

# Ballast flight under high-speed trains: wind tunnel full-scale experimental tests

Premoli, A.<sup>a</sup>, Rocchi, D.<sup>a</sup>, Schito, P.<sup>a</sup>, Somaschini, C.<sup>\*a</sup>, Tomasini, G.<sup>a</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Politecnico di Milano, Department of Mechanical Engineering*

*Via la Masa 1, Milano, Italy.*

\*claudio.somaschini@polimi.it

Ph. 0039 0223998466

## **ABSTRACT**

The flying ballast phenomenon has become an important problem, in the last years, because of the development of high speed trains and the consequent increase of the speed up to 350 km/h. The problem is very complex since it is related to both railway infrastructure and train characteristics and since it involves mechanical and aerodynamic effects. The results of an experimental study carried out on the Italian high-speed railway and on a 1:1 real stretch of the railways in wind tunnel are presented in the paper. The study was aimed to analyze the effects of the height of the ballast level, the stone shape in the upper layer of the ballast and the compaction of the ballast bed on the problem. To this purpose a specific wind tunnel test rig was designed to reproduce in the wind tunnel a flow with the same average characteristics of the one measured on the real line, especially in the region close to the ballast and sleepers. Finally, starting from the results of these tests, possible countermeasures to ballast lifting on-set are proposed.

**KEYWORDS:** Flying ballast, train aerodynamics, high-speed railways, wind tunnel, full-scale experiments.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

The phenomenon of ballast-flying is one of the major problems caused by the increase in railway speed over 300 km/h in terms of safety and early deterioration of both rolling stock and railway.

28 Generally, the ballast lifting phenomenon can arise also at low speed, due to external agents as  
29 ice or other materials on the line (Jing et al., 2012 and Kaltenbach et al., 2008). On the other  
30 hand, the problem becomes extremely evident increasing the speed, when the ballast stones are  
31 lifted up due to the pressure and velocity field generated in the upper layer of ballast by the  
32 train. The consequences of this phenomenon are different: on the safety of the people working  
33 along railway lines, on the running safety of the trains themselves, and, finally, on the extra  
34 costs associated to both the rolling stock and the infrastructure maintenance (problem of ballast  
35 pitting, Quinn et al., 2010).

36 Furthermore, nowadays the issue of ballast-lifting is not regulated and limited by any interna-  
37 tional standard. For this reason, in the last years, within two European projects, Aerodynamic  
38 in Open Air (AOA within the DEUFRAKO project, 2006-2008) and Aerotrain (2008-2012),  
39 the main infrastructure managers and rolling stock constructors (SNCF, DB, RFI, Alstom,  
40 AnsaldoBreda, Bombardier, RENFE and ADIF), as well as the most important research groups  
41 on railway problems (University of Birmingham, POLIMI, University of Madrid) collaborated  
42 to analyse this specific item.

43 Within both these projects, different experimental campaigns were performed: in field, to char-  
44 acterised the air flow in the underbody zone (Kaltenbach et al., 2008 and Sima et Al., 2011),  
45 and in wind tunnel, trying to identify the most important parameters and the thresholds associ-  
46 ated to the ballast lifting phenomenon.

47 In particular, the first experimental campaign was carried out in the SUMKA wind tunnel on  
48 1:10 scale models of the track with the target of defining, for each of them, the mean wind  
49 speed threshold when the ballast flying comes up. The results are useful in terms of comparison  
50 between different track configurations but not in terms of absolute value due to the simplified  
51 operating and boundary conditions. A second experimental campaign was performed in the  
52 CSTB wind tunnel (Saussine and Paradot, 2011) on 1:1 scale model. In these tests, the boundary  
53 condition due to the train passing is reproduced by a model of the train underbody zone stati-  
54 cally set over the ballast, the vibration induced by the vehicle passage is reproduced by moving  
55 in vertical direction a sleeper and a gust is reproduced by a sudden opening of a grid.

56 Moreover, the researchers of the Korea Rail-Road Research Institute conducted tests in wind  
57 tunnel to highlight the influence of shape and weight of the stones on the lifting phenomenon  
58 but without any modelisation of the infrastructure track (Kwon and Park, 2008). Similar studies,  
59 focused on the effect of the shape of the stones and performed by numerical simulations, are  
60 described also in Sanz-Andres and Navarro-Medina, 2010 and in Lazaro and Gonzalez, 2011.

61 The present paper deals with the study of the ballast lifting phenomenon using wind tunnel tests  
62 on a 1:1 track ballast section, with real sleepers, rails and stones. The aim of the research is to  
63 investigate the effects on the critical wind speed when the stones begin rolling or flying of the  
64 following parameters:

- 65 • height of the ballast level with respect to the top of sleeper;
- 66 • shape and weight of the stones in the upper layer of ballast;
- 67 • compaction of ballast.

