TWR CONFERENCE

Transdisciplinary Workplace

Research

4th-7th September 2024

Edinburgh Napier University



Andrew Smith, Alasdair Reid, Mina Jowkar, Suha Jaradat (eds.)

Proceedings of the 4th Transdisciplinary Workplace Research (TWR) Conference, 4th – 7th September 2024, Edinburgh, UK

Edinburgh Napier University
School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment





TWR NETWORK (www.twrnetwork.org) 2024

Copyright remains with the authors.

The work is protected by copyright in all its parts. Any use is prohibited without the authors' consent. This applies in particular to duplications, translations, microfilming and storage and processing by electronic systems. Andrew Smith, Alasdair Reid, Mina Jowkar, Suha Jaradat (eds.)

ISBN 9781908225122

Contents

PREFACE	9
TWR2024 CONFERENCE ORGANIZATION	10
International Scientific Committee / Reviewers	11
Session 1A: Workplace Sense of Belonging and Satisfaction	13
What affects sustainable coworking? A psychological ownership perspective	13
"Where's my desk?": A longitudinal investigation on personalization and psychological ownership at the workplace	61
How do workplace features at the office and at home determine workplace choice during a flexible workday?	
How office workers adapt their workplace – a social practice perspective	85
Session 1B: Architecture and Interior Design	96
Exploring the Link Between Office Workstation Design and Physiological Stress - A PRISMA Systematic Review	
Knowledge Management between Service Design and Architectural Design in Workplace Development	111
Exploring the Decision-making of IAQ Interventions in post-covid Australia 88	
Burnout in Context: Studying Real World Workplaces	.99
Session 1C: Digitalisation	108
The Influence of Video Communication on the Informal Interactions Between Dispersed Workers: The Role of Space	108
Revolutionising Sustainable Facility Management Outsourcing through the United Nations SDGs: Al Applications and Case Studies	
Spatial Design in Virtual Reality Workspaces: A Paradigm for Future Remote Work Environments	140
Human occupation and behaviours VS environmental sustainability. An innovative calculation model to measure their effects in office buildings	153
Session 2A: Workplace Wellbeing and Effectiveness	167
Evaluating mental health in the workplace; Crafting an 18-item mental health scale for knowledge workers	167
Setting boundaries: Maintaining a healthy work-life balance for teleworkers in South Africa	178
Stakeholders' perspectives on WELL Building Standard adoption within the APAC region: barriers and opportunities	189
Workspace impacts and the moderating effect of job category on innovative team	203
Session 2B: Educational Workspace	214
Shared Use of Academic Workspaces	214

Transforming workspaces: A topological perspective on multi-location work	227
Never two without three – sustainable university campus management	235
Campus Crossroads: Insights into High School and University Resource Sharing	245
Session 2C: Activity based working: theory & practice	256
Satisfaction Assessment of ABW work settings	256
Assessing the Activity Based Workplace (ABW) concept based on different work colbusiness units	
What We Know and What We Should Know About Activity-Based Work Environment Consequences: A Meta-Analysis	
Spatial indicators for systematic description of Activity Based Working and multi-spenvironments	
Session 3A: Digital tools for research and practice	300
Digital Workspaces through the Lens of Digital Autoethnography	300
How the nature of work is associated with ICT demands and resources? A comparish hybrid and high-intensity teleworkers	
Virtual Reality at Workplace: Increasing Engagement in Agile Retrospectives	302
Using serious gaming as a method for exploring behaviour and attitudes in the work environment	
Exploring the circular futures of workspaces through back casting	305
Investigating the synergy between participatory design and placemaking to foster codriven work environments	-
Session 3B: Inclusion and Diversity	
A Guideline Review on Physical Workplace Accommodations for Neurodivergent O Workers	
Neuro-management Approaches to Mitigating Workplace Incivility: Unveiling Influe Factors Shaping Passive Resistance Responses among Indian Mid- and Senior-Lev Managers	el
Physical workplace adjustments to support neurodivergent workers: A systematic review	310
The Role of Psychological Privacy in the Office Environment: Interactions between Psychosocial and Environmental Resources and Demands	311
Campus NL: hybrid working in the university environment in the Netherland	312
The Impact of Sensory Processing on Office Workers' Well-being, Burnout Symptor Satisfaction in Diverse Office Environments	
Session 3C: Workplace Engagement and Culture	313

