

# **Pedagogy for Higher Education Large Classes (PHELC)**



**Proceedings of the Third PHELC  
Symposium  
Online Event, 25th June 2021**

**Editors:  
Dr. Anna Logan and Ann Marie Farrell**

Pedagogy for Higher Education Large Classes(PHELC)

Proceedings of the Third PHELC Symposium

Online Event, 25th June 2021

Editors:

Dr. Anna Logan and Ann Marie Farrell

Funded by

*The National Forum for the Enhancement of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*

([www.teachingandlearning.ie/](http://www.teachingandlearning.ie/))

Published by: Dublin City University

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5718275

ISBN: 978-1-911669-31-9

PHELC Website: [www.phelc.ie](http://www.phelc.ie)

PHELC Papers: <https://zenodo.org/communities/phelc/?page=1&size=20>

Cover photo by: Sarah Dorweiler on Unsplash

# Contents

---

<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>Symposium participants</b>	2
<b>Itinerary</b>	6
<b>‘That was fun’: The joy and importance of large classes</b>	8
James Arvanitakis, Western Sydney University	
<b>Building meaningful connections with large, online classes</b>	13
Yuhui Gao, Dublin City University	
<b>Enhancing students’ feedback literacy through peer-review in large classes</b>	19
Mai Burke Hayes, Mary Immaculate College, Limerick	
<b>The opportunities and challenges of emergency remote teaching for large class students during the COVID-19 pandemic</b>	25
Seán Smyth, Karen Buckley, Ann Marie Farrell, Mark Glynn, Rob Lowney, Suzanne Stone. Dublin City University	
<b>Using UDL to redesign face-to-face, large class modules for the online, asynchronous environment</b>	31
David Kennedy, Dublin City University	
<b>The experience of drawing courses in higher education, large classes during the covid-19 pandemic scenario</b>	38
Flora Gaetani & Fausto Brevi, Politecnico di Milano	
<b>Flipping the flipped classroom online</b>	45
Fiona Giblin, Dublin City University	
<b>MOOC on bachelor’s degree final project (TFG): Prototyping and design</b>	51
Maria Angeles Escobar-Álvarez & Aurelia Carranza- Márquez, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia	
<b>A problem-based group task for exploring quantitative research design and analysis: facilitating collaborative problem-solving with large classes online</b>	56
Jamal Lahmar, University of Sheffield	
<b>The positive impact of educational technologies in a large class context</b>	62
Monica Ward, Dublin City University	
<b>PHELC 2021: Summary of workshop output</b>	66
Ann Marie Farrell and Anna Logan, School of Inclusive and Special Education, Institute of Education, Dublin City University.	

## Introduction

Welcome to the proceedings of the third *Pedagogy for Higher Education Large Classes (PHELC) Symposium*. This year, the PHELC symposium was a stand-alone event. We were delighted to receive funding from the *National Forum for the Enhancement of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education in Ireland* (National Forum hereafter) which enabled all participants to register free of charge. Due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic the symposium was virtual once again. However, because of its virtual nature, PHELC attracted a greatly increased number of participants from all over the world, and the energy created by the enhanced number and diversity of backgrounds of participants led to a lively, vibrant and engaging event which focused on pedagogical practices and relationships.

Loosely, the theme of this year's event was on the positive aspects of large classes. We are indebted to our two keynote speakers both of whom embody that positivity of outlook in relation to large class teaching. Prof. James Arvanitakis opened the symposium with a presentation entitled *'That was fun! The pleasure and excitement of large class teaching'* which set the tone for the symposium from the outset. Prof. David Hornsby provided the second keynote address entitled *'Back to the future: Large classes in a time of pandemic'*, wherein he revisited his keynote from PHELC20 to explore the ongoing impact of the pandemic on large class pedagogical practices and extrapolated some lessons learned that might perhaps be carried forward as many higher education institutions transition large classes back to the face-to-face context. Both keynotes influenced the nature of the discussions in workshops towards the end of the symposium.

We were also delighted with the range of papers submitted for PHELC21 which were presented either as pre-recorded lightning talks or 'live' presentations of 10-15 minutes each, followed by panel discussions. We hope that the mix of engagement across the four hours of the PHELC symposium provided for the diversity of attendees.

And finally, well done to all our 'wheel of fortune' spot prize winners. The spot prizes have become a feature of the PHELC symposium which we hope to continue into the future.

