1	
Increased relative coolant inventory	1	
Increased relative pressurizer volume	1	
Small diameter and high reactor vessel	1, 2, 3	
Small fuel inventory	1, 3	
Below-grade construction of the reactor building	1	
Modularity	3, 4, 5, 6, 7	

Table 3. The considered NPPs configurations

Charactersitics	cNPP	aNPP
Number of reactor units	1	4
Rated power level per reactor unit [MWe]	360	90
Fuel inventory per reactor unit*	x	$\sim x/4$
Integral reactor vessel layout	no	yes
Aspect ratio	< 2	$3 \div 4$
Construction of the reactor building	above-grade	below-grade
Modularity	absent	good
Fully met FRs	/	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
Partially met FRs	1	/
Unmet FRs	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	/

* The fuel inventory of a reactor core is a value roughly proportional to the rated power level

2. Methods

We consider an IES of literature (Di Maio, Tonicello, and Zio 2022) comprised of two Combined Cycle Gas Turbines (CCGTs) plants, a NPP, two Wind Farms (WFs), a Solar Photovoltaics (PV) field and a Power-to-gas (P2G) station. The IES considered is plotted in Fig. 1 (black line is the electrical grid and blue line is the gas grid). We analyze the contribution to resilience of two different types of NPP that can be integrated in the IES: a conventional NPP (cNPP) consisting of a single 360 MWe reactor unit, and an advanced NPP (aNPP) consisting of four identical SMR units amounting to the same total power of 360 Mwe, and whose design features (e.g., reactor vessel layout, aspect ratio, level of reactor building) meet the FRs (Table 3).



Figure 1. Scheme of the considered IES

The cNPP could be damaged by the occurrence of an IES-wide natural event, given that it only partially meets the FR1. The aNPP, on the contrary, fully meets the FR 1 thanks to the integral reactor vessel layout and below-grade construction of the reactor building, and, therefore, it is not damaged by the occurrence of an IES-wide natural event. Yet, in case a direct damage to the cNPP were to occur, for example by a Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) event, safe cooling can be ensured for a limited period only by safety systems whose power for functioning is provided by diesel generators or batteries; conversely, the aNPP can rely on passive shutdown cooling indefinitely, without the need of emergency power. Furthermore, in the event of damages to other production plants within the IES, the modular aNPP, thanks to its high operational flexibility (i.e., FR 4 and FR 6), can operate one or more SMR units to supply housekeeping and core self-cooling power (if needed), while the other reactor units provide power for the restoration of the damaged parts of the IES. Note that, if necessary, the aNNP can even switch to island mode operation (FR 5), isolating the NPP from the electrical grid and reducing its power level to satisfy its own housekeeping electrical loads. Finally, the aNPPs provide robust load-following capability (FR 6), thanks to its modularity, to meet varying and dynamic load demands by reducing the power level of one or more SMR units.

3. Findings

SMRs can play a role for the resilience of IESs. They have design features that meet the FRs of NPPs for providing safety and resilience. By a qualitative analysis of a typical IES, it has been shown that SMRs can guarantee prompt

and effective recovery and restoration of damaged IES plants. In conclusion, SMRs should be considered as flexible power production plants to add resilience to IESs.

Submitted: May 04, 2022 AEST, Accepted: June 14, 2022 AEST



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-SA-4.0). View this license's legal deed at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/legalcode for more information.

REFERENCES

- Chen, Jun, Humberto E. Garcia, Jong Suk Kim, and Shannon M. Bragg-Sitton. 2016. "Operations Optimization of Nuclear Hybrid Energy Systems." *Nuclear Technology* 195 (2): 143–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.13182/nt15-130</u>.
- Di Maio, Francesco, Pietro Tonicello, and Enrico Zio. 2022. "A Modeling and Analysis Framework for Integrated Energy Systems Exposed to Climate Change-Induced NaTech Accidental Scenarios." *Sustainability* 14 (2): 786. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su14020786</u>.
- Greene, Sherrell R. 2018. "The Key Attributes, Functional Requirements, and Design Features of Resilient Nuclear Power Plants (RNPPs)." *Nuclear Technology* 204 (2): 131–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00295450.2018.1480213</u>.
- Ingersoll, Daniel T. 2011. "An Overview of the Safety Case for Small Modular Reactors." *ASME 2011 Small Modular Reactors Symposium*, January. <u>https://doi.org/10.1115/smr2011-6586</u>.