1 Lab-on-Chip for Testing Myelotoxic Effect of

2 **Drugs and Chemicals**

- 3
- 4
- •
- 5

6 Marco Rasponi

- 7 Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Politecnico di
- 8 Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 9 +39(02)2399-3377
- 10 +39(02)2399-3360
- 11 marco.rasponi@polimi.it
- 12

13 Andrea Gazaneo

- 14 Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Politecnico di
- 15 Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 16 andrea.gazaneo@polimi.it
- 17

18 Arianna Bonomi

- 19 Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, Università degli Studi
 20 di Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 21 arianna.bonomi@unimi.it
- 22

23 Andrea Ghiglietti

- 24 Department of Mathematics "Francesco Brioschi", Politecnico di Milano, 20133
- 25 *Milano, Italy.*
- 26 andrea.ghiglietti@polimi.it
- 27

28 Paola Occhetta

- 29 Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Politecnico di
- 30 Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 31 paola.occhetta@polimi.it
- 32

I Glanifanco Benlamino Flor	1	Gianfranco	Beniam	nino	Fior
-----------------------------	---	------------	--------	------	------

- 2 Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Politecnico di
- 3 Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 4 gianfranco.fiore@polimi.it
- 5
- 6 Augusto Pessina
- 7 Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, Università degli Studi
 8 di Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 9 augusto.pessina@unimi.it

10

- 11 Alberto Redaelli*
- Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Politecnico di
 Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy.
- 14 +39(02)2399-3375
- 15 +39(02)2399-3360
- 16 alberto.redaelli@polimi.it
- 17
- 18
- 19*Corresponding author20
- 21
- 22

1 Abstract

In the last twenty years, one of the main goals in the drug discovery field has been the development of reliable *in vitro* models. In particular, in 2006 the European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM) has approved the Colony forming Unit-Granulocytes-Macrophages (CFU-GM) test, which is the first and currently unique test applied to evaluate the myelotoxicity of xenobiotics *in vitro*. The present work aimed at miniaturizing this *in vitro* assay by developing and validating a Lab-on-Chip (LoC) platform consisting of a high number of bioreactor chambers with screening capabilities in a high-throughput regime.

10 Keywords

- 11 Lab-on-Chip
- 12 Microfluidics
- 13 Clonogenic test
- 14 *CFU-GM*
- 15 CBMNC
- 16
- 17

1 **1 Introduction**

2 In the last twenty years, one of the main goals in the drug discovery field has been 3 the development of reliable in vitro models. Being currently the in vivo testing 4 phase still necessary – to approve new drugs it is necessary to perform *in vivo* 5 studies including at least two animal species, and employing various dosing 6 schedules, depending on the molecule to be approved (Boorman et al. 1982) -7 research has been focusing on the development of new platforms able to replace, 8 or reduce, the traditional *in vivo* trials at least in the early stages of the drug 9 discovery process. Advantages of the *in vitro* approach mainly rely on economical 10 and ethical standpoints. Indeed, in vitro testing presents numerous advantages, 11 namely the reduction of model-to-model variability, ease of data collection, less 12 requirements in terms of personnel training, reduction in the amounts of reagents, 13 miniaturization and automation (Hartung and Daston 2009). 14 One of the fields which could mainly benefit from the paradigm mutation towards 15 in vitro experimentation is toxicology, i.e. the study of drug toxicity (Gad 1990). 16 In particular, clonogenic assays are thought to better bridge the gap between 17 toxicity experienced in vivo and toxicity effects on cells cultivated in laboratory 18 (cytotoxicity). Clonogenic assays are cytotoxicity tests based on the rate of 19 survival of colony forming units (CFUs) in the presence of several drug 20 concentrations, thus differing from traditional proliferation assays which take into 21 account the mere increase in cell number. Specific advantages of clonogenic 22 assays are related to higher accuracy and predictivity with respect to traditional 23 proliferation tests (Pessina et al. 2004). 24 To date, the European Centre for Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM) 25 has approved only one clonogenic test (DB-ALM protocol 101, available on 26 http://ecvam-dbalm.jrc.ec.europa.eu/). This particular test aims at characterizing 27 the acute effect of toxicants on granulocytes and macrophages (GM) progenitor 28 cells derived both from bone marrow and umbilical cord blood, under maximally 29 stimulatory cytokine concentrations. The system is based on counting CFUs 30 formed by the surviving progenitors after xenobiotics exposure. 31 In 2004, Pessina and co-workers optimized a new protocol for CFU-GM assay 32 based on the use of 96-well plates in place of standard Petri dishes thus reducing 33 complexity and costs of the original test.

