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We have recently realized that part (2) of Lemma 8.6 of [3] is incorrect. This invalidates the proof of Theorem 8.1 (also appearing on the Introduction as Theorem 1.1). We can however prove the following weaker result (notation is as in [3]; $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is defined in (12)).

Theorem 1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{a}$ a reductive quadratic equal rank subalgebra such that assumption (8) below holds. Fix $\Lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^{*}$ such that $\Lambda+\widehat{\rho}$ belongs to the Tits cone $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and let $M$ be a highest weight module for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight $\Lambda$. Let $f$ be a holomorphic $\widehat{W}$-invariant function on $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Suppose that a highest weight $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$-module of highest weight $\mu$ occurs in the Dirac cohomology $H\left(\left(G_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}}\right)_{0}, M\right)$. Then $f_{\left.\right|_{\mathfrak{G}} ^{\mathfrak{a}}}\left(\mu+\widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)=f(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho})$. In particular, there is $w \in \widehat{W}$ such that $\left(\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\mu+\widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)=w(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho})$.

As an example where the hypothesis of Theorem 1 hold, we consider in Proposition 7 the case where $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{h}$.

We specialize the setting of [3] to the case $\sigma=I d$. In particular, we may simplify the notation of [3] letting $\mathfrak{h}$ (rather than $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ ) denote a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\rho$ (rather than $\rho_{0}$ ) denote the Weyl vector.

Let $\mathcal{W}^{k}=\left(U\left(L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})\right) /(K-k)\right) \otimes F^{-}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ be the algebras defined in Sections 8.2, 8.3 of [3]. Then the map $t^{r} \otimes x \mapsto \tilde{x}_{r}, t^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes y \mapsto \bar{y}_{s}$ extends to an homomorphism $\Xi: \mathcal{W}^{k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Set $U\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{p}=\left\{x \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right) \mid \operatorname{deg}(x)=p\right\}$, where in our context deg can be defined, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\beta}$, by $\operatorname{deg}\left(\tilde{x}_{r}\right)=$ $h t(r \delta+\alpha), \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{y}_{s}\right)=h t(s \delta+\beta)$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the algebra of holomorphic functions on $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{*} \oplus \mathbb{C} \delta\right) \times\left(\mathfrak{h}^{*} \oplus \mathbb{C} \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)$. Set

$$
C_{\text {diag }}=\left\{\left(\Lambda+c \delta, \Lambda+\rho-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}+c \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \mid \Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}, c \in \mathbb{C}\right\},
$$

let $\mathcal{I}_{\text {diag }} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the set of functions that are zero when restricted to $C_{\text {diag }}$ and set $\mathcal{F}_{\mid C_{\text {diag }}}=\mathcal{F} / \mathcal{I}_{\text {diag }}$.

By Lemma 8.5 of [3] the map $x^{-} \otimes f \otimes x^{+} \mapsto \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) f \Xi\left(x^{+}\right)+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ is an onto map of vector spaces from $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes U\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{p}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ whose kernel is $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\text {diag }} \otimes U\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{p}$. Then we have an isomorphism (of vector spaces) between $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mid C_{\text {diag }}} \otimes U\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{p}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$.

Let $\Phi$ denote the set of holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{h}^{*} \oplus \mathbb{C} \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We can embed $\Phi$ in $\mathcal{F}$ by mapping $f \in \Phi$ to $F_{f} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $F_{f}$ is defined by setting $F_{f}(\lambda, \mu)=f(\mu)$. The map $f \mapsto F_{f}+\mathcal{I}_{\text {diag }}$ is an isomorphism from $\Phi$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\mid C_{\text {diag }}}$. It follows that the
map from $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \Phi \otimes U\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{p}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{-} \otimes f \otimes x^{+} \mapsto \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right)+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Since, as a vector space, $\overline{\mathcal{A}} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\oplus_{i \leq p} \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{i} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{i+1}$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{A}} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \simeq U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes\left(\oplus_{n \leq p} U\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{n}\right)\right. \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ is homogeneous with respect to deg, we can induce a grading on $\overline{\mathcal{A}} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Note that, by (1), $\operatorname{deg}(x) \leq p$ for any homogeneous element $x \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$ the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by $\left\{F_{f} \mid f \in \Phi\right\}$ and $\left(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{r}$ with $x \in \mathfrak{a}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$ its closure. Let $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by $\left(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{r}$ with $t^{r} \otimes x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}$ and let $\mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$ be the ideal in $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$ generated by $\left(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{r}$ with $t^{r} \otimes x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}$.