We are unsure of the format for the fourth PHELC symposium but we can guarantee that there will be a fourth event in 2022. We have learned a lot from running the last two events online and we hope to harness the best of face-to-face and online engagement for PHELC22 ... watch this space!



**Anna Logan and Ann Marie Farrell**

**Editors**

## Symposium Participants

Name	Higher Education Institution
Dr. Hussam Achour	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Merja Alanko-Turunen	Haaga-Helia UAS, Finland
Dr. Ana Albalat Mascarell	Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain
Dr. Marta Elena Alonso De La Varga	University of Leon, Spain
Dr. M. Angeles Escobar-Alvarez	Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain
Amilcar Aponte	CCT College Dublin, Ireland
Dr. Inmaculada Aranaz	Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain
Dr. Ahmet Aypay	Anadolu Univ, Turkey
Dr. Bünyamin Bavlı	Yıldız Technical University, Turkey
Jess Beeley	University of Limerick, Ireland
Lucía Bellés-Calvera	Universitat Jaume I, Spain
Fred Bonatto	Swansea University, UK
Dr. Loveleen Brar	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Miriam Brennan	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
Caitriona Brennan	Bahrain Polytechnic, Bahrain
Dr. Rob Brennan	Dublin City University, Ireland
Prof. Fausto Brevi	Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Thomas Broderick	Munster Technological University, Ireland
Karen Buckley	Dublin City University, Ireland
Mai Burke Hayes	Mary Immaculate College, Ireland
Mariló Camacho-Díaz	Universidad de Huelva, Spain
Dr. Louise Campbell	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
Dr. Giovanna Carloni	University of Urbino, Italy
Dr. Aurelia Carranza Márquez	Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain
Dr. Subhas Chandra Bose	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Prof. Manmohan Chhibber	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Darren Clarke	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Owen Clarkin	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Fiona Concannon	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
Colin Cooney	Dundalk IT, Ireland
Dr. Manuel Costa	University of Minho, Portugal
Dr. Emma Coyle	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Shirley Coyle	Dublin City University, Ireland
María Del Carmen Ramos Ordóñez	Universidad de Córdoba, Spain
Joanna Delgado Rueda	University of Manchester, UK
Dr. Tania Dias Fonseca	Kingston University London, UK
Dr. Roisin Donnelly	Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
Dr. Karen Doyle	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
Dr. Shalini Dukhan	University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa
Vanessa Egan	University of Limerick, Ireland
Anna Espasa Valdepeñas	Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain
Juliet Eve	University of Brighton, UK

<b>Name</b>	<b>Higher Education Institution</b>
Dr. Alison Farrell	National Forum for the Enhancement of Teaching & Learning in Higher Education, Ireland
Dr. Therese Farrell	Dublin City University, Ireland
Ann Marie Farrell	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Maria Figueiredo	Polytechnic of Viseu, Portugal
Dr. Antoinette Flynn	University of Limerick, Ireland
Dr. Colum Foley	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Amanda Franco	Polytechnic of Viseu, Portugal
Dr. Flora Gaetani	Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Edel Gallagher	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Yuhui Gao	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Francisco J. García Tartera	Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain
Fiona Giblin	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Eurne Goicoechea	University of Deusto, Spain
Dr. María-José Gómez-Ortiz	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain
Dr. Neil Gordon	University of Hull, UK
Dr. Colette Grey	University of Limerick, Ireland
Maeliosa Griffin	Dublin City University, Ireland
Nuria Guasch	Regent's University London, UK
Patricia Guill Garcia	Universitat de València, Spain
Dr. Michael Hallissy	H2 Learning, Ireland
Dr. David Hamill	Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
Thomas Hanley	University of London City, UK
Dr. Zakareya Hasan	Bahrain Polytechnic, Bahrain
Anne-Marie Heymink	Queensland University of Technology, Australia
Claire Hopkins	The Open Training College, Ireland
Susan Hourican	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Elaine Huber	The University of Sydney, Australia
Dr. Ali Intizar	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Eva Lucía Jiménez-Navarro	Universidad de Córdoba, Spain
Dr. Gurvinder Kaur	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Gagandeep Kaur	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Kate Kelly	Victoria University, Australia
Prof. John Kelly	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
David Kennedy	Dublin City University, Ireland
Prof. Rajesh Khanna	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Mithilesh Kumar	Christ University, India
Jamal Lahmar	The University of Sheffield, UK
Dr. Tanya Levingstone	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Weiming Liu	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Anna Logan	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Juan Lopez-Cotarelo	University of Warwick, UK
Dr. Rosemary Lyons	University Of Limerick, Ireland
Dr. Cek Maclean	University of Warwick, UK
Kevin Maguire	Dublin City University, Ireland