1 However, the assay still remains time-consuming. Colonies are generally counted 2 only upon 14 days of incubation. In addition, the assay implies the dispersion of 3 cells within a semisolid culture medium, which inhibits a pre-determined 4 localization of colonies (within dishes or wells), which all must be manually 5 found by a trained operator (Pessina et al. 2001). 6 Our goal was to develop a microfluidic device able to miniaturize the current 7 state-of-art paradigm of in vitro hematotoxicity of xenobiotics protocol. Indeed, a 8 further miniaturization of the original assay, down to the micrometer scale, will 9 provide at the same time advantages in terms of cost reduction (cells, drugs, 10 cytokines, etc.) and decrease of testing time (colony forming process). To this aim 11 we designed a novel Lab-on-Chip (LoC) platform enabling for trapping, feeding 12 and growing of multipotent monoclonal stem cells.

13 2 Materials and Methods

14 **2.1** Lab-on-Chip design and fabrication

15 A grid-like distribution of micro-chambers was envisaged within the LoC device 16 to organize the spatial distribution of cells and, in turn, to obtain a univocal 17 correspondence between colonies and culture chambers. 18 For this purpose, we designed a microfluidic platform divided in two functional 19 areas: a serial dilution generator (Jeon et al. 2002; Kim et al. 2008; Lee et al. 20 2009; Occhetta et al. 2015a) and a culture region (Fig. 1). The first one consists of 21 a fluidic network of channels (70µm high and 70µm wide) arranged in a resistive 22 flow-based scheme to deliver six linear dilutions of drugs (concentration ranging 23 from 1 to 0, step 0.2) from two input ports to the culture region. This area was 24 integrated envisioning the possibility to test the cytotoxic effect of different dose 25 of drugs on bone marrow progenitor cells within a single device. 26 Downstream, the culture region consists of 180 cubic chambers (side 150µm) 27 divided in 6 units, each composed by 3 parallel lines of ten culture chambers. 28 The microfluidic device is made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and fabricated 29 by means of standard soft lithography techniques. Briefly, a 4" silicon wafer, 30 microstructured with two photoresist types, served as master mold to cast a thin 31 layer (about 1mm) of liquid PDMS, being curing agent and pre-polymer mixed in 32 a ratio 1:10 (w/w). A 3 hours thermal treatment at 65°C was applied to achieve a

- 1 complete crosslinking. Subsequently, the cured PDMS stamp was removed from
- 2 the mold and bonded, upon an air plasma treatment, to a previously casted slab of
- 3 PDMS (thickness 4 mm) to close the fluidic features. The assembly was trimmed
- 4 and drilled in correspondence of 4 inputs and 6 outputs, by means of biopsy
- 5 punchers having diameters of 0.5 and 3mm, respectively. Finally, the LoC device
- 6 was bonded to a histology glass slide upon a further air plasma treatment,
- 7 sterilized through autoclaving (121°C, 20 min, wet cycle) and subsequently dried
- 8 overnight at 80°C to recover PDMS hydrophobicity after plasma treatment, thus
- 9 minimizing cell adhesion.



10

- 11 Fig. 1 The layout of the Lab-on-Chip device is shown together with a detail of a group of
- 12 chambers within a culture unit. Two main input ports (together with two secondary ports) deliver
- 13 dilutions of chemicals (100%, 80%, 60%, 40%, 20%, CTRL) to 6 culture units (30 chambers each)

14 **2.2** Cell expansion and characterization

15 As a source of stem cells, the mononucleated cell fraction from human umbilical 16 cord blood (CB-MNCs) purchased frozen from Lonza (Swiss) was used. The 17 cells, stored in liquid nitrogen until use, were thawed according to a previously 18 described standard operating procedure (Pessina et al., 2004). Briefly, thawed 19 cells were transferred from the cryovial to a 15 ml conical tube and swirled while 20 warmed thawing medium (Iscove's Modified Dulbecco Medium (IMDM) 21 supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 10 U/ml Dnase I) was 22 added drop by drop to the cells. The cell suspension was then centrifuged at 200g 23 for 15 min at room temperature.