Set $B(p)=\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}+\overline{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{p}=\overline{\mathcal{A}} / B(p)$. Using PBW theorem, we decompose $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right)$and $U\left(\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ as vector spaces as $U\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\right.$ $\left.\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right), U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right) \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ respectively. $\quad$ Set $S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{p}=\oplus_{i \leq p} S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{i}$. Using a multi-index notation similar to the one introduced in [3, (8.17)], we set, for $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right\}, \tilde{x}^{I}=\left(\tilde{x}_{1}\right)^{i_{1}}\left(\tilde{x}_{2}\right)^{i_{2}} \ldots$ and similarly for $\bar{x}^{I}, \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}, \theta(x)^{I}$.
Lemma 2. If $v \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$, then $v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$, where $q_{I}$ is a sum of elements of type $\Xi\left(a^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right), a^{-} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right), x^{-} \in S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right)$, $f \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}, x^{+} \in S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{p}$.

Proof. Write $v=\sum_{i} P_{i} Q_{i}$ with $P_{i} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and $Q_{i} \in \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$. Using PBW theorem for $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$ we have that $Q_{i}=\sum_{I \neq 0} c_{I}^{i} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}$ with $x^{I} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $c_{I}^{i} \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0}\left(\sum_{i} c_{I}^{i} P_{i}\right) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}\right)>0$ we have that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} \subset$ $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Using (1) we write $\sum_{i} c_{I}^{i} P_{i}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{j} u_{j}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$, where $u_{j}^{I}$ are terms of type $\Xi\left(a^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right) \Xi\left(a^{+}\right)$and $a^{-} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right), x^{-} \in S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right)$, $a^{+} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right), x^{+} \in S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right), f \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}$. Hence

$$
v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0}\left(\sum_{j} u_{j}^{I}\right) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}
$$

Since $\Xi\left(a^{+}\right)$is a linear combination of $\tilde{x}^{R}$ with $x^{R} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$, we write $\sum_{j} u_{j}^{I}=$ $\sum_{R} q_{R, I} \tilde{x}^{R}$ with $q_{R, I}$ a finite sum of terms of type $\Xi\left(a^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right)$, $a^{-} \in$ $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right), x^{-} \in S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right), x^{+} \in S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right), f \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}$.

Using the fact that $\left[\tilde{x}_{r}, \bar{y}_{s}\right]=0$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}^{R}=\sum_{M \leq R} c_{M} \sigma\left(\theta(x)^{R-M}\right) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma\left(\theta\left(x^{1}\right)^{n_{1}} \cdots \theta\left(x^{k}\right)^{n_{k}}\right)=\theta\left(x^{k}\right)^{n_{k}} \cdots \theta\left(x^{1}\right)^{n_{1}}$. Moreover $c_{R}=1$. Thus

$$
v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} \sum_{R} q_{R, I} \tilde{x}^{R} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}=\sum_{I \neq 0} \sum_{R} \sum_{M \leq R} q_{R, I} \sigma\left(\theta(x)^{R-M}\right) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} .
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}\right)>0$ we have that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Write

$$
\sigma\left(\theta(x)^{R-M}\right)+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{j} w_{j}^{R, M}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}
$$

