E3S Web of Conferences **546**, 03005 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202454603005
ICoGB 2024

The application and potentialities of textile facade retrofit strategies for energy-efficient and resilient buildings

Giulia Procaccini [1]* and *Carol* Monticelli[1]

[1]ABC Department, Via G. Ponzio, 31, 20133 Milan, Italy

Abstract. In a time when energy efficiency and sustainable development are of utmost importance, retrofitting the current building stock is crucial for reducing ecological impact. The advancement in building technology introduces innovative solutions that challenge traditional practices, paving the way for more sustainable and efficient buildings. Innovative Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies (TFRS) can contribute significantly to the dialogue on retrofitting solutions. TFRS stands at the crossroads of aesthetics, functionality, and environmental consciousness. By exploring the adaptability of textile materials in the retrofitting processes, the paper aligns seamlessly with the broader theme of sustainable practices. It delves into how textile facades, thanks to their intrinsic properties, can offer substantial benefits in terms both of aesthetic appeal and thermal performance, aligning with the objectives of sustainable buildings. This paper presents a novel framework for classifying Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies into three macro categories: *Replace*, *Add* and *Wrap It*. These encompass nine innovative strategies, each suited to different retrofit scenarios, and are assessed for their benefits and applications. The strategies vary in operation, involving facade part replacement, element addition, or complete encasement. The discussion highlights promising textile solutions, emphasizing their contribution in the resilience and adaptation of existing building facades. The results point toward a new paradigm in facade retrofitting, where flexibility, efficiency, and aesthetics coalesce to create more sustainable urban environments.

1 Introduction

Buildings account for approximately 40% of the EU's energy consumption and carbon dioxide emissions [1]. With around 35% of the EU's buildings over 50 years old and nearly 70% considered energy inefficient, the annual renovation rate of just about 1% presents significant challenges [2, 3]. The European Commission predicts that 85-90% of current buildings will still be in use by 2050, underscoring the urgent need for enhanced energy efficiency and aesthetic improvements to mitigate the impacts of time and the environment. In the realm of building technology, innovative materials and processes promise both functional and aesthetic enhancements. Textiles, in particular, have been re-evaluated for their sustainability and practicality [4 - 6]. Their versatility, lightness, and adaptability make them especially suited for facade retrofitting, with standardized dry assembly processes being noteworthy for reducing construction times and facilitating the removal and reuse of components, suggesting a viable pathway for extending the lifecycle of textile structures through multiple uses [6, 7]. The rapid assembly and low maintenance of textile structures not only align with sustainable urban development goals but also offer transformative potential for building envelopes. The intrinsic properties of architectural textiles and membrane products, which have gained increasing popularity in recent years, are poised for less obtrusive applications in facade retrofitting. While recent studies have explored the use of membranes primarily for material savings or complex architectural forms [8], their potential for aesthetic and energy-efficient retrofitting has been largely untapped, except in instances involving smart integrations like passive or active shading devices [9, 10]. This paper presents a systematic investigation of textile applications for facade retrofitting. Drawing on Konstantinou T.'s [11] comprehensive overview of facade refurbishment strategies, it refines the categorization of Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies [12]. Employing dual parallel reviews of textile properties and facade application case studies, the presented research outlined potential new development lines for lightweight facade products. These strategies aim to overcome existing retrofitting limitations [13] and enhance building resilience. The study not only establishes a clear relationship between the properties of textile materials and their potential retrofit applications but also contributes to the discourse on sustainable building practices, positioning textile membranes as both an energy-efficient and architecturally transformative solution. Future guidelines for integrating these materials highlight their benefits and potential for improvement.

* Giulia Procaccini: giulia.procaccini@polimi.it

2 Proposed classification for the use of textiles in facades

The application of textile membranes in architectural facades presents a various landscape of possibilities due to their unique characteristics and the variety of combinations available in material, coating, and tensioning systems. Despite their diverse applications, a coherent and comprehensive classification of their use in facades has been lacking in existing literature. A further gap is recognizable in their use in retrofitting applications.