1 Most of the wash was removed by pipette leaving 1–2 ml behind so the cell pellet

- 2 was not disturbed and was then resuspended in the remaining medium. Fresh
- 3 IMDM with 1% l-glutamine and 10% FBS was added to the cell suspension and
- 4 then centrifuged as above described. All the reagents were purchased from
- 5 EuroClone (Italy). Cells were then resuspended in Complete Medium (CM),
- 6 consisting of IMDM, containing 30% FBS, 1% L-Glutamine, 1%
- 7 Penicillin/Streptomycin solution and 10 ng/ml recombinant human granulocyte/
- 8 macrophage colony-stimulating factor (r hu GM-CSF, RELIATech, Germany) to
- 9 evaluate their number and viability.
- 10 Subsequently, CFU based tests were performed by using pooled donor cord
- 11 blood-derived CD34+ cells, purchased frozen from Life Technologies, USA. The
- 12 cells were stored and thawed according to the same protocol applied for CB-
- 13 MNCs.

14 **2.3** Cell seeding within the LoC platform

15 Preliminary tests were carried out to establish a correlation between cell seeding 16 conditions and number of cells trapped in each chamber. For this purpose CB 17 MNCs were used. Aliquots of 30µl of cell suspension (concentration of 500,000 18 cells/ml) were simultaneously injected into both input ports through a dual 19 channel syringe pump at a flow rate of either 0.1 or 0.2 µl/min. Upon complete 20 seeding, a picture of each chamber was taken through a phase contrast 21 microscope, and the number of cells contained in each chamber was directly 22 quantified. For these experiments, a number of 9 and 8 replicates (n=9 and n=8) 23 were used for 0.1 and 0.2µl/min, respectively.

24



25

Fig. 2. Content in terms of cell number for each of the 10 chambers encountered by cells in their
 stream. Each device has 18 decades of chambers, and experiments were carried out for 9 and 8

1 replicates for 0.1 and 0.2 μ l/min flow rates, respectively. Data is presented as mean \pm standard 2 deviation 3 4 The cell distribution for both conditions tested is depicted in Fig. 2, which shows 5 a decrement in population along chambers in the same fluidic path. In particular, 6 the number of cells present in each chamber at the end of the seeding process 7 resulted 14.2 ± 26.2 and 16.8 ± 18.7 for flow rates of 0.1 and 0.2μ l/min, 8 respectively. Although these values were similar, the higher flow rate provided a 9 significantly lower number of empty chambers $(24.4 \pm 22.8 \text{ vs } 11.4 \pm 9.5)$, while 10 reducing the seeding time (2.5 vs 5 hours). Thus 0.2 µl/min was chosen as seeding 11 condition for the following experiments and corresponding data were used in the 12 statistical model. Immediately after seeding, CB MNCs viability within the device was estimated to be higher than 90% (see Supplementary Information). 13

14 **2.4** Statistical model of the clonogenic assay

In general, the toxic effect of a drug molecule tested in a clonogenic assay results in a smaller number of CFUs with respect to a control condition, wherein no drug is administered. For a suitable statistical analysis, we modeled this situation as if the drug was able to decrease the probability of any single cell to be clonogenic, while keeping the number of cells constant.

20 We denote with \mathbf{p}_0 the probability of any cell to form a colony in the control. This 21 value does not depend on the chamber volume and it is also independent of time, 22 thus it is an intrinsic property of the cell donor. According to the results obtained 23 with standard macroscale experiments, in our case study \mathbf{p}_0 was set equal to 24 1/146. We then set a hypothesis test to determine if there is statistical evidence to 25 state whether the probability **p** of a cell to form a colony when drug is 26 administered is smaller than the probability \mathbf{p}_0 in the control. Hence, in the null 27 hypothesis we have $p=p_0$, while in the alternative hypothesis we have $p=p_1 < p_0$. 28 The seeding process suggested us to model the number of cells injected in each 29 chamber as a random variable with a probability distribution depending on the 30 position of the chamber in the corresponding line. Moreover, the numbers of cells 31 in the chambers were treated as independent variables, and all the lines and units were considered to have the same distribution of cells. From the data collected in 32 33 the seeding characterization tests (Fig. 2, perfusion rate 0.2µl/min) we computed

