CORRIGENDUM TO "MULTIPLETS OF REPRESENTATIONS, TWISTED DIRAC OPERATORS AND VOGAN'S CONJECTURE IN AFFINE SETTING".

VICTOR G. KAC PIERLUIGI MÖSENEDER FRAJRIA PAOLO PAPI

We have recently realized that part (2) of Lemma 8.6 of [3] is incorrect. This invalidates the proof of Theorem 8.1 (also appearing on the Introduction as Theorem 1.1). We can however prove the following weaker result (notation is as in [3]; $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is defined in (12)).

Theorem 1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra, \mathfrak{a} a reductive quadratic equal rank subalgebra such that assumption (8) below holds. Fix $\Lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ such that $\Lambda + \widehat{\rho}$ belongs to the Tits cone $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and let M be a highest weight module for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight Λ . Let f be a holomorphic \widehat{W} -invariant function on $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Suppose that a highest weight $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ -module of highest weight μ occurs in the Dirac cohomology $H((G_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a}})_0, M)$. Then $f_{|\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_\mathfrak{a}}(\mu + \widehat{\rho}_\mathfrak{a}) = f(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho})$. In particular, there is $w \in \widehat{W}$ such that $(\phi^*_\mathfrak{a})^{-1}(\mu + \widehat{\rho}_\mathfrak{a}) = w(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho})$.

As an example where the hypothesis of Theorem 1 hold, we consider in Proposition 7 the case where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}$.

We specialize the setting of [3] to the case $\sigma = Id$. In particular, we may simplify the notation of [3] letting \mathfrak{h} (rather than \mathfrak{h}_0) denote a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and ρ (rather than ρ_0) denote the Weyl vector.

Let $\mathcal{W}^k = (U(L'(\mathfrak{g}))/(K-k)) \otimes F^-(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ be the algebras defined in Sections 8.2, 8.3 of [3]. Then the map $t^r \otimes x \mapsto \tilde{x}_r$, $t^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes y \mapsto \overline{y}_s$ extends to an homomorphism $\Xi : \mathcal{W}^k \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Set $U(\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_p = \{x \in U(\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p) \mid \deg(x) = p\}$, where in our context deg can be defined, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $y \in \mathfrak{p}_\beta$, by $\deg(\tilde{x}_r) = ht(r\delta + \alpha)$, $\deg(\bar{y}_s) = ht(s\delta + \beta)$. Recall that \mathcal{F} denotes the algebra of holomorphic functions on $(\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta) \times (\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta_\mathfrak{q})$. Set

$$C_{diag} = \{ (\Lambda + c\delta, \Lambda + \rho - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}} + c\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}) \mid \Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \ c \in \mathbb{C} \},\$$

let $\mathcal{I}_{diag} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the set of functions that are zero when restricted to C_{diag} and set $\mathcal{F}_{|C_{diag}} = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}_{diag}$.

By Lemma 8.5 of [3] the map $x^- \otimes f \otimes x^+ \mapsto \Xi(x^-)f\Xi(x^+) + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ is an onto map of vector spaces from $U(\mathfrak{n}'_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_-) \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes U(\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_p$ to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^p/\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ whose kernel is $U(\mathfrak{n}'_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_-) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{diag} \otimes U(\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_p$. Then we have an isomorphism (of vector spaces) between $U(\mathfrak{n}'_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_-) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{|C_{diag}} \otimes U(\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_p$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^p/\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$.

Let Φ denote the set of holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We can embed Φ in \mathcal{F} by mapping $f \in \Phi$ to $F_f \in \mathcal{F}$, where F_f is defined by setting $F_f(\lambda, \mu) = f(\mu)$. The map $f \mapsto F_f + \mathcal{I}_{diag}$ is an isomorphism from Φ to $\mathcal{F}_{|C_{diag}}$. It follows that the map from $U(\mathfrak{n}'_{-} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-}) \otimes \Phi \otimes U(\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_p$ to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^p / \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ defined by

(1)
$$x^- \otimes f \otimes x^+ \mapsto \Xi(x^-) F_f \Xi(x^+) + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Since, as a vector space, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \bigoplus_{i \leq p} \overline{\mathcal{A}}^i/\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{i+1}$, we obtain an isomorphism