with $w_{j}^{R, M}$ a sum of terms of type $\Xi\left(x^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{+}\right)$with $x^{-} \in S\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime-}\right), x^{+} \in S\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$, so we can write $v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} \sum_{R} \sum_{M \leq R} q_{R, I} \sum_{j} w_{j}^{R, M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. We note
that, if $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f} \bar{x}_{r}=\bar{x}_{r} F_{f_{\alpha+r \delta_{a}}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by the defining relations in $\mathcal{A}$, setting $r_{I, M}=\sum_{j, R>M} q_{R, I} w_{j}^{R, M}$, we have that $r_{I, M}$ is a sum of terms of type $\Xi\left(a^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right), a^{-} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right), x^{-} \in$ $S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right), x^{+} \in S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right), f \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}$. Hence $v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} \sum_{M} r_{I, M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+$ $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. By PBW theorem, we have $\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}=\sum_{T} c_{T, M, I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{T}$. with $c_{0, M, I}=0$ (since $I \neq 0$ ), hence, as wished

$$
v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{T \neq 0}\left(\sum_{M, I} c_{T, M, I} r_{I, M}\right) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{T}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} .
$$

Lemma 3. The map $\Theta: U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right) \otimes S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p}$ defined by $a^{-} \otimes x^{-} \otimes f \otimes x^{+} \mapsto \Xi\left(a^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right)+B(p)$ is a linear isomorphism.

Proof. First we check that $\Theta$ is onto. By (1), if $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p}$ then $v$ is a sum of terms of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi\left(a^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right) \Xi\left(a^{+}\right)+B(p), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a^{-} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right), x^{-} \in S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right), a^{+} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right), x^{+} \in S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right), f \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}$.
We can clearly assume that $a^{+}$is a monomial, thus $\Xi\left(a^{+}\right)=\tilde{x}^{I}$. By (3), there is a constant $c$ such that $\Xi\left(a^{+}\right)=\tilde{x}^{I}=c \sigma\left(\theta(x)^{I}\right) \bmod \overline{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$

Remark that, setting $l=\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta(x)_{r}\right)$, then $\theta(x)_{r} \in \prod_{q \geq l} \mathcal{W}_{l-q} \mathcal{W}_{q}^{+}$, thus $\theta(x)_{r} \in$ $\sum_{q=l}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{l-q} \mathcal{W}_{q}^{+} \bmod \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{i}^{n} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{j}^{m} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{t}$ with $t=\max (n, m+j)$, we see that there is an element of $u \in S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))$ such that $\sigma\left(\theta(x)^{I}\right) \equiv \Xi(u) \bmod \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Substituting in (5), we see that $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p}$ is a sum of terms of type $\Xi\left(a^{-}\right) \Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right) \Xi(u)+$ $B(p)$ with $u \in S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))$. It is now clear using the defining relations of $\mathcal{A}$ and (4) that $\Xi\left(x^{-}\right) F_{f} \Xi\left(x^{+}\right) \Xi(u)$ can be rewritten as a sum of terms of type $\Xi\left(x^{\prime-}\right) F_{f^{\prime}} \Xi\left(x^{\prime+}\right)$ with $x^{\prime} \in S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right), f^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}, x^{\prime+} \in S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{p}$, thus $v$ is in the image of $\Theta$.

We now check that the map $\Theta$ is injective. Assume that $v=\Theta\left(\sum_{i} u_{i}\right)$ with $u_{i}$ of type $a^{-} \otimes x^{-} \otimes f \otimes x^{+}$and that $v \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}+\overline{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$. This means that, as in the statement of Lemma 2, $v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}=\sum_{M \leq I} c_{M, I} \theta(x)^{I-M} \tilde{x}^{M}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{I, I}=1$, we have that

$$
v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}^{I}+\sum_{M}\left(\sum_{I>M} c_{M, I} q_{I} \theta(x)^{I-M}\right) \tilde{x}^{M}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} .
$$