1 the empirical distribution of the number of cells for each position within the 2 culture line (constituted by 10 chambers). 3 The probability to find a colony in a chamber was obtained by modelling the 4 number of clonogenic cells per chamber with a binomial law, having size equal to 5 the average number of cell in that chamber and probability equal to \mathbf{p}_0 . Hence, the 6 number of positive chambers (*chambers*+, i.e. chambers containing at least one 7 colony) in a chip composed by **n** chambers (n/10 culture lines) is defined by a 8 sum of **n** independent and identical distribution binomial random variables whose 9 parameters are those defined above. Since the probability distribution of this random variable does not belong to any family of distributions known in statistics, 10 11 its density cannot be expressed analytically but it must be computed through 12 simulations. These simulations were run through the R environment (software 13 version 2.13.1), and a set of 10000 repetitions was enough to obtain stable 14 distributions. 15 A critical region for the hypothesis test was built as it follows: the null hypothesis 16 (i.e. a cell is clonogenic with a probability equal to p_0) has to be rejected 17 whenever the number of chambers+ in the chip is less than a threshold C. The 18 threshold value depends upon the significance level α of the test and the number 19 of chambers in the chip **n**. For instance, by setting α =0.05, in our chip (**n**=180) we

20 obtained C=12.

Furthermore, we computed the power of the test for different values of p_1 , that is

22 the probability of having less than C chambers+ due to the effect of the

- 23 administered drug ($p_1 < p_0$). In Fig. 3, for instance, we show the probability
- distribution of the chambers+ for a particular choice of $\mathbf{p}_1 = 1/2\mathbf{p}_0 = 1/292$,

25 corresponding to the ideal IC50 for a drug molecule. In this case, the power

- resulted equal to 73.8%.
- 27



28

Fig. 3. Probability distributions of the number of chambers+ within the chip both when no drug
 (light grey; probability of proliferation p₀=1/146) and a drug at a concentration corresponding to
 the IC50 (dark grey; p₁=1/292) are administered. The vertical red line indicates the threshold
 C=12 used for the critical region of the test

6 The power of the test computed above is only valid when the number of chambers 7 in the chip is equal to 180. In Fig. 4 we show how the power of the test depends 8 on **n**. The vertical red dotted line represents the current chip with **n**=180, whose 9 power is equal to 0.738. The power rapidly approaches its maximum value when 10 the number of chambers increases (it exceeds 0.9 already with **n**=240).



11

Fig. 4. Power of the test as a function of the number of chambers in the chip (n). The vertical red
 dotted line represents the current chip with n=180, whose power is equal to 0.738.

14 **2.5** Implementation of the microscale clonogenic assay

- 15 CD34+ cells were seeded into the LoC device at a flow rate of 0.2 μ l/min. Upon
- 16 2.5 hours, CM was prepared and perfused into the device at the same flow rate

1 throughout the entire culture period (14 days), according to previous results in a 2 similar platform (Occhetta et al. 2015b). At specific time points (days 1, 5, 7 and 3 14) pictures from all culture chambers of the LoC device were taken to monitor 4 possible variations in cell number. The results were compared to control 5 experiments, consisting of devices perfused with culture medium free from 6 cytokines. 7 CFU potential of CD34+ cells within the microfluidic device was also assessed 8 both in the absence and presence of a drug with a recognized hematotoxicity in 9 clinical use. In particular, 1200 ng/ml (200X) of Paclitaxel (Toronto Research 10 Chemicals, Canada) dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO, Serva, Germany), 11 were added to CM in order to have a final drug concentration of 6 ng/ml and a 12 DMSO percentage of 0.5% (v/v). Paclitaxel was delivered to cells through the 13 input ports through continuous perfusion. The culture was carried out up to 7 14 days, and pictures of each chamber were taken at days 1, 5 and 7. Pictures from 15 the control device were also taken at the same time-points and used as positive 16 control.

