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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of finding a harmonic function $u$ in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, satisfying a nonlinear boundary condition of the form $\partial_{\nu} u(x)=\lambda(\eta(x) u(x)+\mu(x) h(u(x)), x \in \partial \Omega$ where $\mu$ and $\eta$ are bounded functions and $h$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ odd function with subcritical growth at infinity and such that $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime}(s)=+\infty$. By using variants of the mountain pass lemma based on index theory, we discuss existence and multiplicity of non trivial solutions to the problem for every value of $\lambda$.


## 1 Statement of the problem and main result

In this paper we discuss the following problem: find nontrivial solutions $u$ to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta u(x) & =0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} u(x) & =\lambda[\eta(x) u(x)+\mu(x) h(u(x))] \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta, \mu \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega) ; h$ is an odd $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function on $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(-u)=-h(u), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with superlinear, subcritical growth at infinity.
Furthermore, we require

$$
\begin{gather*}
h^{\prime}(0)=0,  \tag{1.3}\\
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime}(s)=+\infty, \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

By assuming other (more technical) conditions, we will obtain existence and multiplicity of solutions to (1.1) for every $\lambda \neq 0$. The complete list of the hypotheses and a precise statement are given in section 5 .
Motivations for studying (1.1) come both from applications and from more theoretical contexts.
For $N \geq 3$, a boundary condition of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\nu} u(x)=\frac{N-2}{2}\left[\eta(x) u(x)+\mu(x)|u(x)|^{\beta-1} u(x)\right] \quad \text { with } \beta \in\left(1, \frac{N}{N-2}\right) \text {, } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been considered in [1], where, however, the interest is focused on positive solutions. Indeed, positive harmonic functions satisfying (1.5) arise in connection with the problem of finding conformal metrics with prescribed mean curvature on the boundary of a Riemannian manifold.
Positive solutions to problem (1.1) (and to the analogous problem for a uniformly elliptic operator) were found in [2] with additional hypotheses on the weights $\eta, \mu$ and by assuming that $h$ has a precise power-like growth at infinity.

In dimension $N=2$, harmonic functions satisfying a boundary condition of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\nu} u(x)=\lambda \mu(x)\left(e^{\alpha u}-e^{-(1-\alpha) u}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are of interest in the study of mathematical models of corrosion (see [3], where $\mu$ is either identically 1 or the characteristic function of a subset of $\partial \Omega$ ). In [4] the general condition (1.6), with $\mu$ changing sign along $\partial \Omega$, is considered. The case $\alpha=1 / 2$ in (1.6) takes on a special interest, both in applications and for theoretical reasons; in [5] the authors prove the existence of infinitely many solutions for any positive $\lambda$ (assuming $\mu(x) \equiv 1$ ) by applying variational methods, relying on index theory, which are suitable for even functionals (see [6] chapter 5).
The boundary condition (1.1) with a symmetric $h$, considered in this paper, includes the case $\alpha=1 / 2$ of (1.6) (choose $\eta=\mu$ and $h(u)=2 \sinh (u / 2)-u)$ as well as (1.5).
We show that problem (1.1) characterizes the critical points of an even functional in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, which satisfies suitable estimates near to the origin and along certain directions at infinity. Then, by exploiting known critical point theorems for symmetric functionals, based on the topological notions of index and pseudo-index, [7], [8], we obtain existence and multiplicity results.
We stress that these results are obtained under weaker assumptions on the function $h$ compared to the non symmetric case (with $\eta=\mu$ ) considered in [4]; in particular, we do not require positivity of the derivative $h^{\prime}(s)$ for any $s$, but only (1.3), (1.4).
As in [4], the approach to the non linear problem relies on the solution of a related linear Steklov eigenvalue problem with indefinite weight on the boundary. In the next section, we summarize (without proofs) the crucial results about this problem. In section 3 we provide the main estimates necessary to develop the variational procedure. In section 4 we prove that our functional satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Section 5 contains the main theorem, which states existence of infinitely many solutions to problem (1.1) for every $\lambda \neq 0$. Finally, we prove additional results on existence of solutions in the non symmetric case and on existence of positive solutions, which also follow from the estimates of sections 3 and 4. These results complete those obtained previously in [4].

## 2 The linear eigenvalue problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain and consider the following linear Steklov eigenvalue problem in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta u(x) & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\gamma\left(\partial_{\nu} u\right)(x) & =\lambda \sigma(x) \gamma(u)(x) \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma(x) \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$ and $\gamma$ denotes the trace operator on $\partial \Omega$.
We recall that, for a Lipschitz domain $\Omega$, the trace on $\partial \Omega$ of the normal derivative of a $H^{1}(\Omega)$ function satisfying $\Delta u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ (in the weak sense) is well defined as an element of the Sobolev space $H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$. For a general overview of Sobolev spaces and traces of functions see [9].
It si easily seen that the solutions to $(2.1)$ belong to the subspace $H_{\sigma}^{1} \subset H^{1}(\Omega)$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\sigma}^{1} \equiv\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega), \quad \int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma(u)=0\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \neq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it turns out [4] that the Dirichlet norm $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}$ is equivalent to the $H^{1}$ norm in $H_{\sigma}^{1}$ and that (2.1) is equivalent to the following variational problem:

Find $u \in H_{\sigma}^{1}, u \neq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v=\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma(u) \gamma(v) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $v \in H_{\sigma}^{1}$. Moreover, the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma(u)\right)^{2}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an equivalent norm in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. We consider the scalar product in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ associated to this equivalent norm; then, we have the following result [4]:

Proposition 2.1. Assume (2.3). Then, problem (2.1) has infinitely many eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$, each of finite multiplicity and such that $\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$. Moreover, the following orthogonal decomposition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}=H_{0}^{1} \oplus c \oplus V_{\sigma} \oplus V_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ are constants eigenfunctions corresponding to the null eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}=0$, the subspace $V_{\sigma}$ is spanned by the eigenfunctions $u_{n}$ satisfying the variational equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v=\lambda_{n} \int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma\left(u_{n}\right) \gamma(v), \quad \lambda_{n} \neq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v \in H^{1}$ and $V_{0}$ is spanned by (harmonic) functions $w$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma(w) \gamma(v)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v \in H^{1}$.
Notice that a non trivial $w$ satisfying (2.8) can only exist if $\sigma \gamma(w)=c \sigma=0$, i.e. if the function $\sigma$ vanishes on a subset of positive Hausdorff measure of $\partial \Omega$; otherwise, $V_{0}$ is empty.
In the sequel, we will list all the eigenvalues to problem (2.1) as follows

$$
\ldots \lambda_{-2} \leq \lambda_{-1} \leq 0 \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \ldots
$$

The eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}=0$ corresponds to the constant solutions of the homogeneous Neumann problem. By (2.7), we can take all the $u_{n}$ orthogonal and normalized with respect to the scalar product associated to the Dirichlet norm $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}$ and even to the equivalent norm (2.5) by defining $u_{0}=\left(\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma\right)^{-1}$; then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{m}=\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma\left(u_{n}\right) \gamma\left(u_{m}\right)=0, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \neq m$.
Note that from the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}=\lambda_{n} \int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma\left(u_{n}\right)^{2}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma\left(u_{n}\right)^{2}>0, \quad \text { for } n>0 ; \quad \int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma \gamma\left(u_{n}\right)^{2}<0, \quad \text { for } n<0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. It is worth stressing that, if $\sigma$ has definite sign, $\sigma \geq 0$ say, the problem is coercive for $\lambda<0$ and we have infinitely many positive eigenvalues (this is the case of the classical Steklov problem [?]); an analogous assertion holds if $\sigma \leq 0$. But, as soon as $\sigma$ is positive on some subset of $\partial \Omega$ and negative on some other subset, both subsets beeing of positive measure, there are infinitely many positive and negative eigenvalues (see [4], remark 2.6).

Remark 2.3. When $\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma=0$ (and $\sigma$ is non trivial) it has been proved in [10] theorem 1.1 that there are still unbounded sequences of positive and negative eigenvalues to problem (2.1). However, the decomposition (2.6) does not hold in that case (see [4], remark 2.7).

## Remark 2.4. On the regularity of eigenfunctions.

Global regularity of the eigenfunctions of (2.1) depends on the indefinite weight $\sigma$ and on the regularity of the boundary $\partial \Omega$. For the subsequent discussion of the nonlinear problem, it is important to guarantee that the eigenfunctions $u_{m}$ are bounded. Recall that any solution of (2.4) belongs to $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and is harmonic in $\Omega$; hence the trace of its normal derivative, being proportional to $\sigma \gamma(u)$, belongs to $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$, so that we have $u_{m} \in H^{3 / 2}(\Omega)$ even in a Lipschitz domain [11]. Then, in case of dimension $N=2$ we get $u_{m} \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ (by Sobolev imbedding) without any additional assumption. For $N \geq 3$, more regularity of $\sigma$ and of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ will be required in order to achieve $u_{m} \in H^{s}(\Omega)$ with $s>N / 2$, which implies the continuity of $u_{m}$ up to the boundary. For the sake of brevity, we will assume when it is needed in the following that $\sigma$ and $\partial \Omega$ are smooth enough to satisfy such conditions without entering into further details (see e.g. [9]).

## 3 Main estimates

We now discuss the solvability of the non linear problem (1.1); since both $\eta$ and $\mu$ are indefinite, we may assume $\lambda>0$.
Let us define $H(u)=\int_{0}^{u} h(t) d t$ and consider the even functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(u) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in H^{1}$. From now on, the trace operator $\gamma$ will be dropped to make the notation shorter. We now make the following assumption on the nonquadratic term of the functional:
Let $0<\epsilon<\frac{2}{N-2}(\epsilon>0$ for $N=2)$ and $q \geq \frac{2(N-1)}{2-\epsilon(N-2)}$ (any $q>1$ for $N=2$ ). We suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(u)| \leq|u|^{2+\epsilon} \tilde{H}(u) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{H}: H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\partial \Omega)$ is bounded.
For $N \geq 3$, it is readily verified that the above condition holds if there is $C>0$ such that $|h(u)| \leq$ $C|u|^{1+\epsilon}$; in the case $N=2$, it can be shown ([5], lemma 2.1) that the function $H(u)=\cosh u-1-u^{2} / 2$ also satisfies the assumption.
Define further

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{R}=\left\{v \in H^{1}:\|v\|_{1}=R\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ is the equivalent norm defined in (2.5) with $\sigma=\eta$, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u\right)^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

Lemma 3.1. Let (3.2) hold; then, for every $\lambda>0$, there exists $R>0$ and a closed subspace $V^{+} \subseteq$ $H^{1}(\Omega)$ with codim $V^{+}<\infty$ such that

$$
E_{\lambda}(v) \geq c_{0}>0,
$$

for every $v \in S_{R} \cap V^{+}$.
Proof. By (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u^{2}-\lambda\|\mu\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)} \int_{\partial \Omega}|u|^{2+\epsilon} \tilde{H}(u), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integral in the last term can be bounded as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\partial \Omega} u^{2+\epsilon} \tilde{H}(u)\right| \leq\|\tilde{H}(u)\|_{L^{q}(\partial \Omega)}\left(\int_{\partial \Omega}|u|^{(2+\epsilon) p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\|u\|_{1}^{2+\epsilon}=C R^{2+\epsilon}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last estimate follows by $(2+\epsilon) p=(2+\epsilon) \frac{q}{q-1} \leq \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$.
Let us now consider the quadratic part of the functional. Assume first $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta \neq 0$ and denote by $\lambda_{n}^{0}$, $u_{n}^{0}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the linear problem (2.1) with $\sigma=\eta$; by remark 2.2 , if the sequence of positive eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{0}, n>0$ is not missing, then it is unbounded. In that case, there exists a non negative integer $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}^{0} \leq \lambda<\lambda_{k+1}^{0} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by recalling the decomposition (2.6) (with $\sigma=\eta$ ) we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{+}=H_{0}^{1} \oplus c \oplus V_{0} \oplus \operatorname{span}_{n<0}\left\{u_{n}^{0}\right\} \oplus \operatorname{span}_{n \geq k+1}\left\{u_{n}^{0}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta<0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{+}=H_{0}^{1} \oplus V_{0} \oplus \operatorname{span}_{n<0}\left\{u_{n}^{0}\right\} \oplus \operatorname{span}_{n \geq k+1}\left\{u_{n}^{0}\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta>0$.
In case the sequence of positive eigenvalues is missing (that is, if $\eta \leq 0$ a.e. in $\partial \Omega$ and $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta<0$ ) we simply take $V^{+}=H^{1}(\Omega)$.
Let us first consider the subspace (3.8) and decompose an element $u \in S_{R} \cap V^{+}$as $u=c \oplus \tilde{u}$.
By the right hand side of (3.8) and by recalling the second of inequalities (2.11) and the definition of the subspace $V_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta \tilde{u}^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} c^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta \\
\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}^{0}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} c^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta \geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left[\left(1-\lambda / \lambda_{k+1}^{0}\right), \lambda\left(-\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta\right)^{-1}\right] R^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $u \in S_{R} \cap V^{+}$.
In case $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta>0$, the above estimate holds with $c=0$ for every $u=\tilde{u}$ with norm $R$ in the subspace (3.9), where the equivalent norm is simply the Dirichlet norm.

