


VOLUME 3
PROCEEDINGS

DRS LEARNxDESIGN 2021

Engaging with Challenges in Design Education



**6th International Conference
for Design Education Researchers**

24–26 September 2021
Jinan | China

Editors

Erik Bohemia
Liv Merete Nielsen
Lusheng Pan
Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi
Yang Zhang

20

Happy

Jody Nyboer
朱迪·妮波尔
Brad Hokanson
布拉德·霍坎森

Good Luck
in the Year
of the Ox
牛年大吉

Year

of the

Ox

21

Designed by: 张东景 Zhang Dongjing



Proceedings of the DRS LEARN X DESIGN 2021

6th International Conference for Design Education Researchers
Engaging with Challenges in Design Education

10th Anniversary of the International Conference for Design Education Researchers
国际设计教育学者大会10周年

Editors

Erik Bohemia
Liv Merete Nielsen
Lusheng Pan
Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi
Yang Zhang

First published 22 December 2021

Conference Design Identity and Cover Credit: Katja Thorning
Conference Secretary: Jianglong Yu

Zodiac Designs organised by Peiyuan Zhang and Rui Zhang

Zodiac Designers

梁雨荷 Yuhe Liang
郭梦楠 Mengnan Guo
陈元 Yuan Chen
刘昕昊 Xinhao Liu
姚梦雅 Mengya Yao
吴国强 Guoqiang Wu
纪青 Qing Ji
赵亮 Liang Zhao
谭玲 Ling Tan
郭萌 Meng Guo

杨迪凯 Dikai Yang
张东京 Dongjing Zhang
张博超 Bochao Zhang
赵子悦 Ziyue Zhao
张大立 Dali Zhang
徐晨蕾 Chenlei Xu
纪青 Qing Ji
刘鑫 Xin Liu
勇君文 Junwen Yong
柴维倩 Weiqian Chai

陈宇星 Yuxing Chen
朱日能 Rineng Zhu
王淼 Miao Wang
吴文越 Wenyue Wu
赵子悦 Ziyue Zhao
郑新遥 Xinyao Zheng
陈长社 Changshe Chen
潘越 Yue Pan
NAUFAN NOORDYANTO

Editors

Erik Bohemia
Liv Merete Nielsen
Lusheng Pan
Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi
Yang Zhang

Section Editors

Úrsula Bravo
Catalina Cortés
Jeannette LaFors
Fabio Andres Telle
Natalia Allende
Eva Lutnæs
Karen Brænne
Siri Homlong
Hanna Hofverberg
Ingvill Gjerdrum Maus
Laila Belinda Fauske
Janne Beate Reitan
Lesley-Ann Noel
Renata Marques Leitão

Hannah Kormeyer
Sucharita Beniwal
Woodrow W. Winchester III
Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi
Fatma Korkut
Gülşay Hasdoğan
Arild Berg
Camilla Groth
Fausto Medola
Kate Sellen
Juha Hartvik
Mia Porko-Hudd
Ingvild Digrane
Bryan F. Howell

Jan Willem Hoftijzer
Mauricio Novoa Muñoz
Mark Sypesteyn
Rik de Reuver
Katja Thoring
Nicole Lotz
Linda Keane
Yashar Kardar
Lilyana Yazirlioğlu
Ayşegül Özçelik
Sarpier Seydioglu
Yang Zhang
Ziyuan Wang
Xiang Xia

©2021 Editors and Authors

All rights reserved. Apart from fair dealing for the purposes of study, research, criticism or review as permitted under the applicable copyright legislation, no part of this book may be reproduced by any process without written permission from the authors.

Publisher **Design Research Society**

admin@designresearchsociety.org
www.designresearchsociety.org

Master digital copy <https://learnxdesign.net/lxd2021/drs2021-learnxdesign>

ISBN 978-1-912294-43-5 (electronic) Volume 1

ISBN 978-1-912294-44-2 (electronic) Volume 2

ISBN 978-1-912294-45-9 (electronic) Volume 3

ISBN 978-1-912294-46-6 (electronic) Volume 4

General Conference International Planning Committee

- « **Lusheng Pan**, Conference General chair, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
- « **Liv Merete Nielsen**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Yang Zhang**, Shandong University of Art & Design / Nanjing University of the Arts, China
- « **Erik Bohemia**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Jianglong Yu**, Conference General Secretary, Shandong University of Art & Design, China

International Academic Organising Committee

- « **Liv Merete Nielsen**, Chair, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Yang Zhang**, Shandong University of Art & Design / Nanjing University of the Arts, China
- « **Naz A G Z Börekçi**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Erik Bohemia**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway

International Scientific Programme Committee

- « **Liv Merete Nielsen**, Chair, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Erik Bohemia**, Co-Chair, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Katja Thoring**, Anhalt University of Applied Sciences, Germany
- « **Eva Lutnæs**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Úrsula Bravo**, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
- « **Roland M. Mueller**, Berlin School of Economics and Law, Germany
- « **Naz A G Z Börekçi**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Lesley-Ann Noel**, North Carolina State University, USA
- « **Yang Zhang**, Shandong University of Art & Design / Nanjing University of the Arts, China
- « **Juha Hartvik**, Åbo Akademi University, Finland
- « **Bryan F. Howell**, Brigham Young University, USA
- « **Arild Berg**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Yashar Kardar**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Derek Jones**, The Open University, UK
- « **Ingvild Digranes**, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Norway

Patrons of the Conference

- « **Liv Merete Nielsen**, Oslo Metropolitan University Norway
- « **Janne Beate Reitan**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Derek Jones**, The Open University, UK
- « **Nicole Lotz**, The Open University, UK

International Scientific Panel

- « **Natalia Allende**, Design for Change, Chile
- « **Sucharita Beniwal**, National Institute of Design, Ahmedabad, India
- « **Arild Berg**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Erik Bohemia**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Karen Brønne**, Volda University College, Norway
- « **Úrsula Bravo**, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
- « **Jun Cai**, Tsinghua University, China
- « **Catalina Cortés**, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
- « **Ingvild Digranes**, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Norway
- « **Laila Belinda Fauske**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Camilla Groth**, University of South East Norway, Norway
- « **Juha Hartvik**, Åbo Akademi University, Finland
- « **Gülşay Hasdoğan**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Jan Willem Hoftijzer**, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands
- « **Hanna Hofverberg**, Malmö University, Sweden
- « **Siri Homlong**, Konstfack, University College of Arts, Crafts and Design, Sweden
- « **Bryan F. Howell**, Brigham Young University, USA
- « **Derek Jones**, The Open University, UK
- « **Yashar Kardar**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Linda Keane**, AIA & The School of the Art Institute of Chicago, USA
- « **Fatma Korkut**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Hannah Korsmeyer**, Monash University, Australia
- « **Jeannette LaFors**, Kelefors Consulting, USA
- « **Nicole Lotz**, The Open University, UK
- « **Eva Lutnæs**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Renata Marques Leitão**, Cornell University, USA
- « **Ingvill Gjerdrum Maus**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Fausto Medola**, Sao Paulo State University, Brazil
- « **Roland Mueller**, Berlin School of Economics and Law, Germany & University of Twente, The Netherlands
- « **Liv Merete Nielsen**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Lesley-Ann Noel**, North Carolina State University, USA
- « **Mauricio Novoa Muñoz**, Western Sydney University, Australia
- « **Ayşegül Özçelik**, Aalborg University, Denmark

- « **Mia Porko-Hudd**, Åbo Akademi University, Finland
- « **Janne Beate Reitan**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Amos Sculley**, Rochester Institute of Technology, USA
- « **Kate Sellen**, OCAD University, Canada
- « **Sarper Seydioğlu**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Andrés Téllez**, University of Bogota Jorge Tadeo Lozano, Colombia
- « **Katja Thoring**, Anhalt University, Dessau, Germany
- « **Arno Verhoeven**, University of Edinburgh, UK
- « **Woodrow W. Winchester, III**, University of Maryland, Baltimore County
- « **Ziyuan Wang**, Central Academy of Fine Arts, China
- « **Lilyana Yazirlioğlu**, Ted University, Turkey
- « **Yang Zhang**, Shandong University of Art & Design / Nanjing University of the Arts, China
- « **Zhanjun Dong**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China

International Scientific Review Panel

- « **Dilek Akbulut**, Gazi University, Turkey
- « **Bilge Merve Aktaş**, Aalto University, Finland
- « **Natalia Allende**, Design for Change Chile, Chile
- « **L.N. Ece Arıburun Kırcı**, Istanbul Technical University, Turkey
- « **F. Zeynep Ata**, Izmir Institute of Technology, Turkey
- « **Asja Aulisio**, Politecnico di Torino, Italy
- « **Kardelen Aysel**, Yasar University, Turkey
- « **Dean Conrad Bacalzo**, Wenzhou-Kean University, USA
- « **Robert Barnes**, London Metropolitan University, UK
- « **Birgit Bauer**, HTW Berlin University of Applied Sciences, Germany
- « **Sucharita Beniwal**, National Institute of Design, India
- « **Audrey Bennett**, University of Michigan, USA
- « **Arild Berg**, Oslo Metropolitan University – Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Gizem Bodur**, Atılım University, Turkey
- « **Erik Bohemia**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China / Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Naz A G Z Börekçi**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
- « **Noora Bosch**, University of Helsinki, Finland
- « **Suzie Boss**, PBLWorks (National Faculty emeritus), USA
- « **Karen Braenne**, Volda University College, Norway
- « **Úrsula Bravo**, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
- « **Charlie Breindahl**, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
- « **Lore Brosens**, University of Ghent, Belgium
- « **Jun Cai**, Tsinghua University, China
- « **Ece Canli**, University of Minho, Portugal
- « **Jui-Feng Chang**, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, China
- « **Christos Chantzaras**, Technical University of Munich, Germany
- « **Fan Chen**, Tongji University, China
- « **JiaYing Chew**, University of the Arts London and National University of Singapore, Singapore
- « **Catalina Cortes**, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
- « **Fusun Curaoğlu**, Eskişehir Technical University, Turkey
- « **Bengü Dağlı**, Dogus University, Turkey
- « **Santiago De Francisco Vela**, Universidad de los Andes, Colombia
- « **Juan Alfonso de la Rosa**, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia
- « **Rik de Reuver**, MODYN BV, The Netherlands
- « **Dekuan Deng**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
- « **Ingvild Digranes**, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Norway
- « **Meng Yue Ding**, Tianjin University, China
- « **Sandra Dittenberger**, New Design University, Austria
- « **Hua Dong**, Brunel University London, UK
- « **Zhanjun Dong**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
- « **Richard Elaver**, Appalachian State University, USA
- « **Nesrin Ahmed Elmarakbi**, Northumbria University, UK
- « **Özlem Er**, Istanbul Bilgi University, Turkey
- « **Laila Belinda Fauske**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
- « **Laura Ferrarello**, Royal College of Art, UK
- « **Eliana Ferrulli**, Politecnico di Torino, Italy
- « **Stefano Follesa**, University of Florence, Italy
- « **Ge Fu**, freelancer, China
- « **Francesco Galli**, IULM university, Italy
- « **Peng Gao**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
- « **Koray Gelmez**, Istanbul Technical University, Turkey
- « **Michael Robert Gibson**, The University of North Texas, USA
- « **Emma Gieben-Gamal**, University of Edinburgh, UK
- « **Adela Glyn-Davies**, University of Derby, UK
- « **Gloria Gomez**, OceanBrowser Ltd., New Zealand and University of Sydney, Australia
- « **Gabriele Goretti**, Jiangnan University, China

