

Proceedings of the UNISCAPE En-Route International Seminar

RESILIENT LANDSCAPES FOR CITIES OF THE FUTURE

Organised by **UNISCAPE**
and **UNIVERSITY OF CAMERINO** - School of Architecture and Design SAAD

Ascoli Piceno 13-14 April 2015



SAAD
Scuola di Ateneo
Architettura e Design "Eduardo Vittoria"
Università di Camerino



EUROPEAN NETWORK OF UNIVERSITIES
FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION
OF THE EUROPEAN LANDSCAPE
CONVENTION



Summary

CHAPTER I The Concept of Resilience in Local and Global Policies	p. 5
Michele Talia, <i>Principles of Urban Resilience for a City Undergoing Constant Change</i>	p. 6
François Mancebo, <i>Fostering the Urban-Rural Continuum to Design Resilient and Sustainable Cities</i>	p. 13
CHAPTER II Resilient Landscapes for Cities of the Future-Different Keys to Interpreting Change	p. 17
Tullio Pericoli, <i>The Landscape Not Seen</i>	p. 18
Guido Guidi, Vincenzo Zenobi, Mariano Andreani, <i>New Cultural Landscape</i>	p. 24
Massimo Sargolini, <i>The View from Urban Planning: New Landscape Scenarios for the Changing City</i>	p. 32
CHAPTER III The Landscape as a Laboratory for Good Living: The Fruitful Relationship Between Agriculture, Tourism, Natural and Historical/Artistic Resources, and Knowledge of Places	p. 44
Elio Trusiani, <i>Landscape Laboratory for City and Territorial Projects</i>	p. 45
Alessandro Ciambrone, Francesca Muzzillo, Fosca Tortorelli, <i>Recovered Villages as Future Resilient Landscapes</i>	p. 52
Roberta Angelini, <i>The Landscape Produces Innovative Strategies for Local Communities (Innovative Cultural Marketing Plan for Ager Cuprensis's Area)</i>	p. 58
Davide Marino, Aurora Cavallo, Benedetta Di Donato, Lorenzo Nofroni, Serena Savelli, <i>Between Resilience and Sense of Place: Understanding The Agricultural Traditional Landscape</i>	p. 66
Maddalena Franzosi, <i>Landscape, Identity, Development: a Sustainable Project</i>	p. 72
Stefano Aragona, <i>Opportunity to Build the Landscape of Contemporary</i>	p. 79
Tana Nicoleta Lascu, <i>The Potential of Historical Monuments in the (Re) Considering Process of the Residual Landscape</i>	p. 86
Marina Tornatora, <i>Green Change, Big Chance # New Rurality: Food Tourism Energy Environment</i>	p. 94
CHAPTER IV The Landscape as a Community Project: the Role of Inhabitants in the Construction/Restoration of Territorial Values	p. 102
Angioletta Voghera, <i>Resilience Through Community Landscape Project</i>	p. 103
Gioia Gibelli, Luisa Pedrazzini, <i>The "Rice Park": Involving Citizens in Urban Design</i>	p. 109
Ilenia Pierantoni, Andrea Renzi, <i>Exploring Resilience and Multiple Benefits of Green Infrastructure: Linking Landscapes and Communities</i>	p. 118
Giuliana Quattrone, <i>A Multi-Scale-Qualitative Approach: Community Participation and Ecosystem Services in Land Use Planning Processes for Sustainability</i>	p. 126
Soazig Darnay, <i>Subirats, a Local «Spot» for Tourism</i>	p. 136
Barbara Fenni, <i>Participation in the Configuration of the Landscape as a Social Representation of the Territory</i>	p. 142
CHAPTER V The Landscape in Designing a New Form of the City: Offering Planning Responses to Climate Change and Reorganizing Urban Systems	p. 148
Rosalba D'Onofrio, <i>The Landscape in Defining a New Model of the City</i>	p. 149
Sylvie Salles, <i>Urban Resilience: a Landscape Goal in Urban-Rural Relations?</i>	p. 155
Jonna Majgaard Krarup, <i>Adapting Planning to Climate Change – Minding the Gap</i>	p. 160
Alexander Kantartzis, <i>Alternative Sustainable Green Infrastructure Planning: Reorganizing Urban Waterfront Resilient Mediterranean Landscapes Via an Innovative 'Greenways - Green Walls - Green Roofs' Integrated System. The Case of Igoumenitsa, Greece</i>	p. 169
Francesca Calace, Carlo Angelastro, <i>Issues, Resources and Strategies. The Landscape of the Central Bari Area</i>	p. 177
Chiara Camaioni, <i>Urban Planning and Coastal Landscape</i>	p. 185
Michela Tolli, <i>Urban Sprawl and its Impacts: the Case of Cerveteri (Central Italy)</i>	p. 193