68

69 First of all, a trackside measurements experimental campaign was performed in order to meas-  
70 ure the flow in the underbody region of the vehicle and the accelerations induced by the train  
71 passage on the ballast (Giappino et al., 2013). These measurements were adopted to model the  
72 experimental conditions during the wind tunnel tests. In particular, specific attention was paid  
73 to reproduce the vertical velocity profile, especially close to the ballast level. For this reason, a  
74 square cylinder was placed before the test section so that the accelerated flow obtained was  
75 comparable with the one measured in the experimental tests on the Italian high-speed line.

76 Moreover, the ballast was moved according to the measured vertical acceleration by means of  
77 a hydraulic actuator.

78 The tests were performed in the 4x4m test section of the Politecnico di Milano wind tunnel,  
79 whose maximum wind speed is 55 m/s.

80 Starting from the results of these tests, possible countermeasures to ballast lifting on-set are  
81 proposed in the conclusions.

## 82 2 TRACKSIDE EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

83 In order to characterize the flow in the underbody region and the dynamics of the whole  
84 track (rail, sleepers and ballast), several experimental campaigns were carried out on the Italian  
85 high-speed network on the lines Milan-Turin (Alice, Recetto and Greggio) and Rome-Naples  
86 (Cassino). The objective was to measure both the aerodynamic variables (air pressure, velocity  
87 profile over ballast and aerodynamic loads on stones) and the mechanical vibrations of the  
88 railway infrastructure due to the train passing. In this paper only the main results, useful for the  
89 design of the wind tunnel test campaign, will be shown; the complete data analysis of these  
90 field experimental campaigns is presented in Giappino et al., 2013.

91        2.1 *Flow velocity profile*

92        2.1.1 *Experimental set up*

93        In order to measure the flow velocity field between the train underbody and the ballast sur-  
94 face, different types of transducers were used. In particular the measurement set-up was com-  
95 posed by:

- 96        • a vertical array of five pitot tubes (see Figure 1), set 20 cm apart from the middle of the  
97 rails, able to measure the vertical profile of the longitudinal component of the flow ve-  
98 locity;
- 99        • a single pitot tube, 20 cm apart from the center of the track and opposite to the array, to  
100 verify the symmetry of the flow;
- 101        • a multi-hole probe, in the middle of the rails, to measure the three components of the  
102 flow velocity in the central section and to describe, together with the pitot tubes, the  
103 horizontal profile of the speed;
- 104        • a cube with 32 pressure taps to evaluate the aerodynamic forces acting at the level of  
105 the ballast;

106        Moreover, several accelerometers were placed over rail, sleepers and ballast stones to char-  
107 acterize the accelerations of the whole track (Figure 2).

108  
109        During the experimental campaigns many train passages were registered with different  
110 speeds in order to enlarge the statistical basis of the analysis. Furthermore, exploiting the dif-  
111 ferent speeds of the trains, it was possible to point out the independence of the profiles from  
112 the speed itself and from the Reynolds number. In this way all the results can be shown in a  
113 non-dimensional way, in terms of speed coefficients, dividing the flow speed by the train one:

114

$$C_u(z) = \frac{U(z)}{V_{train}} \quad (1)$$

115

116        **Figure 1 Experimental set-up for the flow measurements**

117        **Figure 2 Layout of the accelerometers on sleepers and ballast**

118 2.1.2 Flow

119 In Figure 3 the wind speed measured by the upper pitot tube of the vertical array for two  
 120 type of trains (whose characteristics are summarised in Table 1) is shown. These results were  
 121 obtained averaging the time histories of several passages (about 30 for the first train and 10 for  
 122 the second one) so that the non-correlated contributions were eliminated. It is possible to notice  
 123 a first peak of speed (corresponding to the overpressure in front of the train head at x=0); then  
 124 the speed stabilises with periodic oscillations due to the passage of the coaches and finally a  
 125 second peak occurs when the last car of the train passes over the instrumented section. The  
 126 oscillations are strongly influenced by the shape of the underbody region and by the numbers  
 127 of bogies; with the first type of train (13 cars ) the “steady state” is quickly reached after two  
 128 coaches while with the second type it is reached after five coaches and the oscillations ampli-  
 129 tude is lower. These differences could be explained observing the characteristics of the two  
 130 trains showed in Table 1; in the first case, the nose is shorter and there are more bogies, ele-  
 131 ments that surely contribute to increase the flow speed. To be thorough, it must be underlined  
 132 that in the second case less passages were averaged, with the result of a time history more noisy  
 133 and non-correlated.

