



Algae-derived hard carbon anodes for Na-ion batteries


Eugenio Gibertini¹ · Francesco Liberale¹ · Carlo Dossi² · Gilberto Binda² · Barbara Mattioli² · Roberta Bettinetti³ · Angelo Maspero⁴ · Michele Fiore⁵ · Riccardo Ruffo⁵ · Luca Magagnin¹ 

Received: 27 February 2021 / Accepted: 18 July 2021 / Published online: 30 July 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

In this work, the production of low cost and environment friendly anodes for sodium ion batteries is investigated. Algae are selected as bio-source of non-graphitic Hard Carbon (HC) with open structure acting as intercalation active material for Na ions storage. *Chlorella vulgaris* algae were pyrolyzed at temperatures comprised between 800 and 1100 °C. The decomposition products have been characterized with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analyses and their structure compared to one of the synthetic commercial HC. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) allowed to assess the decomposition process throughout the selected temperature scan. The obtained algae-derived HC is tested as anodic material for Na-ion battery, investigating the effect of pyrolysis temperature on the electrochemical behaviour. Their performances are compared with respect to a commercial synthetic HC active material. The results allow to consider algae as an environmentally benign and sustainable high added-value material for the production of HC anodes for Na-ion batteries.

Graphic abstract



Keywords Algae · Hard Carbon · Na-ion battery · Pyrolysis

This article belongs to the Topical Collection: Batteries.

✉ Luca Magagnin
luca.magagnin@polimi.it

¹ Dipartimento di Chimica, Materiali e Ingegneria Chimica Giulio Natta, Politecnico di Milano, Via Mancinelli, 7, 20131 Milano, Italy

² Dipartimento di Scienze Teoriche e Applicate, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Via Dunant 3, 21100 Varese, Italy

³ Dipartimento di Scienze Umane e dell'Innovazione per il Territorio, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como, Italy

⁴ Dipartimento di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio, 9 - 22100 Como, Italy

⁵ Dipartimento di Scienza dei Materiali, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Via Cozzi 55, 20125 Milano, Italy

1 Introduction

Energy crisis and environmental pollution are two of the main global issues we will have to face more directly in the next future. Constant rise in the population growth, especially in developing countries, exacerbates this issue and points out the need for a more accurate and focussed exploitation and management of renewable sources. In this approach, the storage and conversion of green sources will play a key and strategic role in the energy policies of both countries and companies. The development of new rechargeable batteries has shown a constantly increasing impulse in the last years, with the twofold aims of (a) improving the electrochemical performances of energy storage systems and (b) offering an environmentally friendly and efficient alternative to fossil fuels in the transport industry [1]. Lithium based batteries have been represented and still represent an essential technological and commercial success. However, the uneven distribution of lithium supplies and their progressive depletion over the years are a key concern to the future developments of materials and technologies for its recovery and recycle from spent batteries.

Sodium, the fourth most abundant element on the Earth's crust, with a distribution that is almost infinite and more uniform than lithium, is a viable candidate for its wide use in rechargeable batteries [2]. The study of sodium ion batteries is certainly not recent, beginning in parallel with that of lithium in the seventies, but it was partially abandoned thanks to the faster development and commercial success of the latter. One of its main drawbacks, which limited the diffusion of sodium technology, is the impossibility of using graphite as active material due to the bigger dimensions of sodium ions compared to lithium ones, together with the wider electrode's active material expansion during cycling. Some non-carbonaceous materials may be used as anodes such as phosphorus and phosphides [3], Sn oxides and sulphides [4, 5], and MXenes [6], but Hard Carbon (HC) is still considered the conventional choice in replacement of graphite to accommodate sodium ions at low potential [2, 7]. HC, with its peculiar structure formed by randomly stacked graphene layers linked by crosslinking molecules, nanopores and defects can host considerable amount of sodium. Its structure can be described by the so-called "falling card model" [8]. HC commercially available is conventionally obtained by petroleum coke and synthetic derivatives. However, the abundance of biomasses, together with their great availability and low costs, made them attractive to use these materials as carbonaceous precursors for electrodes active materials. HC obtained from a biomass precursor can be produced through a simple pyrolysis and the carbon