Finally, if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta=0$, we just observe that

$$
E_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}(\eta+\delta) u^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(u)
$$

for every constant $\delta>0$, so that we can define $V^{+}$as in (3.9) with $u_{n}^{0}$ the eigenfunctions of problem (2.1) with $\sigma=\eta+\delta$.

Then, the lemma follows by taking $R$ small enough.

Remark 3.2. By the proof of the previous lemma, if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta \neq 0$ and $\lambda$ satisfies (3.7) for some $k \geq 0$, we can choose an 'optimal' subspace $V^{+}$such that: codim $V^{+}=k$ if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta<0$, codim $V^{+}=k+1$ if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta>0$. Furthermore, if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta<0$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}^{0}$, it follows by (3.8), (3.10) that we can take $V^{+}=H^{1}$ (hence, codim $V^{+}=0$ ); clearly, the same holds for any positive $\lambda$ in case of non trivial $\eta \leq 0$.
On the other hand, when $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta=0$, the precise evaluation of the codimension of the optimal $V^{+}$is more delicate, as it involves a comparison between the eigenvalues of the linear problem, respectively with $\sigma=\eta$ and $\sigma=\eta+\delta$, for $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

We stress that the case $\eta=0$ is included in the previous lemma; however, a sharper result can be achieved by more restrictive assumptions on the non linear term:

Proposition 3.3. Let us consider the functional (3.1) with $\eta=0$ and let $\epsilon$, $q$, be defined as before equation (3.2); suppose that
i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{H(u)}{|u|^{2+\epsilon}}=\kappa \neq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii)

$$
\kappa \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu<0 ;
$$

iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(u)| \leq|u|^{1+\epsilon} \tilde{h}(u) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{h}: H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\partial \Omega)$ is bounded.
Then, for every $\lambda>0$, there exists $R>0$ such that

$$
E_{\lambda}(v) \geq c_{0}>0
$$

for every $v \in S_{R}$.
Proof. Let us write $u=c \oplus \tilde{u}$, where we are now using the orthogonal decomposition (2.6) with $\sigma=\mu$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(u) \\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}-\lambda H(c) \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu[H(c+\tilde{u})-H(c)] \\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}-\lambda H(c) \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu \int_{0}^{1} h(c+t \tilde{u}) \tilde{u} d t \\
\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}+\frac{\lambda \kappa}{2}\left(-\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu\right)|c|^{2+\epsilon}-\lambda\|\mu\|_{\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{h}(c+t \tilde{u})|c+t \tilde{u}|^{1+\epsilon}|\tilde{u}| d t
\end{gathered}
$$

for small enough $c$ by (3.11), (3.12). Note that the second term of the above expression is positive, by the assumption $\kappa \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu<0$. Now, by using in the last term the inequality

$$
|c+t \tilde{u}|^{1+\epsilon} \leq 2^{1+\epsilon}\left(|c|^{1+\epsilon}+|\tilde{u}|^{1+\epsilon}\right)
$$

and by the properties of $\tilde{h}$ stated in (3.12), we obtain the following bound

$$
E_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+d_{1}|c|^{2+\epsilon}-d_{2}|c|^{1+\epsilon}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}-d_{3}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2+\epsilon}
$$

for some positive constants $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$. Finally, by Young inequality

$$
|c|^{1+\epsilon}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}} \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon} r^{\frac{2+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}|c|^{2+\epsilon}+\frac{1}{2+\epsilon} r^{-(2+\epsilon)}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2+\epsilon}, \quad \forall r>0,
$$

we get

$$
E_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\left(d_{3}+\frac{d_{2}}{2+\epsilon} r^{-(2+\epsilon)}\right)\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2+\epsilon}+\left(d_{1}-d_{2} \frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon} r^{\frac{2+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}\right)|c|^{2+\epsilon}
$$

Then, by choosing $r$ and $\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}$ sufficiently small, we get $E_{\lambda}(u) \geq c_{0}>0$ and the lemma follows.
Remark 3.4. We remark that in related problems with indefinite superlinear terms [2], [12], it is commonly assumed that the principal eigenvalue of a uniformly elliptic operator in $\Omega(-\Delta$ in our case) under a homogeneous boundary condition on $\partial \Omega\left(\partial_{\nu} u-\lambda \eta u=0\right)$ is strictly positive. We do not need such condition in lemma 3.1 (see, however, the discussion at the end of section 5); furthermore, the assumptions of proposition 3.3 should be compared with those of theorem 1.3 in [2].

We are now going to construct closed, finite dimensional subspaces, $V^{-} \subset H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that:

- $\operatorname{dim} V^{-}>\operatorname{codim} V^{+}$;
- $E_{\lambda}(u) \leq c_{\infty}<\infty$ for every $u \in V^{-}$.