134 As regard to the harmonic content of the signals, as previously done, in order to make the results  
 135 independent from the train speed, it is possible to present the data as a function of the wave-  
 136 length:

$$\lambda = \frac{V_{train}}{f} \quad (2)$$

137

	<b>13 cars train</b>	<b>11 cars train</b>
TOTAL LENGTH	330 m	200 m
NOSE LENGTH	4 m	6 m
NUMBER OF CARS	13	11
NUMBERS OF BOGIES	26	12
TRACTION	concentrated	distributed

138 **Table 1 Summary of the main characteristics of the two types of train considered**

139 **Figure 3 Averaged time histories of the upper pitot tube for the 13 cars train (a) and the 11 cars train**  
 140 **(b)**

141 The result is that the periodic oscillation at low-frequency is associated to the coach length (26  
142 m). Considering a train speed of 300 km/h, the corresponding frequency lies around 3-4 Hz.  
143 Averaging the values of the  $c_u$  distribution along the train on the central portion, it is possible  
144 to calculate a mean vertical profile of the flow velocity longitudinal component. In Figure 4 the  
145 results obtained in different experimental campaigns with two types of trains are compared. All  
146 the curves show a similar slope but different absolute values; this might be due to different  
147 height of the ballast and different underbody regions (smoother for the 11 cars train). In partic-  
148 ular, the lower point set under the top of the sleeper, is characterized by an almost null velocity.  
149 Finally, the averaged value of all the three components of the flow velocity and forces are  
150 showed in Figure 5 in the case of 13 cars train.

151

152 **Figure 4 Vertical speed profiles measured with the pitot tubes array**

153

154 **Figure 5 Time histories of the velocity components of the flow (a) and the three components of the aer-**  
155 **odynamic force acting on the cube (b)**

### 156 2.1.3 Accelerations

157 Aim of measuring accelerations of stones and aerodynamic forces acting on them is to char-  
158 acterise the ballast conditions (aerodynamic forces and dynamics) to understand which are the  
159 key parameters in the flying ballast phenomenon. In particular, many authors agree that the  
160 initial part of the ballast projection phenomenon is associated with a phase of rolling and this  
161 is aided by initial upward velocity ([citazione]). Moreover, also downward accelerations, and  
162 the consequent inertia forces which reduce the total vertical forces (which means less friction  
163 with particles below), facilitate rolling.

164 In order to measure and to chart the level of the accelerations of the entire track, several accel-  
165 erometers were placed over rails, sleepers and stones. The accelerometers used, all uniaxial,  
166 were set as described in Figure 2. In particular, two of the six accelerometers connected to the  
167 stones were put about 10 cm under the upper layer of the ballast, in order to highlight possible  
168 differences as a function of the depth.

169 All the signals are low pass filtered at 40 Hz in order to remove all the harmonic components  
170 at high frequency that cannot excite the dynamics of the stone. The vertical acceleration (Figure  
171 6a) is characterised by a periodic behaviour associated to the passage of the axles. It is important  
172 to notice that the maximum acceleration of the ballast, as expected, does not exceed one g.

173 Furthermore, all the stones are nearly exposed to the same level of vibration (Figure 6b): this  
174 means that the entire ballast vibrates with the same amplitude independently from the position.

175 **Figure 6 Time evolution of the vertical acceleration of a stone in the case of 13 cars train (a) and com-**  
176 **parison between the accelerations measured on three different ballast stones (b)**

177 On the other hand, the acceleration is linked to the weight of the single axle and to the speed of  
178 the train. In the case of trains with 13 cars, where the weight is concentrated in the locomotive,  
179 the accelerations reached 0.3 g while for the other coaches are rather lower. This means that,  
180 during the passage of the axles, the weight force of the single stone and, consequently, the  
181 friction with the lower layers reduces of 30 %.

182

### 183 3 WIND TUNNEL EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

184 Starting from the results obtained from the trackside measurements, experimental tests on a  
185 full-scale track section were designed and carried out in the wind tunnel of the Politecnico di  
186 Milano. The experimental campaign was carried out in the 4m x 4m test chamber where a  
187 maximum wind speed of 55 m/s can be reached.

188 A full-scale model 4 meters long was used. The model consists of a track section of a real  
189 Italian high-speed railway with ballast sleepers and rails (Figure 9). The main objective of the  
190 test was to identify, in a controlled site, critical speeds, intended as feeding speed of the train,  
191 at which there is the incipient lifting of the ballast stones with the possibility to change various  
192 test conditions of the track such as ballast height, vibration level and compaction level.

#### 193 3.1 *Experimental set-up*

194 A four meters long stretch of the Italian high-speed railway has been rebuilt into the Politec-  
195 nico di Milano wind tunnel section.

196 The test section size allowed to place into the test room seven sleepers (60 centimeters spaced).  
197 To decrease the initial discontinuity, an aerodynamic profile was placed ahead of the first  
198 sleeper to avoid vortex shedding from its edge (Figure 8).

199 The target of the wind tunnel test is to reproduce the real conditions over the track when the  
200 train is passing in terms of:

- 201 • mean flow speed vertical profile over the ballast surface;
- 202 • vertical track vibration due to the wheel-rail contact.