« **Colin M. Gray**, Purdue University, USA
 « **Wyn Griffiths**, Middlesex University, UK
 « **Camilla Groth**, University of South-Eastern Norway, Finland
 « **Cansu Günaydin Donduran**, Ozyegin University, Turkey
 « **Selin Gürdere Akdur**, Istanbul Bilgi University, Turkey
 « **David Hands**, Lancaster University, UK
 « **Juha Hartvik**, Åbo Akademi University, Finland
 « **Gülşay Hasdoğlan**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Clive David Hilton**, Coventry University, UK
 « **JanWillem Hoftijzer**, Delft Univ. of Technology, The Netherlands
 « **Hanna Hofverberg**, Malmö University, Sweden
 « **Siri Homlong**, Konstfack University of Arts, Sweden
 « **Bryan F. Howell**, Brigham Young University, USA
 « **Hsu-Chan Hsiao**, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, China
 « **Oscar Huerta**, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
 « **Benjamin Hughes**, Beijing Institute of Technology, China
 « **Marwa Abdulhameed Isa**, Bahrain Polytechnic, Bahrain
 « **Rachel Jane Jahja**, RMIT University, Vietnam
 « **Thessa Jensen**, Aalborg University, Denmark
 « **Min Jiang**, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, China
 « **Li Jie**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Derek Jones**, The Open University, UK
 « **Yashar Kardar**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Linda Keane**, School of the Art Institute of Chicago, USA
 « **Janey Klingelfuss**, University of Chester, UK
 « **Fatma Korkut**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Hannah Korsmeyer**, Monash University, Australia
 « **Jeannette Renee LaFors**, Kelefors Consulting, USA
 « **Andreas Lanig**, DIPLOMA Hochschule, Germany
 « **Renata Leitão**, Cornell University, USA
 « **Jia-bao Liang**, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, China
 « **Zhengping Liow**, Singapore Polytechnic and National University of Singapore, Singapore
 « **Yuan Liu**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
 « **Leon Loh**, Kyushu University, Japan
 « **Nicole Lotz**, The Open University, UK
 « **Jennifer Loy**, Deakin University, Australia
 « **Eva Lutnæs**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
 « **Kristina Maria Madsen**, Aalborg University Business School, Denmark
 « **Renee Mantooth**, North Carolina State University, USA
 « **Anastasios Maragiannis**, University of Greenwich, UK
 « **Ingvill Gjerdrum Maus**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
 « **Bree McMahan**, University of Arkansas, USA
 « **Fausto Orsi Medola**, Sao Paulo State University, Brazil
 « **Juan Giuseppe Montalvan Lume**, Pontifical Catholic University of Peru, Peru
 « **Roland Maximilian Mueller**, Berlin School of Economics and Law, Germany
 « **Kelly Murdoch-Kitt**, The University of Michigan, USA
 « **Liv Merete Nielsen**, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
 « **Lesley-Ann Noel**, North Carolina State University, USA
 « **Mauricio Novoa Muñoz**, Western Sydney University, Australia
 « **Jody Nyboer**, Syracuse University, USA
 « **Annilina Omwami**, University of Helsinki, Finland
 « **Melis Örnekoğlu Selçuk**, Ghent University, Belgium
 « **Ayşegül Özçelik**, Aalborg University, Denmark
 « **Dalsu Özgen Koçyıldırım**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Verena Natalie Paepcke-Hjeltness**, Iowa State University, USA
 « **Meng Pang**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Şule Taşlı Pektaş**, Baskent University, Turkey
 « **Stefano Perna**, University of Naples Federico II, Italy
 « **Alejandra Virginia Poblete Pérez**, Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile
 « **Mia Johanna Porko-Hudd**, Åbo Akademi university, Finland
 « **Camilo Potocnjak-Oxman**, Australian National University, Australia
 « **Katelijn Quartier**, Hasselt University, Belgium
 « **Gonzalo Raineri**, Universidad Finis Terrae, Chile
 « **Prithvi Raj**, Aakaar: Humanistic Co-Design, India
 « **Noemi Sadowska**, University of the Arts London, UK
 « **Selen Saniel**, Istanbul Bilgi University, Turkey
 « **Angelika Seeschaaf Veres**, OCAD University, Canada
 « **KM Sellen**, OCAD University, Canada
 « **Bahar Sener-Pedgley**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Sarper Seydioğlu**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Zhabiz Shafieyoun**, University of Illinois Urbana- Champaign, USA

« **Linmeng Shan**, Bohai University, China
 « **Saadeddine Shehab**, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
 « **Han Shi**, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry, China
 « **Mengya Shi**, Hebei University of Economics and Business, China
 « **Valentina Sierra Nino**, University of Florida, USA
 « **Liliana Soares**, Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Portugal
 « **Anne Solberg**, University of South-Eastern Norway, Norway
 « **Kirsten Bonde Sorensen**, Danish School of Media and Journalism, Denmark
 « **Ricardo Sosa**, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand
 « **Michal Stefanowski**, Academy of Fine Arts in Warsaw, Poland
 « **Awoniyi Stephen**, Texas State University, USA
 « **Ruth Stevens**, UHasselt, Belgium
 « **Shiping Tang**, Nanjing University of The Arts, China
 « **Andrea Taverna**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
 « **Anne P. Taylor**, School Zone Institute, USA
 « **Fabio Andres Tellez Bohorquez**, Appalachian State University, USA
 « **Marije ten Brink**, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences and Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands
 « **Nazli Terzioğlu Ozkan**, Linköping University, Sweden
 « **Katja Thoring**, Anhalt University, Germany
 « **Jinliang Tian**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Şebnem Timur**, Istanbul Technical University, Turkey
 « **Gülşen Töre Yargın**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Canan Emine Ünlü**, TED University, Turkey
 « **Robin Vande Zande**, Kent State University, USA
 « **G. Arno Verhoeven**, University of Edinburgh, UK
 « **Marianne von Lachmann**, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 « **Stefanie Voß**, University of Applied Sciences HTW Berlin, Germany
 « **Xiaohua Wang**, Shan Dong Yingcai University, China
 « **Zhenzi Wang**, Yunnan Arts University, China
 « **Vanessa Wanick**, Winchester School of Art, UK
 « **Andrea Wilkinson**, LUCA School of Arts, Belgium
 « **Fan Wu**, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, China
 « **Lu-Ting Xia**, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, China
 « **Artemis Yagou**, Deutsches Museum, Germany
 « **Zeynep Yalman-Yıldırım**, Middle East Technical University, Turkey
 « **Peian Yao**, Università Degli Studi Firenze, Italy
 « **Gizem Yazıcı**, Izmir Institute of Technology, Turkey
 « **Lilyana Yazirlioğlu**, TED University, Turkey
 « **Derya Yorgancıoğlu**, Ozyegin University, Turkey
 « **Teng-Chin Yu**, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, China
 « **Xiaojuan Zhang**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Yang Zhang**, Shandong University of Art & Design / Nanjing University of the Arts, China
 « **Jianpeng Zheng**, Shandong university of Arts & Design, China
 « **Xiaojie Zhu**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China

Assistants

« **Zhen Xu**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Xiaojie ZHU**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Jueyun Li**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Zi Ye**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Yinglei Wen**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Xiaohui Yang**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China
 « **Xiaojie Lin**, Shandong University of Art & Design, China

Interpreters

« **Hong Liang**, China
 « **Ning Wang**, Qingdao Dingwen Communications, China
 « **Linlin Qiu**, China
 « **Shan Gao**, China
 « **Yu Ting**, Ningbo University of Finance & Economics, China
 « **Xueqin Wang**, Zhong kai University of Agriculture and Engineering, China

Table of Content

Volume 1 | 卷1

10 th Anniversary of the International Conference for Design Education Researchers.....	1
Lusheng Pan	
Jinan 2021: Engaging with Challenges in Design Education	3
Erik Bohemia, Liv Merete Nielsen, Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi and Yang Zhang	
Ankara 2019 – Insider Knowledge	30
Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi, Fatma Korkut and Dalsu Özgen Koçyıldırım	
London 2017 – The Allure of the Digital and Beyond	35
Derek Jones	
Chicago 2015 – Education and Design to Enlighten a Citizenry.....	38
Robin VandeZande	
Oslo 2013 – Design Learning for Tomorrow	45
Liv Merete Nielsen	
Paris 2011 – Researching Design Education	50
Erik Bohemia	
Section 01	
Track 01: Design Thinking to Improve Creative Problem solving	59
Úrsula Bravo, Catalina Cortés, Jeannette LaFors, Fabio Andres Tellez and Natalia Allende	
End Users in Students’ Participatory Design Process	68
Noora Bosch, Tellervo Härkki and Pirita Seitamaa-Hakkarainen	
Integrating Design Thinking into STEAM Education	78
Xuejiao Yin, Shumeng Hou and Qingxuan Chen	
Inclusive education driven by design.....	91
Úrsula Bravo and Maritza Rivera	
Measuring the Impact of Integrating Human-Centered Design in Existing Higher Education Courses.....	100
Saadeddine Shehab and Carol Guo	
Research on the performance evaluation and preference of design thinking methods in interdisciplinary online course	111
Juan Li, Shuo-fang Li , Meng-xun Ho and Zhe Li	
I Can and I Will.....	123
Zhengping Liow	
Nordic Life Design.....	138
Kirsten Bonde Sørensen	
Different Ideas, Lots of Ideas.....	152
Jody Nyboer and Brad Hokinson	
Assessment of Ideation Effectiveness in Design Thinking	167
Farzaneh Eftekhari, Mohammad Jahanbakht and Farnoosh Sharbafi	
Study on the Implementation of the Innovative Enterprise Product Design Model for ID Students.....	184
Shuo-fang Liu, Jui-Feng Chang and Chang-Tzuoh Wu	
A New Design Thinking Model Based on Bloom’s Taxonomy	196
Fan Wu, Yang-Cheng Lin and Peng Lu	
FIDS for Kids: Empowering Children through Design	212
Ruthie Sobel Luttenberg and Natalia Allende	
Workshop: How to Design to Improve Life	216
Catalina Cortés and Mariano Alesandro	
Section 02	
Track 02: Empowering Critical Design Literacy.....	222
Eva Lutnæs, Karen Brønne, Siri Homlong, Hanna Hofverberg, Ingvill Gjerdrum Maus, Laila Belinda Fauske, and Janne Beate Reitan	