structure can be conveniently tuned according to process parameters, with carbonization degree, surface morphology, and specific surface area as main factors governing the final electrochemical behaviour [9, 10]. Moreover, recent studies have demonstrated that heteroatoms as nitrogen, phosphorous, and magnesium in the biomass precursors lead to HC with improved performances as Na-ion battery's negative electrode [11–14]. Different kinds of biomasses were investigated as source for high-performance HCs for Na-ion batteries, due to the intrinsic self-doping of the HC obtained after pyrolysis derived from the chemical composition of the feedstocks. Some examples of feedstocks used for Na-ion batteries include sugar [15] and various plants, peels and shells [9] such as apple wastes [16], banana peel [17], peanut shell [18], and different other biowaste sources [19, 20] demonstrating better performances with respect to standard synthetic HCs.

More recently, the exploitation of algae as precursors for HC attracted particular interest [21, 22], since these biomass feedstocks present fast growth rate, high carbon fixing efficiency, and can be harvested in polluted water. Moreover, naturally blooming algae are often removed from water bodies as waste, because of the well-known issue of eutrophication of fresh and sea water due to the excess concentration of phytostimulant substances commonly used as fertilizing agents, in particular, phosphorus- and nitrogen-containing chemicals. Herein, the possibility of using a common and widely spread algae, *Chlorella vulgaris*, as source for the synthesis of organic-derived HC as negative electrode in Na-ion batteries is demonstrated. The electrochemical performances of the electrodes obtained with the optimized decomposition conditions and process formulation are comparable with those produced with commercial, synthetic-derived material.

2 Experimental

2.1 Algal growth

Chlorella vulgaris algae were grown in a low-mineral-content fresh water. In order to continuously feed the growing algae with the correct supply of nutritive substances, the water was enriched with a proper content of salts. A defined quantity of initial algal biomass (inoculum) is afterwards added to the salty water solution and then the culture is exposed to light. The exact amount is defined following the "OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals", and it corresponds to $4\text{--}5 \times 10^3$ cell ml^{-1} for both the species. The uncontaminated solution is kept under stirring and constantly exposed to light to promote the growth. During the growth process, algae were counted with a Burkner counting chamber. Values of the growth rate were obtained relating

the number of algal cells with the time in agreement with the standard UNI EN ISO 8692:2005.

2.2 Algae pyrolysis

Algae were dried at 100 °C in oven overnight to remove moisture. Pyrolysis was performed through sequential thermal ramps in tubular furnace, under inert nitrogen atmosphere, up to final temperatures comprised between 850 and 1100 °C, maintaining it for 1 h and then cooling down naturally. A black powder was then obtained and named AHC850 and AHC1100 according to the pyrolysis temperature.

2.3 Materials characterization

The amount of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen in the organic sample grown algae was measured with elemental CHN analysis executed on a Perkin–Elmer CHN Analyzer Series II 2400. Briefly, about 5 mg of algal biomass are weighted and then heated in crucibles at 900 °C for 2–6 min in an oxygen atmosphere, where an oxidative combustion is promoted, thus producing a mixture of CO, H₂O, N₂ and NO_x. The gas then passes through a Copper tube at 750 °C, where NO_x is reduced to N₂ and CO is oxidized by copper oxide to give CO₂. By analysing the percentage of C, H and N, it is possible to determine the lipid, protein and carbohydrates content. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed with a NETZSCH STA 409 PC LUXX simultaneous thermal analyzer to determine the moisture, ash, volatile matter and residual carbon content. The samples were heated at 10 °C/min ramp in the range 25–850 °C under inert N₂ atmosphere. The data were compared with those obtained with a different thermal ramp, with two constant T regions for 1 h at 350 and 850 °C, respectively. Morphology of pyrolyzed products and elemental analyses were carried out through scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Zeiss EVO 50 EP) equipped with energy dispersive spectroscope (EDS) (Oxford instruments INCA x-sight detector). Microstructural investigation was performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Philips model PW1830. $K\alpha_1\text{Cu} = 1.54058 \text{ \AA}$).