203 The mean wind speed vertical profile was obtained by simulating a track model long enough  
204 upwind the inter-sleepers gap selected as the test section. In addition, a square cylinder was  
205 positioned above the fourth sleeper in order to increase the wind speed over the ballast (Figure  
206 7a). Nevertheless, the cylinder, besides accelerating the flow, introduces also a certain level of  
207 turbulence due to vortex shedding. Unfortunately, it was not possible to reproduce the real  
208 frequency. In fact, considering a train speed of 300-330 km/h, the frequency of the flow speed  
209 fluctuations is about 3.3-3.7 Hz. Assuming a Strouhal number of  $St = 0.12$  for a cylinder with  
210 a square section, from the Strouhal relation between the wind speed  $V$  ( $V_{\max}=50$  m/s) and the  
211 desired frequency  $f$ :

$$St = \frac{fL}{V} \quad (3)$$

212 the edge of the square should be 1.8-2 meter long. A profile of such dimensions is naturally  
213 impossible to use in a tunnel section of 4x4 meters.

214 However, a smaller cylinder with a side of 40 cm was placed over the fourth sleeper (Figure 9)  
215 in order to have the opportunity to carry out tests with an equivalent speed of the train up to  
216 400 km/h. The frequency of vortex shedding with this cylinder is higher (10-15 Hz as shown  
217 in Figure 7b) and the amplitude of the oscillations is equivalent to that of the averaged time-  
218 history measured through field tests but it is obviously lower than that of the single passage. At  
219 any rate, it is authors' opinion that, for a sensitivity analysis of the parameters that influence  
220 the ballast lifting, it is more important to correctly reproduce the mean flow characteristics;  
221 fluctuations, in fact, may increase the number of events, but these would not change the results  
222 obtained in terms of qualitative analysis.

223 **Figure 7 Comparison between the wind speed measured in the wind tunnel with and without the cylinder (a) and an example of flow obtained (b)**

224  
225 **Figure 8 Full-scale model in the wind tunnel test section**

226 **Figure 9 Representation of the experimental set-up inside the wind tunnel**

227 The vertical accelerations of the ballast were imposed only in correspondence of the fourth  
228 inter-sleepers gap using a hydraulic actuator (Figure 10). The input of the actuator control system  
229 were the accelerations measured on the ballast (2.1.3), in order to obtain a vibration of the  
230 upper layer of the ballast similar to that measured trackside. Since the accelerations measured  
231 were independent from the position of the stones on the track it was possible to simplify the  
232 problem and move all the ballast together.



233 **Figure 10 Particular of the hydraulic actuator under the fourth inter-sleepers gap**

234 3.1.1 *Measurements system*

235 The measurements were carried out in two steps:

- 236 • in the first one the flow and the acceleration of the ballast generated in the wind tunnel
- 237 were compared with the data available from trackside tests;
- 238 • in the second one, a sensitivity analysis of rolling and lifting of the ballast due to dif-
- 239 ferent factors was performed.

240 3.1.2 *Measurements of flow and acceleration*

241 Regarding the measure of the flow field the same instrumentation used trackside (Figure 11)

242 was adopted:

- 243 • an array of five pitot tubes;
- 244 • a multi-hole probe;
- 245 • a cubic pressure transducer;
- 246 • three uniaxial accelerometers fixed on the stones.

247 Moreover, to obtain a complete mapping of the flow velocity in correspondence of the test

248 section a semi-automatic device (called “Traversing”) was used. As shown in Figure 12a, the

249 device consists of a mast whose base is fixed to a horizontal actuated guide which allows the

250 movement along the longitudinal axis of the tunnel (x-axis). The mast is equipped with a second

251 motor drive at which is bound an horizontal arm, which can move vertically (z-axis). Finally,

252 at the end of the arm, a vertical rod is fixed laying a multihole probe, as shown in Figure 12b.

253 3.1.3 *Sensitivity analysis*

254 In order to identify and record the movements of the ballast stones, the entire test campaign

255 was filmed using a high frame rate camera (300 fps). In this way the stone behavior in the whole

256 test section was controlled (Figure 13) and a detailed classification of the different types of

257 occurred events were possible.

258 **Figure 11 Pitot tubes array (a) and accelerometers over the ballast (b)**

259 **Figure 12 “Traversing” inside the wind tunnel (a) and a particular of the multi-hole probe (b)**

260 **Figure 13 Position (a) and example of the view of the camera (b)**

261        3.2 *Characterization of flow and acceleration*

262        The first part of the experimental campaign has focused on the characterization of the con-  
263        ditions of the flow in the wind tunnel. In particular, we tried to find out the best position of the  
264        cylinder in order to recreate the same conditions as measured trackside. Due to the high varia-  
265        bility of the data presented in 2.1.2 some simplifications were made:

- 266        • only the average flow was taken into account without fluctuations and peaks;
- 267        • the target profile was an average of the ones measured trackside without considering  
268        the differences due to different type of trains.