Experiencing Sustainable Fashion: Have Fun and Feel Clever	226
Hanna Hofverberg and Ninitha Maivorsdotter	
Framing students' reflective interactions based on photos	232
Marije ten Brink, Frank Nack and Ben Schouten	
Critical design literacy through reflection in design	245
Ingvill Gjerdrum Maus	
Encountering development in social design education.....	255
Lesley-Ann Noel	
Exploring practices of critical design literacy.....	264
Eva Lutnæs	

Volume 2 | 卷2

Section 03

Track 03: Alternative problem framing in design education	277
Lesley-Ann Noel, Renata Marques Leitão, Hannah Korsmeyer, Sucharita Beniwal and Woodrow W. Winchester III	
Play Probes	280
Line Gad Christiansen and Sune Klok Gudiksen	
Environmental Education in Protected Areas in Petrópolis	294
Marianne Von Lachmann, Rita Maria de Souza Couto and Roberta Portas	
Reframing Ageing in Design Education.....	307
Emma Gieben-Gamal	
Tilting to Transform	315
Noemi Sadowska and Tara Hanrahan	
Beyond Problem-Solving	318
Allison Edwards and Hannah Korsmeyer	

Section 04

Track 04: Collaboration in Design Education	322
Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi, Fatma Korkut and Gülay Hasdoğan	
Collaboration with NPOs in Industrial Design Education.....	327
Zeynep Yalman-Yıldırım and Gülay Hasdoğan	
Towards Radical Synergy for More Just & Equitable Futures.....	338
Audrey G. Bennett, Ron B. Eglash, Roland Graf, Deepa Butoliya , Keesa V. Johnson, Jenn Low and Andréia Rocha	
Transitioning From University to Work in Service Design	358
Daniela de Sainz-Molestina and Andrea Taverna	
Educational Programs in Between Design and Supply Chain	369
Gabriele Goretti and Gianni Denaro	
Collaboration Practices in Industrial Design Education	380
Naz A.G.Z. Börekçi, Gülay Hasdoğan and Fatma Korkut	
Reflections on Shared Mood Boards	395
Anniliina Omwami, Henna Lahti and Pirita Seitamaa-Hakkarainen	
Preparing to Introduce Design Thinking in Middle Schools.....	405
Michael Gibson, Keith Owens, Peter Hyland and Christina Donaldson	
Socially-Engaged Distance Design Collaboration.....	414
Kardelen Aysel and Can Güvenir	
Improving Intercultural Collaboration with Visual Thinking.....	424
Kelly M. Murdoch-Kitt and Denielle J. Emans	
It's the Cultural Difference That Makes the Difference.....	432
Clive Hilton, Muxing Gao and Rong Wei	
Cross-Cultural UX Pedagogy: A China-US Partnership.....	439
Ziqing Li, Colin M. Gray, Austin L. Toombs, Kevin McDonald, Lukas Marinovic and Wei Liu	

Process Based Collaborations.....	451
Rebekah Radtke, Hannah Dewhirst, Joe Brewer and Ingrid Schmidt	
Advisory Committee Structures of Chinese Design Schools.....	459
Fan Chen, Lin Li and Jing-Yi Yang	
Section 05	
Track 05: Co-creation of Interdisciplinary Design Educations	476
Arild Berg, Camilla Groth, Fausto Medola and Kate Sellen	
Learning Design, Co-Designing Learning	479
Stefano Perna and Pietro Nunziante	
Siloed in Breaking Silos	489
JiaYing Chew	
Design for Justice Lab	499
Santiago De Francisco Vela, Laura Guzman-Abello and Santiago Pardo Rodríguez	
Challenges in Multidisciplinary Student Collaboration.....	516
Melis Örnekoğlu-Selçuk, Marina Emmanouil and Jan Detand	
Systemic Design Education in Interdisciplinary Environments.....	529
Asja Aulisio, Amina Pereno, Fabiana Rovera and Silvia Barbero	
Interdisciplinary Boundary Experiences	540
Laura Ferrarello and Catherine Dormor	
Using Creative Practice in Interdisciplinary Education	553
Bilge Merve Aktaş and Camilla Groth	
Co-Creating a Cross-Material Silk and Porcelain	567
Anne Solberg and Ellen Baskår	
Construction of Curriculum System of Design Education.....	581
Han Shi, Feng Xue, Jing Pei, Yijing Li, Zhihang Song, Chunli Ma and Shangshang Yang	
Essential Medications	592
Kate Sellen, Nav Persaud, Stuart Werle, Mariam Al Bess, Nick Goso, Ruslan Hetu, Habiba Soliman, Alyssa Bernado and Norm Umali	
Card-Based Learning Objective Design.....	598
Stefano Perna and Moritz Philip Recke	
Volume 3 卷 3	
Section 06	
Track 06: Learning Though Materiality and Making.....	604
Juha Hartvik, Mia Porko-Hudd and Ingvild Digranes	
Thinking with Card.....	607
Benjamin Hughes	
Imaginary Museums	613
Ke Jiang and Benjamin Hughes	
Section 07	
Track 07: Sketching & Drawing Education and Knowledge	626
Bryan F. Howell, Jan Willem Hoftijzer, Mauricio Novoa Muñoz, Mark Sypesteyn and Rik de Reuver	
Sketchnoting Experience of First-Year Students	631
Verena Paepcke-Hjeltness, Annaka Ketterer, Ella Kannegiesser, Madeline Keough, Victoria Meeks and Ayla Schiller	
Online Comprehensive Teaching on Digital Hand-drawing.....	647
Ming Zhu	
Exploring the Experiential Reading Differences between Visual and Written Research Papers.....	660
Bryan F. Howell, Asa R. Jackson, Henry Lee, Julienne DeVita and Rebekah Rawlings	
Visualizing Your Knowledge and Connecting the Dots	676
Verena Paepcke-Hjeltness	

New Immersive Workflows for Design and Production	679
Mauricio Novoa Muñoz, Wendy Zhang, Jose Manuel Rodriguez Diaz, Bryan F. Howell and Jan Willem Hoftijzer	
Section 08	
Track 08: Design Learning Environments	687
Katja Thoring, Nicole Lotz and Linda Keane	
Unlocking Wellbeing-Affordances in Elementary Schools.....	689
Ruth Stevens, Ann Petermans and Jan Vanrie	
Architecture for Education	703
Anne P. Taylor	
Senseed: A Multisensory Learning Environment.....	718
Ge Fu	
A Game Implementation Approach for Design Education	737
Duhan Ölmez and Fehmi Doğan	
Architectural Design Studio as an 'Extended Problem Space'.....	746
F. Zeynep Ata and Fehmi Doğan	
Immersive Learning	756
Yuan Liu, Dina Riccò and Daniela Anna Calabi	
Teaching with Virtual Simulation: Is It Helpful?	772
Meng Yue Ding, Yi Ke Hu, Zhi Hao Kang and Yi Jia Feng	
Materiality of Space and Time in the Virtual Design Studio.....	780
Ruth M. Neubauer and Christoph H. Wecht	
Designing Criteria for Developing Educational Multimedia Games	789
Chaitanya Solanki and Deepak John Mathew	
The Intellectual Diet in Pastoral Spaces of Activity in Digital Design Education.....	800
Andreas Ken Lanig	
Rethinking Experiential Learning in Design Education	807
Alessandro Campanella, Eliana Ferrulli and Silvia Barbero	
Utilising Collaborative Online International Learning	816
Adela Glyn-Davies and Clive Hilton	
Hybrid Spaces Teaching for “Chinese Traditional Costume Craft”	823
Shunhua Luo, Jingrui Yang and Chunhong Fan	
Critique Assemblages in Response to Emergency Hybrid Studio Pedagogy.....	830
Christopher Wolford, Yue Zhao, Shantanu Kashyap and Colin M. Gray	
The Leftovers of Participation	844
Andrea Wilkinson and Steven Lenaers	
Students and Teachers Becoming Co-Designers of Learning	848
Gloria Gomez and Rodney Tamblyn	
Volume 4 卷4	
Section 09	
Track 09: Futures of Design Education	856
Yashar Kardar, Lilyana Yazirlioğlu, Ayşegül Özçelik and Sarper Seydioglu	
Ten Scenarios for the Future of Design Education	859
Lore Brosens, Johanna Renny Octavia Annelies Raes and Marina Emmanouil	
Doing Research in Design	868
Sandra Dittenberger, Stefan Moritsch, Agnes Raschauer and Julia Pintsuk-Christof	
Learning Remotely Through Diversity and Social Awareness.....	879
Ferrarello Laura, Fiadeiro Rute, Hall Ashley, Galdon Fernando, Anderson Paul, Grinyer Clive, Stevens John and Lee Chang Hee	
From Eyes to Ears	900
Daniela Hensel, Birgit Bauer and Stefanie Voß	

Social Implementation of Design Workshops Output	910
Yanfang Zhang, Christian Cruz, Shinichiro Ito and Tokushu Inamura	
Section 10	
Track 10: Design Educators as Change Agents	920
Xiang Xia, Yang Zhang and Ziyuan Wang	
Teaching for Values in Design.....	923
Elisabet M. Nilsson and Anne-Marie Hansen	
Design Educators: Change Agents in RE-Designing Education	931
Robin Vande Zande	
Framing Research Assistants’ Pedagogical Roles in Design Studio Courses: Initial Findings.....	934
Koray Gelmez, Pelin Efilti, Enver Tatlısu, Tuğçe Ecem Tüfek and Onur Yılmaz	
Transformative Teaching Practice Through a Design Thinking Approach in Social Settings	948
Janey Deng Klingelfuss and Markus Klingelfuss	
Reform of Product Design Teaching	960
Meng-Dar Shieh, Hsu-Chan Hsiao and Yu-Ting Hsiao	
Inquiry Practice Design Teaching in Application-Oriented University.....	976
Jianpeng Zheng	
Learning Patterns in Architectural Design Studios	987
Julie Milovanovic	
Professionalization of the Discipline of Interior Architecture	996
Katelijjn Quartier	
On the Signature Pedagogy of Photography Courses.....	1003
Yuanyuan XU	
Problems in the Reform of Design Teaching and Solutions.....	1012
Lei Sun	
What Have You Learned?	1028
Selen Sariel	
Research on the Green Design Course in Industrial Design	1038
Lu-Ting Xia, Chun-Heng Ho and Xing-Min Lin	
Cultivate Leadership Contagion	1044
Francesco Galli, Zhabiz Shafieyoun and Gerry Derksen	
Mash Maker: Improvisation for Student Studios	1055
Ryan Slone and Bree McMahon	
Research on China’s Industrial Design Education.....	1061
Yun Fan, Jianglong Yu, Yang Zhang and Erik Bohemia	
Teaching Workshop: Universal Design for Learning.....	1072
Hsiao-Yun Chu	
Author Index.....	1077

Volume 3 | 卷 3



Section 08

Design Learning Environments: Exploring the Role of Physical, Virtual, and Hybrid Spaces for Design Education

Track 08: Design Learning Environments

Katja Thoring, Nicole Lotz and Linda Keane
https://doi.org/10.21606/drs_lxd2021.00.317

Teaching and learning approaches in design and art education can have different characteristics, ranging from traditional ex-cathedra teaching to project-based learning, sometimes even with external clients. Lecturing, self-study, teamwork, practical modelmaking, and remote teaching take turns, which is typical for the design field but can also be seen in other disciplines and K-12 education. The educational practices that arise from these different approaches often have a relation to the spaces and places in which learning takes place. The question arises how the spatial settings of the learning institutions can be designed in order to better facilitate learning.