(2)
$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \simeq U(\mathfrak{n}'_{-} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-}) \otimes \Phi \otimes (\oplus_{n \leq p} U((\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_{n}).$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ is homogeneous with respect to deg, we can induce a grading on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Note that, by (1), deg(x) $\leq p$ for any homogeneous element $x \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$ the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by $\{F_f \mid f \in \Phi\}$ and $(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}})_r$ with $x \in \mathfrak{a}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$ its closure. Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by $(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}})_r$ with $t^r \otimes x \in \mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and let $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ be the ideal in $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ generated by $(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}})_r$ with $t^r \otimes x \in \mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

by $(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}})_r$ with $t^r \otimes x \in \mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Set $B(p) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ and $\mathcal{B}_p = \overline{\mathcal{A}}/B(p)$. Using PBW theorem, we decompose $U(\mathfrak{n}'_{-} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_{-})$ and $U(\mathfrak{n}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)$ as vector spaces as $U((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})_{-}) \otimes S((\mathfrak{n}'_p)_{-} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_{-})$, $U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}'_p \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)$ respectively. Set $S(\mathfrak{n}'_p \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)^p = \bigoplus_{i \leq p} S(\mathfrak{n}'_p \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_i$. Using a multi-index notation similar to the one introduced in [3, (8.17)], we set, for $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots\}, \tilde{x}^I = (\tilde{x}_1)^{i_1} (\tilde{x}_2)^{i_2} \ldots$ and similarly for $\bar{x}^I, \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}}, \theta(x)^I$.

Lemma 2. If $v \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$, then $v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} q_I \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$, where q_I is a sum of elements of type $\Xi(a^-)\Xi(x^-)F_f\Xi(x^+)$, $a^- \in U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}^-)$, $x^- \in S((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_-)$, $f \in \Phi$, $x^+ \in S(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})^p$.

Proof. Write $v = \sum_i P_i Q_i$ with $P_i \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and $Q_i \in \mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$. Using PBW theorem for $U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ we have that $Q_i = \sum_{I \neq 0} c_I^i \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I$ with $x^I \in U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ and $c_I^i \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} (\sum_i c_I^i P_i) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since $\deg(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I) > 0$ we have that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Using (1) we write $\sum_i c_I^i P_i + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_j u_J^I + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$, where u_J^I are terms of type $\Xi(a^-)\Xi(x^-)F_f\Xi(x^+)\Xi(a^+)$ and $a^- \in U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}), x^- \in S((\mathfrak{n}'_p)_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p)_-),$ $a^+ \in U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}), x^+ \in S(\mathfrak{n}'_p \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_p), f \in \Phi$. Hence

$$v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} (\sum_{j} u_{j}^{I}) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$$

Since $\Xi(a^+)$ is a linear combination of \tilde{x}^R with $x^R \in U(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}})$, we write $\sum_j u_j^I = \sum_R q_{R,I} \tilde{x}^R$ with $q_{R,I}$ a finite sum of terms of type $\Xi(a^-)\Xi(x^-)F_f\Xi(x^+)$, $a^- \in U(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}')$, $x^- \in S((\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}')_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}')_-)$, $x^+ \in S(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}')$, $f \in \Phi$.

Using the fact that $[\tilde{x}_r, \bar{y}_s] = 0$, we have that

(3)
$$\tilde{x}^R = \sum_{M \le R} c_M \sigma(\theta(x)^{R-M}) \tilde{x}^M_{\mathfrak{a}}$$

where $\sigma(\theta(x^1)^{n_1}\cdots\theta(x^k)^{n_k}) = \theta(x^k)^{n_k}\cdots\theta(x^1)^{n_1}$. Moreover $c_R = 1$. Thus

$$v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} \sum_{R} q_{R,I} \tilde{x}^{R} \tilde{x}^{I}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{I \neq 0} \sum_{R} \sum_{M \leq R} q_{R,I} \sigma(\theta(x)^{R-M}) \tilde{x}^{M}_{\mathfrak{a}} \tilde{x}^{I}_{\mathfrak{a}} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}.$$