269        3.2.1 *Vertical profile of mean wind speed*

270        In order to compare the vertical profile of the flow mean speed reproduced in the wind tunnel  
271        with the one measured at trackside, a comparison is presented in a dimensionless form accord-  
272        ing to the following expression:

273

$$C_u(z) = \frac{U(z)}{V_{train\_wt}} \quad (4)$$

274        where  $U(z)$  represents the average value of the speed at a certain height  $z$  from the top of rail  
275        (TOR) and  $V_{train\_wt}$  is the equivalent speed of the train. Neglecting Reynold-dependent effects  
276        it is possible to measure the profiles in the wind tunnel at a nominal speed test of 25 m/s (rather  
277        limited in order to avoid a possible lifting of the ballast that could damage the measuring set)  
278        and report the dimensionless results to higher speeds. Assuming as reference the wind speed  
279        (called as  $U_{ref\_wt}$  in the wind tunnel and  $U_{ref\_re}$  in the trackside situation) measured by the  
280        highest pitot (27 mm below the TOR), it is possible to calculate the ratio between the nominal  
281        speed of the wind tunnel ( $U_{wt}$ ) and the equivalent speed of the train ( $U_{train\_wt}$ ) as:

$$C = \frac{U_{wt}}{V_{train\_wt}} = \frac{U_{wt}}{U_{ref\_wt}} \cdot \frac{U_{ref\_wt}}{V_{train\_wt}} = \frac{U_{wt}}{U_{ref\_wt}} \cdot \frac{U_{ref\_re}}{V_{train\_re}} = 0.872 \cdot 0.49 = 0.427 \quad (5)$$

282        That means:

283

$$V_{train\_eq} = 1/C \cdot U_{wt} = C_{eq} \cdot U_{wt} = 2.34 \cdot U_{wt} \quad (6)$$

284 It is important to underline that the ratio between the nominal speed of the wind tunnel and the  
285 wind speed of the reference pitot is lower than one (0.872). This means that, as already said in  
286 3.1, the cylinder over the fourth sleeper, coupled with the effect of blockage of the model,  
287 accelerate the flow in the test section. In Figure 7a the profiles measured with the array of pitot  
288 tubes in the wind tunnel, with and without the cylinder, are compared while in Figure 7b the  
289 profile obtained with the cylinder is compared with the ones measured trackside.

290 **Figure 14 Comparison between the vertical profile measured trackside with the one obtained in the wind**  
291 **tunnel**

292 From this comparison, it is possible to observe that the agreement between the profiles is very  
293 good up to a height of about 150 mm below the TOR especially with regard to the slope; under  
294 this limit the profile has an evident deviation. The lower point is the closer one to the ballast  
295 and, consequently, it is also the most critical measurement: in this zone (under the plane of the  
296 sleepers) the flow is strongly influenced by the superficial disposition of the stones and it is  
297 characterized by high gradient and turbulence. In order to better define this zone, the “Traversing”  
298 was used and several speed profile were measured in different locations (Figure 15a). The  
299 results, shown in Figure 15b, demonstrate the goodness of the flow field obtained in the wind  
300 tunnel, compared to the one measured on track, and the high gradient of the speed starting from  
301 190 mm below the TOR (that means 20-30 mm above the sleepers). In particular the profiles  
302 in the same inter-sleepers gap of the pitot tubes array are almost the same while the ones meas-  
303 ured in the next inter-sleepers gap show lower speed; this is due to the acceleration effect of  
304 the cylinder.

305 **Figure 15 Different vertical profile measured with the Traversing (b) with ballast at -3 cm and their**  
306 **positions (a)**

307 To be thorough, a comparison of profiles with two different levels of the ballast is reported in  
308 Figure 16. Lowering the level of the ballast the speed increase particularly near the sleepers  
309 due to the reduced equivalent superficial roughness. On the other hand, the upper layer of the  
310 ballast is located in a lower position; although the wind speed at the level of the plane of the  
311 sleepers is higher, it is lower at the level of the ballast.

312 **Figure 16 Comparison of the vertical profiles with different levels of ballast**

313 3.2.2 Forces

314 Regarding the pressures measured with the multi-hole cube, in Figure 17 a comparison of  
 315 three different situation is showed. The first and second pictures represent the trackside meas-  
 316 urements with the cube placed at two different levels: 20 mm above the sleepers (a) and at the  
 317 same level of the plane of the sleepers (b). The third image, however, refers to the tests made  
 318 in the wind tunnel where the cube was at the same level of (b). The comparison between (b)  
 319 and (c) shows that in the wind tunnel has been achieved a good correspondence in respect to  
 320 the trackside campaigns also from the point of view of the pressures. On the other hand, in this  
 321 area was not possible to compare the profiles obtained in the wind tunnel with the ones of the  
 322 pitot array. In order to obtain a comparison for the profiles, we can suppose that the central  
 323 section of the cube is a stagnation point; in this way it is possible to compute the speed at this  
 324 level and compare it with the profile made with the “Traversing” (Figure 18). The results  
 325 demonstrate that the slope of the speed profile significantly change 10-20 mm above the sleep-  
 326 ers and the speed quickly decreases also in the inter-sleepers gap. The same result is obtained  
 327 also integrating the forces acting on the cube as showed in Table 2.