Compared to other aspects of educational research, such as pedagogy in general, curriculum and syllabus design, educational psychology, and learning theory, the role of the learning space is relatively under-researched. With this track we aimed to explore two different perspectives on the topic: First, to understand the role of the space (physical, virtual, hybrid) in design and art education, and secondly, to investigate how learning spaces can or should be designed to facilitate learning in general. We provided some questions of interest as a starting point:

- How can the design of the physical space facilitate creativity and the design process in general?
- What hybrid or virtual counterparts of physical space can be identified for design learning, and what are related challenges and opportunities?
- What are new trends in learning space design in K-12 education (Kindergarten and Elementary Schools)?
- How does the learning space need to change after Covid-19?

We included 14 research papers and two workshop proposals for the conference program and proceedings that represent a broad range of different perspectives on the topic.

Contributions to Track

Three papers focus on the context of K-12 education: In their paper “Unlocking wellbeing-affordances in elementary schools initiated by a “natural experiment” caused by Covid-19”, *Ruth Stevens, Ann Petermans and Jan Vanrie* explore design strategies to design wellbeing related affordances in the post-Covid 19 classroom. *Anne Taylor* presents a collection of case studies of “Architecture for Education” with the aim to inspire designers for creating better learning spaces. Another case study from the K-12 context is presented by *Ge Fu* with the paper “Senseed: A Multisensory Learning Environment for Urban Pre-Schoolers in China”.

Several papers introduced new tools and theoretical frameworks for the higher education context: In “A Game Implementation Approach for Design Education Within the Content of Architectural Design Studios” *Duhan Ölmez and Fehmi Doğan* introduce a new video-game-based tool to facilitate architectural design processes. *F. Zeynep Ata and Fehmi Dogan* introduce a conceptual framework for the “Architectural Design Studio as an ‘Extended Problem Space’”. Finally, this theory-focused session will be concluded by *Yuan Liu, Dina Riccò and Daniela Anna Calabito* who present a framework for an immersive virtual environment to teach basic design. Several submissions presented theoretical contributions with a strong focus on virtual aspects. *Meng Yue Ding, Yi Ke Hu, Zhi Hao Kang and Yi Jia Feng* ask “Teaching with Virtual Simulation: Is It Helpful?”. *Ruth M. Neubauer and Christoph H. Wecht* discuss the “Materiality of Space and Time in the Virtual Design Studio”. And in their



This work is licensed under a
[Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

paper “Designing Criteria for Developing Educational Multimedia Games” *Chaitanya Solanki and Deepak John Mathew* present a review of theories and guidelines for multimedia learning environments.

The question of how virtual learning spaces were able to replace physical environments during the COVID-19 pandemic was addressed by three contributions: *Andreas Ken Lanig* proposes “the intellectual diet in pastoral spaces of activity in digital design education”. *Alessandro Campanella, Eliana Ferrulli and Silvia Barbero* discuss the advantages of multimodal online learning environments and suggest the need for “Rethinking experiential learning in Design education”. Finally, *Adela Glyn-Davies and Clive Hilton* propose “Utilising Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) as a Pedagogical Framework for Design Thinking Projects”.

The last session of research papers in this track focuses on hybrid learning spaces. *Shunhua Luo, Jingrui Yang and Chunhong Fan* explore the potentials of “Hybrid Spaces Teaching for Chinese Traditional Costume Craft”. In “Critique Assemblages in Response to Emergency Hybrid Studio Pedagogy” *Christopher Wolford, Yue Zhao, Shantanu Kashyap and Colin M. Gray* report on hybrid critique approaches in the design studio and how these might foster critical reflection.

To complement this cutting-edge research on creative learning environments, two workshops have been invited to deliver hands-on experiences on the topic. “The leftovers of Participation” is run by *Andrea Wilkinson and Steven Lenaers* and will center primarily upon the experience of the participants within different environments. And finally, *Gloria Gomez and Rodney Tamblyn* will conduct an interactive workshop where “Students and Teachers become Co-designers of Learning”. In this workshop participants will have the chance to experience a virtual personal learning environment.

In summary, the selected papers and workshops are presenting varied perspectives into the realm of physical, virtual, and hybrid design learning spaces, targeting both, higher education and K-12 education. The insights to be expected from these presentations promise a glimpse into the spatial aspect of design pedagogy, which is subject to significant disruptions following the COVID-19 pandemic.

Katja Thoring

Anhalt University / Germany
katja.thoring@hs-anhalt.de

Katja Thoring is Professor for Integrated Product Design at Anhalt University in Dessau, Germany, and Visiting Professor at the Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering of Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands. She has a background in Industrial Design and researches on topics such as creative workspaces, technology-driven design innovation, design methodologies, and design education.

Nicole Lotz

The Open University / UK
nicole.lotz@open.ac.uk

Nicole Lotz is Senior Lecturer in Design at The Open University in the UK. Nicole is interested in design processes, collaboration and engagement across boundaries and at the margins. Her work seeks to offer opportunities for disadvantaged communities to engage and persevere through social and communal creative learning, even in challenging situations.

Linda Keane

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago / USA
lkeane@saic.edu

Linda Nelson Keane, FAIA, NOMA, is Professor of Architecture and Environmental Design at The School of the Art Institute of Chicago, where she founded transdisciplinary programs in architecture. As an environmental design educator and architect, she works on green initiatives along the Chicago-Milwaukee Chicago corridor, and directs NEXT.cc 's STEAM by Design K-16 ELearning Resource used worldwide, empowering youth voice and participation in imagining a better world.

Immersive Learning

From Basic Design for Communication Design: A Theoretical Framework

Yuan Liu, Dina Riccò and Daniela Anna Calabi
https://doi.org/10.21606/drs_lxd2021.06.180

In this paper we discuss the two changes that basic design education faced: one is the teaching need of transformation from visual to multisensory and synaesthetic communication; the other is the use of virtual environments to teach design. As an answer to the trend of constructivist learning, also in order to fulfil the need for multisensory training, the discussion of an innovative learning environment for basic design education has become essential. The problems remain, as virtual technology has limitations regarding visualizing abstract concepts. This research aims to build an immersive virtual environment to teach basic design, along with the value of subjective immersive experience for design learning in general. The study presented in this paper proposes a theoretical framework, starting with the redefinition of the concepts of “immersion” and “presence” from a cognitive perspective (Scuri, 2017). The main research method is based on two groups of case studies; through literature review and secondary research, this work categorizes the factors of presence into a three-dimensional framework, also defining the four typologies of immersion and two in-class educational models. The paper presents the results of the research at the first phase, aimed at bridging the gap between design learning and virtual spaces. Through the framework addressed, we are able to frame an actual design tool with the help of online platforms and tools.

Keywords: Immersive learning; Innovative teaching; Basic design; Synaesthesia; Virtual learning environment;

Introduction: The Transformation of Basic Design

The relationship between design education and virtual technology could develop a new integrated system. Design education has always been recognized, and is still always recognized, as highly creative and participatory. The benefits of virtual technology, with immersive simulations and flexibility of virtual dialogue, remain some of the key benefits for interactive and/or by remote didactic. In the twenty-first century, more sensory-involved technologies needed to be addressed by designers who are better equipped, leading to innovation in educational tools and methods.

Basic design, usually referring to the training of abstract design fundamentals (Neves & Duarte, 2015), is both the starting point and the main research objective, not only as it is a fundamental means to teach design capability, but also since it deals with abstract design principles, which leads to broader teaching and learning discussion based on cognitive immersion.

In this section, we discuss the two changes that basic design education faced: one is the teaching need of transformation from visual to multisensory and synaesthetic communication; the other is the use of virtual environments to teach design. Therefore, we are able to hypothesize the concept of immersion (usually provided by virtual learning environments) as an innovative teaching method for design.

The Transformation from Visual to Synaesthetic Communication

Multisensory learning methods, which usually engage the coordination between visual, auditory, kinesthetic, and tactile inputs, appear to achieve a better teaching effect (Chandrasekaran, 2017; Shams & Seitz, 2008). The importance of multisensory integration, which appears both on the expression and cognitive recognition,



This work is licensed under a
[Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

meets the educational needs of designers and leads to more comprehensive design products (Chandrasekaran, 2017). The significance of multisensory input is not only that it better develops students' sensory abilities, but also that it allows one to obtain a logical path of synaesthetic translation (Ricciò, 2016).

Basic design has made attempts to innovate within-discipline learning, and is constantly innovating its education model. In this context, applying a synaesthetic approach - that is, considering the interactions and links between information from different sensory registers - can be of support to train students not only in visual observation, but in induced perceptual changes from sensations of other modalities.

At the Politecnico di Milano, synaesthetic workshops for visual, audible and taste are studied as components for basic design learning (Anceschi & Ricciò, 2000; Ricciò, Belluscio & Guerini, 2003; Liu, Calabi & Ricciò, 2018).

The urgent needs for multisensory and synaesthetic training in design opens the door for the engagement of virtual technology, which involves various amounts of sensory stimuli and the ability of scene simulation.

Possible sensory interactions (especially within an virtual environment) seems to be the enter point for innovating design learning, such as engage multiple sensory within an comprehensive environment, to expand the contents and forms of traditional learning activities. The examples can be various, among the recent experiences conducted in basic design in the Italian context, of particular interest the interactive basic design by Cristina Chiappini¹ and the basic design procedures by Lorenzo Bravi² which apply programming languages.