2.4 Electrochemical characterization

The slurry for the casting process was prepared according to the following procedure. PVDF binder (Solef 6020, SOLVAY) was dissolved in *N*-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (Sigma-Aldrich) by overnight stirring. Afterwards, the pyrolyzed algae powder was mixed with carbon black (VULCAN XC-72, CABOT) and the two powders added to the dissolved PVDF. The relative ratio between the three components Algae:PVDF:CB was of 8:1:1. The obtained slurry was coated with a wet thickness of 100 μm on a copper foil using ELCOMETER 4340 film applicator and electrodes

were dried in vacuum oven at 120 °C overnight. The half coin cells (CR2032) were assembled in argon-filled glove box (MBraun LABstar) using metallic sodium as counter electrode, 1 M sodium perchlorate (Sigma-Aldrich) in a 1:1 volume ratio mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) (Sigma-Aldrich) as electrolyte. To set an experimental benchmark, a synthetic HC (SHC) (CARBON-TRON P, KUREHA CORPORATION) was used as active material.

3 Results and discussion

Chlorella vulgaris is a unicellular fresh water algal species, with spherical shape of average dimension between 5 and 10 μm. Its high lipidic content (over 40%) makes it attractive also in the development of biofuels and, as overall, an ideal candidate for an almost zero-waste by-product process. CHN analysis of *Chlorella vulgaris* revealed a carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen atomic content of 48.6%, 7.9% and 7.2%, respectively [23]. This biomass presents almost a tenfold concentration of nitrogen compared to the typical concentration of lignocellulosic biomasses, but similar concentration in carbon and hydrogen. Precursor carbonization process is a critical step with a twofold aim: maximize yields of final solid residue for the potential industrial competitiveness of HC obtained by biomass pyrolysis and provide a carbon residue with enhanced or comparable electrochemical performances with respect to the conventional HC material. As a consequence, the effect of thermal ramps on the pyrolysis process was investigated up to 850 °C, because at higher temperature, structure rearrangements take place instead of pyrolysis. TGA, DTG, and DSC curves of the *Chlorella vulgaris* seaweed are reported in Fig. 1a for the single-step thermal ramp. The continuous weight loss, occurring up to 150 °C and counted to 8.30 %, was related to moisture and non-bonded water release, as typical for hygroscopic materials. The DSC data confirmed this behaviour, presenting an endothermic peak in the same temperature interval. The second weight loss occurs at various extent in a broad range of temperatures starting from 350 to 800 °C. In this region, decomposition of proteins, lipids and carbohydrates overlaps [24]. According to other studies and coherently with our results, fragmentation of hydrocarbon chains of fatty acids occurred up to ~400 °C, corresponding with the peak in mass loss around 330 °C. DSC coherently confirms a spontaneous thermal degradation process, with an exothermic peak following the 330 °C DTG one. Further, slower mass loss above 500 °C was probably related to partial decomposition of carbonaceous residue. The final solid char at 850 °C was 24.20%.

As reported in other studies, a viscous liquid condensed in the cold part of the phial from 350 °C, due to the partial




Fig. 1 TGA and DSC analyses on *Chlorella vulgaris* with a single-step thermal ramp (a) and 4-step thermal ramp (b) together with the thermal profile (b)

thermal degradation of lipids producing fatty acid esters and hydrocarbons [25, 26]. A complete decomposition of long-chain lipids is instead required to simultaneously extract useful precursor for bio-oils and to enhance yield of final

carbon residue. As consequence, *Chlorella vulgaris* was then pyrolyzed by adding two stasis times for 1 h at 350 °C and 850 °C. The thermal profile is reported in Fig. 1b, together with related TGA, DTG, and DSC profile. As clear from the TGA curve, a 15% weight loss occurred during the stasis at 350 °C, allowing a much slower mass loss and exothermicity compared to the previous case as evidenced by DTG and DSC profile. Moreover, the last stasis at 850 °C resulted in further 3% mass loss, producing a better quality of final char residue, likely going along with structural rearrangement as suggested by endothermic trend of DSC [27]. It is noteworthy that the final yield of carbon residue was similar with the two different carbonization profiles. However, the two-step profile may be advantageous in terms of cleanliness and morphological homogeneity of the hard carbon, as well as for the possibility of recovering a high amount of bio-oil products with a potential use as energy source. SEM images of the algae precursor and carbonaceous residue of pyrolysis carried out at 850 and 1100 °C are reported in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2a, the peculiar shape of *Chlorella vulgaris* is visible as spherical particles of few tens of μm size. After pyrolysis, the spheroidal shape was replaced by smaller non-uniform agglomerates with highly corrugated surfaces for both AHC850 and AHC1100, as shown in Fig. 2b and c, respectively.