328 **Figure 17 Comparison between the pressures measured trackside at Recetto (a) and Greggio (b) and in**  
 329 **the wind tunnel (c)**

330 **Figure 18 Vertical profile measured in the wind tunnel compared with the speed seen by the cube**

331

	<i>ALICE</i> <i><math>h_{cube}=20mm</math></i>	<i>RECETTO</i> <i><math>h_{cube}=20mm</math></i>	<i>GREGGIO</i> <i><math>h_{cube}=0mm</math></i>	<i>WT</i> <i><math>h_{cube}=0mm</math></i>
$C_u$ [-]	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.48
$C_v$ [-]	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.00
$C_w$ [-]	-0.02	-0.04	-0.03	0.01
$F_x$ [N]	1.45	1.49	1.09	1.14
$F_y$ [N]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$F_z$ [N]	-0.89	-0.78	-0.65	-0.62

332 **Table 2 Comparison between velocity components and aerodynamic forces trackside and in the wind**  
 333 **tunnel**

334 3.2.3 Acceleration of ballast

335 In Figure 19 accelerations measured in the two situations, trackside and in the wind tunnel,  
 336 are showed; a good correspondence was obtained.

337

**Figure 19 Acceleration measured trackside (a) and in the wind tunnel (b)**

338 **3.3 Test typology**

339 Once the flow has been characterized, the instrumentation was removed and the experi-  
340 mental campaign was performed with increasing speeds, corresponding to increments of 10%  
341 of the power of the wind tunnel, starting from 50% and reaching up to 100% (Table 3).

342

<b>WT power [%]</b>	<b>Nominal speed [m/s]</b>	<b>Equivalent speed [km/h]</b>
50	25	217
60	30	260
70	35	304
80	40	347
90	45	390
100	50	434

343

**Table 3 Correspondences between wind tunnel power and equivalent train speed**

344 The single test at a given speed was comprised of two parts: once the full speed of the test was  
345 reached it was maintained for about two minutes without vibrations; subsequently the actuator  
346 was turned on monitoring the behavior of the system for others two minutes.

347 In order to investigate the effects, on the ballast lifting, of different track conditions and to  
348 seek the most effective countermeasures that can be adopted, the tests were repeated by chang-  
349 ing the following parameters:

- 350 • height of ballast in respect to the upper surface of the sleepers (Figure 20a);
- 351 • presence of stones of different shape in the surface layer (Figure 20b);;
- 352 • degree of compaction of the ballast.

353 These parameters can be combined getting different combinations as shown in Table 4.

<b>Test ID</b>	<b>Ballast height</b>	<b>Stones shape</b>	<b>Compaction</b>
P0R_	$z_b = 0$ cm	Random	No
P0RC	$z_b = 0$ cm	Random	Yes
P0F_	$z_b = 0$ cm	Flattened	No
P3R_	$z_b = -3$ cm	Random	No
P3RC	$z_b = -3$ cm	Random	Yes
P3F_	$z_b = -3$ cm	Flattened	No

P5R_	$z_b = -3$ cm	Random	No
P5F_	$z_b = -5$ cm	Flattened	No

**Table 4 List of tests carried out with different ballast conditions**

354

355 For each of the three levels of ballast three changes in the conditions of the ballast were made.  
356 In the first, stones of different geometry and weight were randomly placed in the upper layer.  
357 Subsequently the ballast was compacted moving the actuator at high frequency and compress-  
358 ing the ballast applying a vertical load on it (The compaction was not performed with the ballast  
359 5 cm under the sleepers since, due to the stability problems, this situation is not reachable on  
360 the railway. Therefore the tests carried out in this situation, have a pure theoretical validity). In  
361 the third session stones with flattened shape were placed on the surface (Figure 20b). The aer-  
362 odynamically-favorable shape of these stones (high surface over weight ratio) has been identi-  
363 fied as one of the main parameters linked to the ballast lifting problem.

364 **Figure 20 Examples of ballast conditions: 3 cm under the sleeper (a) and stones with flattened shape**  
365 **(b)**

### 366 3.4 Results

367 The events observed during the whole experimental campaign in the wind tunnel were clas-  
368 sified defining four different classes, each corresponding to a movement of the stones more or  
369 less significant.