To this aim, let us denote by $\lambda_{n}^{\infty}, u_{n}^{\infty}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the linear problem (2.1) with $\sigma=\mu$ and assume that

$$
\mu \text { has a non trivial positive part, }
$$

so that the sequence of positive eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{\infty}, n>0$, is unbounded.
Moreover, let $u_{n_{i}}^{\infty}, 1 \leq i \leq l$ be any finite sequence of $l$ eigenfunctions, with $l>\operatorname{codim} V^{+}$, corresponding to non negative eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \lambda_{n_{1}}^{\infty} \leq \lambda_{n_{2}}^{\infty} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n_{l}}^{\infty} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{-}=\operatorname{span}_{1 \leq i \leq l}\left\{u_{n_{i}}^{\infty}\right\} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma provides the key estimates at infinity on the functional (3.1).
Lemma 3.5. Let condition (1.4) holds and let $V^{-}$be defined by (3.14), with $\lambda_{n_{1}}^{\infty}>0$ if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu \leq 0$. Then, for every $\lambda>0$ we have $E_{\lambda}(u)<0$ for any $u \in V^{-}$with large enough norm. As a consequence, there exists $c_{\infty}<\infty$ such that

$$
E_{\lambda}(u) \leq c_{\infty} \quad \forall u \in V^{-}
$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case of strictly positive eigenvalues. For notational simplicity we now set $u_{n_{i}}^{\infty}=u_{i}, \lambda_{n_{i}}^{\infty}=\lambda_{i}>0(1 \leq i \leq l)$.
Thus, we can write any $u \in V^{-}$in the form

$$
u=\sum_{i=1}^{l} t_{i} u_{i}
$$

and consider the function

$$
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right) \equiv E_{\lambda}(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{t_{i}^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(u)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} t_{i}^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(u), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used orthogonality and normalization of $u_{i}$ with respect to the inner product defined by the Dirichlet norm (recall that $\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{1}=1, \forall i$ ).
Let $1 \leq j \leq l$ and consider the variational equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{j} \nabla h(u)=\lambda_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu u_{j} h(u) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(u)=h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} t_{i} u_{i}\right)$; we stress that, by the regularity results at the end of the previous section, $u$ is a bounded continuous function on $\Omega$, so that $h(u) \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and (3.16) holds by proposition 2.1. Multiplying by $t_{j}$ and summing up from $j=1$ to $l$, we find

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l} t_{j} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{j} \nabla u h^{\prime}(u)=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu u_{j} h(u)
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} h^{\prime}(u)=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu u_{j} h(u) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now calculate

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j} \partial_{t_{j}}\right) f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j}^{2}-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u_{j} u-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu u_{j} h(u) \\
=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j}^{2}-\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{i} t_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u_{i} u_{j}-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu u_{j} h(u) \leq
\end{gathered}
$$

(by elementary estimates on the quadratic terms and by (3.17))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{l} t_{j}^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} h^{\prime}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\left[\lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right)-\lambda h^{\prime}(u)\right] \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{l}$ only depends on the eigenfunctions $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}$.
Since $h^{\prime}(s) \rightarrow+\infty$ for $|s| \rightarrow+\infty$, the expression in the square brackets is $\leq-1$ for $|u| \geq L$, where $L$ depends on $h^{\prime}, \eta, C_{l}, \lambda_{l}$ and $\lambda$. Let us define $\rho=\sqrt{t_{1}^{2}+\ldots+t_{l}^{2}} \geq 0$ and let $\mathbf{a}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}\right)$ be a point on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{l} \subset \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\rho\left(\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{l} u_{l}\right) \equiv \rho \omega_{\mathbf{a}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, the integrand in (3.18) may be non negative (actually, greater than -1 ) only on the sublevel sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}=\left\{x \in \Omega,\left|\omega_{\mathbf{a}}(x)\right| \leq L / \rho\right\}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is bounded by $\left[\lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right)-\lambda \min h^{\prime}\right]|\nabla u|^{2}$.
As $\omega_{\mathrm{a}}$ is harmonic in $\Omega$, its nodal set has vanishing measure in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (in a neighborhood of a regular point, it is a $(N-1)$-dimensional manifold, while the critical zero set $\omega_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}(0) \cap \nabla \omega_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}(0)$ has vanishing ( $N-1$ )-dimensional Hausdorff measure [13], [14]). Then, for every $\epsilon>0$, one can find $\delta_{\epsilon}>0$ such
that $\left|\Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}\right| \leq \epsilon$, for $\rho \geq L / \delta_{\epsilon}$ and for every $\mathbf{a}$. The proof depends on the compactness of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{l}$ and is reported in [4], lemma 3.3.
By our previous choice of $L$, we have $\lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right)-\lambda h^{\prime}(u)<-1$ in $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}$.
Then, for $\rho>L / \delta_{\epsilon}$, the right hand side of (3.18) is bounded as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\left[\lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right)-\lambda h^{\prime}(u)\right] \leq\left[\lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right)-\lambda \min h^{\prime}\right] \int_{\Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}}|\nabla u|^{2}-\int_{\Omega_{\backslash \Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}}}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
=\left[\lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right)-\lambda \min h^{\prime}+1\right] \int_{\Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}}|\nabla u|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
\leq\left[C \int_{\Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{2}+\ldots\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2}\right)-1\right] \rho^{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some positive constant $C$, where $\left|\Omega_{\rho, \mathbf{a}}\right| \leq \epsilon$.
If $\epsilon$ has been chosen sufficiently small, the constant in the square brackets is strictly negative for $\rho>L / \delta_{\epsilon}$ and for every $\mathbf{a}$, that is for $\|u\|_{1}=\sqrt{t_{1}^{2}+\ldots t_{l}^{2}}$ large enough.
Note that the quantity estimated in (3.18) is (proportional to) the derivative of the function $f$ in the direction of the vector $\left(\lambda_{1} t_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l} t_{l}\right)$; hence, for $\sqrt{t_{1}^{2}+\ldots t_{l}^{2}>L / \delta_{\epsilon} \text {, the function is strictly decreasing }}$ along the curves $t_{1}=c_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} s}, \ldots, t_{l}=c_{l} e^{\lambda_{l} s}, s \in \mathbb{R}$, (orthogonal to the hypersurfaces $\lambda_{1} t_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{l} t_{l}^{2}=$ cost.).
We conclude that $f(u)<0$ for $u \in V^{-}$with $\|u\|_{1}$ large enough; since $f$ is continuous and $V^{-}$has finite dimension, we have

$$
\sup _{u \in V^{-}} f(u)=c_{\infty}<\infty
$$

We now prove that for $\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu>0$ we may allow $\lambda_{n_{1}}^{\infty}=0$ in (3.13). We first note that,

$$
E_{\lambda}(c)=-\lambda c^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta-\lambda H(c) \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu
$$