Virtual Technologies in Design Learning

As stated above, it is not novel to consider design learning with virtual and digital technology, yet previous efforts should not be ignored. A relevant number of studies understand virtual technology as the new teaching and learning tool for design (Neves & Duarte, 2015; Neves et al., 2016; Calabi, Mottura, Sacco & Viganò, 2003; Liu, 2020). In 2016, Neves and Duarte used VR-based tools to enhance the effectiveness of basic design learning. Students studied and tested basic design topics, demonstrating that virtual tools were effective both for the discussion of the exercise and for exploring abstract structures (Neves, Duarte, Dias & Saraiva, 2017). In juxtaposition to the common cognition, virtual technology can be effective in both graphic and interior design, rather than being limited to disciplines with high three-dimensional demand such as architecture and product design. Dalgarno and Lee's (2010) research addresses a wide range of learning effectiveness to promote spatial knowledge representation, including experiential learning, contextual learning and collaborative learning. The sense of presence provided by immersive VR assists with spatial visualization to enhance learning outcomes. The simulation of scenes or spatial environments could also be beneficial for problem-based learning, as it could immerse the students in a real design problem. It matters little whether the design problem is concrete or abstract; what remains important is to incorporate the principles under the creative process (Neves, Duarte, Dias & Saraiva, 2017).

It makes sense to discuss basic design education within an VR-based environment, as those technologies have potential to benefit the learning activity by engaging students in perceptual actions and concrete approaches towards abstract objects. New ways of in-class interaction are available, and students may explore design disciplines in a highly interactive and immersive environment. The virtual learning environment (VLE) shows great potential for virtual simulations within architecture and medicine, as well as great potential in art exhibitions. Compared with web-based learning, the possibility of virtual interactivity and a deeper feeling of presence is fundamental when it comes to virtual dialogue.

To sum up, the benefits of teaching basic design with virtual technology include:

- promoting the understanding of abstract knowledge, which remains essential for teaching design principles;
- enhancing the learning outcome by further involving the students thanks to the feeling of presence implicit in VR technology;
- compatibility with innovative teaching methods;
- promoting virtual environments both for in-class interactions and social dialogue.

Immersion as a Subjective Mental Description for Design Learning

It is fundamental to discuss the concept of immersion as the starting point of this research. In 1997, Slater and Wilbur defined the term immersion as "the extent to which the actual system delivers a surrounding

¹ See: <https://cristinachiappini.com/category/interactive-basic-design/>.

² See: <https://www.lorenzobravi.com/ftp/basic-iuav/IUAV-reference.pdf>.

environment, one which shuts out sensations from the real world". Witmer and Singer later (1998) raise objections to immersion identified as an objective description of VE technology. In short, other factors such as attention, focus, involvement, and engrossment may affect the level of presence from a subjective level. They argue immersion as a "a psychological state characterized by perceiving oneself to be enveloped by, included in, and interacting with an environment that provides a continuous stream of stimuli and experiences". The engagement of immersion (usually through VR tools) has opened a new path for design didactic rather than the old way of learning (de Freitas et al., 2009), yet further efforts should be put into the identification specifically under the subjective perspective.

For design learning, the concept of immersion can be understood from two perspectives. One is to understand immersion as a subjective mental description (Scuri, 2015). Physical immersion is achievable through the characteristics of virtual reality, while mental immersion is a main task of communicative media. The other is from the educational perspective, to understand immersion as an innovative teaching method, that has already been discussed through the studies of medical treatment, military and safety training. Its main approach is not limited to the simulation of a real scene and virtual narrative; instead, it compliments new teaching methods, including experimental learning (De Freitas & Neumann, 2009; Beckem, 2012), conceptual thinking, and multi-perspective information transformation (Scoresby & Shelton, 2011). New educational methods and tools make efforts to consider a multisensory environment rather than individual sensory channels like visual or audible (Haverkamp, 2012).

Therefore, to define the concept of Immersion and Perception for design (Calabi, Chiodo & Scuri, 2015) the definitions of related concepts (immersion and presence) will function as the framework to help with the further analysis through case studies.

Method

Based on related secondary research and literature review, the aim is to develop our own definition of the degree of immersion necessary to understand achieving immersion with cognitive-related factors. In total 94 definitions addressed from 27 related references are analyzed; the list is attached as reference.

Based on the literature, we understand the "degree of immersion" as :

THE DEGREE OF IMMERSION is a mental description of how much people receive cognitive and perceptual transfer of consciousness. This could be enhanced by:

- the addition of sensory modalities including visual, audible and tactile.
- the narrative, which depends on the teaching context to influence emotion.
- full immersion in virtual learning, equal to "mental presence".

The realization of presence, understood as a mental tool to achieve immersion, also requires further definition, especially from the perspective of sensory engagement. We found Heeter's (1992) work most reliable to define the factors, since it is highly referenced within studies of psychology and presence. We visualized the framework into a 3D model, and further explains every factor under the sections of personal, social and environmental.




Figure 1. The 3D framework of factors of presence.

Personal factors

- a) Personal identity: self-representation within a VLE, such as having a virtual body or entering the virtual world in a perceptual position. There are several evaluations to achieve certain personal identity, including: the sense of being there; the memory of “visiting” a place; and the emotional effect among activity and response to other participants’ actions.
- b) Sensory realism: the representations of reality in a perceptual system. The so-called reality here is not the fidelity of visual and sound quality, but whether one responds as if sensory data are real. Spatialized sound and kinesthetic references have positive effects on sensory realism.
- c) Emotional content: the evocation of emotional factors such as fear, joy, stress etc. Positive factors such as enjoyment increase personal motivation and engagement in learning activities.

Social factors

- a) Social realism: The extent to which other players react to participants. Having multiple participants (real or synthetic) appears to enhance the feeling of “being there”, under the premise that their existence is natural and will not destroy the current context.
- b) Social richness: the extent of daily social simulations within a VLE, including chatting, responding and co-working. Co-working positively affects socialized virtual environments, and it is preferred for educational applications.
- c) Social media: the engagement of instant social medias, such as remote control, online communication and remote cooperation.

Environmental factors

- a) Way of interaction: The way of interactions between participant and VLEs, including kinesthetic (tactile and proprioceptive sensations), voice control and behavior-response correlations. Natural feedback will enhance the self-identification of participants. The immediacy of control and feedback of participants' mental state appears to be important.
- b) Synthetic sensory stimuli: the extent of sensory information which simulates the real world. The real-world refers to complex, perceivable elements, including the proportion of visual and audible predicates, tactile elements, olfactory elements and the fidelity of picture. They usually do not appear in isolation but interplay in a synaesthetic way. The overall combination of sensory stimulation should be focused on design needs, not the realism of actual visual and audible quality.
- c) Task content: the task-driven activity been defined towards the learning purpose, normally related to “plot”

line (presenting an alternate self-contained world separate from the real world), storytelling and interactivity. d) Media characteristic: the media form and content engaged within VLE, such as scene simulation, real-time creation and after-course evaluation.

Case Study 1: Immersive Exhibitions and Interactive Museums

The relationship between design education and virtual technology could develop a new integrated system. Design education has always been recognized, and is still always recognized, as highly creative and participatory. The benefits of virtual technology, with immersive simulations and flexibility of virtual dialogue, remain some of the key benefits for interactive and/or by remote didactic. In the twenty-first century, more sensory-involved technologies needed to be addressed by designers who are better equipped, leading to innovation in educational tools and methods.

Basic design, usually referring to the training of abstract design fundamentals (Neves & Duarte, 2015), is both the starting point and the main research objective, not only as it is a fundamental means to teach design capability, but also since it deals with abstract design principles, which leads to broader teaching and learning discussion based on cognitive immersion.

In this section, we discuss the two changes that basic design education faced: one is the teaching need of transformation from visual to multisensory and synaesthetic communication; the other is the use of virtual environments to teach design. Therefore, we are able to hypothesize the concept of immersion (usually provided by virtual learning environments) as an innovative teaching method for design.

Case selecting and analysis method

The first group of case studies aims to take a look at existing approaches which aim to achieve “presence” through human senses and interactions within the virtual spatial environment. By analyzing immersive exhibitions, we aim to verify the groups of factors necessary to achieve presence, along with the different degrees of immersion. All the cases selected are based on a physical attainable environment, which differs from the virtual immersive environment of online courses and the exclusive use of virtual equipment.

Also, we review the factors of presence previously defined within these case studies; they are shortened as:

- Personal factors: personal identity; sensory realism; emotional content;
- Social factors: social realism; social richness; social media;
- Environmental factors: way of interaction; synthetic sensory stimuli; task content; media characteristic;

We organized the case studies into the following list; most of them are shown in the web-based platform.

Table 1. The list of selected case studies

• Research Group	• Related Project Name	• Research Group	• Related Project Name
• IKEA	• IKEA Blue City Dream Room	• Crystal Bridges Museum	• Ideum with Crystal Bridges Museum of American Art
• Maotik & Fraction	• DROMOS	• Cleveland Museum of Art	• Cleveland Museum of Art
• Vedo	• Vedo	• M9 Museo	• M9 Museo
• Taste of Sound AB	• Taste of Sound	• College Football Museum	• Obscure digital
• Teamlab	• Teamlab Restaurant	• Uffizi Virtual Experience	• Uffizi
• Inside magritte	• Inside magritte	• Museo storico dell'età veneta	• Museo storico dell'età veneta
• HealthySim;	• Group of	• Sarah	• Dun Huang

George's University Hospital; Van Gogh show; PARIS Atelier des Lumières; DREAMED JAPAN	Studies: None-Interactive Immersive Exhibition	Kenderdine	Virtual Exhibition
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Cooper Hewitt Smithsonian Design Museum 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WALLPAPER 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tetrachromia 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bird Vision
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sonos Studio SXSW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Piet Mondrian Universale 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Piet Mondrian Universale
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ideum with National Cowgirl Museum and Hall of Fame 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Western Design Room 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anna Muksunova; Vadim Goncharov; Igor Yakovenko; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subtle States
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • San Francisco Digital Art 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • San Francisco Digital Art 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dotdotdot 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VENCHI
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MGM Cotai plaza 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You Are Art 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belle & Wissell 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Space Needle Skypad

Discussion: Typologies of Immersion within Immersive Experience

In general, we can recognize three types of interactions: “passive”, “interactive” and “contributive”. These categories illustrate the basic relationship between the human and synthetic environment: from a passive acceptance of structured information to a contributive approach that generates a new flow of information. This classification is further addressed and refined through the case studies.

Within this analysis, the identified four types of immersive environments are: passive sensory immersion; exploration-based immersion; knowledge-based immersion; user-contributed immersion. We selected 24 case studies under the categories identified to verify the degree of immersion.