370 In particular, they were defined as:

- 371 Class A - no movement caused by the air flow;
- 372 Class B - local fluctuations: at least one stone starts to oscillate locally due to the flow  
373 turbulence but no displacements are recorded at the end of the test;
- 374 Class C - local rolling: at least one stone shows a significant displacement and rolls to  
375 another location;
- 376 Class D - relevant rolling: at least one stone has been pushed out of the test chamber or  
377 passed over a sleeper.

378 The class is assigned basing on the highest-class event that occurs during a single test: if, for  
379 example, a local rolling and a relevant rolling occur together, the entire test is assigned to the  
380 4th class.

Test ID	217 km/h	260 km/h	304 km/h	347 km/h	390 km/h	430 km/h
POR_	A	A	AABC	ABCDD	CCC	
PORC					B	A

P0F_				CCCDD	D	
P3R_				B	B	
P3RC					AC	AB
P3F_				BBCD	CDD	
P5R_				A	A	B
P5F_				A	B	B

381

**Table 5 Results without vibration**

Test ID	217 km/h	260 km/h	304 km/h	347 km/h	390 km/h	430 km/h
P0R_	A	A	AAABC	AADD	CD	
P0RC					B	A
P0F_				CCCCD	D	
P3R_				A	AB	
P3RC					AC	AB
P3F_				ABBC	CDD	
P5R_				A	A	B
P5F_				A	B	B

382

**Table 6 Results with vibration**

383 In Table 5 and Table 6 the classes assigned at each repetition of each test at different equivalent  
384 train speed are reported. Looking at Table 5, starting from the Italian railway situation, i.e.  
385 ballast at the same level of the sleepers ( $Z=0$  cm) with random arrangement of stones (P0R), a  
386 critical velocity is not evident. This is due to the randomness of this phenomenon (linked to the  
387 random arrangement of the stones) and to the small statistical sample (few sleepers and few  
388 repetition). On the other hand, in presence of flat and light stones on the surface (POF) all the  
389 trials showed the higher classes.

390 Continuing the analysis of the results reported in the table, in the case of ballast lowered by 3  
391 cm (P3) a reduction of the assigned classes during tests with random arrangement of stones  
392 (P3R) is observed. However, the placement of flat stones again shows important events at  
393 speeds comparable with the previous case.

394 Finally lowering the ballast level 5 cm below the sleepers presents a marked improvement,  
395 resulting in the allocation of only classes A and B in all cases.

396 The compaction of the ballast definitely seems to have a beneficial effect to all levels of the  
397 ballast while the comparison between Table 5 and Table 6 (that means with and without vibra-  
398 tions) does not show substantial differences.

399 On the other hand, the real situation is different and pejorative since the maximum acceleration  
400 and the gust of wind acting in phase, because they are both generated by the passages of the  
401 bogies, in the wind tunnel, instead, vortex shedding is not correlated with the vibrations.

#### 402 4 CONCLUSIONS

403 An experimental campaign in the Politecnico di Milano wind tunnel was carried out with the  
404 aim to identify the most important parameters that have a role in the phenomenon of flying  
405 ballast and possible counter-measures to adopt.

406 Referring to the overall results obtained in different tests we can assert that:

- 407 • the flow over the track is characterized by an high gradient starting from 2-3 cm  
408 above the sleepers where there is a clear change in the slope of the vertical profile;
- 409 • lowering the level of the ballast under the plane of the sleepers has undoubtedly a  
410 positive effect; despite the condition with ballast lowered by 5 cm is not practicable,  
411 a decrease of 3 cm brings to great benefits; due to the high gradient of the speed  
412 profile, although the wind speed at the level of the sleepers is higher, it is lower at  
413 the level of the ballast;
- 414 • the shape of the stones affect the phenomenon; in particular, the presence of flat  
415 stones on the surface increases the likelihood to lifting. These stones are light but  
416 with high kinetic energy which could be transferred to other heavier stones;
- 417 • the vibration does not appear to have a significant role in the phenomenon.

418 In conclusion, it is important to underline that the mean flow characteristics obtained in the  
419 tunnel were very similar to those measured trackside, both from the point of view of speed  
420 profile and pressures. As far as the dynamic properties of the flow are concerned, the wind  
421 speed oscillations reproduced in the wind tunnel are characterized by a higher frequency and a  
422 lower amplitude (especially compared to the single passage) than those measured through real  
423 scale tests. Furthermore, in the real conditions, the oscillations are synchronous with the vertical  
424 accelerations. That means that all the results obtained in the wind tunnel are probably less con-  
425 servative with respect to the real situation. On the other hand, the final goal of the paper is to  
426 perform a sensitivity analysis and not to evaluate a wind speed threshold over that the ballast  
427 flies, also considering the reduced statistical basis of a stretch only four meters long. Differ-  
428 ences in oscillations can modify the number of events or change the values of the threshold



429 speed, but they do not influence the performed qualitative analysis whose final target is to un-  
430 derline the parameters, from the point of view of the railway, that can be controlled to reduce  
431 the ballast lifting.