<b>Name</b>	<b>Higher Education Institution</b>
Dr. Colette Maloney	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Viola Manokore	NorQuest College, Canada
Dr. Jennifer Martyn	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Jen McArthur	University College London, UK
Dr. Áine McKenna	Chevron, Ireland
Revd Dr. Robin McKenzie	University of Nottingham, UK
Dr. Siobhan McMahon	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
Dr. Jennifer McManis	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Greg McNamara	Dublin City University, Ireland
Douglas McRae	Norquest College, Canada
Prof. António Melo	Escola Superior Hotelaria e Turismo do Porto, Portugal
Dr. Ruth Mewis	Lancaster University, UK
Dr. Anna Michalska	University of Warwick, UK
Prof. Maria Morgan	Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Ireland
Dr. Anne Morrissey	Dublin City University, Ireland
Denis Moynihan	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Martha Mullally	Carleton University, Canada
Dr. Morag Munro	Maynooth University, Ireland
Michael Murphy	University College Cork, Ireland
Marie Neill	CCT College Dublin, Ireland
Dr. Micheal Newell	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
Prof. Meabh Ní Bhuinneáin	Mayo Medical Academy, NUI Galway, Ireland
Máire Ní Láimhín	Dublin City University, Ireland
Nick Noakes	Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Hong Kong
Dr. Pat O Malley	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Cora O'Farrell	Dublin City University & Godly Play, Ireland
Dr. Anastasiia Ogneva	Universidad de A Coruña, Spain
Dr. Tatyana Oleinik	H.S.Skovoroda National Pedagogical University, Ukraine
Dr. Rui Oliveira	University of Minho, Portugal
Naoimh O'Reilly	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Íde O'Sullivan	University of Limerick, Ireland
Patricia O'Sullivan	Higher Education Colleges Association, Ireland
Prof. Norbert Pachler	University College London, UK
Dr. Markus Pauli	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Raúl Peña-Ortiz	Universitat de València, Spain
Dr. Sandra Pereira	University of Warwick, UK
Dr. María Beatriz Pérez Cabello De Alba	Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain
Dot Powell	University of Warwick, UK
Dr. Meenakshi Rana	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Dwarikanath Ratha	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Ellen Reynor	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Angelica Riskey	University of Limerick, Ireland
Isabel Rodríguez Veiga	Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain
Dr. Jim Rogers	Dublin City University, Ireland



Name	Higher Education Institution
Fabrizio Ruggeri	Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain
Dr. Jivanta Schottli	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Ana Maria Seixas	FPCE University of Coimbra, Portugal
Dr. Rahamatullah Shaikh	University of Limerick, Ireland
Dr. Albina Silva Loureiro	University of Vigo, Portugal
Dr. Theresa Simpkin	University of Nottingham, UK
Dr. Karminder Singh	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Seán Smyth	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Olga Springer	Dublin City University, Ireland
Diana Stefanescu	University of Warwick, UK
Martha Stewart	Birmingham City University, UK
Suzanne Stone	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Fiona Strawbridge	University College London, UK
Lucy Sweetman	Bath Spa University, UK
Dr. Elaine Swift	University of Worcester, UK
Dr. Jagadeeswaran Thangaraj	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Holly Tootell	University of Wollongong, Australia
Dr. Gearóidín Uí Laighléis	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Paul Van Kampen	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Karun Verma	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Anoop Verma	Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, India
Dr. Styliani Vlachou	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Sinead Walsh	National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
Dr. Monica Ward	Dublin City University, Ireland
Dr. Tania Webb	De Montfort University, UK
Helen Whitehead	University of Nottingham, UK
Dr. Helen Williams	Technical University Dublin, Ireland
Prof. Jennie Winter	Plymouth Marjon University, UK
David Wooff	BPP University, UK
Dr. Paul Young	Dublin City University, Ireland