Arguing as in the first part of the proof we can show that $q_{I} \theta(x)^{I-M}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=$ $q_{I, M}^{\prime}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ with $q_{I, M}^{\prime}$ a sum of terms of type $\Xi\left(x^{\prime-}\right) F_{f^{\prime}} \Xi\left(x^{\prime+}\right)$ with $x^{\prime} \in S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)-\oplus\right.$ $\left.\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right), f^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}, x^{\prime+} \in S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{p}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}^{I}+\sum_{M} \sum_{I>M} c_{M, I} q_{I, M}^{\prime} \tilde{x}^{M}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m=\max \left\{|I| \mid q_{I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \neq \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}\right\}$, then

$$
v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{|I|=m} q_{I} \tilde{x}^{I}+\sum_{|I|<m} q_{I}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{x}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1},
$$

where the coefficients $q_{I}^{\prime \prime}$ are obtained from (7) in the obvious way. It follows that

$$
\sum_{|I|=m} q_{I} \tilde{x}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\Theta\left(\sum_{i} u_{i}\right)-\sum_{|I|<m} q_{I}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{x}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}
$$

If $m>0$, this contradicts (1). Thus $v \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since $v=\Theta\left(\sum_{i} u_{i}\right)$ with $u_{i}$ of type $a^{-} \otimes x^{-} \otimes \bar{h} \otimes f \otimes x^{+}$this implies, by (1) again, that $\sum_{i} u_{i}=0$ as wished.

Obviously $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ acts on $B(p)=\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}+\overline{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$ by left multiplication. In particular we can define an action of $L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{a})$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}=\overline{\mathcal{A}} / B(p)$ by letting $t^{r} \otimes x$ act by left multiplication by $\left(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{r}$ and $K_{S}$ by $\left(k+g-g_{S}\right) I$.

Set $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}=\left(\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{0}+(k+g) d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{0}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)=0$, bracketing with $\left(\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{0}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}$ leaves $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p}$ stable. This implies that that $\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, B(p)\right] \subset B(p)$. Indeed, if $v \in B(p)$, then, by Lemma $2, v+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}=\sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$, hence

$$
\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, v\right]+B(p)=\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, \sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}\right]+B(p)=\left(\sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}\right) q+B(p)
$$

where $q=\sum_{i} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a} 0}^{i} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a} 0}^{i}+\left(\tilde{h}_{2 \rho_{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)_{\mathfrak{a} 0}+(k+g) d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We can assume that $\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}$ are weight vectors of weight $\mu_{I}$ under the action of $\left\{\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a} 0} \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\right\} \cup \mathbb{C} d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Therefore

$$
\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, v\right]+B(p)=\left(\sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} q_{-\mu_{I}} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}\right)+B(p)=B(p)
$$

Thus bracketing with $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}$ defines an operator $\Omega^{p}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$.
Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{f}\right)=0$ for $f \in \Phi$, we have that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} F_{f} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. The argument above shows that $B(p) F_{f} \subset B(p)$, hence we can define a right action of $\Phi$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$. In particular, we might consider $h \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ as a function in $\Phi$, so we have a well defined action $a d$ of $\mathfrak{h}+\mathbb{C} d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ given by $a d(h)(x)=h x-x \cdot h, x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}$.

For $f \in \Phi$, set

$$
\mathcal{B}_{p}[f]=\left\{v \in \mathcal{B}_{p} \mid \Omega^{p}(v)=v \cdot f\right\} .
$$

Proposition 4. Assume that for all $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{p}=U\left(L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{a})\right)\left(\mathcal{B}_{p}^{\mathfrak{n}_{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{B}_{p}=\bigoplus_{f \in \Phi} \mathcal{B}_{p}[f] .
$$

Proof. We first show that the spaces $\mathcal{B}_{p}[f]$ generate $\mathcal{B}_{p}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}}$. We can assume that $x$ is a weight vector for the action $a d$ of $\mathfrak{h}+\mathbb{C} d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ of weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^{*} \oplus \mathbb{C} \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$. If $x=v+B(p)$, then $\Omega^{p}(v+B(p))=\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, v\right]+B(p)$, hence

$$
\Omega^{p}(x)=\left[\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}}+(k+g) d_{\mathfrak{a}}, v\right]+B(p)=F_{q} x-x F_{q}+B(p) .
$$

where $q=\sum_{i} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a} 0}^{i} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a} 0}^{i}+\left(\tilde{h}_{2 \rho_{\mathfrak{a}}}\right)_{\mathfrak{a} 0}+(k+g) d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Hence

$$
\Omega^{p}(x)=v\left(F_{q_{\mu}}-F_{q}\right)+B(p)=x \cdot\left(q_{\mu}-q\right) .
$$