Table 2. Types of immersion and the related case studies

• Type of immersion	• List of case study
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passive sensory immersion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dromos; Vedo; Inside Magritte; Ikea blue city dream room; Teamlab restaurant; Taste of sound; Group of study: None-interactive immersion;
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exploration-based immersion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wallpaper; Playground; Cleveland Museum of Art; Western design room; Crystal Bridges Museum; San Francisco Digital Art;
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge-based immersion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • College football museum; UFFIZI; Museo storico dell'età veneta; Dun Huang virtual exhibition; M9 Museo; Piet Mondrian Universale; Bird Vision;
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • User-contributed immersion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Venchi; Space Needle Skypad; You are art; Subtle states;

The factors of presence within are revised in the following table. Among them, passive immersion contains the fewest immersive elements, especially within personal and social factors. Personal identity does not appear in

both passive sensory immersion and exploration-based immersion, nor does the social richness and social media function appear much within passive sensory immersion. Knowledge-based immersion and user-contributed immersion include all types of factors and verify through different needs. Based on the definition of “degree of immersion”, we compare the factors related to sensory modalities and narrative components, including “sensory realism”, “emotional content” and “synthetic sensory stimuli”. By analyzing the effects and proportion of immersion, we therefore hypothesize that passive sensory immersion has the highest immersive experience paradoxically, while user-contributed immersion has the lowest level of immersion. As the most comprehensive environment involves all kinds of activities, knowledge-based immersion and exploration-based immersion are difficult to distinguish; we therefore place them in a parallel position. Their levels of immersion depend on several aspects, including: the natural response of human-computer interaction; the agreement between sensory design and the theme of activity; and the design of the task and the skill of narrative.

Table 3. The table shows the “factors of presence” existing within four types of immersion

Type of immersion	Factors of presence									
	Personal factors			Social factors			Environmental factors			
	personal identity	sensory realism	emotional content	social realism	social richness	social media	way of interaction	synthetic sensory stimuli	task content	media characteristics
Passive sensory immersion		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		
Exploration-based immersion		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Knowledge-based immersion	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
User-contributed immersion	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

We further explain every type of immersion and their features and usability.

Case selecting and analysis method

The passive sensory immersion emphasizes the passive reception of sensory simulation. Participants within the virtual environment have a lower degree of manipulation, but a higher level of passive receiving. Most of the exhibitions use passive sensory immersion to provide an emotional “tour” and a unique sensory experience. In this kind of immersive experience, social interactions are very limited, and we understand them as not essential to achieve immersion. Both direct and indirect (not with body movement but physical tools) interactions are designed to fulfill specific goals. There are two patterns to enjoy the sensory performance: audible-visual passive receiving and partly interactive props engagement. The second pattern could provide some tactile supplements and simulate a more realistic environment that is similar to real life.

It is possible to identify three specific objectives which passive sensory immersion aims to reach:

1. to create a specific feeling or atmosphere.
2. to support a virtual narrative and convey information (differing from traditional learning materials such as a desktop).
3. to optimize synaesthetic experience, such as sound to taste and visual to taste.




Figure 2. Relations between passive sensory immersion and the factors of presence (take environmental factors as an example)

Exploration-based immersion

Exploration-based immersion sees frequent use within art and design museums. It has been revitalized within interactive exhibitions. Through a certain level of interaction, some museums aim to simply provide a delightful experience or convey light messages. Within these studies, the message given is not rigid; rather, the vague content aims to create certain cognitive involvement. Participants can also achieve immersion through physical interaction. What makes exploration-based immersion special is the way in which it achieves immersion through interactive activities, which is significantly different from passive sensory immersion. Social factors are at the center of exploration-based immersive environments. Multiple visitors are encouraged to participate since co-working and social communication are important to art creation. Compared with passive interaction, the ways in which participants communicate and explore content are much more enriched. The size of the physical environment (space) is “narrowed” compared with large open spaces, partly because some activities involving educational tasks needs visitors to focus, which means distracting elements require reduction.




Figure 3. Relations between exploration-based immersion and the factors of presence (take environmental factors as an example)

Knowledge-based immersion

Knowledge-based immersion refers to an immersive experience that is framed by clear themes and aims to transfer information and knowledge. The expression “knowledge-based” can also be conveyed as “education-based” or “information-based”. Most case studies have a clear identification of educational themes supported by a wealth of immersive tools and interactive methods. Personal identity appears in knowledge-based immersion in the form of both first-person and third-person perspectives.

This kind of immersion encourages comprehensive social environments wherein participants can interact and co-work within the same space. Moreover, since the dissemination of knowledge requires media assistance, venue resources are also fully utilized. Socialization has reached the highest level, as the open place supports almost every kind of social communication. As to the way of interaction, it is preferable to call participants “players”, as playful content seems to optimize the experience. The designers use bodily interactions to both

enhance immersion and fulfill specific tasks.




Figure 4. Relations between knowledge-based immersion and the factors of presence (take environmental factors as an example)

User-contributed immersion

Within user-contributed immersion, information is constituted by users, and the display engaged is only for organizing, analyzing or displaying. The performance of participants reaches the highest level among the four types of immersion, since user behavior is the main component, and real-time response is key to supporting this kind of activity.

Mediated and indirect interactions are preferred within user-contributed immersion. Real-time response is the key to directly involving participants by having them form the experience in near totality. Concerning the media characteristics, real-time media translation creates conversation between content and participant. User-contributed content includes subjective expression, tasting, body movement and virtual personal data.




Figure 5. Relations between user-contributed immersion and the factors of presence (take environmental factors as an example)

Case Study 2: Educational Activities Within VLEs

Case selecting and analysis method

Case selecting

After identifying the typology within immersive environments, we further study associated educational practices that benefit from immersive learning. What differs from the case study 1 is our attention towards the course structure and task. We focus on analyzing the formulation of applicable tasks and three ways of interacting (Moore, 1989) as a means to provide support for course design. The field of selection contains desk research from scientific databases including Google Scholar, Semantic Scholar, Scopus and Web of Science from 2009-2020 (last 10 years); video/image materials; and personal interviews.

To conduct a more systematic and scientific research, we go through a careful case selection process starting from identifying the keywords through databases. We define the main keywords as “immersive learning environment” and “education”; however the keyword “immersive learning environment” is quite general and it contains other technologies such as VR, AR, and online learning projects. We therefore replace the keyword with “CAVE” (Cave Automatic Virtual Environment), which is a very typical and widely applied VLE. We also consider the keyword “education” to be congruent with “teaching” and “learning”.

We found, in total, 80 related papers to further consider. We reduced the amounts of papers related to the content, considering the degree of perfection of the teaching process and the depth of discussion on immersive factors. Finally, we identified 14 best practices following the following selecting roles:

1. the purpose of the study is to fulfill an educational task, or the experimental process is educational;
2. the process of the research is well observed and recorded (through scientific description or supported materials), to better cover the three features of virtual reality and provide enough detail for the analysis;
3. the curriculum/ training is fully designed to exert the potential of an immersive learning environment.

The final list of case study 2 is as below:

Table 4. The list of case study 2 selected from scientific papers and web-based platforms

Research Group	Reference
Kyan, M., et al.	Kyan, M., et al. (2015). An approach to ballet dance training through ms kinect and visualization in a cave virtual reality environment. <i>ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)</i> , 6(2), 1-37.
Collins, K., & Borowski, K.	Collins, K., & Borowski, K. (2018, August). Experimental Game Interactions in a Cave Automatic Virtual Environment. In 2018 IEEE Games, Entertainment, Media Conference (GEM) (pp. 1-9). IEEE.
Voto, D., Viñas, L. M., & D’Auria, L.	Voto, D., Viñas, L. M., & D’Auria, L. (2005). Multisensory interactive installation. <i>Sound and Computing</i> , 5, 24-26.
S. Fernando. & B. Barbara.	www.harvardmedsim.org
POLISOCIAL	https://ludomi.polimi.it/
Matsentidou, S., & Poullis, C.	Matsentidou, S., & Poullis, C. (2014, January). Immersive visualizations in a VR cave environment for the training and enhancement of social skills for children with autism. In 2014 International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Applications (VISAPP) (Vol. 3, pp. 230-236). IEEE.
Yuen, K. K., Choi, S. H., & Yang, X. B.	Yuen, K. K., Choi, S. H., & Yang, X. B. (2010). A full-immersive CAVE-based VR simulation system of forklift truck operations for safety training. <i>Computer-Aided Design and Applications</i> , 7(2), 235-245.
ExxonMobil Research Qatar; EON Reality Inc;	https://www.eonreality.com/portfolio-items/immersive-3d-training-environment/
University of Liverpool	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j40fDpnryEU
the Institute of Technical Education (ITE)	https://www.eonreality.com/portfolio-items/virtual-technology-training/
EON Reality	https://www.eonreality.com/portfolio-items/virtual-anatomy-simulation/?portfolioCats=609
Loscos, C., et al.	Loscos, C., et al. (2004). The Museum of Pure Form: touching real statues in an immersive virtual museum. In VAST (pp. 271-279).
Formula D Interactive; Frost Museum of Science in Miami	https://www.formula-d.com/projects/virtual-everglades-tunnel/
Laia Cabrera; Isabelle Duverger	https://www.laiacabrera.com/illusion

Analysis method

The method of analysis is addressed on the basis of three basic aspects of VR, stemming from the research of Burdea and Coiffet (2003), detailing “immersion”, “interaction” and “imagination”, also inspired by the constructivist approach by Huang, Rauch and Liaw (2010). The details are adjusted according to the needs of studying design-related, course-related elements, including synaesthetic approaches.

The analysis method contains several areas of focus:

1. a focus on the sensory engagement, especially with concern to how audible/visual/tactile contents are used to achieve specific teaching effects, and the combinations within (synaesthesia approach) to achieve immersion;
2. a focus on the modes of interaction through “learner-instructor-content”;
3. a focus on the course framework and assessment.

Discussions: Typologies of Immersive Learning Within VLE

In this section, we discuss the two changes that basic design education faced: one is the teaching need of transformation from visual to multisensory and synaesthetic communication; the other is the use of virtual environments to teach design. Therefore, we are able to hypothesize the concept of immersion (usually provided by virtual learning environments) as an innovative teaching method for design.

Behavior correction

The model of behavior correction passes out functional knowledge mapped into relevant interactions such as providing supplementary action guidance or giving correct answers. The behavior correction educational model has clear training goals, such as dance training, problem solving under specific scenes, or remembering certain knowledge (theory or application).

Two sub-categories are classified: motion correction and info/knowledge correction. Motion correction focuses on rectifying movements and behaviors, usually equipped with a virtual teacher to demonstrate correct behavior. The info/knowledge based model will give the correct answers and verify them with pre-set questions. The expression of “correct” does not always refer to a certain answer, but instead it also includes the correction of cognition and the understanding of specific concepts.