EDS analysis performed on AHC1100 disclosed the presence of significant quantities of Mg and P. These heteroatoms are abundant in *Chlorella vulgaris* and present in final carbon residue as minor secondary phases. XRD analyses on pyrolyzed products were compared with respect to the SHC taken as benchmark material, and the relative patterns are reported in Fig. 2d. SHC showed the

Fig. 2 SEM images of dried algae before pyrolysis (a) and after pyrolysis process at 850 °C (b) and 1100 °C (c). Scale bars are 10 μm for (a) and (b) and 5 μm for (c). The EDS spectrum relative to the 1100 °C pyrolyzed algae is reported in the inset of (c). XRD patterns for SHC, AHC850 and AHC1100 powders (d)




Table 1 Values of peak position relative to (002) plane, interlayer distance (d_{002}), average crystallite thickness (L_c) and average number of stacked graphene layers (N). The K shape factor used for L_c calculation was assumed to be 0.89, as suggested by Lu et al. [28]

	2Theta (deg)	d-spacing (nm)	L_c (nm)	N
SHC	23.36	0.38	11.64	3.06
AHC1100	24.23	0.37	12.81	3.49
AHC850	26.07	0.34	6.86	2.01

typical diffraction pattern of HC materials, with the two broad peaks at around 26° and 44° corresponding to the (002) and (101) planes, respectively. Analogously, algal pyrolyzed powder had a similar structure, but the AHC850 clearly showed more amorphous phase content due to the much broader (002) peak. In Table 1, the parameters relative to interlayer distance (d_{002}), the average thickness of the order-layered nanodomains (L_c) and number of stacked graphene layers (N , with $N = L_c/d_{002}$) are reported. The d_{002} value is 0.38 nm for SHC and 0.37 nm and 0.34 nm for AHC1100 and AHC850, respectively; values well above the 0.335 nm typical of graphite. The crystallite thickness was calculated by Debye-Scherrer equation, revealing similar values for SHC and AHC1100, 0.11 nm and 0.12 nm, respectively, and relatively low as 0.68 nm for AHC850. This difference is reasonable considering that at higher pyrolysis temperature, partial reconstruction of graphenic domains in more short range ordered structure takes place [29]. Moreover, the presence of impurities and secondary phases was clearly detected in *Chlorella vulgaris*-derived HC, as already evidenced by EDS analysis. In particular, secondary peaks can be associated to residues of minerals of magnesium and phosphate. Very similar results were observed by Meng et al. after the pyrolysis of blue-green algae, suggesting a peculiarity in pyrolysis residues composition for this kind of biowaste sources [14]. Increasing pyrolysis temperature likely promotes partial secondary phases decomposition and recrystallization that clearly results in crystalline MgO as evidenced by the raise of intense (200) peak at 42.9° . However, phosphorus, known to be commonly present in high quantity in algae, could also be present as a substitute of carbon in random site along the carbon skeleton [24]. The use of carbon-based structures doped with phosphorus as anodic active materials in both Li and Na batteries is widely reported in the literature [30–32] and their synergistic combination leads to high specific reversible capacities upon cycling and coulombic efficiency close to 100%. On the other hand, MgO has been reported to act as templating agent in the interstices between different carbon planes, allowing the formation of a uniform porosity and facilitating the cyclic intercalation of the relatively big sodium ions and buffering the volume change accommodation upon cycling [33, 34].





Fig. 3 a Specific capacity and coulombic efficiency for SHC electrodes casted at $100\ \mu\text{m}$, cycled at $25\ \text{mA g}^{-1}$. First discharge profile is shown in inset figure. In (b) the 3rd charge/discharge cycle is reported, and the 2-stage discharge profile is evidenced

The SHC electrode was first investigated as benchmark. In Fig. 3a, the specific capacity and coulombic efficiency for the SHC electrode are reported. The SHC electrode cycled at $25\ \text{mA g}^{-1}$ showed quite high initial discharge capacity, reaching a value of around $340\ \text{mAh g}^{-1}$, followed by a sharp specific capacity drop in the subsequent cycles. This is usually associated with the irreversible reaction occurring at the anode/electrolyte interface leading to the solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) formation [35]. As previously reported, this thin heterogeneous layer originates from the electrochemical reduction of C=O groups of carbonate electrolytes in the 0.5–0.4 V vs. Na^+/Na range, resulting in both organic and inorganic byproducts as sodium ethylenedicarbonate and sodium carbonate [36, 37].