Co-working as a potential solution for remote working challenges	314
When your office fits your activity: office-activity (mis)fit, culture stren	•
How can we attract employees back to the office? A survey study on compost-pandemic Australia	
Coworking spaces in Poland: Location factors and geographical distri	bution317
Working in open spaces, in coworking contexts: observations and imp	
Session 4A: Indoor Environment Quality 1, IEQ 1 IEQ in the context of activity-based working: Using a zone-specific posevaluation to create a supportive working environment	st-occupancy
Towards environmental analysis for indoor office spaces. Interdiscipling quantified photo analysis	• • •
Acoustic Privacy, Personality Traits and Burnout - Potential Insight into Preferences in the UK	
Lighting control for hybrid work offices	357
Session 4B: Research and Learning Spaces	369
A field study of space-efficiency in office arrangement for a research o	organisation368
The relevance of bricks and clicks. Research insights on strategies in clearning spaces at universities	
Design for Sustainable Outsourcing Strategies of Facilities Manageme Services: An Investigation In Educational Facilities	•
An international review of building standards and guidelines on thermal ventilation for School buildings	
Session 4C: Workplace Preferences	418
SIM-OFFICE – A Gamified Research Tool for Workplace Exploration - Po	• • • • •
Appraising the Influence of Individual Workspace Preferences on the Pand Wellbeing of Employees: A Scoping Review	•
Identification of employee workplace choice determinants – A Best-Wo	orst scaling study446
The infrastructure of hybrid work environments - A comparison of differ	rent spatial
offers	462
Session 5A: The Evolving Workplace	477
A review of factors influencing employees' experience of occupancy in	the office477
The enticing workplace: Attracting people back to the office	491
Social affordances of communal office spaces: a visual analysis	504

S	ession 5B: Learning and Education	. 522
	Exploring Out-of-Classroom Activities for Students with Disabilities: Implications for Employability Skills Development	.522
	Places for Learning – the Changing Needs of the Students on University Campus	.530
	The relevance of learning space organisation. Insights from a real-world laboratory for hyland student-centred teaching and learning	
	Learning and working environments – co-creation methods and tools in use	555
S	ession 5C: Corporate Real Estate	. 565
	Enhancing Agility in Corporate Real Estate: Development of an Occupancy Simulation Mo for Space Optimization and Decision-making	
	Integrating Organisational Culture, Organisational Structure, and Office Layout: A System Review of the Literature	
	Sustainability-oriented Employer Branding: Identifying Real Estate-Related Requirements Employees	
	Return to Office: The importance of the physical workplace and organisational culture	.608
s	ession 6A: Indoor Environmental Quality 2, IEQ 2	. 620
	Influence of Room Color Tone and Brightness on Interpersonal Dynamics in Virtual Workp Environments	
	Sounds of silence: Rhythmanalysis of noise in flexible workspaces	.633
	Lights, Noise, Temperature Socialise! A Psychological Investigation into Employees' Workspace Environment Satisfaction and their Motivation to Socialise	.639
	Transforming acoustic environments: A comparative pre-post analysis of acoustic comfor and noise disturbance in three renovated offices based on the ISO 22955:2021	
s	ession 6B: Hybrid Working 1	. 665
	The hybrid-work office: Exploring the changing knowledge-work office in a living lab	.665
	Are perceptions of the office environment and teleworking related to team climate at hybrworkplaces? A cross-sectional study	
	What determines the differences between Dutch hybrid workers' location choice clusters?	.688
	Topologies of workplaces?! What can we learn from spatial sociology about hybrid workspaces?	.701
S	ession 6C: Workplace Culture and Experience	. 711
	Cultural probes for job crafting – The use of cultural probes in the context of hybrid work arrangements	
	Navigating gender and control in organizational spaces: The role of office design	

Exploring the effectiveness of design features to incite positive feelings and desired experiences in workplaces	727
The allure of the office environment - Qualitative research among Hungarian office workers	742
Session 7A: Human Centred Workplace	751
Making room for autism at work: Designing a participatory research project	751
From Space Construction to Mental Construction - How Constructivist Thinking Can a Fresh View on the Importance of User Involvement for Workplace Project Success.	
Session 7B: Hybrid Working 2	775
Navigating the hybrid work landscape: unveiling perspectives of the ageing workforce persona and thematic analysis	•
Team rules matter: Workplace preferences for team-based knowledge work in hybric settings	
How different workplace settings at the office and at home support work activities, to	ask

PREFACE

Welcome to the proceedings of the Fourth Transdisciplinary Research Network Conference (TWR 2024). This year's conference marks another milestone in our ongoing journey to foster collaboration and innovation to achieve our goal of contributing to the design and management of workplaces where people can work to their full potential and experience high levels of mental and physical wellbeing.