432 REFERENCES

- 433 H.B. Kwon, C. S. Park, *An Experimental Study on the Relationship between Ballast-flying Phe-*  
434 *nomenon and Strong Wind under High-speed Train.*  
435 Proceeding of the World Congress on Rail Research, 2008.  
436
- 437 D. Quinn, M. Hayward, C. J. Baker, F. Schmid, J. A. Priest, W. Powrie, *A full-scale experi-*  
438 *mental and modelling study of ballast flight under high-speed trains.*  
439 Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part F: Journal of Rail and Rapid  
440 Transit, 2010.  
441
- 442 A. Sanz-Andres, F. Navarro-Medina, *The initiation of rotational motion of a lying object*  
443 *caused by wind gusts.*  
444 Journal of wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, 98, 2010.  
445
- 446 G. Saussine, E. Allain, N. Paradot, V. Gaillot, *Ballast Flying Risk Assessment Method for High*  
447 *Speed Line.*  
448 9th World Conference on Railway Research , 2011.  
449
- 450 S. Giappino, S., Premoli, A., Rocchi, D., Schito, P., Tomasini, G., 2013, *Numerical-experi-*  
451 *mental study on flying ballast caused by high speed trains*  
452 EACWE, 6th European and African Conference on Wind Engineering, Cambridge, July 7th to  
453 11th 2013  
454
- 455 B. J. Lazaro, E. Gonzalez, - *Characterization and Modelling of Flying Ballast Phenomena in*  
456 *High-speed train lines.*  
457 9th World Conference on Railway Research , 2011.  
458
- 459 Jing, G.Q, Zhou, Y.D, Lin, J., Zhang, J. *Ballast flying mechanism and sensitivity factors anal-*  
460 *ysis*  
461 International Journal on Smart Sensing and Intelligent Systems, 5 (4), 928-939, 2012  
462
- 463 M. Sima, E. Grappein, M. Weise, N. Paradot, M. Hieke, C. Baker, R. Licciardello, M. Couturier  
464 *Presentation of the EU FP7 AeroTRAIN project and first results*

- 465 9th World Conference on Railway Research , 2011.
- 466
- 467 H.J. Kaltenbach, P. E. Gautier, G. Agirre, A. Orellano, K. Schroeder, Bodenstein, M. Testa,  
468 Th. *Assessment of the aerodynamic loads on the trackbed causing ballast projection:*  
469 *results from the DEUFRAKO project Aerodynamics in Open Air (AOA)*
- 470 8th World Conference on Railway Research , 2008

471 FIGURES

472

473 Figure 1 Experimental set-up for the flow measurements

474

475 Figure 2 Layout of the accelerometers on sleepers and ballast

476

477 Figure 3 Averaged time histories of the upper pitot tube for the 13 cars train (a) and the 11 cars  
478 train (b)

479

480 Figure 4 Vertical speed profiles measured with the pitot tubes array

481

482 Figure 5 Time histories of the velocity components of the flow (a) and the three components of  
483 the aerodynamic force acting on the cube (b)

484

485 Figure 6 Time evolution of the vertical acceleration of a stone in the case of 13 cars train (a)  
486 and comparison between the accelerations measured on three different ballast stones (b)

487

488 Figure 7 Comparison between the wind speed measured in the wind tunnel with and without  
489 the cylinder (a) and an example of flow obtained (b)

490

491 Figure 8 Full-scale model in the wind tunnel test section

492

493 Figure 9 Representation of the experimental set-up inside the wind tunnel

494

495 Figure 10 Particular of the hydraulic actuator under the fourth inter-sleepers gap

496

497 Figure 11 Pitot tubes array (a) and accelerometers over the ballast (b)

498

499 Figure 12 “Traversing” inside the wind tunnel (a) and a particular of the multi-hole probe (b)

500

501 Figure 13 Position (a) and example of the view of the camera (b)

502

503 Figure 14 Comparison between the vertical profiles measured trackside with the one obtained  
504 in the wind tunnel

505

506 Figure 15 Different vertical profile measured with the Traversing (b) with ballast at -3 cm and  
507 their positions (a)

508

509 Figure 16 Comparison of the vertical profiles with different levels of ballast

510

511 Figure 17 Comparison between the pressures measured trackside at Recetto (a) and Greggio  
512 (b) and in the wind tunnel (c)

513

514 Figure 18 Vertical profile measured in the wind tunnel compared with the speeds seen by the  
515 cube

516

517 Figure 19 Acceleration measured trackside (a) and in the wind tunnel (b)

518

519 Figure 20 Examples of ballast conditions: 3 cm under the sleeper (a) and stones with flattened  
520 shape (b)