In general, SHC electrodes showed the typical 2-stage discharge profile of sodiation of HC materials, clearly visible in Fig. 3b. The exact nature of this behaviour is still under debate, but it is conventionally attributed to the intercalation–pore filling mechanism or the adsorption–intercalation–pore filling

mechanism [38]. In general, the overall Na^+ storage reaction in HC can be expressed as follows:



where “site” can be defects, micropores or graphitic domain interlayers according to the distinct mechanism. The sloped Region I is due to the capacity contribution from HC

sodiation, while the plateau-like Region II comes from the filling of nanoporosities between randomly stacked layers. According to the second mechanism, sodiation and pore filling occur in the plateau-like region, while the adsorption at defects is responsible for the sloped capacity. However, specific capacity rapidly decreased from 230 to 150 mAh g^{-1} in few cycles, but almost stabilized at around 170–160 mAh g^{-1} up to the 50th cycle, as an indication of a good cycling




Fig. 4 **a** SEM image of the cross-section of the AHC850 electrode casted at 100 μm . As assumed, all the electrodes have similar dried thickness. Cyclic voltammeters for AHC850 (**b**) and AHC1100 (**c**) in the 2.5–0.01 V vs. Na^+/Na range at 0.5 mV s^{-1} . In (**d**) the specific capacity and CE of the AHC850 and the first 20 cycles for AHC1100 are reported, with first discharge profiles in inset figure. At bottom-

left (**e**) the discharge profiles of the 5th cycle are shown to highlight the different electrochemical behaviour. The inset image schematically represents the different Na^+ storage mechanism according to *Chlorella Vulgaris* pyrolysis temperature. In bottom-right image (**f**) the current rate test is shown and only the last initial 10 cycles at 25 mAh g^{-1} are reported

stability. The SEM image of Fig. 4a depicts the section of the dried AHC850 electrode casted at 100 μm thickness on the copper current collector. As evident, the final thickness of the dried electrode is reduced to $\sim 70 \mu\text{m}$ and the few tens μm AHC particles are visible and uniformly distributed. Cyclic voltammograms for both AHC850 and AHC1100 are reported in Fig. 4b, d, showing a similar behaviour representative of the adsorption/insertion mechanism below 0.5 V vs. Na^+/Na . The specific capacity and coulombic efficiency values for the AHC850 electrode are reported in Fig. 4c, along with the relative value of the first 20 cycles of AHC1100. The AHC850 specific capacity rapidly dropped from 220 mAh g^{-1} of the first discharge to 91 mAh g^{-1} of second cycle. After few cycles of capacity recovery, usually associated to stabilization cycles resulting in better electrification and activation of active material, the capacity monotonically decreased up to the 50th cycle corresponding to a low value of 67 mAh g^{-1} . The slow capacity fade could be due to a reduction of the redox active sites, likely caused by the cycling-induced strain on the electrode or SEI accumulation producing an occlusion of pores in the electrode matrix, de-electrification or deactivation of redox sites [36]. The AHC1100 electrode showed a remarkable improvement in capacity performance with respect to AHC850.