Since $\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, U\left(L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{a})\right)\right]=0$ we obtain the our claim.
We now check that the sum is direct. Assume that $v \cdot f=0$ for a nonzero $f \in \Phi$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p}$. Lemma 3 identifies $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ with $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right) \otimes S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{p}$ so we can write accordingly $v=\sum_{i} \Theta\left(a_{i}^{-} \otimes x_{i}^{-} \otimes f_{i} \otimes x_{i}^{+}\right)$and assume that the weight of $x_{i}^{+}$under the action of $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is $\mu_{i}$. Here $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ acts by the adjoint action of $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a} 0}$. Then $v \cdot f=\sum_{i} \Theta\left(a_{i}^{-} \otimes x_{i}^{-} \otimes \bar{h}_{i} \otimes f_{i} f_{-\mu_{i}} \otimes x_{i}^{+}\right)$By Lemma $3, v \cdot f=0$ implies that $f_{i} f_{-\mu_{i}}=0$ for all $i$. Since $f$ is nonzero, then clearly $f_{-\mu_{i}}$ is nonzero. Since $f, f_{i}$ are holomorphic functions $f_{i} f_{-\mu_{i}}=0$ implies $f_{i}=0$ for all $i$. Thus, if
$v \cdot f=0$ for a nonzero $f \in \Phi$, then $v=0$. A standard argument of linear algebra proves by induction on $n$ that, if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}=0$ with $v_{i} \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left[f_{i}\right]$ and $f_{i} \neq f_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ then $v_{i}=0$ for all $i$.

Set $G_{0}=\left(G_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}}\right)_{0}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{0}\right)=0,\left[G_{0}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p}\right] \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p}$. Moreover

$$
\left[G_{0}, \overline{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)\right] \subseteq\left[G_{0}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}\right] \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

It follows that bracketing with $G_{0}$ stabilizes $B(p)$, hence induces a map $\bar{d}_{p}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$. We set

$$
\mathcal{B}_{p}^{i n v}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Omega^{p}\right) .
$$

It follows from Proposition 4 that we can write

$$
\mathcal{B}_{p}=\mathcal{B}_{p}^{i n v} \oplus W,
$$

where $W=\bigoplus_{f \neq 0} \mathcal{B}_{p}[f]$. Clearly $W$ is stable under the action of $\Omega^{p}$.

## Lemma 5.

(1) $\mathcal{B}_{p}^{\text {inv }}$ and $W$ are $\bar{d}_{p}$-stable.
(2) $\bar{d}_{p}^{2}=0$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}^{i n v}$.

Proof. The first statement is clear since $\left[G_{0}, \Omega^{p}\right]=0$.
For the second statement, we start by observing that (3.12) in [3] says that $\left[\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{x}_{r}\right]=-(k+g) r \tilde{x}_{r}$ and $\left[\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{x}_{r}\right]=0$. By the definition of the product in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and formula (3.10) of [3] (with $s_{i}=0$ ), we see that $\left[\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{g}}, f\right]=0$ for $f$ in $\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand $\left[d, \tilde{x}_{r}\right]=r \tilde{x}_{r},\left[d, \bar{x}_{r}\right]=0$ and $[d, f]=0$ for $f$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Thus bracketing with $d$ and $\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ stabilizes the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by $\tilde{x}_{r}, \bar{x}_{r}, f$ and if $x$ is in this subalgebra, then $\left[\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{g}}, x\right]=-(k+g)[d, x]$. By [3, Lemma 8.5] this subalgebra is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, hence $\left[\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{g}}, x\right]=-(k+g)[d, x]$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now notice that, if $x+B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{i n v}$, then $\bar{d}_{p}^{2}(x+B(p))=\left[G_{0}^{2}, x\right]+B(p), G_{0}$ being odd. It follows from [3, (4.18)] that
$\left[G_{0}^{2}, x\right]=\left[\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{g}}-\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{a}}-(k+g) L_{0}^{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}, x\right]=-\left[\tilde{L}_{0}^{\mathfrak{a}}+(k+g)\left(d+L_{0}^{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}\right), x\right]=-\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, x\right] \in B(p)$ as desired.