CHAPTER VI The Landscape in Overcoming the Economic Crisis: Favouring the Social and Economic Growth of Local Communities, Acting on Natural and Cultural Resources That Have Not Yet Been Appropriately Enhanced	p. 202
Roberto Gambino, <i>The Landscape in Overcoming the Economic Crisis</i>	p. 203
Luca Cetara, <i>Urban Planning and Inequality</i>	p. 206
Massimo Battaglia, Chiara Certomà, Marco Frey, <i>Rethinking Attractiveness. The Transformation of Tangible and Intangible Factors in Post-Rural Areas</i>	p. 211
Xavier Romero Hidalgo, Emanuele Penna, Nicole del Re, <i>Can Cabanyes as a Green Infrastructure for Local Economy: the Ecological and Social Restoration of the Area</i>	p. 219
Maria Antonia Giannino, Ferdinando Orabona, <i>Landscape as a Laboratory of Knowledge</i>	p. 227
Ottavio Amaro, <i>"Tourism as Art of the Places": Calabria and the Metropolitan City of Reggio Calabria</i>	p. 232
Giorgio D'Anna, <i>Mining Landscapes in Sicily: Problems, Strategies and Perspectives</i>	p. 239
Piera Pellegrino, Emanuela Biscotto, Silvia B. D'Astoli, <i>Opportunity for the West Peri-Urban Area Long the Medieval Walls of San Gimignano</i>	p. 247
CHAPTER VII Resilient Adriatic Landscapes	p. 254
Rosalba D'Onofrio, <i>Resilient Plans and Projects for the Adriatic Landscape</i>	p. 255
Roberta Cocci Grifoni, Piersebastiano Ferranti, <i>Quality of the Landscape and Quality of Life in the Sustainable Adriatic City</i>	p. 262
Vincenzo Zenobi, <i>Towards Active Policies for the Landscape. Renewing Regione Marche Landscape Plan</i>	p. 276
ANNEX I - Poster Presentation	p. 284
Roberta Caprodossi, Maria Teresa Idone, Paolo Santarelli, <i>A Transverse Vision of the Landscape Project</i>	p. 285
Gabriella Pultrone, <i>Poster 1 - The Calabrian Landscapes as Innovative Laboratory for the Integrated Enhancement of Local Resources</i>	p. 289
Mariya Komarova, <i>Poster 2 - Integration of the Historic Urban Landscape with Contemporary Architecture</i>	p. 292
Lorenza Gasparella, <i>Poster 3 - Making Your Landscape Yours. Empowerment of Inhabitants for Living Resilient Territories</i>	p. 295
Barbara Badiani, Barbara Scala, Federico Giustacchini, <i>Poster 4 - How Can We Renew and Make Villages More Attractive Thanks to a New Aesthetical Image? The Case Of Monteclana, in The Municipality of Nave (Brescia, Italy)</i>	p. 299
Roberta Angelini, <i>Poster 5 - Between Urban and Rural: New Landscapes for the Economic Recovery of a Declining Area</i>	p. 302
ANNEX 2 - Flyer Position Paper	p. 305
AFTERWORD	p. 315
Massimo Sargolini, <i>Resilient Landscapes for Cities of The Future</i>	p. 315

Scientific Committee: Giuseppe Dematteis (Politecnico di Torino), Marco Frey (Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies of Pisa), Roberto Gambino (Politecnico di Torino), François Mancebo (University of Reims), Giorgio Osti (Università di Trieste), Oriol Porcel (Autonomous University of Barcelona), Juan Manuel Palerm (Uniscape), Massimo Sargolini (Università di Camerino), Mladen Obad Šćitaroci (University of Zagreb), Michele Talia (Università di Camerino).