The high but irreversible first discharge capacity was likely associated to Na^+ reaction with impurities and secondary phases rather than the high surface area. Many authors reported a decrease of specific area and simultaneous expansion of nano and mesoporosity dimensions by increasing pyrolysis temperature of biological precursor in the 1000–1500 $^\circ\text{C}$ range [39–41]. The electrochemical behaviour was drastically improved with respect to the low-temperature pyrolysis sample. The initial 2nd cycle capacity value of 220 mAh g^{-1} was more than doubled with respect to AHC850 electrode and it decreased quite linearly, but very slowly in the subsequent cycles, remaining just below 200 mAh g^{-1} at the 20th cycle. The difference in electrochemical performances can be finely appreciated by considering the discharge profiles in Fig. 4d, highlighting a significant difference in the electrochemical behaviour. For the AHC850 electrode, the Region I-related capacity was by far predominant on the plateau-characteristic Region II, which is almost negligible. In accordance with previous works [13, 42], the transition occurs at $\sim 0.1 \text{ V}$ vs. Na^+/Na allowing to estimate the contribution of the intercalation/pore filling mechanism as the 28.8% of the total capacity. This behaviour could be explained considering the low final pyrolysis temperature that resulted in a relatively thin interlayer distance, as revealed by XRD, which is not suitable for the full exploitation of the Na^+ intercalation contribution. Moreover, moderate pyrolysis temperature usually yields in a high specific area biochar with higher defectivity that may promote capacitive contribution [43, 44]. In summary, the

minor capacity of low pyrolysis temperature derived hard carbon can be addressed precisely to the absence of the plateau contribution. As opposite, performing pyrolysis at 1100 $^\circ\text{C}$ resulted in HC material that clearly showed a broad and flat contribution in Region II, counting for the 58.9% of the total capacity. Reasonably, the increased interlayer distance allowed sodium ion intercalation between graphenic planes. Moreover, both the secondary phase pseudo-capacity, evident in the 0.5–0.2 V vs. Na^+/Na range, and adsorption at microporosities occurring at $\text{V} < 0.2\text{--}0.1 \text{ V}$ vs. Na^+/Na unlocked a further capacity contribution with respect to AHC850 electrode. The rate performances of the AHC1100 electrode were investigated by cycling it at different current density for 10 cycles each. Figure 4e clearly shows the excellent capacity retention and cycle stability at high current density. Capacity was reduced to only 175, 160, and 140 mAh g^{-1} at increasing current density and these results are coherent with the AHC microstructure refinements, together with good electrical conductivity due to better graphenic layers formation at higher pyrolysis temperature. Moreover, a remarkable and almost complete capacity recovery was obtained when the initial current was again imposed, with an average specific capacity of about 200 mAh g^{-1} . This value was well above the respective 50th cycle both of the AHC850 and, in particular, SHC electrode, demonstrating that *Chlorella vulgaris*-derived HC can be a candidate for the next-generation HC for high-performance Na-ion batteries. In summary, our results clearly indicate that the carbonization profile of the starting algal material has to be carried out at high temperatures, e.g. 1000 $^\circ\text{C}$ and above. In this way, a stable and open structure hard carbon is formed with high specific activity. Moreover, no high-MW residues are present, yielding a clean surface structure, thus preventing any hindering of the exposed surface sites.

4 Conclusion

In this study, the use of HC carbon derived from low cost and *Chlorella vulgaris* algal biomass has been experimentally proved as a high capacity and stable anodic active materials for Na-ion battery electrodes. The controlled pyrolysis of lab grown algae allows to obtain HC open structures suitable for Na^+ intercalation. The effect of pyrolysis temperature on final electrochemical performances was highlighted as crucial parameter for the development of high-performance Na batteries. Algae-derived HC surpasses synthetic HC electrodes in terms of both specific capacity and capacity retention upon cycling. Moreover, the advantages derived from using this biomass material are, therefore, not only in terms of improved electrochemical performances, but in

lower production costs and reduced environmental impact with respect to synthetic materials.

Acknowledgements Fondazione Banca Monte di Lombardia - “Abissi” project is gratefully acknowledged for its financial support.