	<h2 style="text-align: center;">3rd Pedagogy for Higher Education Large Classes (PHELC) Symposium</h2> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>TIMETABLE 25 June 2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Facilitated by Dr Anna Logan and Ann Marie Farrell, Dublin City University</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Twitter: #PHELC21 @PHELCprofessors @AnnMFarrell @logananna11</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Please check your local time equivalent (timeanddate.com may be useful)</b></p>
<p>10.45-11.00 (Irish/British Standard Time)</p> <p>11.45-12.00 (Central European Time)</p> <p>17.45-18.00 (Hong Kong Time)</p> <p>05.45-06.00 (Eastern Daylight Time)</p> <p>19.45-20.00 (Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p><b>Log on / Registration</b></p> <p><i>We recommend that you log on to the zoom link at this time in case there are any difficulties.</i></p>
<p>11.00-11.15 (Irish/British Standard Time)</p> <p>12.00-12.15 (Central European Time)</p> <p>18.00-18.15 (Hong Kong Time)</p> <p>06.00-06.15 (Eastern Daylight Time)</p> <p>20.00-20.15 (Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p><b><u>Welcome:</u> Introduction to workshop content and participants</b></p> <p><b>Dr. Anna Logan (@logananna11) &amp; Ann Marie Farrell (@AnnMFarrell), Dublin City University</b></p>
<p>11.15-12.30 (Irish/British Standard Time)</p> <p>12.15-13.30 (Central European Time)</p> <p>18.15-19.30 (Hong Kong Time)</p> <p>06.15-07.30 (Eastern Daylight Time)</p> <p>20.15-21.30 (Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p><b><u>Keynote 1:</u> Prof. James Arvanitakis, Western Sydney University (@jarvanitakis)</b></p> <p><i>'That was fun': The joy and importance of large classes</i></p> <p><b><u>Short papers:</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr Yuhui Gao, Dublin City University (@gaodcu)</b> - <i>Building meaningful connections with large, online classes.</i></p> <p><b>Mai Burke Hayes, Mary Immaculate College, Limerick (@Mai_B_H)</b> - <i>Enhancing students' feedback literacy through peer-review in large classes.</i></p> <p><b>Seán Smyth et al., Dublin City University (@seansmyth98)</b> - <i>The opportunities and challenges of emergency remote teaching for large class students during the COVID-19 pandemic.</i></p> <p><b><u>Q&amp;A Session</u> (keynote and short paper presenters)</b></p>
<p>12.30-13.00 (Irish/British Standard Time)</p> <p>13.30-14.00 (Central European Time)</p> <p>19.30-20.00 (Hong Kong Time)</p> <p>07.30-08.00 (Eastern Daylight Time)</p> <p>21.30-22.00 (Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Coffee Break &amp; Wheel of Fortune (prizes)</b></p>

CONTINUED

<p>13.00-13.15 (Irish/British Standard Time)                  14.00-14.15 (Central European Time)                  20.00-20.15 (Hong Kong Time)                  08.00-08.15 (Eastern Daylight Time)                  22.00-22.15 (Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p><b>Lightning Talks:</b></p> <p><b>David Kennedy, Dublin City University (@daveikennedy)</b> - Using UDL to redesign face-to-face, large class modules for the online, asynchronous environment.</p> <p><b>Dr. Flora Gaetani &amp; Dr. Fausto Brevi, Politecnico, Milano</b> - The experience of drawing courses in higher education, large classes during the covid-19 pandemic scenario.</p> <p><b>Fiona Giblin, Dublin City University (@giblin_fiona)</b> - Flipping the flipped classroom online.</p> <p><b>Dr. Aurelia Carranza Marquez &amp; Dr. M. Angeles Escobar Álvarez, National Distance Education University, Madrid</b> - MOOC on bachelor's degree final project (TFG): Prototyping and design.</p> <p><b>Jamal Lahmar, University of Sheffield</b> - A problem-based group task for exploring quantitative research design and analysis: facilitating collaborative problem-solving with large classes online.</p> <p><b>Dr. Monica Ward, Dublin City University</b> - The positive impact of educational technologies in a large class context.</p>
<p>13.15-14.00 (Irish/British Standard Time)                  14.15-15.00 (Central European Time)                  20.15-21.00 (Hong Kong Time)                  08.15-09.00 (Eastern Daylight Time)                  22.15-23.00(Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p><b>Keynote 2: Prof. David J Hornsby, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada (@DavidJHornsby).</b></p> <p><i>Back to the Future: Large Classes in a time of Pandemic</i></p> <p><b>Q&amp;A Session (keynote and lightning talk presenters)</b></p>
<p>14.00-14.45 (Irish/British Standard Time)                  15.00-15.45 (Central European Time)                  21.00-21.45 (Hong Kong Time)                  09.00-09.45 (Eastern Daylight Time)                  23.00-23.45 (Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p><b>Workshop</b></p> <p><i>Themes TBA</i></p> <p><b>Plenary discussion:</b></p> <p><i>Discussion, conclusions and suggestions for future PHELC events</i></p>
<p>14.45 .... (Irish/British Standard Time)                  15.45 .... (Central European Time)                  21.45 .... (Hong Kong Time)                  09.45 .... (Eastern Daylight Time)                  23.45 .... (Australian Eastern Std Time)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Social Event &amp; Wheel of Fortune (again!)</b></p> <p><i>More spot prizes. Chat. Some sparkling drinks to celebrate the third PHELC symposium</i></p>

