

The value of 3D-speckle tracking longitudinal strain for the assessment of left ventricular function recovery in ischemic heart failure patients undergoing surgical remodeling: the RECOVERY-IN study

S. Castelvichio¹, M. Frigelli², F. Sturla², M. Citarella¹, O.A. Pappalardo², V. Milani³, F. Guastafierro¹, L. Menicanti¹, E. Votta⁴

¹IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, Department of Cardiac Surgery, Milan, Italy; ²IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, 3D and Computer Simulation Laboratory, San Donato Milanese, Italy; ³IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, Scientific Directorate, San Donato Milanese, Italy; ⁴Politecnico di Milano, Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Milan, Italy

On behalf of RECOVER-IN

Funding Acknowledgement: Type of funding source: Private hospital(s). Main funding source(s): IRCCS Policlinico San Donato is a clinical research hospital partially funded by the Italian Ministry of Health.

Background: Three-dimensional (3D) speckle-tracking echocardiography is largely employed to evaluate left ventricle (LV) morphology and function.

Purpose: To investigate LV function before and after surgical ventricular reconstruction (SVR) through the analysis of global (GLS) and segmental (SLS) longitudinal strain, and the derived mechanical dispersion (MD).

Methods: Twenty patients eligible for SVR, with previous LV remodelling and ischemic heart failure (HF), received 3D echocardiographic evaluation before SVR and at 6-months follow-up; 15 normal controls, matched by age and BSA, were enrolled. Standard off-line GLS analysis was performed with 4D LV-ANALYSIS[®]; advanced segmental analysis was accomplished automatically through in-house numerical post-processing.

Results: Before SVR, GLS deteriorated compared to normal subjects

(-6.7% vs. -19.6%, $P < 0.0001$) as confirmed by SLS at each LV segment basal, mid and apical level ($P < 0.0001$); MD was higher than in controls ($P < 0.001$) and markedly increased from basal to apical LV segment. After SVR, GLS significantly improved from -6.7% to -11.3% ($P < 0.0001$). Analysis of variance showed that SLS recovery was higher in the basal region (7.25%) than in both mid (4.06%, $P = 0.001$) and apical (1.92%, $P < 0.0001$) segments, respectively, with adjustment for baseline values.

Conclusions: After SVR, LV longitudinal strain mostly improves in the basal segments, outlining the role of the remote myocardium in enhancing LV function through an extensive volume reduction; post-surgical MD reduction indicates a more homogeneous myocardial contraction.

| | Normal | Pre-SVR | Post-SVR | P-value | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | | | | Pre-SVR vs. Normal | Pre-SVR vs. Post-SVR | Post-SVR vs. Normal | |
| EDVi [ml/m ²] | 63.1 (58.7, 70.3) | 121.4 (99.2, 152.9) | 79.3 (64.6, 104.5) | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0085 | |
| ESVi [ml/m ²] | 25.0 (20.9, 27.0) | 90.8 (67.6, 126.7) | 51.6 (34.9, 64.6) | <0.0001 | 0.0002 | <0.0001 | |
| EF [%] | 60.0 (59.1, 65.8) | 27.1 (21.4, 33.3) | 42.3 (28.5, 44.1) | <0.0001 | 0.0009 | <0.0001 | |
| GLS [%] | -19.6 (-20.8, -17.4) | -6.7 (-9.5, -5.3) | -11.3 (-12.3, -9.6) | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | |
| SLS [%] | Basal | -19.3 (-20.5, -17.1) | -9.4 (-11.0, -6.8) | -12.9 (-15.7, -10.6) | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| | Mid | -18.2 (-20.5, -16.6) | -5.8 (-8.4, -2.9) | -10.6 (-12.0, -7.9) | <0.0001 | 0.0010 | <0.0001 |
| | Apical | -18.6 (-21.6, -17.0) | -3.4 (-7.2, -0.7) | -7.6 (-9.4, -5.11) | <0.0001 | 0.0064 | <0.0001 |
| MD [ms] | Basal | 36 (27, 48) | 64 (45, 104) | 45 (30, 61) | 0.0024 | 0.0049 | 0.4993 |
| | Mid | 28 (24, 30) | 79 (59, 140) | 60 (42, 107) | <0.0001 | 0.0172 | <0.0001 |
| | Apical | 22 (19, 29) | 113 (78, 178) | 85 (42, 131) | <0.0001 | 0.0136 | <0.0001 |

Data expressed as median (IQR). Wilcoxon test: Pre-SVR vs Post-SVR; Mann-Whitney U test: Pre-SVR vs Normal, Post-SVR vs Normal.