17 3 Results and Discussion

18 The 2-weeks culture period within the microfluidic platform provided an 19 increasing number of CD34+ cells in each chamber from day 1 to day 14 when 20 perfused with CM with cytokines, being the initial number of cells per chamber 21 13.1 ± 15.3 and the final 24.4 ± 21.0 . Conversely, the number of cells did not 22 change significantly along the culture period in the control experiment without 23 cytokines (with a percentage increase of only 0.57%). 24 In particular, we noticed an increased in the number of cells from day 1 to day 5 25 (21.0 ± 19.0) , thus suggesting the possibility to reduce the assay time by 26 identifying the chamber containing colonies (positive chambers, chamber+) 27 within a shorter five days period of culture in vitro.

28





Fig. 6. Representation of positive (green), negative (yellow) and empty (red) chambers

This result suggests that the device, together with the implemented statistical
model, allows identifying the toxicity of a test molecule at a specific
concentration.

7 4 Conclusions

1 2

3

8 The proposed LoC device demonstrated its suitability as platform for CFU-based 9 assays. The average number of colonies formed after five days of culture $(15.33 \pm$ 6.11) was adequate to detect the presence of a toxic dosage (IC50) of a drug. The 10 11 assay time was dramatically reduced in two ways: i) the culture time decreased 12 from 14 to 5 days, and ii) the colony identification was reduced to microscopy 13 acquisitions on a well-defined plane (chamber/channel fluidic layer). 14 The device was provided with a serial dilution generator unit, able to precisely 15 deliver to culture units predetermined concentration of soluble factors. However, 16 the number of chambers implemented for these experiments (n=180) was only 17 enough to catch the effect of a single test condition – namely the concentration of 18 the administered molecule – and this unit was not used. Neverthless, by simply 19 increasing the number of chambers contained in each culture unit (e.g. to 180 or 20 more), the device could be exploited to fully implement a miniaturized clonogenic

- 1 test directly on-chip. As described, the increase of the number of culture chambers
- 2 is also expected to improve the power of the statistical model; indeed, finer cutoff
- 3 thresholds could be considered to exclude chambers initially containing a number
- 4 of cells statistically different from the expected one.
- 5 Remarkably, a straightforward integration of this miniaturized model with a real-
- 6 time acquisition system for the automatic detection and quantification of colonies,
- 7 easily feasible thanks to the pre-determined and ordered positions of CFUs within
- 8 the optically transparent device, would represent a huge step towards an automatic
- 9 and user-friendly implementation of the clonogenic test.

10 Acknowledgements

11 This work was financially supported by Fondazione Cariplo, grant no. 2011-0322.

12 **References**

13	Boorman G, Luster M, Dean J, Campbell M (1982) Assessment of myelotoxicity
14	caused by environmental chemicals Environmental health perspectives
15	43:129
16	Gad SC (1990) Recent developments in replacing, reducing, and refining animal
17	use in toxicologic research and testing Toxicological sciences 15:8-16
18	Hartung T, Daston G (2009) Are in vitro tests suitable for regulatory use?
19	Toxicological sciences 111:233-237
20	Jeon NL, Baskaran H, Dertinger SK, Whitesides GM, Van De Water L, Toner M
21	(2002) Neutrophil chemotaxis in linear and complex gradients of
22	interleukin-8 formed in a microfabricated device Nature biotechnology
23	20:826-830
24	Kim C, Lee K, Kim JH, Shin KS, Lee K-J, Kim TS, Kang JY (2008) A serial
25	dilution microfluidic device using a ladder network generating logarithmic
26	or linear concentrations Lab on a Chip 8:473-479
27	Lee K et al. (2009) Generalized serial dilution module for monotonic and arbitrary
28	microfluidic gradient generators Lab on a Chip 9:709-717
29	Occhetta P et al. (2015) High-throughput microfluidic platform for adherent
30	single cells non-viral gene delivery RSC Advances 5:5087-5095
31	Occhetta P et al. (2015) High-throughput microfluidic platform for adherent
32	single cells non-viral gene delivery Reports 5:10288
33	Pessina A et al. (2001) Prevalidation of a model for predicting acute neutropenia
34	by colony forming unit granulocyte/macrophage (CFU-GM) assay
35	Toxicology in vitro 15:729-740
36	Pessina A et al. (2004) A methylcellulose microculture assay for the in vitro
37	assessment of drug toxicity on granulocyte/macrophage progenitors (CFU-
38	GM) Alternatives to laboratory animals: ATLA 32:17-23
39	