Identify $S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ with $S(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes \wedge L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$ (as vector spaces). We introduce an increasing filtration $\mathcal{B}_{p}[0] \subset \mathcal{B}_{p}[1] \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{B}_{p}[n] \subset \ldots$ on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ by setting $\mathcal{B}_{p}[n]=\Theta\left(U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes K^{p}[n]\right)$ where

$$
K^{p}[n]=\left(\oplus_{m \leq n} S^{m}(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))\right) \cap\left(S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{p}\right)
$$

Observe that the corresponding graded space is $\operatorname{Gr}\left(\mathcal{B}_{p}\right)=\oplus_{n} G r_{n}\left(\mathcal{B}_{p}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G r_{n}\left(\mathcal{B}_{p}\right) & =U\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes K_{n}^{p} \\
K_{n}^{p} & =\left(S^{n}(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))\right) \cap\left(S\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \oplus\left(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This filtration can be defined in a more natural way as follows: introduce a filtration $\mathcal{W}[0] \subset \mathcal{W}[1] \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{W}[n] \subset \ldots$ on $\mathcal{W}$ by giving degree 1 to $\tilde{x}_{r}$ if $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and degree 0 to $\tilde{x}_{r}$ if $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and to $\bar{x}_{r}$ if $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. This filtration induces a filtration on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ and, since $L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a subalgebra of $L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{g})$, the two filtrations coincide. As a consequence we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{p}[n]\right) \subset \mathcal{B}_{p}[n] . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is due to the fact that, if $x \in \mathcal{W}$, then $\Omega^{p}(x+B(p))=\left[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, x\right]+B(p)=$ $[y, x]+B(p)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{W}[0]$ (indeed $\left.y=\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}} \bmod \mathcal{A}^{q}, q \gg 0\right)$.

Let $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}: S(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes \wedge L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow S(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes \wedge L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the Koszul differential. Since $\left[G_{0}, F_{f}\right]=0$ for $f \in \Phi$, as in the proof of Lemma 8.7 of [3] we can show that
the map induced by $\bar{d}_{p}$ on $\operatorname{Gr}\left(\mathcal{B}_{p}\right)$ is the restriction to $\oplus_{n}\left(U\left(\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)_{-}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi} \otimes K_{n}^{p}\right)$ of $I d \otimes I d \otimes \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that, if $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[n]$ is such that $\bar{d}_{p}(x)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{\mathfrak{a}}+\bar{d}_{p}(y)+u \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \Theta\left(U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right)$ and $u, y \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[n-1]$.
Proposition 6. If $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{\text {inv }}$ and $\bar{d}_{p}(x)=0$ then there are $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{\text {inv }}$ such that