# The experience of drawing courses in higher education large classes during the Covid-19 pandemic scenario

**Flora Gaetani, Fausto Brevi**

Design Department, Politecnico di Milano, Italy.

## **Abstract**

*Representation techniques -in particular freehand drawing- in the Product Designer's activity play a fundamental role in describing the different phases of the project development: the "ideational" phase, the "intermediate" phase, and the "technical - documental" one.*

*Because of this role, at Politecnico di Milano Design School we are trying to improve the effectiveness of representation courses by innovation in teaching activities used with relatively large classes. The process started during the academic year 2017/2018 with the revision of two foundational courses of the first year of BSc in Product Design: "Drawing Studio" and "Methods and Instruments for Design".*

*In March 2020, the covid-19 pandemic forced us to change the methodologies and tools quickly. Some methods and tools used in the emergency have performed above expectations. This work aims to describe methods and tools used in a fully online teaching environment having to teach a "hands-on" subject such as freehand drawing in large classes.*

**Keywords:** Design representation; drawing; online teaching; product design; large class

## **1. Introduction**

In the early days of March 2020, just before starting the academic year (AY) 19/20 second term, the restrictions in free movements due to the covid-19 pandemic faced us with a significant challenge for university teaching. In the space of a few days, we had to retune all the didactical programs designed for traditional in-person education towards a fully online teaching environment.

The Politecnico di Milano university has invested heavily in acquiring software tools and training teachers with dedicated lectures and workshops in a short amount of time to support the teaching staff in this sudden transition. First, the university provided a framework of tools and general indications. Then, each professor had to develop specific procedures to adapt the content to the courses' needs.

This paper will investigate the tools and methods in which freehand sketching was taught in fully online teaching mode. Afterwards, a critical evaluation of these methods and tools will be made, and the effects they had on the students' work results will be analysed.

## **2. Literature Review**

The research has been based on the idea that design has a pervasive dimension and specific cognitive properties (Cross, 1982; Oxman; 1999; Schön, 1983) and that representation techniques in the product designer's activity play a structural role in describing better the different phases of the project development (Celaschi & Deserti, 2007): the "ideational" phase, the "intermediate" phase and the "technical/documental".

In this scenario, analogical freehand sketches still play an essential role in teaching the disciplines of representation. Freehand sketching on paper is still the most intuitive and fastest way for industrial designers to describe their ideas, visions, and draft projects (Henry, 2012).

For product designers, a good skill in freehand drawing is consequently crucial for two different reasons: for a better self-refinement of the initial idea because "to draw 'in order to' design also means drawing 'while' designing and designing 'while' drawing" (Maldonado, 1987, translated from p. 59) and for better communication of the idea (Pasca, 2010).

Therefore, the challenge we faced is how to teach freehand sketching online to a relatively large class (60/70 students) at a technical university while maintaining the experiences that took place during in-person teaching. These included watching the teacher drawing, delivering, revising, correcting sketches and, finally, debating with the students. Active learning is the best way to empower student engagement (Grunert, 1997) and maintaining the high levels of engagement and interaction between students and lecturers is fundamental