These proceedings present the latest findings of researchers, practitioners, and thought leaders from around the world who came together in Scotland's historic and vibrant capital city of Edinburgh to share their insights, discoveries, and visions for better workplaces from the 4th to the 7th of September 2024.

The Transdisciplinary Workplace Research (TWR) Network (www.twrnetwork.org) is a collaborative group of scholars and practitioners dedicated to enhancing workplace environments. Since its establishment in 2017, it has carried out its mission to disseminate groundbreaking workplace knowledge that enables organisations and individuals to reach their full potential, while maintaining high levels of mental and physical wellbeing. The network focuses on integrating various aspects of the workplace, including social, physical, technological, and managerial elements. This holistic approach ensures that workplaces support employee performance, satisfaction, health, and wellbeing. By bringing together experts from diverse fields, the TWR Network fosters interdisciplinary dialogue and collaboration. This approach helps translate academic research into practical solutions that can be implemented in real-world workplace settings.

The papers and presentations included in these proceedings represent the cutting edge of transdisciplinary workplace research. They span a rich range of topics including belonging, architecture and interior design, digitalisation and tools, wellbeing, educational and research workspaces, activity-based working, inclusion and diversity, engagement and culture, indoor environmental quality, workplace preferences, the evolving workplace, learning and education, corporate real estate, hybrid working, workplace experience and the human centred workplace. Each contribution underscores the importance of embracing a holistic perspective when it comes to workplace research and practice.

We would like to extend our thanks to all the authors and to the scientific committee, whose participation has made this publication possible. We must also thank the TWR Network and Board, in particular the Network Chair, Rianne Appel-Meulenbroek as well as the 2022 host, Chiara Tagliaro for the support that we have benefited from. We are also grateful to the School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment for making it possible to host the conference at Edinburgh Napier University. Thanks also to the university staff and volunteers who gave their energy to making the event a success, ultimately leading to these proceedings.

As you delve into these proceedings, we hope you find the research presented here as inspiring and enlightening as we do. Thank you for being a part of TWR 2024.

Andrew Smith, Alasdair Reid, Mina Jowkar, Suha Jaradat (eds.) Edinburgh, September 2024

TWR 2024 CONFERENCE ORGANISATION

Organising Committee

Dr Andrew Smith, School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment, Real Estate and Facilities Management, Edinburgh Napier University

Mr Alasdair Reid, School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment, Real Estate, Edinburgh Napier University

Dr Mina Jowkar, School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment, Architectural Technology, Edinburgh Napier University

Dr Suha Jaradat, School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment, Architectural Technology, Edinburgh Napier University

TWR Network Board

Rianne Appel-Meulenbroek, TWR Network Chair, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands

Sally Augustin, Secretary, Design with science, US

Annette Kämpf-Dern, Treasurer & TWR 2020 Host, RE-ER Entrepreneurial Research, Germany - Treasurer + host 2020 conference

Andrew Smith, Edinburgh Napier University, Scotland – Host 2024 Conference

Remi Ayoko, The University of Queensland, Australia

Judith Heerwagen, US General Services Administration/University of Washington, USA

Cheuk Fan Ng, Athabasca University, Canada

Rachel Morrison, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand

Suvi Nenonen, Tampere University of Technology, Finland - Host 2018 conference

Marko Orel, Prague University of Economics and Business, Czech Republic

Kerstin Sailer, UCL, UK

Usha Satish, Upstate Medical University, USA

Davide Schaumann, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Israël

Chiara Tagliaro, TWR 2022 Host, Politecnico di Milano, Italy – Host 2022

Mascha Will-Zocholl, Hessian University of Police and Administration Wiesbaden, Germany – Host 2022 conference

Sara Wilkinson, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Yaoyi Zhou, Virginia Tech, USA