Based on an analysis of 59 case studies covering a broad spectrum of textile applications in facades, covering different building types such as residential houses, commercial buildings, temporary pavilions, and stadia, the previous research [12] has categorized the use of textiles in facades through both transparent and opaque applications, including backlighted facades.

From the analysis, two major groups of applications emerged:

Structural membranes: these membranes play a critical structural role in facades and include:

○ Defining Membranes: Serve as the primary barrier between interior and exterior, providing both structural support and defining the aesthetic design of the building.

○ Wrapping Membranes: Act as a secondary protective skin that also enhances energy performance by creating an exterior buffer zone.

○ Nesting Membranes: Applied internally, similar to wrapping membranes, they create an interior buffer zone and help redefine interior spaces.

Enclosures: These membranes are used primarily for enclosing purposes without providing structural support:

○ Dividing Membranes: Act as a non-structural separator between the interior and exterior, supported by the building's main structure.

○ Finishing Membranes: Employed as the final aesthetic or protective layer on the facade.

○ Covering Membranes: Used to protect or shade specific parts of the facade such as windows, serving as an additional, non-enclosing layer.

Building upon the State of the Art and recognizing the limitations in the existing classifications, the study proposes a new classification system tailored specifically for facade retrofit applications. This system not only categorizes textile facades by their structural and enclosing roles but also considers their impact on retrofit scenarios, including:

- Weight Reduction: Limiting additional structural load on the existing facade.
- Buffer Zone Creation: Enhancing thermal and acoustic insulation.
- Preservation of Existing Elements: Allowing existing structures to remain visible or intact.
- Reduced Connection Needs: Minimizing the need for invasive structural connections.
- Integration as a Sun-Shading Device: Providing functional benefits such as reducing solar gain.

This classification aims to address the specific aesthetic and functional upgrades achievable through the use of textiles in facade. The proposed system, further detailed in the subsequent chapter, presents the practical application of these categories in retrofit scenarios, evaluating their benefits and challenges in the context of sustainable building practices.

3 Classification of TFRS

Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies (TFRS) offer a transformative approach within the realm of sustainable building enhancements. These strategies leverage lightweight, flexible materials that stand as alternatives to traditional building elements. The inherent properties of these textiles facilitate not only aesthetic transformations but also functional upgrades, especially in enhancing energy efficiencies and extending the lifespan of building envelopes.

The growing interest in sustainable retrofitting alternatives aligns well with the rapid assembly and minimal structural impact offered by TFRS. Textiles are noted for their adaptability across various facade applications, empowered by diverse combinations of materials, coatings, and tensioning systems. While commonly employed for aesthetic facelifts, their potential to boost building performance, particularly in energy efficiency, remains underutilized, primarily confined to sun-shading applications.

This analytical exploration seeks to position TFRS as a viable and sustainable option. The classification of these strategies is significantly influenced by Konstantinou's [11] comprehensive facade refurbishment framework, which guides the integration of textile-based solutions into existing retrofit practices. As outlined in Table 1, TFRS are categorized into three primary actions based on their operational methods and intended functional enhancements:

Table 1. Main retrofit strategies.

	Operation method	**Function**
Replace	Replace part or all facade components with textile systems.	Transform facade’s visual identity and enhance thermal performance.
Add	Overlay textile materials onto existing facade elements.	Offer interior enhancements and exterior additions for insulation benefits.
Wrap	Encase the building in a new textile layer.	Create a thermal buffer zone for significant energy performance improvements and aesthetic renewal.

The practical application of each method makes use of specific strategies that demonstrate their application across different building types and climatic conditions. Table 2 presents the use of each strategy in accordance to the specific products that fit within each category.

Table 2. Classification of retrofit strategies and their products.