Since $\deg(\tilde{x}^M_{\mathfrak{a}}\tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}}) > 0$ we have that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}\tilde{x}^M_{\mathfrak{a}}\tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Write

$$\sigma(\theta(x)^{R-M}) + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{j} w_{j}^{R,M} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$$

with $w_j^{R,M}$ a sum of terms of type $\Xi(x^-)\Xi(x^+)$ with $x^- \in S(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime-}), x^+ \in S(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime})$, so we can write $v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} \sum_R \sum_{M \leq R} q_{R,I} \sum_j w_j^{R,M} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^M \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. We note

that, if $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}$, then

(4)
$$F_f \bar{x}_r = \bar{x}_r F_{f_{\alpha + r\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}}}$$

Thus, by the defining relations in \mathcal{A} , setting $r_{I,M} = \sum_{j,R \ge M} q_{R,I} w_j^{R,M}$, we have that $r_{I,M}$ is a sum of terms of type $\Xi(a^-)\Xi(x^-)F_f\Xi(x^+), a^- \in U(\mathfrak{n}_a^{-}), x^- \in$ $S((\mathfrak{n}_p^{\prime})_{-} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_p^{\prime})_{-}), x^+ \in S(\mathfrak{n}_p^{\prime} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_p^{\prime}), f \in \mathbf{\Phi}$. Hence $v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \ne 0} \sum_M r_{I,M} \tilde{x}_a^M \tilde{x}_a^I + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. By PBW theorem, we have $\tilde{x}_a^M \tilde{x}_a^I = \sum_T c_{T,M,I} \tilde{x}_a^T$. with $c_{0,M,I} = 0$ (since $I \ne 0$), hence, as wished

$$v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{T \neq 0} (\sum_{M,I} c_{T,M,I} r_{I,M}) \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{T} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}.$$

Lemma 3. The map $\Theta : U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}) \otimes S((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-}) \otimes \Phi \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})^{p} \to \mathcal{B}_{p}$ defined by $a^{-} \otimes x^{-} \otimes f \otimes x^{+} \mapsto \Xi(a^{-})\Xi(x^{-})F_{f}\Xi(x^{+}) + B(p)$ is a linear isomorphism.

Proof. First we check that Θ is onto. By (1), if $v \in \mathcal{B}_p$ then v is a sum of terms of type

(5)
$$\Xi(a^{-})\Xi(x^{-})F_{f}\Xi(x^{+})\Xi(a^{+}) + B(p),$$

with $a^- \in U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}), x^- \in S((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_-), a^+ \in U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}), x^+ \in S(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}}), f \in \mathbf{\Phi}.$

We can clearly assume that a^+ is a monomial, thus $\Xi(a^+) = \tilde{x}^I$. By (3), there is a constant c such that $\Xi(a^+) = \tilde{x}^I = c\sigma(\theta(x)^I) \mod \overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ Remark that, setting $l = \deg(\theta(x)_r)$, then $\theta(x)_r \in \prod_{q \ge l} \mathcal{W}_{l-q}\mathcal{W}_q^+$, thus $\theta(x)_r \in \prod_{q \ge l} \mathcal{W}_{l-q}\mathcal{W}_q^+$.

Remark that, setting $l = \deg(\theta(x)_r)$, then $\theta(x)_r \in \prod_{q \ge l} \mathcal{W}_{l-q} \mathcal{W}_q^+$, thus $\theta(x)_r \in \sum_{q=l}^p \mathcal{W}_{l-q} \mathcal{W}_q^+$ mod $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^n \overline{\mathcal{A}}_j^m \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^t$ with $t = \max(n, m+j)$, we see that there is an element of $u \in S(L(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}))$ such that $\sigma(\theta(x)^I) \equiv \Xi(u) \mod \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Substituting in (5), we see that $v \in \mathcal{B}_p$ is a sum of terms of type $\Xi(a^-)\Xi(x^-)F_f\Xi(x^+)\Xi(u) + B(p)$ with $u \in S(L(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}))$. It is now clear using the defining relations of \mathcal{A} and (4) that $\Xi(x^-)F_f\Xi(x^+)\Xi(u)$ can be rewritten as a sum of terms of type $\Xi(x'^-)F_{f'}\Xi(x'^+)$ with $x' \in S((\mathfrak{n}_p') - \oplus(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_p'))$, $f' \in \Phi$, $x'^+ \in S(\mathfrak{n}_p' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_p')^p$, thus v is in the image of Θ . We now check that the map Θ is injective. Assume that $v = \Theta(\sum_i u_i)$ with u_i