Figure 6. The model of behavior correction for virtual simulation, wherein, both individual and group participation are allowed

As shown in Figure 6.1, within the behavior correction model, human-environmental interactions remain

simple. Three types of participations are engaged: individual work, co-working and association. Co-working means multiple users working together for a common decision or discussion facing the same problem. Association specifically refers to family engagement for children's educational activities, such as parental escort or guidance from guardian.

Virtual simulation is mostly framed as a pre-set scene with sensory engagement, wherein virtual content largely depends on the progress of task completion, not the performance of participants. Three main types of reflection are given:

- 1) text prompt: the text to guide the student's behavior;
- 2) virtual identity: including the virtual teacher which could provide correct action instructions; or virtual identity such as an avatar; or virtual co-workers to create a certain working scene;
- 3) scene simulation: the scene like behavioral guidance (e.g. animation and demonstration).




Figure 7. The model of behavior correction between task and evaluation

For the behavior correction model, the teaching process is mainly guided by groups of tasks in parallel. Rich teaching content is implemented by laying out a knowledge framework, setting after-class questions, and adjusting according to students' feedback. These tasks largely depend on the course designer (usually the teacher), not the activity or ability of the students.

Normally, the study identifies one main task and then splits it into several groups of specific tasks. Missions are given such as "guarantee your team's safety", and the program simulates different scenes of weather situations to imitate varying and unexpected situations.

Three types of reflection are provided to value the behavior of participants:

- 1) sensory simulation: certain visual or sound simulation for correction, such as red lights or sound prompts during mis-operation;
- 2) pre-set questions: to evaluate the effectiveness of the work through provided questions, which can appear during progress or at the end of an activity.
- 3) physical association: the indication from member or teacher.

Generally, the tasks within educational activities present a linear state; that is, one task corresponds to one answer. Sometimes, the content of the course is adjustable according to the results of evaluation, which also depends largely on the assistance and role of a "teacher". The evaluation (assessment) process can be fulfilled by reviewing training progress, or by question and answer in the form of text prompt.

Free exploration

The free exploration model refers to learning that happens naturally during the exploration of the virtual environment. This approach focuses more on the experience and fun during the learning process. Normally, free exploration happens within an open space, while the program provides virtual sensory content including visual, auditory and textile stimulations using interactive formats. The participants are usually divided in

groups wherein open social communication is welcomed.

The interaction between the participants and environment could be understood as a circle, as there is no clear directionality between the participants and virtual contents. These interactions exist not only between learner and content, but also between participants. They are able to fulfill the tasks through physical movements thanks to motion tracking or physical tools. The output from the virtual simulation remains in the area of visual display, while spatial sound and textile stimuli (with the support of gloves) reframe the realism of a certain scene or enhance the emotional experience. Compared with the focus of a certain sense, this usually emphasizes the coordination between senses.




Figure 8. The model of free exploration for virtual simulation, wherein, sensory stimulation and interaction are the two essential ways to create virtual simulation

Free exploration often appears in educational exhibitions under a broad premise, wherein certain themes might involve enjoying nature, exploring statues, or conducting art exploration. The themes identified can be understood as main tasks, and there is a triangle framework involving the “main task”, “behavior” and “reflection”, as shown in Figure 6.4. Unlike the behavior correction model, the participant's behavior affects the virtual content, and even makes new contributions continuously. Virtual contents are first provided under the form of sensory simulation, background story and physical association, and participants act on content through body interactions. Reflections from participants act on the virtual content through sensory input and scene, while the main task transmits into the participants' attribution.




Figure 9. The model of free exploration between task and evaluation

The knowledge spreads in a softer way compared with behavior correction models. Indeed, during the experience, participants need not remember or evaluate much knowledge. This form of learning allows a wider range of interactions and sensory engagement, and more possibilities for social communication. This method is more suitable for comprehensive experience activities, while behavior correction models can play a role in specific knowledge dissemination and acquisition.

Conclusion

The relationship between design education and virtual technology could develop a new integrated system. We consider the technological involvement of virtual tools based on the demands of sensory training, which has been studied for decades, yet the teaching tools still rely heavily on traditional methods. In the twenty-first century, more sensory-involved technologies needed to be addressed by designers who are better equipped, leading to innovation in educational tools and spaces.

Instead of focusing on the technically driven parts of virtual technology, we focus on the experience provided by virtual reality: the feeling of immersion. Although we discuss CAVE as the existing suitable environment for basic design teaching, any virtual tool that provides immersion - even online platforms - could be an effective platform for learning activities.

This research understands the experience of immersion on the cognitive level and further explores the content under sensory engagement and virtual narrative. As there is extremely limited research into design learning alongside virtual technology, we believe it essential to conduct theoretical investigations with a basic and solid method. Therefore, two groups of case studies are driven for the theoretical support for the framework proposed to achieve immersion for instructors.

The first group of case studies includes 24 spatial virtual exhibitions, while the second group of case studies includes 14 scientific learning approaches. Four typologies of immersion (passive sensory; exploration-based; knowledge-based; user-contributed) are categorized, and related factors of presence are addressed to support achieving different types and degrees of immersion. Two in-class models are presented: behavior correction and free exploration.

For the second phase of applying this framework, we consider several general research plans. The most reliable one is to discuss what kind of sensory interactions are adaptable within a CAVE-like learning field, and how to claim the current framework for immersive learning.

We understand this approach as the starting point to design an actual usable tool for instructors to teach basic design with immersion. Course structures and interactive formats can be adapted straightly by the engagement of web-based tool. We consider this part of the research as the second path. Since the content of basic design is also innovating and constantly producing new knowledge, this subject is also constantly evolving and requires constant exploration.

References

- Anceschi, G., & Riccò, D. (2000). Research of Communication Design: a synaesthetic approach. Proceedings of the "Design plus Research", International conference, Politecnico di Milano, 1-7.
- Beckem, J. M. (2012). Bringing life to learning: Immersive experiential learning simulations for online and blended courses. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 16(5), 61-70.
- Boucharenc, C. (2008). Design for a contemporary world: A textbook on fundamental principles. NUS Press.
- Burdea, G. C., & Coiffet, P. (2003). Virtual reality technology. John Wiley & Sons.
- Calabi, D. A., Stefano, M., Marco, S., & Giampaolo, V. (2003). The virtual reality design tool: Case studies and interfacing open topics. In *virtual concept 2003*.
- Calabi, D. A., Chiodo, E., Scuri, S. (2015). Experience, Immersion and Perception: Communication Design for Urban and Natural Environments. In XIII International Forum Le Vie dei Mercanti, Heritage and Technology, Mind Knowledge Experience, 709-718.
- Chandrasekaran, C. (2017). Computational principles and models of multisensory integration. *Current opinion in neurobiology*, 43, 25-34.
- Dalgarno, B., & Lee, M. J. (2010). What are the learning affordances of 3-D virtual environments?. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(1), 10-3.
- De Freitas, S., & Neumann, T. (2009). The use of 'exploratory learning' for supporting immersive learning in virtual environments. *Computers & Education*, 52(2), 343-352.
- Haverkamp, M. (2012). Synaesthetic design: Handbook for a multi-sensory approach. Walter de Gruyter.
- Heeter, C. (1992). Being there: The subjective experience of presence. *Presence: Teleoperators & Virtual Environments*, 1(2), 262-271.

- Huang, H. M., Rauch, U., & Liaw, S. S. (2010). Investigating learners' attitudes toward virtual reality learning environments: Based on a constructivist approach. *Computers and Education*, 55(3), 1171–1182.
- Liu, Y., (2020). Future Step of Basic Design: Between Synaesthesia Didactic and Virtual Learning. *Ergonomia&Design*, 311.
- Liu, Y., (2021). Immersive Learning for basic design. An innovative approach based on sensory and narrative. PhD Thesis in Design, XXXII Cycle, supervisors D. Riccò, D.A. Calabi, Department of Design, Politecnico di Milano, Milan.
- Liu, Y., Calabi, D. A., & Riccò, D. (2018). Synaesthetic and haptic experiences for design educating. an international framework. In VI International Conference Synaesthesia: Science and Art (pp. 1-7). Editorial Fundación Internacional Artecittà.
- Moore, M. G. (1989). Editorial: Three types of interaction. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 3(2), 1-6.
- Neves, A. G., & Duarte, E. (2015). Using Virtual Environments in Basic Design Education. *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference Senses & Sensibility: Design as a Trade*, (January), 273–280.
- Neves, A. G., Duarte, E., Dias, D., & Saraiva, M. (2017). The impact of a Virtual Reality-based tool on a Basic Design rooted discipline: Early perceptions. In *Design Doctoral Conference'17: TRANScendency* (pp. 167-174).
- Neves, Ana Glória, Duarte, E., & Dias, D. (2016). Basic Design meets Virtual Reality: a tentative methodology. *Design Doctoral Conference'16: TRANsversality - Proceedings of the DDC 3rd Conference*, (January), 104–111.
- New basic (2010). *Il Verri*, n. 43.
- Orlandi, A. E. C. (2010). Experimental experience in design education as a resource for innovative thinking: The case of Bruno Munari. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 5039-5044.
- Riccò, D. (2016). The Ways of Synesthetic Translation: Design models for media accessibility. *Proceedings of DRS 2016 Design + Research + Society Future–Focused Thinking*, Editors Peter Lloyd and Erik Bohemia, Design Research Society, Loughborough University, London, Vol. 3, 1101-1110.
- Riccò, D., Belluscio, A., & Guerini, S. (2003). Design for the Synaesthesia. *Audio, Visual and Haptic Correspondences Experimentation. Sinestesia.It*, (September), 159–164.
- Scuri, S. (2015). The Immersive Effect in Communication of Territory: A New Design Approach to Satisfy Cultural Tourism Demand. In *11th European Academy of Design Conference. The Value of Design Research*. Paris Descartes University Institute of Psychology, Boulogne Billancourt France.
- Scuri, S. (2017). The Experience of Immersion in the Web Environment: an Overview. In *Polimi Design PHD_017*. 10 PhD thesis on Design as we do in POLIMI, Franco Angeli, 82-100.
- Scoresby, J., & Shelton, B. E. (2011). Visual perspectives within educational computer games: effects on presence and flow within virtual immersive learning environments. *Instructional Science*, 39(3), 227-254.
- Shams, L., & Seitz, A. R. (2008). Beneficials of multisensory learning. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 12(11), 411-417.
- Slater, M., & Wilbur, S. (1997). A framework for immersive virtual environments (FIVE): Speculations on the role of presence in virtual environments. *Presence: Teleoperators & Virtual Environments*, 6(6), 603-616.
- Witmer, B. G., & Singer, M. J. (1998). Measuring presence in virtual environments: A presence questionnaire. *Presence*, 7(3), 225-240.