$$
x=a+B(p)+\bar{d}_{p}(y) .
$$

Proof. Assume $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[n]$. The proof will be by induction on $n$.
First assume $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[0]$. Then, by (10), we have that $x=x_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Write $x_{\mathfrak{a}}=$ $x_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}+B(p)$ where $x_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}=\sum_{I} \tilde{x}^{I} F_{q_{I}}$ with $\tilde{x}^{I} \in U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime-}\right)$ and $q_{I} \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}$. Let $m=\max \{|I| \mid$ $\left.q_{I} \neq 0\right\}$. Similarly to (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}^{I}=\sum_{M \leq I} a_{M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{M} \sigma\left(\theta(x)^{I-M}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{I}=1$, hence we can rewrite $x_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}$ as $x_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}=\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} q_{I}+\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime}$, with $q_{I}^{\prime} \in$ $\overline{\Xi(S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))) \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}=\left\{F_{f} \mid f \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right\}$. Applying (6) we can rewrite this as $x_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}=$ $\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} q_{I}+\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime \prime}$, with $q_{I}^{\prime \prime} \in \overline{\Xi(S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))) \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}}$. Thus $x_{\mathfrak{a}}=\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} q_{I}+$ $\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime}+B(p)$, with $q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \Xi(S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))) \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}$. Since $x_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} q_{I}+B(p)$ are both in $\mathcal{B}_{p}^{\text {inv }}$, we have that $\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime}+B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{\text {inv }}$. Since $0=\bar{d}_{p}\left(x_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)=$ $\bar{d}_{p}\left(\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} q_{I}+B(p)\right)$ we have that $\bar{d}_{p}\left(\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime}+B(p)\right)=0$. On $\mathcal{B}_{p}[0]$ the differential $\bar{d}_{p}$ is just $I d \otimes I d \otimes \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By exactness of $\delta_{p}$ we find that $q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}$. By an obvious induction on $m$ we deduce that $x_{\mathfrak{a}}=a+B(p)$ for some $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Assume now $n>0$. Then, by (10), we have that $x=x_{\mathfrak{a}}+\bar{d}_{p}(y)+u$ with $y, u \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[n-1]$. Arguing as above we have $x_{\mathfrak{a}}=\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} q_{I}+\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime}+B(p)$. Note that $\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime}+B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[0]$.

Setting $u^{\prime}=u+\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^{I} q_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime}+B(p)$, we have that $x=a+B(p)+\bar{d}_{p}(y)+u^{\prime}$ with $y, u^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[n-1]$. We can write $y=y_{0}+y^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime}=u_{0}+u^{\prime \prime}$ with $y_{0}, u_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{i n v}$ and $y^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in W$. Since $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{i n v}$ we can write $x=a+B(p)+\bar{d}_{p}\left(y_{0}\right)+u_{0}$ so, since $\bar{d}_{p}(x)=\bar{d}_{p}(a+B(p))=0$, we have that $\bar{d}_{p}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$.

By (9) we can assume $u_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{p}[n-1]$, hence we can apply the induction hypothesis, obtaining $u_{0}=a^{\prime}+B(p)+\bar{d}_{p}(z)$ and proving that $x=a+a^{\prime}+B(p)+\bar{d}_{p}\left(y_{0}+z\right)$. with $a+a^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$.

We now come to the proof of the main result. Let $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Tits cone of $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}: \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the map defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{h}}=I d_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(d_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)=d \quad d_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(K_{S}\right)=K \text { for all } S \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is a function on $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we denote by $f_{\mid \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{*}}$ the function on $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{*}\left(C_{\mathfrak{g}}\right) \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{*}$ defined by $f_{\mid \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{*}}(\lambda)=\left(f \circ\left(\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{*}\right)^{-1}\right)(\lambda)$.
Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that the Dirac cohomology $H\left(\left(G_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}}\right)_{0}, M\right)$ is the $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ module $\operatorname{Ker} G_{0} / \operatorname{Ker} G_{0} \cap \operatorname{Im} G_{0}$, where $G_{0}$ is seen as an operator on $M \otimes F(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$.