We now check that the map Θ is injective. Assume that $v = \Theta(\sum_i u_i)$ with u_i of type $a^- \otimes x^- \otimes f \otimes x^+$ and that $v \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$. This means that, as in the statement of Lemma 2, $v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} q_I \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since

(6)
$$\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} = \sum_{M \leq I} c_{M,I} \theta(x)^{I-M} \tilde{x}^{M},$$

with $c_{I,I} = 1$, we have that

$$v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} q_I \tilde{x}^I + \sum_M (\sum_{I > M} c_{M,I} q_I \theta(x)^{I-M}) \tilde{x}^M + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}.$$

Arguing as in the first part of the proof we can show that $q_I\theta(x)^{I-M} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = q'_{I,M} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$ with $q'_{I,M}$ a sum of terms of type $\Xi(x'^-)F_{f'}\Xi(x'^+)$ with $x' \in S((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}'}_{\mathfrak{p}})_-), f' \in \Phi, x'^+ \in S(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}'}_{\mathfrak{p}})^p$, hence

(7)
$$v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} q_I \tilde{x}^I + \sum_M \sum_{I > M} c_{M,I} q'_{I,M} \tilde{x}^M + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$$

Let $m = \max\{|I| \mid q_I \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} \neq \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}\},$ then

$$v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{|I|=m} q_I \tilde{x}^I + \sum_{|I|$$

where the coefficients q_I'' are obtained from (7) in the obvious way. It follows that

$$\sum_{|I|=m} q_I \tilde{x}^I + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \Theta(\sum_i u_i) - \sum_{|I|$$

If m > 0, this contradicts (1). Thus $v \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. Since $v = \Theta(\sum_i u_i)$ with u_i of type $a^- \otimes x^- \otimes \overline{h} \otimes f \otimes x^+$ this implies, by (1) again, that $\sum_i u_i = 0$ as wished. \Box

Obviously $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ acts on $B(p) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$ by left multiplication. In particular we can define an action of $L'(\mathfrak{a})$ on $\mathcal{B}_p = \overline{\mathcal{A}}/B(p)$ by letting $t^r \otimes x$ act by left multiplication by $(\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}})_r$ and K_S by $(k+g-g_S)I$.

Set $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}} = (\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}})_{0} + (k+g)d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Since $\deg((\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}})_{0}) = \deg(\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}) = 0$, bracketing with $(\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}})_{0}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}$ leaves $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p}$ stable. This implies that that $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, B(p)] \subset B(p)$. Indeed, if $v \in B(p)$, then, by Lemma 2, $v + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1} = \sum_{I \neq 0} q_{I} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$, hence

$$[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, v] + B(p) = [\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, \sum_{I \neq 0} q_I \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I] + B(p) = (\sum_{I \neq 0} q_I \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I)q + B(p)$$

where $q = \sum_{i} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a}0}^{i} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a}0}^{i} + (\tilde{h}_{2\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}})_{\mathfrak{a}0} + (k+g)d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We can assume that $\tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{I}$ are weight vectors of weight μ_{I} under the action of $\{\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a}0} \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\} \cup \mathbb{C}d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Therefore

$$[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, v] + B(p) = (\sum_{I \neq 0} q_I q_{-\mu_I} \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}}) + B(p) = B(p).$$

Thus bracketing with $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}$ defines an operator Ω^p on \mathcal{B}_p .

Since $\deg(F_f) = 0$ for $f \in \Phi$, we have that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}F_f \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}$. The argument above shows that $B(p)F_f \subset B(p)$, hence we can define a right action of Φ on \mathcal{B}_p . In particular, we might consider $h \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ as a function in Φ , so we have a well defined action ad of $\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on \mathcal{B}_p given by $ad(h)(x) = hx - x \cdot h, x \in \mathcal{B}_p$.