Funding Open access funding provided by Politecnico di Milano within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Van Noorden R (2014) The rechargeable revolution: a better battery. *Nature News* 507:26. <https://doi.org/10.1038/507026a>
2. Yabuuchi N, Kubota K, Dahbi M, Komaba S (2014) Research development on sodium-ion batteries. *Chem Rev* 114:11636–11682. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500192f>
3. Chang G, Zhao Y, Dong L et al (2020) A review of phosphorus and phosphides as anode materials for advanced sodium-ion batteries. *J Mater Chem A* 8:4996–5048. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA12169B>
4. Lao M, Zhang Y, Luo W et al (2017) Alloy-based anode materials toward advanced sodium-ion batteries. *Adv Mater* 29:1700622. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201700622>
5. Tomboc GM, Wang Y, Wang H et al (2021) Sn-based metal oxides and sulfides anode materials for Na ion battery. *Energy Storage Mater*. 39:21–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2021.04.009>
6. Lei Y-J, Yan Z-C, Lai W-H et al (2020) Tailoring MXene-based materials for sodium-ion storage: synthesis, mechanisms, and applications. *Electrochem Energy Rev* 3:766–792. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-020-00079-y>
7. Irisarri E, Ponrouch A, Palacin MR (2015) Review—hard carbon negative electrode materials for sodium-ion batteries. *J Electrochem Soc* 162:A2476. <https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0091514jes>
8. Dahn JR, Xing W, Gao Y (1997) The “falling cards model” for the structure of microporous carbons. *Carbon* 35:825–830. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6223\(97\)00037-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6223(97)00037-7)
9. Yu P, Tang W, Wu F-F et al (2020) Recent progress in plant-derived hard carbon anode materials for sodium-ion batteries: a review. *Rare Met* 39:1019–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12598-020-01443-z>
10. Zhu J, Roscow J, Chandrasekaran S et al (2020) Biomass-derived carbons for sodium-ion batteries and sodium-ion capacitors. *ChemSusChem* 13:1275–1295. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201902685>
11. Wang N, Liu Q, Sun B et al (2018) N-doped catalytic graphitized hard carbon for high-performance lithium/sodium-ion batteries. *Sci Rep* 8:9934. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28310-3>
12. Wang X, Wang S, Shen K et al (2020) Phosphorus-doped porous hollow carbon nanorods for high-performance sodium-based dual-ion batteries. *J Mater Chem A* 8:4007–4016. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA11246D>
13. Alvin S, Chandra C, Kim J (2020) Extended plateau capacity of phosphorus-doped hard carbon used as an anode in Na- and K-ion batteries. *Chem Eng J* 391:123576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123576>
14. Meng X, Savage PE, Deng D (2015) Trash to treasure: from harmful algal blooms to high-performance electrodes for sodium-ion batteries. *Environ Sci Technol* 49:12543–12550. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b03882>
15. Dou X, Hasa I, Saurel D, Jauregui M, Buchholz D, Rojo T, Passerini S (2018) Impact of the acid treatment on lignocellulosic biomass hard carbon for sodium-ion battery anodes. *ChemSusChem* 11:3276–3285. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201801148>
16. Wu L, Buchholz D, Vaalma C et al (2016) Apple-biowaste-derived hard carbon as a powerful anode material for na-ion batteries. *ChemElectroChem* 3:292–298. <https://doi.org/10.1002/celec.201500437>
17. Lotfabad EM, Ding J, Cui K et al (2014) High-density sodium and lithium ion battery anodes from banana peels. *ACS Nano* 8:7115–7129. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nn502045y>
18. Lv W, Wen F, Xiang J et al (2015) Peanut shell derived hard carbon as ultralong cycling anodes for lithium and sodium batteries. *Electrochim Acta* 176:533–541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.07.059>
19. Zhu Y, Chen M, Li Q et al (2018) A porous biomass-derived anode for high-performance sodium-ion batteries. *Carbon* 129:695–701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2017.12.103>
20. Gao C, Wang Q, Luo S et al (2019) High performance potassium-ion battery anode based on biomorphic N-doped carbon derived from walnut septum. *J Power Sources* 415:165–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.01.073>
21. Han J, Lee K, Choi MS et al (2019) Chlorella-derived activated carbon with hierarchical pore structure for energy storage materials and adsorbents. *Carbon Lett* 29:167–175. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42823-019-00018-y>
22. Liberale F, Dossi C, Bettinetti R et al (2017) Algae derived electrodes for rechargeable Na-ion batteries: materials characterization and electrochemical performances. *ECS Trans* 80:349. <https://doi.org/10.1149/08010.0349ecst>
23. Binda G, Spanu D, Bettinetti R et al (2020) Comprehensive comparison of microalgae-derived biochar from different feedstocks: a prospective study for future environmental applications. *Algal Res* 52:102103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2020.102103>
24. Bach Q-V, Chen W-H (2017) Pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of microalgae via thermogravimetric analysis (TGA): a state-of-the-art review. *Biores Technol* 246:88–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.087>
25. Kebelmann K, Hornung A, Karsten U, Griffiths G (2013) Intermediate pyrolysis and product identification by TGA and Py-GC/MS of green microalgae and their extracted protein and lipid components. *Biomass Bioenerg* 49:38–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.12.006>
26. Gong X, Zhang B, Zhang Y et al (2014) Investigation on pyrolysis of low lipid microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Dunaliella salina*. *Energy Fuels* 28:95–103. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ef401500z>
27. Demirbas A (2004) Effects of temperature and particle size on bio-char yield from pyrolysis of agricultural residues. *J Anal Appl Pyrol* 72:243–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2004.07.003>
28. Lu H, Ai F, Jia Y et al (2018) Exploring sodium-ion storage mechanism in hard carbons with different microstructure prepared by ball-milling method. *Small* 14:1802694. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201802694>
29. Marsh H, Rodriguez-Reinoso F (2006) Activated carbon, 1st edn. Elsevier Science, New York