Hence, by the above assumption on $\mu$ and by the superlinearity of $H^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|c| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{\lambda}(c)}{c^{2}}=-\lim _{|c| \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \frac{H(c)}{c^{2}} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu=-\infty \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now estimate the functional $E_{\lambda}$ on vectors (of the $l$-dimensional subspace $V^{-}$) of the form $c+u$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u=t_{2} u_{2}+\ldots+t_{l} u_{l}$, with $u_{i}=u_{n_{i}}^{\infty}$ eigenfunctions corresponding to strictly positive eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{n_{i}}^{\infty}, i=2, \ldots, l$; we now set $\rho=\sqrt{t_{2}^{2}+\ldots+t_{l}^{2}}$. Then we can write

$$
E_{\lambda}(c+u)=\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta(c+u)^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(c+u) \leq
$$

(by elementary estimates of the second term)

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda\|\eta\|_{\infty} C_{l}\right) \rho^{2}+\lambda\|\eta\|_{\infty} \sqrt{C_{l}|\partial \Omega|} c \rho-\frac{\lambda}{2} c^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(c+u) .
$$

Let us now define $u(\tau)=t_{2} \tau^{\lambda_{2}} u_{1}+\ldots+t_{l} \tau^{\lambda_{l}} u_{l}$, with $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$.
Then,

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(c+u)=H(c) \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu+\int_{0}^{1} d \tau \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu \frac{d u}{d \tau} h(c+u(\tau)) .
$$

Now, from the variational equation

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{j} \nabla h(c+u(\tau))=\lambda_{j} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu u_{j} h(c+u(\tau)), \quad(j=2, \ldots, l)
$$

we get as before

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu \frac{d u}{d \tau} h(c+u(\tau))=\sum_{i=2}^{l} t_{i} \tau^{\lambda_{i}-1} \lambda_{i} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu u_{i} h(c+u(\tau)) \\
& =\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(\tau)|^{2} h^{\prime}(c+u(\tau)) \geq \tau^{2 \lambda_{l}-1} \min h^{\prime} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ and $\lambda_{l} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{2}>0$.
Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(c+u) \geq H(c) \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{l}} \min h^{\prime} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting this in the previous estimate, we have the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}(c+u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda\|\eta\|_{\infty} C_{l}-\min h^{\prime} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{l}}\right) \rho^{2}+\lambda\|\eta\|_{\infty} \sqrt{C_{l}|\partial \Omega|} c \rho+E_{\lambda}(c) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By this estimate and by (3.22), we conclude that there exists a positive constant $M$ (depending on $\lambda$, $\lambda_{l}, \mu, \eta$ and $\left.h\right)$ such that $E_{\lambda}(c+u) \leq 0$ for

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \rho \leq M H(c)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, if the above inequality is not satisfied, the ratio $H(c)^{1 / 2} / \rho$ is bounded; hence, outside the region (3.25), $c / \rho \rightarrow 0$ for $\rho \rightarrow+\infty$.
Let us now calculate the derivative of the function

$$
f\left(c, t_{2}, \ldots t_{l}\right)=E_{\lambda}\left(c+t_{2} u_{2}+\ldots+t_{l} u_{l}\right)
$$

along the curves $\left(c, t_{2} e^{\lambda_{2} s}, \ldots, t_{l} e^{\lambda_{l} s}\right)$ at $s=0$; as in equation (3.18) we get:

$$
\left(\sum_{j=2}^{l} \lambda_{j} t_{j} \partial_{t_{j}}\right) f\left(c, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l}\right) \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\left[\lambda_{l}\left(1+C_{l}\|\eta\|_{\infty}\right)-\lambda h^{\prime}(u)\right]
$$

where $u=c+t_{2} u_{2}+\ldots+t_{l} u_{l}$. By recalling (3.19), we now write $u=c+\rho \omega_{\mathrm{a}}$ and observe that, by the above discussion, $u / \rho \approx \omega_{\mathbf{a}}$ for large $\rho$ outside the region (3.25). Then, by the same arguments that lead to (3.21), we find that $f$ is definitively decreasing to $-\infty$ along the trajectories above. Summing up, we conclude that $E_{\lambda}\left(c+\rho \omega_{\mathbf{a}}\right) \leq 0$ for $\sqrt{c^{2}+\rho^{2}}$ large enough; hence, the lemma follows.

## 4 The Palais-Smale condition

The results of the previous section suggest that it could be possible to prove existence and even multiplicity of solutions to problem (1.1) for every $\lambda$, by applying minimax methods for even functionals, like the Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma (see [6] thm. 6.3) or the pseudo-index theory of [8]; what we are left to show is that the functional

$$
E_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta u^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu H(u)
$$

satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (as before, we may assume $\lambda>0$ ). The main difficulty in this task is to prove the boundedness of a Palais-Smale sequence, since the usual inequality assumptions relating $E_{\lambda}$ and $E_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ could not be helpful in presence of an indefinite weight; in addition, we would like to include the case of exponential growth of the nonlinear term for $N=2$. We will obtain the desired result with two different kinds of hypotheses which are illustrated below; similar assumptions have been previously introduced in [4], where they are also compared to other existing hypotheses implying the Palais-Smale condition for functionals with indefinite nonlinarities.
Let us introduce the following decomposition of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{+} \cup \Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu>0$ on $\Gamma_{+}, \mu<0$ on $\Gamma_{-}$and $\mu=0$ on $\Gamma_{0}$; we stress that each of the sets $\Gamma_{+}, \Gamma_{-}$and $\Gamma_{0}$ may have vanishing measure, but $\left|\Gamma_{+} \cup \Gamma_{-}\right|>0$. We further define $\mu_{ \pm}=\max \{ \pm \mu, 0\}$. Finally, we recall that $H^{\prime}=h$ satisfies $h^{\prime}(s) \rightarrow+\infty$ for $|s| \rightarrow+\infty$.
We now state our first set of assumptions:

## Condition PS1.

1. 

$$
\bar{\Gamma}_{+} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{-}=\emptyset
$$

and $\eta \leq 0$ on $\Gamma_{0} ;$
2. There exist constants $q>2$ and $R_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q H(u) \leq u h(u) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|u| \geq R_{0}$.
3. There exist positive constants $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h^{\prime}(u)\right| \leq C e^{\alpha|u|} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ if $N=2$;

$$
|h(u)| \leq C\left(1+|u|^{\beta}\right)
$$

(with $\beta<\frac{N}{N-2}$ ) if $N \geq 3$.
Remark 4.1. Condition 2 is satisfied in particular by functions of the form $H(u)=\frac{1}{q}|u|^{q}+G(u)$ where $2<q \leq \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$, any $q>2$ if $N=2$ and $G$ is such that: $\left|G^{\prime}(u)\right| \leq C_{1}|u|^{\gamma_{1}}, \gamma_{1}>1$ for $|u| \ll 1$, and $\left|G^{\prime}(u)\right| \leq C_{2}|u|^{\gamma_{2}}, \gamma_{2} \leq 1$ for $|u| \gg 1$. Moreover, in case $N=2$, it is readily verified that $H(u)=\cosh u-1-u^{2} / 2$ also satisfies (4.2) with $q=4$ (and any $R_{0}$ ). Finally, condition 3 specifies the previously mentioned assumption that $h$ has subcritical growth at infinity; note that for $N=2$ a bound similar to (4.3) obviously holds for $|h|$; however, the estimate for $h^{\prime}$ is needed in the proof of Proposition 4.2 below.

We can now state:
Proposition 4.2. Let $z_{m} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that $E_{\lambda}\left(z_{m}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $E_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)^{\prime}$. Assume that (PS1) holds. Then, the sequence $\left\|z_{m}\right\|$ is bounded and the functional (3.1) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. The main arguments of the proof are similar to those in proposition 4.2 of [4], where the quadratic boundary term with the weight $\eta$ was missing in the functional $E_{\lambda}$; hence, we will discuss in detail only the non trivial changes in the proof due to this additional term.
Here we denote by $\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ anyone of the equivalent norms (2.5) (the particular choice of the function $\sigma$ is not relevant in the sequel). Assume by contradiction (considering a subsequence if necessary) that $\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ and define $v_{m}=t_{m}^{-1} z_{m}$, where $t_{m}=\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1}$.
Since $v_{m}$ is bounded, there is a subsequence (still denoted by $v_{m}$ ) such that $v_{m}$ converges weakly in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\left.v_{m}\right|_{\partial \Omega}$ converges strongly in $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$.
Substituting $z_{m}=t_{m} v_{m}$ in the condition $E_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{m}\right) v=o(1)\|v\|_{1}, v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{m} \nabla v-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta v_{m} v-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu \frac{h\left(t_{m} v_{m}\right)}{t_{m}} v=o(1)\|v\|_{1} / t_{m} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By exploiting assumption 1 in the above relation (with a suitable choice of test functions $v$ ) it can be shown that $\left.v_{m}\right|_{\Gamma_{ \pm}} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. (see the proof of proposition 4.2 of [4]).
Thus, we have $v_{m} \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, with $w$ harmonic function in $\Omega$ satisfying $\left.w\right|_{\Gamma_{ \pm}}=0$. Moreover, by choosing $v$ in (4.4) with supp $\left.v\right|_{\partial \Omega} \subset \Gamma_{0}$ and taking again the limit for $m \rightarrow \infty$ we also find (in a weak sense): $\left.\left(\partial_{\nu} w-\eta w\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0$. Again by assumption 1 , we conclude that $w=0$, so that $v_{m} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Now, by this conclusion and by assumption 2 , one can prove: $\left\|\nabla v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$, and therefore $v_{m} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, thus contradicting $\left\|v_{m}\right\|_{1}=1$.
Thus, the sequence $\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1}$ is bounded and in particular we have $z_{m}=c_{m} \oplus \tilde{z}_{m}$ with $\left|c_{m}\right|$ bounded sequence and $\tilde{z}_{m}$ bounded in $H_{\sigma}^{1}(\Omega)$ (see (2.2) where, as previously remarked, we can choose any $\sigma$ with non vanishing mean); by the Lax-Milgram theorem, the linear map $L: H_{\sigma}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\sigma}^{1}(\Omega)^{\prime}$ defined by $L(u) v=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v$ is boundedly invertible.
Finally, by assumption 3, the operator defined through the bilinear form

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega}[\eta u+\mu h(u)] v
$$

maps bounded sets in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ to relatively compact sets in $H^{1}(\Omega)^{\prime}$ (see [15], appendix B for $N \geq 3$; for $N=2$, the result follows by an obvious extension of the arguments in [5], Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2). By standard results [6], Chapter II, Proposition 2.2 , it follows that $\tilde{z}_{m}$ is relatively compact in $H_{\sigma}^{1}(\Omega)$; then, the same holds for $z_{m}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$.

We now describe a second set of assumptions, which imply the Palais-Smale condition only for small enough $\lambda$, but without assuming that the weight $\mu$ vanishes on a nontrivial subset of $\partial \Omega$. In this case, the hypotheses are more readily stated by letting $\lambda$ of indefinite sign.

Condition PS2. Assume $N \geq 3$ and
1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\beta+1) H(u)-u h(u)=\frac{\beta-1}{2} A u^{2}+g(u) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1<\beta<N /(N-2), A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g(u) / u^{2}=o(1)$ for large $|u|, \int_{|u| \geq R} \frac{|g(u)|}{|u|^{3}} \leq \infty$ for every $R>0$.
2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega}(\eta+A \mu)<0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{-1}<\lambda<\lambda_{1} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{-1}$ denote the lowest positive eigenvalue and the highest negative eigenvalue of the linear problem (2.1), with $\sigma=\eta+A \mu$.