For $f \in \Phi$, set

$$\mathcal{B}_p[f] = \{ v \in \mathcal{B}_p \mid \Omega^p(v) = v \cdot f \}$$

Proposition 4. Assume that for all p

(8) $\mathcal{B}_p = U(L'(\mathfrak{a}))(\mathcal{B}_p^{\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}}).$

Then

$$\mathcal{B}_p = \bigoplus_{f \in \Phi} \mathcal{B}_p[f].$$

Proof. We first show that the spaces $\mathcal{B}_p[f]$ generate \mathcal{B}_p . Let $x \in \mathcal{B}_p^{\mathbf{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}}$. We can assume that x is a weight vector for the action ad of $\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on \mathcal{B}_p of weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$. If x = v + B(p), then $\Omega^p(v + B(p)) = [\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, v] + B(p)$, hence

$$\Omega^p(x) = [\tilde{L}^{\mathfrak{a}} + (k+g)d_{\mathfrak{a}}, v] + B(p) = F_q x - xF_q + B(p).$$

where $q = \sum_{i} \tilde{h}^{i}_{\mathfrak{a}0} \tilde{h}^{i}_{\mathfrak{a}0} + (\tilde{h}_{2\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}})_{\mathfrak{a}0} + (k+g)d_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Hence

$$\Omega^{p}(x) = v(F_{q_{\mu}} - F_{q}) + B(p) = x \cdot (q_{\mu} - q).$$

Since $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, U(L'(\mathfrak{a}))] = 0$ we obtain the our claim.

We now check that the sum is direct. Assume that $v \cdot f = 0$ for a nonzero $f \in \Phi$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}_p$. Lemma 3 identifies \mathcal{B}_p with $U(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}) \otimes S((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_-) \otimes \Phi \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})^p$ so we can write accordingly $v = \sum_i \Theta(a_i^- \otimes x_i^- \otimes f_i \otimes x_i^+)$ and assume that the weight of x_i^+ under the action of $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is μ_i . Here $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ acts by the adjoint action of $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{a}0}$. Then $v \cdot f = \sum_i \Theta(a_i^- \otimes x_i^- \otimes \overline{h}_i \otimes f_i f_{-\mu_i} \otimes x_i^+)$ By Lemma 3, $v \cdot f = 0$ implies that $f_i f_{-\mu_i} = 0$ for all *i*. Since *f* is nonzero, then clearly $f_{-\mu_i}$ is nonzero. Since *f*, f_i are holomorphic functions $f_i f_{-\mu_i} = 0$ implies $f_i = 0$ for all *i*. Thus, if $v \cdot f = 0$ for a nonzero $f \in \Phi$, then v = 0. A standard argument of linear algebra proves by induction on n that, if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i = 0$ with $v_i \in \mathcal{B}_p[f_i]$ and $f_i \neq f_j$ for $i \neq j$ then $v_i = 0$ for all i.

Set
$$G_0 = (G_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a}})_0$$
. Since $\deg(G_0) = 0$, $[G_0, \overline{\mathcal{A}}^p] \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}^p$. Moreover

$$[G_0, \overline{\mathcal{A}} \,\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})] \subseteq [G_0, \overline{\mathcal{A}}] \,\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \,\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

It follows that bracketing with G_0 stabilizes B(p), hence induces a map \bar{d}_p on \mathcal{B}_p . We set

$$\mathcal{B}_p^{inv} = Ker(\Omega^p).$$

It follows from Proposition 4 that we can write

$$\mathcal{B}_p = \mathcal{B}_p^{inv} \oplus W,$$

where $W = \bigoplus_{f \neq 0} \mathcal{B}_p[f]$. Clearly W is stable under the action of Ω^p .

Lemma 5.

- (1) \mathcal{B}_p^{inv} and W are \bar{d}_p -stable. (2) $\bar{d}_p^2 = 0$ on \mathcal{B}_p^{inv} .

Proof. The first statement is clear since $[G_0, \Omega^p] = 0$.