30. Ding J, Zhang Y, Huang Y et al (2021) Sulfur and phosphorus co-doped hard carbon derived from oak seeds enabled reversible sodium spheres filling and plating for ultra-stable sodium storage. *J Alloy Compd* 851:156791. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.156791>
31. Li Z, Ma L, Surta TW et al (2016) High capacity of hard carbon anode in Na-ion batteries unlocked by POx doping. *ACS Energy Lett* 1:395–401. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.6b00172>
32. Li Y, Wang Z, Li L et al (2016) Preparation of nitrogen- and phosphorous co-doped carbon microspheres and their superior performance as anode in sodium-ion batteries. *Carbon* 99:556–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2015.12.066>
33. Konno H, Onishi H, Yoshizawa N, Azumi K (2010) MgO-templated nitrogen-containing carbons derived from different organic compounds for capacitor electrodes. *J Power Sources* 195:667–673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.07.039>
34. Zhu C, Akiyama T (2016) Cotton derived porous carbon via an MgO template method for high performance lithium ion battery anodes. *Green Chem* 18:2106–2114. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5GC02397A>
35. Chen L, Fiore M, Wang JE et al (2018) Readiness level of sodium-ion battery technology: a materials review. *Adv. Sustain. Syst.* 2:1700153. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.201700153>
36. Carboni M, Manzi J, Armstrong AR et al (2019) Analysis of the solid electrolyte interphase on hard carbon electrodes in sodium-ion batteries. *ChemElectroChem* 6:1745–1753. <https://doi.org/10.1002/celec.201801621>
37. Pan Y, Zhang Y, Parimalam BS et al (2017) Investigation of the solid electrolyte interphase on hard carbon electrode for sodium ion batteries. *J Electroanal Chem* 799:181–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2017.06.002>
38. Dou X, Hasa I, Saurel D et al (2019) Hard carbons for sodium-ion batteries: structure, analysis, sustainability, and electrochemistry. *Mater Today* 23:87–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2018.12.040>
39. Izanar I, Dahbi M, Kiso M et al (2018) Hard carbons issued from date palm as efficient anode materials for sodium-ion batteries. *Carbon* 137:165–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2018.05.032>
40. Dahbi M, Kiso M, Kubota K et al (2017) Synthesis of hard carbon from argan shells for Na-ion batteries. *J Mater Chem A* 5:9917–9928. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA01394A>
41. Cao B, Liu H, Xu B et al (2016) Mesoporous soft carbon as an anode material for sodium ion batteries with superior rate and cycling performance. *J Mater Chem A* 4:6472–6478. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6TA00950F>
42. Alvin S, Yoon D, Chandra C et al (2019) Revealing sodium ion storage mechanism in hard carbon. *Carbon* 145:67–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2018.12.112>
43. Qin C, Wang H, Yuan X et al (2020) Understanding structure-performance correlation of biochar materials in environmental remediation and electrochemical devices. *Chem Eng J* 382:122977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122977>
44. Matei Ghimbeu C, Górká J, Simone V et al (2018) Insights on the Na + ion storage mechanism in hard carbon: discrimination between the porosity, surface functional groups and defects. *Nano Energy* 44:327–335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2017.12.013>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.