If $v \in M \otimes F(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a weight vector of weight $\sum k_{S} \Lambda_{0}^{S}+\nu$ and $q \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}$, we define an action of $F_{q}$ on $v$ by setting $F_{q} \cdot v=q(\nu) v$. This extends the action of $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ on $M \otimes F(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$ to an action of $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$. As in § 8.5 of [3] we get the existence of a central element $z_{f}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $z_{f} \cdot v=f(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho}) v$ for any $v \in M \otimes F(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let $v_{0}+\operatorname{Ker} G_{0}^{M} \cap \operatorname{Im} G_{0}^{M}$ be the highest vector of a $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$-submodule of $H\left(\left(G_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}}\right)_{0}, M\right)$ with highest weight $\mu=\sum_{S}\left(k+g-g_{S}\right) \Lambda_{0}^{S}+\bar{\mu}$ with $\bar{\mu} \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}+\mathbb{C} \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Choose $p$ big enough so that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} v_{0}=0$. Since $z_{f}$ is central we have that $z_{f}+B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{\text {inv }}$
and $\bar{d}_{p}\left(z_{f}\right)=0$. Applying Proposition 6 we can write $z_{f}=a+\left[G_{0}, y\right]+u$ with $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $u \in B(p)$. It follows that $f(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho}) v_{0}=z_{f} v_{0}=a v_{0}+G_{0} y v_{0}+u v_{0}=$ $a v_{0}+G_{0} y v_{0}$. Since both $v_{0}$ and $a v_{0}$ are in $\operatorname{Ker} G_{0}$ we see that $G_{0} y v_{0} \in \operatorname{Ker} G_{0}$ so $f(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho})\left(v_{0}+\operatorname{Ker} G_{0}^{M} \cap \operatorname{Im} G_{0}^{M}\right)=a \cdot\left(v_{0}+\operatorname{Ker} G_{0}^{M} \cap \operatorname{Im} G_{0}^{M}\right)$.

On the other hand, since $\operatorname{deg}\left(z_{f}\right)=0$ we can assume $\operatorname{deg}(a)=0$ so $a=F_{q}+a^{\prime}$ with $q \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ and $a^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a}) \mathcal{A}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that $f(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho})=q(\bar{\mu})$.

By Corollary 7.2 of $[3], v_{\Lambda} \otimes 1+\operatorname{Ker} G_{0}^{M} \cap \operatorname{Im} G_{0}^{M}$ is the highest vector for a nonzero $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$-submodule of $H\left(\left(G_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}}\right)_{0}, M\right)$ having highest weight $\Lambda+\widehat{\rho}-\widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. It follows that $f(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho})=q\left(\bar{\Lambda}+\rho-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)$ for any $\Lambda \in-\widehat{\rho}+C_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since $\mu+\widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}} \in C_{\mathfrak{g}}$, it follows that $f\left(\mu+\widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}}\right)=q(\bar{\mu})=f(\Lambda+\widehat{\rho})$. and the first statement is proven. The second statement follows from a theorem of Looijenga [1], asserting that holomorphic $\widehat{W}$ invariant functions separate the orbits of the action of $\widehat{W}$ on $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
Proposition 7. If $k+g \neq 0$ then, as a $L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{h})$-module, $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ is generated by $\mathcal{B}_{p}^{\mathfrak{n}_{h}^{\prime}}$.
Proof. Write for simplicity $h_{r}$ for $\left(\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)_{r}, h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Consider the infinite Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}=\sum_{r \neq 0} t^{r} \otimes \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C} K_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $L^{\prime}(\mathfrak{h})$. Recall that $K_{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts as on $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ as $(k+g) I d$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_{p}^{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\prime}}$ is the set $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}$ such that $\left(t^{r} \otimes h\right) x=0$ fon any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $r>0$. By Lemma 9.13 of [2] it suffices to check that given $x \in \mathcal{B}_{p}$ then there is $N$ such that $\left(h^{1}\right)_{i_{1}} \ldots\left(h^{n}\right)_{i_{n}} \cdot x=0$ whenever $i_{j}>0$ for all $j$ and $n>N$ $\left(h^{i} \in \mathfrak{h}\right)$. We can clearly assume that $x$ is homogeneous with respect to deg. Then it is enough to choose $N=-\operatorname{deg}(x)+p$, for, if $n>N$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(h^{1}\right)_{i_{1}} \ldots\left(h^{n}\right)_{i_{n}}\right)=$ $\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}\right) \geq n>N$. It follows that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(h^{1}\right)_{i_{1}} \ldots\left(h^{n}\right)_{i_{n}} x\right)>N+\operatorname{deg}(x)=p$ so $\left(h^{1}\right)_{i_{1}} \ldots\left(h^{n}\right)_{i_{n}} x=0$.
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