For the second statement, we start by observing that (3.12) in [3] says that $[\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{x}_r] = -(k+g)r\tilde{x}_r$ and $[\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \overline{x}_r] = 0$. By the definition of the product in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and formula (3.10) of [3] (with $s_i = 0$), we see that $[\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, f] = 0$ for f in \mathcal{F} . On the other hand $[d, \tilde{x}_r] = r\tilde{x}_r, [d, \overline{x}_r] = 0$ and [d, f] = 0 for f in \mathcal{F} . Thus bracketing with d and $\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ stabilizes the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by $\tilde{x}_r, \overline{x}_r, f$ and if x is in this subalgebra, then $[\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, x] = -(k+g)[d, x]$. By [3, Lemma 8.5] this subalgebra is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, hence $[\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, x] = -(k+g)[d, x]$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now notice that, if $x + B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv}$, then $\bar{d}_p^2(x + B(p)) = [G_0^2, x] + B(p), G_0$ being odd. It follows from [3, (4.18)] that

$$[G_0^2, x] = [\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{a}} - (k+g)L_0^{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}, x] = -[\tilde{L}_0^{\mathfrak{a}} + (k+g)(d+L_0^{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}), x] = -[\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, x] \in B(p)$$
as desired.

Identify $S((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with $S(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes \wedge L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$ (as vector spaces). We introduce an increasing filtration $\mathcal{B}_p[0] \subset \mathcal{B}_p[1] \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{B}_p[n] \subset \ldots$ on \mathcal{B}_p by setting $\mathcal{B}_p[n] = \Theta(U(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{a}^-) \otimes \mathbf{\Phi} \otimes K^p[n])$ where

$$K^p[n] = (\oplus_{m \le n} S^m(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))) \cap (S((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}})_-) \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}'_{\mathfrak{p}}))^p).$$

Observe that the corresponding graded space is $Gr(\mathcal{B}_p) = \bigoplus_n Gr_n(\mathcal{B}_p)$ where

$$Gr_n(\mathcal{B}_p) = U((\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}})_-) \otimes \mathbf{\Phi} \otimes K_n^p,$$

$$K_n^p = (S^n(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes S(L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}))) \cap (S((\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}')_- \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}')_-) \otimes S(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}' \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{p}}')^p).$$

This filtration can be defined in a more natural way as follows: introduce a filtration $\mathcal{W}[0] \subset \mathcal{W}[1] \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{W}[n] \subset \ldots$ on \mathcal{W} by giving degree 1 to \tilde{x}_r if $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and degree 0 to \tilde{x}_r if $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and to \bar{x}_r if $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. This filtration induces a filtration on \mathcal{B}_p and, since $L'(\mathfrak{a})$ is a subalgebra of $L'(\mathfrak{g})$, the two filtrations coincide. As a consequence we have that

(9)
$$\Omega^p(\mathcal{B}_p[n]) \subset \mathcal{B}_p[n].$$

This is due to the fact that, if $x \in \mathcal{W}$, then $\Omega^p(x + B(p)) = [\Omega_a, x] + B(p) =$ [y, x] + B(p) for some $y \in \mathcal{W}[0]$ (indeed $y = \Omega_{\mathfrak{a}} \mod \mathcal{A}^{q}, q \gg 0$).

Let $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} : S(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes \wedge L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \to S(L(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes \wedge L(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the Koszul differential. Since $[G_0, F_f] = 0$ for $f \in \Phi$, as in the proof of Lemma 8.7 of [3] we can show that

the map induced by \bar{d}_p on $Gr(\mathcal{B}_p)$ is the restriction to $\bigoplus_n (U((\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})_-) \otimes \Phi \otimes K_n^p)$ of $Id \otimes Id \otimes \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that, if $x \in \mathcal{B}_p[n]$ is such that $\bar{d}_p(x) = 0$, then

(10)
$$x = x_{\mathfrak{a}} + \bar{d}_p(y) + \bar{d}_p(y$$

with $x_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \Theta(U(\mathfrak{n}'^{-}_{\mathfrak{a}}) \otimes \Phi)$ and $u, y \in \mathcal{B}_p[n-1]$.

Proposition 6. If $x \in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv}$ and $\overline{d}_p(x) = 0$ then there are $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv}$ such that

$$x = a + B(p) + \bar{d}_p(y).$$

Proof. Assume $x \in \mathcal{B}_p[n]$. The proof will be by induction on n.