Retrofit strategy	Name of the product	Application
Replace	Tensioned membrane	Replacement of the entire facade with sandwich panels.
	Cushions	Replacement of the entire facade with pneumatic cushions.
Add	Finishing	Replacemente of the finishing and addition of exterior insulation.
	Adding	Addition of an internal textile layer.
	Covering	(Partial) covering of the facade with textile membrane
Wrap	Wrapping	Total covering of the building with textile membrane or pneumatic cushions.
	Double Skin	Covering of one (or some) facade(s) with textile membrane.
	Enclosing	Covering of one (or some) facades elements with textile membrane.
	Nesting	Addition of an internal textile structure

Each of the above-presented strategy presents distinct advantages in terms of aesthetic enhancements, energy efficiency improvements, and structural interventions:

○ Replace (Tensioned Membrane and Cushions): Ideal for comprehensive facade transformations, offering significant aesthetic changes and potential thermal improvements, suitable for complete overhauls.

○ Add (Finishing, Adding, Covering): These less invasive strategies allow for both interior and exterior enhancements without substantial structural alterations, effectively improving insulation and reducing solar heat gains across various settings.

○ Wrap (Wrapping, Double Skin, Enclosing, Nesting): Particularly effective in creating buffer zones that enhance both thermal and acoustic performances. These are apt for larger-scale interventions where significant retrofitting can yield considerable energy savings.

In conclusion, TFRS offer a robust framework for enhancing the sustainability and aesthetic appeal of building facades. Each category of retrofit strategy has its own set of advantages, which must be carefully considered against the specific requirements and constraints of each building retrofit project. The next chapter will further explore these strategies through a comparative analysis, focusing on environmental impacts, life-cycle costs, and overall energy performance enhancements to determine the most effective and feasible solutions for facade retrofitting.

4 Consideration and benefits for their application

As urban landscapes continuously evolve, the integration of TFRS offers a novel and promising avenue for retrofitting existing facades. These strategies not only enhance the aesthetic, functional, and sustainable aspects of architectural structures but also pose unique challenges that demand comprehensive planning and thoughtful integration.

4.1 Aesthetic and architectural integration

The retrofitting of facades with textile skins is not merely a superficial enhancement but a profound blend of new and existing architectural elements. The adaptability and lightweight nature of textiles allow for dynamic architectural expressions which can profoundly transform a building's visual identity while maintaining its structural integrity. This involves selecting textiles that support dynamic shapes and vibrant facades, seamlessly integrating with the urban fabric and respecting historical aesthetics where necessary.

4.2 Economic feasibility

From an economic perspective, TFRS offer considerable benefits. The lightweight nature of textile materials simplifies installation processes and reduces associated costs. These economic advantages extend to operational savings through improved energy efficiency, primarily due to enhanced insulation and sun-shading capabilities of textile facades. However, considerations must be made for potential spatial constraints in dense urban areas, where increased facade thickness could be detrimental.

4.3 Regulatory compliance and sustainability

TFRS must align with evolving building codes that emphasize sustainability and energy efficiency. The development of comprehensive Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) for textile materials is crucial to ensure they meet stringent standards and contribute positively to environmental goals. Such transparency in material properties and impacts facilitates regulatory compliance and supports sustainable urban development.

4.4 Climate and location impact

The effectiveness of TFRS significantly depends on the local climate and environmental conditions. Materials must be chosen based on their resistance to UV rays, moisture, and other climatic factors to ensure durability and performance. For instance, in sun-drenched places, UV-resistant textiles would be essential to maintain the longevity and aesthetics of the facade.

4.5 Barriers and limitations

Despite their potential, the widespread adoption of TFRS faces several barriers, including technical challenges, economic considerations, regulatory constraints, and perceptual biases. Enhancing the durability and maintenance ease of textiles, aligning designs with current building standards, and shifting public perception are crucial steps toward broader acceptance.

4.6 Benefits of textile facade retrofit strategies

Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies (TFRS) bring a plethora of advantages to modern architecture, combining functionality with aesthetic flexibility to meet contemporary demands for sustainability and resilience. Here are the key benefits these strategies offer.