Remark 4.3. Assumption 1 of PS2 is satisfied, e.g. by the functions

$$
H(u)=\frac{1}{\beta+1}|u|^{\beta+1}+G(u)
$$

where $G^{\prime}$ is asymptotically linear, with $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} G^{\prime}(u) / u=A$ and $\frac{(\beta+1) G(u)-u G^{\prime}(u)}{u^{3}}-\frac{\beta-1}{2 u} A$ is integrable at infinity.

We now have:
Proposition 4.4. Let $z_{m} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that $E_{\lambda}\left(z_{m}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $E_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)^{\prime}$. Assume that (PS2) holds and let $\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ denote the norm (2.5) with $\sigma=\eta+A \mu$.
Then, the sequence $\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1}$ is bounded and the functional (3.1) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.
Proof. We first show that, assuming $h$ superlinear, (4.5) implies that $h$ is also subcritical. By defining

$$
K(u)=H(u)-\frac{A}{2} u^{2},
$$

one readily finds that $K$ solves the linear equation

$$
K^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\beta+1}{u} K(u)-\frac{g(u)}{u} .
$$

Since $h$ is superlinear, we have $K(u) \geq u^{2}$ for $|u| \geq R$ large enough; then, dividing the above equation by $K$, integrating for $u \geq R$ and taking the exponential of both members, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{-}(R) u^{\beta+1} \leq K(u) \leq K_{+}(R) u^{\beta+1} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \geq R$, where

$$
K_{ \pm}(R)=\frac{K(R)}{R^{\beta+1}} e^{ \pm \int_{R}^{+\infty} \frac{|g(u)|}{u^{3}}}
$$

An analogous estimate holds for $u \leq-R$.
Furthermore, by (4.5) we also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
C+o(1)\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1}=(\beta+1) E_{\lambda}\left(z_{m}\right)-E_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{m}\right) z_{m}, \\
=\frac{\beta-1}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{m}\right|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta z_{m}^{2}\right]-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu\left[(\beta+1) H\left(z_{m}\right)-z_{m} h\left(z_{m}\right)\right] \\
\geq \frac{\beta-1}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla z_{m}\right|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega}(\eta+A \mu) z_{m}^{2}\right]-C(\epsilon)-\epsilon\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1}^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

for any positive $\epsilon$ and suitably chosen $C(\epsilon)>0$. Then, by writing $z_{m}=\tilde{z}_{m}+c_{m}$, with $\tilde{z}_{m} \in H_{\eta+A \mu}^{1}$ and by rescaling $\epsilon$, we conclude that there exists a constant $K(\epsilon)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\epsilon)+o(1)\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1} \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \tilde{z}_{m}\right|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega}(\eta+A \mu) \tilde{z}_{m}^{2}-\lambda c_{m}^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}(\eta+A \mu)-\epsilon\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1}^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by recalling (2.5) and theorem 2.1, we get

$$
K(\epsilon)+o(1)\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1} \geq \min \left\{1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{ \pm 1}}-\epsilon ; \lambda\left(-\int_{\partial \Omega}(\eta+A \mu)\right)^{-1}-\epsilon\right\}\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{1}^{2}
$$

where we take $\lambda_{1}$ for $\lambda>0$ and $\lambda_{-1}$ for $\lambda<0$. From the previous estimates the boundedness of a Palais-Smale sequence follows.
Finally, by the previously established subcritical growth of $h$, the operator defined through the bilinear form $\int_{\partial \Omega}[\eta u+\mu h(u)] v$ maps bounded sets in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ to relatively compact sets in $H^{1}(\Omega)^{\prime}$, so that Palais-Smale condition again follows by [6], Chapter II, Proposition 2.2.

Remark 4.5. In the case $\eta=0$ and $A=0$, e.g. for the problem (1.1) with the boundary condition $\partial_{\nu} u=\mu|u|^{\beta-1} u$, one can show that the Palais-Smale condition holds for every $\lambda \neq 0$, provided that $\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu \neq 0$ and $\left|h^{\prime}(u)\right| \leq C\left(1+|u|^{\beta-1}\right)$.
The proof is exactly as in the case $A=0$ of proposition 4.3 of [4].

## 5 Main theorem and final comments

We recall here the general assumptions about problem (1.1):

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, is a bounded open set with sufficiently regular boundary $\partial \Omega$;
- $h$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1.2), (1.3), (1.4);
- $\eta$ and $\mu$ belong to $L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$;
- for $N \geq 3$ we suppose that $\partial \Omega$ and $\mu$ are regular enough to guarantee that the eigenfunctions $u_{k}^{\infty}$ (of the linear problem (2.1) with $\sigma=\mu$ ) belong to $H^{s}(\Omega)$ with $s>N / 2$, so that $u_{k}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$.

We can now state our main result;
Theorem 5.1. Let the general assumptions listed above hold; in addition, assume that (3.2) is satisfied, $\mu$ has non trivial positive part if $\lambda>0$ (non trivial negative part if $\lambda<0$ ) and that either PS1 or PS2 holds.
Then, there exist infinitely many solutions of problem (1.1).
Proof. Recall that we may assume $\lambda>0$ since $\eta$ and $\mu$ are indefinite. By lemmas 3.1 and 3.5 , for any positive integer $m$ there exist two closed subspaces $V^{+}, V^{-}$of $H^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{dim} V^{-}-\operatorname{codim} V^{+}=m$, and positive constants $R, c_{0}, c_{\infty}$ (the last one depending on $m$ ) such that:

$$
\text { a) } \quad E_{\lambda}(u) \geq c_{0} \quad \forall u \in V^{+}, \quad\|u\|_{1}=R ; \quad \text { b) } \quad E_{\lambda}(u) \leq c_{\infty} \quad \forall u \in V^{-}
$$

Then, by theorem 2.4 of [8], the functional $E_{\lambda}$ possesses at least $m$ distinct pairs of critical points, corresponding to critical levels $c_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, m$, such that

$$
c_{0} \leq c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \ldots \leq c_{m} \leq c_{\infty}
$$

Since this conclusion holds for arbitrary $m$, we get infinitely many critical points; hence, problem (1.1) has infinitely many solutions in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. By the regularity results of [16], if $\Omega$ is smooth and $\eta$, $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$, we have $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Remark 5.2. We recall that in the degenerate case $c_{k}=\ldots=c_{k+r}=c$ (with $k \geq 1$ and $k+r \leq m$ ) it was shown in [8] that $i_{2}\left(K_{c}\right) \geq r+1 \geq 2$, where $K_{c}$ is the set of critical points at level $c$ and $i_{2}$ is the Krasnoselski genus; since a finite set (not containing the origin) has genus 1, it follows that $E_{\lambda}$ has infinitely many critical points at level $c$.