First assume $x \in \mathcal{B}_p[0]$. Then, by (10), we have that $x = x_\mathfrak{a}$. Write $x_\mathfrak{a} = x'_\mathfrak{a} + B(p)$ where $x'_\mathfrak{a} = \sum_I \tilde{x}^I F_{q_I}$ with $\tilde{x}^I \in U(\mathfrak{n}'_\mathfrak{a})$ and $q_I \in \Phi$. Let $m = \max\{|I| \mid q_I \neq 0\}$. Similarly to (3), we have

(11)
$$\tilde{x}^{I} = \sum_{M \le I} a_{M} \tilde{x}^{M}_{\mathfrak{a}} \sigma(\theta(x)^{I-M})$$

with $a_I = 1$, hence we can rewrite $x'_{\mathfrak{a}}$ as $x'_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} q_I + \sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} q'_I$, with $q'_I \in \overline{\Xi(S(L(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})))\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} = \{F_f \mid f \in \Phi\}$. Applying (6) we can rewrite this as $x'_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} q_I + \sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^I q''_I$, with $q''_I \in \overline{\Xi(S(L(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})))\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}}$. Thus $x_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} q_I + \sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^I q''_I + B(p)$, with $q''_I \in \Xi(S(L(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})))\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$. Since $x_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} q_I + B(p)$ are both in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv} , we have that $\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^I q''_I + B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv}$. Since $0 = \bar{d}_p(x_{\mathfrak{a}}) = \bar{d}_p(\sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}^I_{\mathfrak{a}} q_I + B(p))$ we have that $\bar{d}_p(\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^I q''_I + B(p)) = 0$. On $\mathcal{B}_p[0]$ the differential \bar{d}_p is just $Id \otimes Id \otimes \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By exactness of δ_p we find that $q''_I \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$. By an obvious induction on m we deduce that $x_{\mathfrak{a}} = a + B(p)$ for some $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Assume now n > 0. Then, by (10), we have that $x = x_{\mathfrak{a}} + \bar{d}_p(y) + u$ with $y, u \in \mathcal{B}_p[n-1]$. Arguing as above we have $x_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{|I|=m} \tilde{x}_{\mathfrak{a}}^I q_I + \sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^I q_I''' + B(p)$. Note that $\sum_{|I|<m} \tilde{x}^I q_I''' + B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_p[0]$.

Setting $u' = u + \sum_{|I| < m} \tilde{x}^I q_I'' + B(p)$, we have that $x = a + B(p) + \bar{d}_p(y) + u'$ with $y, u' \in \mathcal{B}_p[n-1]$. We can write $y = y_0 + y'$ and $u' = u_0 + u''$ with $y_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv}$ and $y', u'' \in W$. Since $x \in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv}$ we can write $x = a + B(p) + \bar{d}_p(y_0) + u_0$ so, since $\bar{d}_p(x) = \bar{d}_p(a + B(p)) = 0$, we have that $\bar{d}_p(u_0) = 0$.

By (9) we can assume $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}_p[n-1]$, hence we can apply the induction hypothesis, obtaining $u_0 = a' + B(p) + \bar{d}_p(z)$ and proving that $x = a + a' + B(p) + \bar{d}_p(y_0 + z)$. with $a + a' \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$.

We now come to the proof of the main result. Let $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Tits cone of $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}} : \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{a}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the map defined by

(12)
$$\phi_{\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{h}} = Id_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}(d_{\mathfrak{a}}) = d \quad d_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_S) = K \text{ for all } S.$$

If f is a function on $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we denote by $f_{|\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_{\mathfrak{a}}}$ the function on $\phi^*_{\mathfrak{a}}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}) \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_{\mathfrak{a}}$ defined by $f_{|\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_{\mathfrak{a}}}(\lambda) = (f \circ (\phi^*_{\mathfrak{a}})^{-1})(\lambda).$

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that the Dirac cohomology $H((G_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a}})_0, M)$ is the $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ -module $Ker G_0/Ker G_0 \cap Im G_0$, where G_0 is seen as an operator on $M \otimes F(\mathfrak{p})$.