4.6.1 Aesthetic enhancement

The beauty of Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies lies in their remarkable flexibility, which opens up a world of creative possibilities not typically afforded by traditional materials. Architects can play with an extensive palette of textures, colors, and patterns to craft facades that breathe new life into aging structures or seamlessly blend new constructions into existing urban fabrics. This transformative potential extends beyond mere cosmetic uplifts; it allows for the preservation of historical aesthetics while introducing modern elements that can revive a building's external appeal. For example, a textile facade can mimic historical textures in a contemporary material, offering a respectful nod to the past while enhancing durability and environmental performance. Each retrofit becomes a tailored artwork, reflecting both the community's heritage and its future aspirations.

4.6.2 Energy efficiency

Textile facades are not just visually appealing, but they are also at the forefront of energy-efficient building technology. By incorporating strategies like advanced sun-shading and insulation enhancements, these systems play a crucial role in reducing a building's energy consumption. Textiles can be engineered to optimize indoor climate control, thereby minimizing the reliance on mechanical heating and cooling systems. This is particularly vital in regions facing extreme weather conditions, where energy demands can peak during cold winters and hot summers. The strategic use of textile facades can lead to significant reductions in energy costs, contributing to a building's sustainability profile while promoting a greener urban environment.

4.6.3 Structural resilience

In areas prone to natural disasters, such as earthquakes, the lightweight nature of textile materials becomes an invaluable asset. These materials add minimal weight to the structural framework of a building, thereby enhancing aesthetic and energy performance without without compromising safety and seismic resilience. Furthermore, textiles are adaptable to various building shapes and sizes, allowing for retrofit solutions that do not impose heavy loads on older buildings, which might be particularly sensitive to additional weight.

4.6.4 Environmental sustainability

Beyond energy efficiency, TFRS contribute broadly to environmental sustainability. They often utilize materials that are more sustainable to produce and can be designed to be recyclable at the end of their lifecycle, reducing waste and encouraging a circular economy within the construction industry. Moreover, the diminished weight of the material, the ease of installation and the minimal disruption caused by textile retrofitting reduce the overall carbon footprint associated with major construction projects. This aspect is critical in nowadays building constructions, promoting both temporary and permanent solutions for enhancing the energy efficiency of the buildings themselves.

4.6.5 Innovation and future potential

Textile facade technologies are continuously evolving, driven by innovations in material science and digital fabrication. Looking ahead, the integration of smart textiles, those embedded with sensors or capable of adjusting their properties based on environmental stimuli, promises to revolutionize the way facades interact with their surroundings. Such technologies could lead to facades that dynamically adjust to optimize energy use, respond to environmental changes, or even harvest energy from the sun, wind, or rain. The potential for integrating textiles with smart sensors that monitor air quality in real time is intriguing. These smart facades could improve building occupant health and comfort by monitoring and adapting to air quality, creating a healthier urban environment.

By addressing the multifaceted considerations associated with TFRS, architects and building professionals can effectively harness the potential of these innovative strategies.

5 Conclusions

This paper has explored the innovative realm of Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies (TFRS), highlighting their transformative potential in modern architecture and sustainable building practices. Through a meticulous examination of these strategies, the aim was to demonstrate how textile facades can offer not only aesthetic renewal but also significant enhancements in energy efficiency and structural resilience. The integration of TFRS provides a comprehensive solution that addresses the urgent need for sustainable development in the construction industry while responding to the aesthetic demands of contemporary urban landscapes.

Throughout this exploration of Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies (TFRS), this paper delved into how these innovative materials and techniques stand to transform the face of modern architecture. Emphasizing sustainability, TFRS provide not just aesthetic revitalization but also substantial enhancements in energy efficiency and structural resilience. As cities continue to grow and evolve, the need for adaptable, sustainable, and energy-efficient building solutions becomes increasingly critical. TFRS meet these demands with their lightweight, flexible properties, which allow for dynamic architectural expressions and seamless integration with both historical and modern urban landscapes.