With the participation of: SAAD (Scuola di Architettura e Design "Eduardo Vittoria") -Università di Camerino

Organisation: Tommaso Zanaica (UNISCAPE) en-route@uniscape.eu


Edited by: Rosalba D'Onofrio and Massimo Sargolini

- No editing on English was done, language accuracy is up to authors.



POSTER 4 - How Can We Renew and Make Villages More Attractive Thanks to a New Aesthetical Image? The Case Of Monteciana, in The Municipality of Nave (Brescia, Italy)

Barbara Badiani, Barbara Scala, Federico Giustacchini

 University of Brescia; barbara.badiani@unibs.it; barbara.scala@unibs.it; f.giustacchini@studenti.unibs.it

✓ **KEYWORDS:** historical center, urban landscape, survey about historical building, participation process

➡ **ABSTRACT**

This essay presents the work carried out by the University of Brescia which got involved with the Municipality of Nave (Brescia) in 2014 to deal with the particular situation of Monteciana.

Its position on the border of the town, outside the main route, the lack of buildings maintenance, the lack of public services and elements with appeal don't allow for any other prospects apart from the progressive abandonment and the decrease of possibilities to bring it back to an attractive place to live and work in.

In Italy this destiny is very common among many villages and local administrations struggle to contest it. The only planning tools available can't regenerate these areas on their own. Moreover local administration has little room to manoeuvre because of the scarcity of public funds and difficulties in activating procedures with private subjects to stimulate any changes. Starting from the administration's targets – to enhance the aesthetic image of Monteciana and to subsidize the participation of citizens in ordinary building maintenance – the University of Brescia has carried out a survey aimed at giving an account of the status quo of the buildings, bringing to light the whole complex effects of private intervention on buildings in the last thirty years. The survey analyses the method followed in each intervention and the level of the impact, due to the moderately free way used to operate on our historical heritage. Furthermore, the University of Brescia has proposed an operating solution to improve the aesthetic image of Monteciana. On the basis of an image, respectful of its preexistence, recognizable and shared with the citizens, the urban planning tools for the historical centre have been completed by guide lines concerning the external structures. Streamlined procedures have been established to involve more citizens in investing in the maintenance of their property.

Survey about intervention on building

What is the effect on the current image of Contrada Monteciana due to the intervention on private building realized in the last 20 years?

The traditional analysis based on both the historical cadastral maps, useful in recognizing the period of each part of the buildings, and on site surveys, useful in classifying the building typology and integrity, have been completed by an analysis of building permits from 1980 up to now. Thanks to the information collected, for each building the following elements have been pointed out:

- if and when the building was the object of an intervention;
- the type of intervention (ordinary and extraordinary maintenance, restoration, completion, change of destination, etc.)
- which parts, structural or not, of the building have been modified.

The number of intervention have increased from 1980 up to now and some buildings have had more than one intervention (especially for ordinary maintenance and this means that a special attention has been paid to the aesthetic of the buildings). The most common intervention has concerned the internal rooms distribution, with the consequent changes in the form and position of the windows (to respect the window/floor surface ratio). While the most common intervention on the structure have aimed to make roofs safe and, in a few cases, to make the attic suitable to live in (according to the local Urban Plan that doesn't discourage this type of intervention). The intervention that change the volume, altering the form and the typology of the building, were carried out after 1990 (enlargement of the buildings to make new cover spaces or an increase in the height of the roof).