Yuan Liu, Ph.D

Beijing Institute of Fashion Technology, Beijing 100029, China

yuan.liu@polimi.it

Former graduated as a Ph.D. student at the Department of Design, Politecnico di Milano, this research is also accomplished there.

Dina Riccò, Ph.D

Politecnico di Milano, Italy

dina.ricco@polimi.it

Associate professor at the Department of Design, Politecnico di Milano. Among her key publications are *Sinestesie per il design* (1999. Selection at Nineteenth *Compasso d'Oro* award, 2001), *Sentire il design* (2008), and *Synaesthesia: Theoretical, artistic and scientific foundations* (with M.J. De Cordoba, S.A. Day et al., 2014, Sp. Ed. 2012). Overall, she has written over 100 publications in books, specialized magazines and national and international conference proceedings.

Daniela Anna Calabi

Politecnico di Milano, Italy

daniela.calabi@polimi.it

Architect, MA in Multimedia Communication, Researcher, is currently and Associate Professor at the Department of Design at the Politecnico di Milano. Her research interests cover Design Theory and Visual Cultures, more in particular Basic Design applied on Design Education. Some of her theories are applied to the Identity of Places. She teaches at the Design School of Politecnico di Milano, Ba and Ma Courses in Communication Design.




Author Index

- Aktaş, Bilge Merve, 553
Al Bess, Mariam, 592
Alesandro, Mariano, 216
Allende, Natalia, 59, 212
Ashley, Hall, 879
Ata, F. Zeynep, 746
Aulisio, Asja, 529
Aysel, Kardelen, 414
Barbero, Silvia, 529, 807
Baskår, Ellen, 567
Bauer, Birgit, 900
Beniwal, Sucharita, 277
Bennett, Audrey G., 338
Berg, Arild, 476
Bernado, Alyssa, 592
Bohemia, Erik, 3, 50, 1061
Bonde Sørensen, Kirsten, 138
Börekçi, Naz A.G.Z., 3, 30, 322, 380
Bosch, Noora, 68
Brænne, Karen, 222
Bravo, Úrsula, 59, 91
Brewer, Joe, 451
Brosens, Lore, 859
Butoliya, Deepa, 338
Calabi, Daniela Anna, 756
Campanella, Alessandro, 807
Chang, Jui-Feng, 184
Chen, Fan, 459
Chen, Qingxuan, 78
Chew, JiaYing, 489
Christiansen, Line Gad, 280
Chu, Hsiao-Yun, 1072
Clive, Grinyer, 879
Cortés, Catalina, 59, 216
Cruz, Christian, 910
De Francisco Vela, Santiago, 499
de Reuver, Rik, 626
de Sainz-Molestina, Daniela, 358
de Souza Couto, Rita Maria, 294
Denaro, Gianni, 369
Derksen, Gerry, 1044
Detand, Jan, 516
DeVita, Julienne, 660
Dewhirst, Hannah, 451
Digranes, Ingvild, 604
Ding, Meng Yue, 772
Dittenberger, Sandra, 868
Doğan, Fehmi, 737, 746
Donaldson, Christina, 405
Dormor, Catherine, 540
Edwards, Allison, 318
Efilti, Pelin, 934
Eftekhari, Farzaneh, 167
Eglash, Ron B., 338
Emans, Denielle J., 424
Emmanouil, Marina, 516, 859
Fan, Chunhong, 823
Fan, Yun, 1061
Fauske, Laila Belinda, 222
Fausto Medola, 476
Feng, Yi Jia, 772
Fernando, Galdon, 879
Ferrarello, Laura, 540
Ferrulli, Eliana, 807
Fu, Ge, 718
Galli, Francesco, 1044
Gao, Muxing, 432
Gelmez, Koray, 934
Gibson, Michael, 405
Gieben-Gamal, Emma, 307
Glyn-Davies, Adela, 816
Gomez, Gloria, 848
Goretti, Gabriele, 369
Goso, Nick, 592
Graf, Roland, 338
Gray, Colin M., 439, 830
Groth, Camilla, 476, 553
Gudiksen, Sune Klok, 280
Guo, Carol, 100
Güvenir, Can, 414
Guzman-Abello, Laura, 499
Hanrahan, Tara, 315
Hansen, Anne-Marie, 923
Härkki, Tellervo, 68
Hartvik, Juha, 604
Hasdoğan, Gülay, 322, 327, 380
Hee, Lee Chang, 879
Hensel, Daniela, 900
Hetu, Ruslan, 592
Hilton, Clive, 432, 816
Ho, Chun-Heng, 1038
Ho, Meng-xun, 111
Hoftijzer, Jan Willem, 626, 679
Hofverberg, Hanna, 222, 226
Hokinson, Brad, 152
Homlong, Siri, 222
Hou, Shumeng, 78
Howell, Bryan F., 626, 660, 679
Hsiao, Hsu-Chan, 960
Hsiao, Yu-Ting, 960
Hu, Yi Ke, 772
Hughes, Benjamin, 607, 613
Hyland, Peter, 405
Inamura, Tokushu, 910
Ito, Shinichiro, 910
Jackson, Asa R., 660

Jahanbakht, Mohammad, 167
Jiang, Ke, 613
John, Stevens, 879
Johnson, Keesa V., 338
Jones, Derek, 35
Kang, Zhi Hao, 772
Kannegiesser, Ella, 631
Kardar, Yashar, 856
Kashyap, Shantanu, 830
Keane, Linda, 687
Keough, Madeline, 631
Ketterer, Annaka, 631
Klingelfuss, Janey Deng, 948
Klingelfuss, Markus, 948
Koçyıldırım, Dalsu Özgen, 30
Korkut, Fatma, 30, 322, 380
Korsmeyer, Hannah, 277, 318
LaFors, Jeannette, 59
Lahti, Henna, 395
Lanig, Andreas Ken, 800
Laura, Ferrarello, 879
Lee, Henry, 660
Lenaers, Steven, 844
Li, Juan, 111
Li, Lin, 459
Li, Yijing, 581
Li, Zhe, 111
Li, Ziqing, 439
Lin, Xing-Min, 1038
Lin, Yang-Cheng, 196
Liow, Zhengping, 123
Liu, Shuo-fang, 111, 184
Liu, Wei, 439
Liu, Yuan, 756
Lotz, Nicole, 687
Low, Jenn, 338
Lu, Peng, 196
Luo, Shunhua, 823
Lutnæs, Eva, 222, 264
Ma, Chunli, 581
Maivorsdotter, Ninitha, 226
Marinovic, Lukas, 439
Marques Leitão, Renata, 277
Mathew, Deepak John, 789
Maus, Ingwill Gjerdrum, 222, 245
McDonald, Kevin, 439
McMahon, Bree, 1055
Medola, Fausto, 476
Meeks, Victoria, 631
Milovanovic, Julie, 987
Moritsch, Stefan, 868
Muñoz Novoa, Mauricio, 626
Murdoch-Kitt, Kelly M., 424
Nack, Frank, 232
Neubauer, Ruth M., 780
Nielsen, Liv Merete, 3, 45
Nilsson, Elisabet M., 923
Noel, Lesley-Ann, 255, 277
Novoa Muñoz, Mauricio, 679
Nunziante, Pietro, 479
Nyboer, Jody, 152
Octavia, Johannna Renny, 859
Ölmez, Duhan, 737
Omwami, Anniliina, 395
Örnekoğlu-Selçuk, Melis, 516
Owens, Keith, 405
Özçelik, Ayşegül, 856
Paepcke-Hjeltness, Verena, 631, 676
Pan, Lusheng, 1
Pardo Rodríguez, Santiago, 499
Paul, Anderson, 879
Pei, Jing, 581
Pereno, Amina, 529
Perna, Stefano, 479
Persaud, Nav, 592
Petermans, Ann, 689
Pintsuk-Christof, Julia, 868
Porko-Hudd, Mia, 604
Portas, Roberta, 294
Quartier, Katelijjn, 996
Radtke, Rebekah, 451
Raes, Annelies, 859
Raschauer, Agnes, 868
Rawlings, Rebekah, 660
Reitan, Janne Beate, 222
Riccò, Dina, 756
Rivera, Maritza, 91
Rocha, Andréia, 338
Rodriguez Diaz, Jose Manuel, 679
Rovera, Fabiana, 529
Rute, Fiadeiro, 879
Sadowska, Noemi, 315
Sariel, Selen, 1028
Schiller, Ayla, 631
Schmidt, Ingrid, 451
Schouten, Ben, 232
Seitamaa-Hakkarainen, Pirita, 68, 395
Sellen, Kate, 476, 592
Seydioglu, Sarper, 856
Shafieyoun, Zhabiz, 1044
Sharbafi, Farnoosh, 167
Shehab, Saadeddine, 100
Shi, Han, 581
Shieh, Meng-Dar, 960
Slone, Ryan, 1055
Sobel Luttenberg, Ruthie, 212
Solanki, Chaitanya, 789
Solberg, Anne, 567
Soliman, Habiba, 592
Song, Zhihang, 581
Stevens, Ruth, 689
Sun, Lei, 1012
Sypsteyn, Mark, 626
Tamblyn, Rodney, 848

Tatlisu, Enver, 934
Taverna, Andrea, 358
Taylor, Anne P., 703
Tellez, Fabio Andres, 59
ten Brink, Marije, 232
Thoring, Katja, 687
ThoringKatja, 687
Toombs, Austin L., 439
Tüfek, Tuğçe Ecem, 934
Umali, Norm, 592
Vande Zande, Robin, 931
VandeZande, Robin, 38
Vanrie, Jan, 689
Von Lachman, Marianne, 294
Voß, Stefanie, 900
Wang, Ziyuan, 920
Wecht, Christoph H., 780
Wei, Rong, 432
Werle, Stuart, 592
Wilkinson, Andrea, 844
Winchester III, Woodrow W., 277

Wolford, Christopher, 830
Wu, Chang-Tzuoh, 184
Wu, Fan, 196
Xia, Lu-Ting, 1038
Xia, Xiang, 920
XU, Yuanyuan, 1003
Xue, Feng, 581
Yalman-Yıldırım, Zeynep, 327
Yang, Jingrui, 823
Yang, Jing-Yi, 459
Yang, Shangshang, 581
Yazirlioğlu, Lilyana, 856
Yılmaz, Onur, 934
Yin, Xuejiao, 78
Yu, Jianglong, 1061
Zhang, Wendy, 679
Zhang, Yanfang, 910
Zhang, Yang, 3, 920, 1061
Zhao, Yue, 830
Zheng, Jianpeng, 976
Zhu, Ming, 647



ISBN 978-1-912294-45-9 (electronic) Volume 3

ISBN 978-1-912294-45-9



9 781912 294459 >