International Scientific Committee / Reviewers

First name	Surname	Institution
Johari H. N.	Amar	Bond University Australia
Lynne	Armitage	Bond University Australia
Amila	Badungodage	University of Canberra
Ebru	Baykal Uluoz	Istanbul technical University
Lisanne	Bergefurt	Eindhoven University of Technology
Torben	Bernhold	FH Münster
Petra	Bosch	Chalmers University of Technology
Julio	Bros Williamson	The University of Edinburgh
Gijs	Brouwers	Delft University of Technology (TU Delft)
Barbara	Camocini	Politecnico di Milano
Derek	Clements-	University of Reading
Delek	Croome	Offiversity of Neading
Susanne	Colenberg	Delft University of Technology (TU Delft)
Laura	Daglio	Politecnico di Milano
Mark	Deakin	Edinburgh Napier University
Christophe	Demazière	Université de Tours
lva	Durakovic	The University of New South Wales (UNSW Sydney)
Gislene	Feiten Haubrich	CITCEM
Maria Teresa	Gullace	Politecnico di Milano
Andrew	Smith	Edinburgh Napier University
Maria	Günther	t-online
Udo-Ernst	Haner	Fraunhofer IAO
Aulikki	Herneoja	University of Oulu, Finland
Christopher	Heywood	The University of Melbourne
Martin Christian	Höcker	Technische Univeritat (TU) Darmstadt
Henk-Jan	Hoekjen	Delft University of Technology (TU Delft)
Isaac (Dubem)	Ikediashi	Edinburgh Napier University
Goksenin	Inalhan	Istanbul Technical University

Carla Iurilli Zurich University of Applied Sciences (ZHAW)

Andrea Jelic KU Leuven, Belgium

Per Anker Jensen Technical University of Denmark

Quan Jin Chalmers University of Technology

Mina Jowkar Edinburgh Napier University

Vesna Krizmanic Faculty of Architecture, University of Belgrade

Riikka Kyrö Lund University

Ann Sophie Lauterbach University of Konstanz
Sarel Lavy Texas A&M University
Ka Leung Lok University of South Wales

Daniel Magnusson Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden

Irene Manzini Ceinar University College London Piia Markkanen University of Oulu, Finland

Alessandra Migliore Politecnico di Milano

Cletus Moobela Edinburgh Napier University

Suvi Nenonen University of Helsinki

Timothy Olawumi Edinburgh Napier University

Marko Orel Prague University of Economics and Business

Temidayo Osunsanmi Edinburgh Napier University

Fruzsina Pataki-Bitto Budapest University of Technology and Economics

Kaire Piirsalu-Kivihall Turku University of Applied Sciences

Alice Paola Pomè Politecnico di Milano Jenni Poutanen Tampere University

Hendry Raharjo Chalmers University of Technology

Thabelo Ramantswana University of Witwatersrand Alasdair Reid Edinburgh Napier University

Chaiwat Riratanaphong Thammasat University

Albena Stefanova University of National and World Economy

Lisa Thrainer Technische Universität Wien

Saija Toivonen Aalto University

Hannah Wilson Liverpool John Moores University
Eunhwa Yang Georgia Institute of Technology

Georgi Zabunov University of National and World Economy – Sofia

When your office fits your activity: office-activity (mis)fit, culture strength and job satisfaction

Alessandra Migliore Politecnico di Milano

alessandra.migliore@polimi.it

Chiara Tagliaro
Politecnico di Milano
chiara.tagliaro@polimi.it

Alice Paola Pomé

Politecnico di Milano

alicepaola.pome@polimi.it

Abstract

Many workers lament that their workspaces are unsuitable for their work tasks (i.e., office-activity misfit). Office-activity misfit happens when the physical layout or design of an office does not match the activities being carried out within it. This mismatch between the workspace and the nature of the tasks can lead to reduced job satisfaction. The recent increase in remote work has made this problem even worse for workers who experience office-activity misfit when working from their company's office. Organizational values and the consensus on those values (i.e., cultural strength) may reduce the alleged negative relationship between office-activity misfit and job satisfaction. However, there is not enough scientific evidence to prove whether office-activity misfit affects job satisfaction and whether cultural strength moderates this relationship. To fill this gap this study is based on data from a single organization located in Italy. Through a cluster analysis, two groups of workers have been recognized: (i) those who benefit from a workspace that fits their tasks (i.e., fit group); (ii) those who lack a workspace that fits their tasks (i.e., misfit group). The paper compares the two groups through a mixedmethod approach including (i) econometric analysis of survey data and secondary data; (ii) qualitative analysis of data from semi-structured interviews and focus groups with employees; and (iii) observations. The preliminary analysis found that organizational culture strength has a positive effect on job satisfaction only in the fit group while not in the misfit group.

Keywords:

Office Type, Work Activity, Office-Activity misfit, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Culture