If $v \in M \otimes F(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a weight vector of weight $\sum k_S \Lambda_0^S + \nu$ and $q \in \Phi$, we define an action of F_q on v by setting $F_q \cdot v = q(\nu)v$. This extends the action of $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ on $M \otimes F(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ to an action of $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$. As in § 8.5 of [3] we get the existence of a central element z_f of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $z_f \cdot v = f(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho})v$ for any $v \in M \otimes F(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let $v_0 + Ker G_0^M \cap Im G_0^M$ be the highest vector of a $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ -submodule of $H((G_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}})_0, M)$ with highest weight $\mu = \sum_S (k + g - g_S)\Lambda_0^S + \overline{\mu}$ with $\overline{\mu} \in \mathfrak{h}^* + \mathbb{C}\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Choose p big enough so that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{p+1}v_0 = 0$. Since z_f is central we have that $z_f + B(p) \in \mathcal{B}_p^{inv}$ and $\overline{d}_p(z_f) = 0$. Applying Proposition 6 we can write $z_f = a + [G_0, y] + u$ with $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $u \in B(p)$. It follows that $f(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho})v_0 = z_fv_0 = av_0 + G_0yv_0 + uv_0 = av_0 + G_0yv_0$. Since both v_0 and av_0 are in $KerG_0$ we see that $G_0yv_0 \in KerG_0$ so $f(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho})(v_0 + KerG_0^M \cap ImG_0^M) = a \cdot (v_0 + KerG_0^M \cap ImG_0^M)$.

On the other hand, since $\deg(z_f) = 0$ we can assume $\deg(a) = 0$ so $a = F_q + a'$ with $q \in \Phi$ and $a' \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{a})\mathcal{A}^+(\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{a}})$. It follows that $f(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho}) = q(\overline{\mu})$. By Corollary 7.2 of [3], $v_{\Lambda} \otimes 1 + Ker G_0^M \cap Im G_0^M$ is the highest vector for

By Corollary 7.2 of [3], $v_{\Lambda} \otimes 1 + Ker G_0^M \cap Im G_0^M$ is the highest vector for a nonzero $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{a})$ -submodule of $H((G_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}})_0, M)$ having highest weight $\Lambda + \widehat{\rho} - \widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. It follows that $f(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho}) = q(\overline{\Lambda} + \rho - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}})$ for any $\Lambda \in -\widehat{\rho} + C_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since $\mu + \widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}} \in C_{\mathfrak{g}}$, it follows that $f(\mu + \widehat{\rho}_{\mathfrak{a}}) = q(\overline{\mu}) = f(\Lambda + \widehat{\rho})$. and the first statement is proven. The second statement follows from a theorem of Looijenga [1], asserting that holomorphic \widehat{W} invariant functions separate the orbits of the action of \widehat{W} on $C_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Proposition 7. If $k + g \neq 0$ then, as a $L'(\mathfrak{h})$ -module, \mathcal{B}_p is generated by $\mathcal{B}_p^{\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{h}}}$.

Proof. Write for simplicity h_r for $(\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{h}})_r$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Consider the infinite Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} = \sum_{r \neq 0} t^r \otimes \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $L'(\mathfrak{h})$. Recall that $K_{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts as on \mathcal{B}_p as (k+g)Id. Note that $\mathcal{B}_p^{\mathfrak{n}'_{\mathfrak{h}}}$ is the set $x \in \mathcal{B}_p$ such that $(t^r \otimes h)x = 0$ fon any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and r > 0. By Lemma 9.13 of [2] it suffices to check that given $x \in \mathcal{B}_p$ then there is N such that $(h^1)_{i_1} \dots (h^n)_{i_n} \cdot x = 0$ whenever $i_j > 0$ for all j and n > N $(h^i \in \mathfrak{h})$. We can clearly assume that x is homogeneous with respect to deg. Then it is enough to choose $N = -\deg(x) + p$, for, if n > N, then $\deg((h^1)_{i_1} \dots (h^n)_{i_n}) = (i_1 + \dots + i_n) \ge n > N$. It follows that $\deg((h^1)_{i_1} \dots (h^n)_{i_n}x) > N + \deg(x) = p$ so $(h^1)_{i_1} \dots (h^n)_{i_n}x = 0$.

References

- E. Looijenga, Invariant theory for generalized root systems. Invent. Math. 61 (1980), no. 1, 1–32.
- [2] V. G. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, third ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [3] V. G. Kac, P. Möseneder Frajria and P. Papi, Multiplets of representations, twisted Dirac operators and Vogan's conjecture in affine setting, Adv. Math. (2008), 217 n.6, 2485–2562.