Few interventions have concerned the building system: the improvement of the bathroom, especially in the Eighties, and the improvement of the building performance to save energy in later 2000. From 1996, the administration has adopted a new urban Plan with a list of suggested solutions for architectural elements for historical center buildings that have influenced the choices carried out by the owners in recent intervention.

The condition of the existing building patrimony

The architectural heritage has been detected and catalogued by a filing. We recorded 80 buildings and we found the consistency, the construction characteristics, conservation status. In particular, after a historical reconstruction through historical maps, we evaluated the relationship between buildings and their context. We observed the use of outdoor spaces (courtyards and parking lots). Finally, we observed the artistic construction details or degrading elements. The overall design has helped to propose a series of specific actions for each building.

Our proposal: "Do you want to change the look of your home?"

To subsidize the ordinary maintenance of the façades, local administration has worked on the creation of a consistent image to increase the value of the whole Contrada. This image has been proposed to each owner to stimulate their participation in the project. The idea is to convince each owner to become a player in the construction of a well-finished, harmonious and pleasant, urban context that, finally, increases the value of their properties too.

The procedure is based on a streamlined authorization procedure and economic subsidies. A deep analysis of the up-to day façades colours has been carried out by taking samples of the current colours. These have been compared to the traditional colours. Three different lists of colours have been defined from which the administration and the citizens can choose in relationship to the general image they want to create (participation process). Finally, some solutions for economic subsidies have been studied for the owners who will participate in the project and informative flyers has been produced. This document, completed in all its parts, acts as both a request to participate and a permit.

UNISCAPE En-Route International Seminar
RESILIENT LANDSCAPES FOR CITIES OF THE FUTURE

School of Architecture and Design University of Camerino, Ascoli Piceno, Italy 13-14 April 2015

How can we renew and make villages more attractive thanks to a new aesthetical image? The case of Monteciana, in the Municipality of Nave (Brescia, Italy)

Barbara Bediani, University of Brescia, barbara.bediani@unibs.it
Barbara Scala, University of Brescia, barbara.scala@unibs.it
Federico Giustacchini, fgiustacchini@studenti.unibs.it

N.session/topic: n. 3 - The landscape as a community project: the role of inhabitants in the construction/restoration of territorial values

Abstract

In 2014, the Municipality of Nave (Brescia) has involved the University of Brescia to deal with the particular situation of Monteciana, very common among villages in Italy. The lack of elements with appeal don't allow for any other prospects apart from the progressive abandonment and the decrease of possibilities to bring it back to an attractive place to live and work in and the administrations struggle to contest it. The University of Brescia has proposed an operating solution to improve the aesthetic image of Monteciana, involving the citizens in investing in the maintenance of their property.

Survey about intervention on building



The number of intervention have increased from 1980 up to now and some buildings have had more than one intervention (especially for ordinary maintenance and this means that a special attention has been paid to the aesthetic of the buildings). The most common intervention has concerned the internal rooms distribution. While the most common intervention on the structure have aimed to make roofs safe and, in a few cases, to make the attic suitable to live in. The intervention that change the volume, altering the form and the typology of the building, were carried out after 1990.

Survey about colors



The condition of the existing building patrimony
The architectural heritage has been detected and catalogued by a filing. We recorded 80 buildings and we found the consistency the construction characteristic, conservation status. In particular, after a historical reconstruction through historical maps, we evaluated the relationship between buildings and their context. We observed the use of outdoor spaces. Finally, we observed the artistic construction details or degrading elements. The overall design has helped to propose a series of specific actions for each building

Survey about artistic construction details



Our proposal: To subsidize the ordinary maintenance of the façades, local administration has worked on the creation of a consistent image to increase the value of the whole Contrada. The idea is to convince each owner to become a player in the construction of a well-finished, harmonious and pleasant, urban context that, finally, increases the value of their properties too. The procedure is based on streamlined authorization procedure and economic subsidies.

Do you want to change the look of your home?