This paper has demonstrated the various applications of TFRS, from transforming building exteriors to significantly improving thermal performance. These strategies have shown their worth in reducing installation and operational costs, thus presenting an economically viable solution in today's architecture. They align well with stringent regulatory environments focused on sustainability, offering compliance alongside innovation.

However, the journey toward widespread adoption of TFRS is not without its challenges. Technical limitations, economic considerations, regulatory hurdles, and perceptual biases have all been identified as barriers that could slow their integration into mainstream architectural practices. Overcoming these obstacles will require a concerted effort from all stakeholders and the public. Innovation in textile technologies, coupled with strategic education efforts and regulatory adaptations, will be crucial in navigating these barriers.

In conclusion, Textile Facade Retrofit Strategies represent more than just an architectural trend: they are a pivotal component in the future of sustainable urban development. With continued research and development, supportive policies, and an open-minded approach to architectural innovation, TFRS can redefine urban landscapes. They offer a pathway not only to enhance the sustainability and efficiency of our buildings but also to enrich the aesthetic and cultural fabric of our cities. The integration of these strategies marks a step towards a more sustainable, efficient, and visually engaging urban future.

References

1. European Commission. Energy Efficiency in Buildings, 17 February 2020. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/info/news/ focus-energy-efficiency-buildings-2020-lut-17_en
2. BPIE. Europe’s Buildings under the Microscope. 2011. Available online: https://www.bpie.eu/publication/europes-buildings- under-the-microscope
3. BPIE. Renovate Europe. Building Renovation, a Kick Starter for the EU Recover. 2020. Available online: https://www.renovate-europe.eu/2020/06/10/building-renovation-a-kick-starter-for-the-eu-economy
4. Mendonça, P. Low-span lightweight membranes in housing– environmental and structural potentialities. In Structures and Architecture (2010).
5. Monticelli, C., & Zanelli, A. Le membrane strutturali in architettura: una soluzione eco-efficiente per il futuro?. TECHNE - Journal of Technology for Architecture and Environment, (16), 235-246. Firenze University Press (2018). DOI: 10.13128/Techne-23041.
6. Sandin, G.; Peters, G. M., Environmental impact of textile reuse and recycling - A review. In Journal of Cleaner Production (2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.266
7. Monticelli, C.; Zanelli, A., Material saving and building component efficiency as main eco-design principles for membrane architecture: case - studies of ETFE enclosures. In Architectural Engineering and Design Management (2020). DOI: 10.100/17452007.2020.1768507
8. Paech, C. Structural membranes used in modern building facades. *Procedia Eng.* 2016, *155*, 61–70 (2016). DOI: 10.1016/j.proeng.2016.08.007
9. Macieira, M.; Mendonca, P.; Guedes, J.M. Membrane Sunspace for Old Buildings Refurbishment: Environmental Impact, Economic and Building Technology’s Comparison to Conventional Solutions. *MATEC Web Conf.* 2019, *278*, 04002 (2019). DOI: 10.1051/matecconf/201927804002
10. Denz, P.; Sauer, C.; Waldhor, E.F.; Schneider, M.; Vongsingha, P. Smart Textile Sun Shading. Development of Functional ADAPTEX Prototypes. *J. Facade Des. Eng.* 2021, *9*, 101–116 (2021)
11. Konstantinou, T. Facade Refurbishment Toolbox. Supporting the Design of Residential Energy Upgrades. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Architecture and the Built Environment, TU Delft, Delft, The Netherlands, 2014.
12. Procaccini, G.; Prieto, A.; Knaack, U.; Monticelli, C.; Konstantinou, T. Textile Membrane for Facade Retrofitting: Exploring Fabric Potentialities for the Development of Innovative Strategies. *Buildings* 2024, 14, 86 (2024). DOI: 10.3390/buildings14010086
13. Corrêa, D.; Flores-Colen, I.; Silvestre, J. D.; Pedroso, M. & Santos, R. A, Old Buildings’ Facades: Fieldwork and Discussion of Thermal Retrofitting Strategies in a Mediterranean Climate. Designs, vol. 4 (45), (2020). DOI: 10.3390/designs4040045