(Hornsby, 2020). The concept of what constitutes large in terms of higher education class size remains contested, and a large class may be understood very differently depending on the discipline of study and the nature of the learning task (Hornsby & Osman, 2014). In this context, teaching a very "hands-on" practical skill of freehand sketching to first-year students required very high levels of interaction between students and lecturer and ongoing formative assessment and feedback. Arguably, this represents a large class and challenging teaching and learning context in disciplinary and pedagogical terms. Additionally, from this experience, possible opportunities to make the teaching of drawing at the university level more effective will be assessed. Some of these opportunities made teaching more inclusive (Holmes, 2018) during the pandemic. This was true for some sensitive groups as well as the day-to-day issues students faced that were difficult to address individually in a large classroom. Lastly, methods and tools that can be used in ordinary teaching once this will be back carried out entirely in presence will be identified for a relatively large class (60/70 students) at a technical university while maintaining the experiences that took place during in-person teaching.

## **3. The Teaching and Learning Context**

In this paper, two courses were analysed: "Drawing Studio" in the first term and "Methods and Instruments for Design" in the second one. The number of students in each course was 60 and 70 respectively, with most of the students connected from Italy.

The purpose behind this work is to maintain or increase the high-quality of the Design School's teaching. The software initially made available by the university was Microsoft Teams (second term of AY 19/20). This software was then replaced by Cisco Webex Meetings (first term of AY 20/21). During the first two months, teaching was carried out in blended mode, with part of the students in the classroom and part online from remote locations; in November 2020, teaching switched entirely online due to the worsening of the health situation. A few considerations before describing the tools and methods used:


- The technical setup is essential. It should be as smooth as possible, and it should meet the demands of the teaching situation (Müller, 2020). The teacher's internet connection must be broadband, especially for uploading (video transfer).
- In addition to all tools made available by the university, teachers must be creative by adapting tools to his/her own needs.
- It is necessary to base the student's evaluation on objectives to be achieved instead of checking in an exam and searching for alternative approaches to evaluation (Hornsby, 2020).
- It is necessary to be aware that interactions need to be managed and guided much more in online situations.

Therefore, below is a description of the procedures chosen and their relationship to the teaching of freehand drawing.

### **3.1. Cameras**

Two cameras were used during the lessons: the first was the computer's webcam to frame the speaker, the second was a top-view camera pointed at the desk. During a typical lesson, the professor's webcam remained on, the top-view camera was switched on only as needed, and the students kept their webcams off.

While having the teacher's webcam switched on is a standard procedure in all courses, using a top-view webcam makes students see the body's movement during drawing operations. This method allows students to learn by seeing through their mirror neurons (Freedberg & Gallese, 2007; Cattaneo & Rizzolatti, 2009), which activate when we see an action being performed, as drawing is (fig. 1).



*Figure 1. Screenshot highlighting the screen sharing layout during exercise execution.*


Screen sharing was enabled with moving image optimisation: this compression tends to optimise the movement visualisation, maintaining a high framerate at the loss of the quality of the single-frame sent. However, the movement of the teacher's hand is transmitted with a sufficient degree of fluidity.

### **3.2. Recordings**

The recorded videos lasted four hours, as the duration of each lesson, because no post- production was ever done. In this way, the videos were made available in a short time. The possibility of recording videos was also used as off-line support for some communications or exercises' clarification. However, the recordings were not intended to substitute lessons attendance, except in exceptional cases due to health emergencies. The lesson, by its nature, must be attended in real-time because it is also made up of digressions, jokes and anecdotes, aimed at more significant involvement of students.

### **3.3. Assessments and Feedbacks**

The exercises submissions were made digitally. Two methods were used: the submission of the exercises made during the lesson time and the submission of the exercises made as homework. The submission of the exercises in the class had the additional purpose of verifying the confirmed attendance of students during lessons. The homework assignments were always launched with an explanation text, links to videos and websites that could further support. In both courses, they were also asked to complete a sketchbook with sketches and various graphic experiments.



*Figure 2. Reviewed drawing with tablet (red and blue strokes).*




As suggested in some studies of student's engagement, a more holistic, socially embedded conceptualisation of feedback given to students is needed (Price et al., 2011).

For this reason, a significant part of the course was allocated to collective feedbacks, which was made possible by online delivery and screen sharing. Occasionally, lecturers used a tablet to fix the submitted drawings (fig. 2) directly. The collective review was chosen to allow each student to understand their own mistakes: several feedbacks are the best way for students to overcome their difficulties.

### **3.4. Results**

The quality of students' work has been as good as, if not better than, the classes that had attended the course in previous years. In some cases, the difference between the drawings produced in the first few weeks and those delivered at the end of the course was genuinely remarkable (fig. 3).