Remark 5.3. As discussed in the introduction, the special two-dimensional case where $\eta=\mu$ and $h(u)=\sinh u-u$ in (1.1) represents a problem in corrosion modelling which was previously considered in [5] for $\mu=1$. Then, theorem 5.1 shows that such problem has infinitely many solutions (for every positive $\lambda$ ) provided that $\mu$ has a non trivial positive part and that the supports of the positive and negative parts of $\mu$ are disjoint (recall assumption PS1). That generalizes the results of [5].

Here is another application to a special problem:

Corollary 5.4. Let $\Omega, \mu$ be as in theorem 5.1 and suppose further that $\lambda \int_{\Omega} \mu<0$. Then, the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta u(x) & =0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} u(x) & =\lambda \mu(x)|u(x)|^{\beta-1} u(x), \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

with $0<\beta<\frac{N}{N-2}(\beta>0$ if $N=2)$, admits infinitely many nontrivial solutions.
Proof. One readily verifies that for every $\lambda \neq 0$, the functional

$$
E_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{\beta+1} \int_{\partial \Omega} \mu|u|^{\beta+1},
$$

satisfies the assumptions of proposition 3.3, so that condition $a$ ) of theorem 5.1 holds with $V^{+}=$ $H^{1}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, the Palais-Smale condition is also satisfied by remark 4.5. Then, the result follows as in the previous theorem.

As one can observe in the previous proof, it may happen that the bound $a$ ) of theorem 5.1 holds on the entire space $H^{1}(\Omega)$. In this case, the estimates obtained in lemma 3.5 suggest that we could apply the standard Mountain Pass Lemma, which does not require symmetry assumptions.
We recall below all the conditions, found in section 3 , each of which guarantees that $V^{+}=H^{1}(\Omega)$; assuming $\lambda>0$, they are:

1. $\eta \leq 0$, not identically vanishing;
2. $\int_{\partial \Omega} \eta<0$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}^{0}$, where $\lambda_{1}^{0}$ is the first positive eigenvalue of the linear problem with weight $\eta$;
3. $\eta=0$ and proposition 3.3 holds.

Clearly, if $\lambda<0$, we have to reverse the inequalities in 1 and 2 and to replace $\lambda_{1}^{0}$ with the first negative eigenvalue $\lambda_{-1}^{0}$.
Then, we can prove some existence and positivity results which complete those obtained in [4] for the non symmetric case and extend (with slightly different assumptions) some results in [2].

Proposition 5.5. Let $\Omega, \eta$ and $\mu$ satisfy the same assumptions as in theorem 5.1; assume further that either condition 1 or condition 2 above holds if $\lambda>0$ (or the corresponding ones if $\lambda<0$ ). Moreover, let $h \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$
h(0)=h^{\prime}(0)=0 ; \quad \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime}(u)=+\infty .
$$

Then, if either PS1 or PS2 holds, problem (1.1) admits a non trivial solution.
Proof. By our assumptions, there exist $R>0, c_{0}>0$ such that $E_{\lambda}(u) \geq c_{0}$ for every $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|_{1}=R$. Moreover, let $u_{n}^{\infty}$ be an eigenfunction of the linear problem with weight $\mu$, corresponding to a positive eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$ (if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu>0$ we can also take $u_{n}^{\infty}$ constant and $\lambda_{n}=0$ ). Then, by the proof of lemma 3.5 it follows that $E_{\lambda}\left(t u_{n}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. By the Mountain Pass Lemma ([6], theorem 6.1) we obtain the existence of a nontrivial solution $u$ to (1.1).

Remark 5.6. If $\eta$ is proportional to $\mu$, the above result completes the set of intervals of the parameter $\lambda$ for which there is a solution to the non symmetric problem studied in [4].

As it was remarked in [12], section 3, the mountain pass procedure yields a critical point $u \geq 0$, not identically vanishing, whenever the functional satisfies $E_{\lambda}(u)=E_{\lambda}(|u|)$ and $E_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)<0$ for some non negative $u_{1} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. The first condition holds for a symmetric term $H(u)$ in $E_{\lambda}(u)$ (note that, if one is only interested in positive solutions, one can always assume that $u \mapsto h(u)$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ odd function defined in $\mathbb{R}$, as $\left.h(0)=h^{\prime}(0)=0\right)$. By the proof of the previous proposition, for $\lambda>0$ the second condition can be satisfied by taking as $u_{1}$ any large enough positive constant if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu>0$ or the eigenfunction (suitably rescaled) associated to the first positive eigenvalue of the linear problem with weight $\mu$ (see [10], theorem 1.2) if $\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu<0$. Then, by the strong maximum principle, we conclude:
Corollary 5.7. With the same assumptions of proposition 5.5, there exists a positive solution $u^{+}>0$ in $\Omega$ to problem (1.1).

We recall that a positive solution to the same problem was found in [2], theorem 1.2, by assuming the positivity of the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ under the boundary condition $\partial_{\nu} u=\lambda \eta u$ on $\partial \Omega$; by considering the variational characterization of such eigenvalue, it can be shown that this assumption is precisely equivalent to assumption 2 above.
The same arguments for the existence of a positive solution apply to the following result, concerning the case $\eta=0$ in the non symmetric case:

Proposition 5.8. Let $\Omega, \mu$ and $h$ satisfy the same assumptions as in proposition 5.5; assume further that condition 3 above holds. Then, if either PS1 or PS2 holds, problem (1.1) (with $\eta=0$ ) has a non trivial (positive) solution.

Proof. The proof follows as in proposition 5.5 and in the subsequent corollary.
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