*Figure 3. Comparison of a drawing made on the first day (left) and two made at the end of the course (right) by the same student.*

## **4. Conclusion**

For a product designer, the expressive ability through drawing is fundamental (Eissen & Steur, 2016). Therefore, it is necessary to develop and encourage this ability from the first lessons of the degree course. Even if assisted by digital technologies, drawing remains an analogical gesture that involves hand-eye coordination and the ability to perceive and analyse shapes and proportions (Coradeschi, 1986). Due to the manual nature of the subject, organising the two courses online was very complex and required a refinement of methodologies that have not yet fully achieved.



The isolation and distancing required to contain the effects of the covid-19 pandemic forced a review of many of the teaching methods usually used to adapt them to the online modes of remote teaching. In the case described in this paper, these changes have nevertheless made it possible to activate the use of specific tools and adopt certain methodologies that could bring a valuable improvement to classroom teaching once the current restrictions have been lifted.

The top-view camera has been the most successful tool to be maintained, also in face-to-face teaching. Indeed, using it instead of the blackboard allows all students to see in the same way and allows teachers to draw in the same position as students do.

Recordings should only be those necessary for the explanation of exercises. Regardless, recordings produced during lessons are not considered a substitute for attending the lesson in real-time. In order to include any students who are unable to attend the class for health reasons, whole lessons could be streamed.

The number of exercises and the collective reviews has proved to be an excellent teaching tool integrated with the horizontal teaching scenario in face-to-face courses. Overall, it is believed that this experience could become an essential source of innovation both within university teaching in general and in design teaching processes with relatively large cohorts.

## References

- Cattaneo, L., Rizzolatti, G. (2009). The mirror neuron system, *Neurological Review*, 66(5), pp. 557-560.
- Celaschi F., & Deserti A. (2007). *Design e innovazione. Strumenti e pratiche per la ricerca applicata*. Roma/IT: Carocci.
- Coradeschi S. (1986). *Il disegno per il design: il rilievo, le tecniche grafiche, l'invenzione*. Milano/IT: Ulrico Hoepli Editore.
- Cross N. (1982). Designerly ways of knowing, *Design Studies*, 3(4), 221-227. DOI: 10.1016/0142-694X(82)90040-0.
- Eissen K. & Steur R. (2016). *Sketching the basics*. Amsterdam/NL: BIS Publishers.
- Freedberg, D., Gallese, V. (2007). Motion, emotion and empathy in aesthetic experience, in *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(5) pp. 197-203.
- Grunert, J. (1997). *The Course Syllabus: A Learning-Centered Approach*. Bolton, MA: Anker Publishing Co, Inc.
- Henry, K. (2012). *Drawing for Product Designers*. London/UK: Laurence King Publishing Ltd.
- Holmes, N. (2018). Engaging with assessment: Increasing student engagement through continuous assessment. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 19(1): 23-34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417723230>.
- Hornsby, D. (2020). Moving large classes online: Principles for teaching, learning and assessment. *Proceedings of the Pedagogy for Higher Education Large Classes (PHELC) Symposium*, <https://zenodo.org/badge/DOI/10.5281/zenodo.3893426.svg>.

- Hornsby, D. & Osman, R. (2014). Massification in higher education: large classes and student learning. *Higher Education*, 67(6), pp. 711-719.
- Maldonado T. (1987). Questioni di similarità, *Rassegna*. 32, 57-61.
- Müller B. (2020, September 3). *Teaching design in times of Covid-19. Pragmatic reflections on how to teach design online*. Retrieved February 22, 2021, from <https://medium.com/@borism/teaching-design-in-times-of-covid-19-f95081cb2bf4>.
- Oxman R. (1999). Educating the designerly thinker, *Design Studies*, 20(2), 105-122. DOI: 10.1016/S0142-694X(98)00029-5.
- Pasca V. (2010). Il disegno, il design. *La mano del designer. The hand of the designer* (F. Serrazanetti F. & Shuber M. eds.). Milano/IT: Moleskine.
- Price, M., Handley, K., & Millar, J. (2011). Feedback: Focusing attention on engagement. In: *Studies in Higher Education*, 36(8), 879-896.
- Schön D. A. (1983). *The Reflective Practitioner*. New York/US: Basic



