

An adaptive approach for the angular track estimation of resident space objects through surveillance radar system

M. F. Montaruli<sup>1</sup>, **L. Facchini<sup>1</sup>**, P. Di Lizia<sup>1</sup>, M. Massari<sup>1</sup>, G. Pupillo<sup>2</sup>, G. Naldi<sup>2</sup>, G. Bianchi<sup>2</sup>

PoliMi<sup>1</sup> INAF<sup>2</sup>

Luca Facchini Department of Aerospace Science and Technology

### BIRALES - Bistatic Radar for Leo Survey



RFT (Sardinia)



Medicina Radiotelescope (Bologna)



Angular profile **Slant Range Doppler Shift** Multibeam NORTHERN RFT CROSS -6



### Doppler Shift

#### Multibeam Orbit Determination [1]

- Lobe ambiguity
- Signal quality



### Doppler Shift

#### Multibeam Orbit Determination [1]

- Lobe ambiguity
- Signal quality





### New Approach: Direction of Arrival estimation





- Solution is unique if  $d < \lambda/2$
- Presence of multiple peaks
- Ambiguity solving criteria needed













#### Nominal performances in uncatalogued case

- 899 LEO synthetic passages from 537 objects
- Gaussian noise consistent with sensor accuracy
- Assumed RCS = 1 m<sup>2</sup>

Method	Success %	RMSE (deg)
SNR with DS measurements	100%	1e-04
SNR with SR measurements	100%	1e-04

#### Case

Different station pointing	$\checkmark$	-
Signal interuption during the passage	$\checkmark$	
RCS fluctuations during the passage	$\checkmark$	
Mismatching between real and assumed RCS	$\checkmark$	
Signal from uncontrolled reentry	$\checkmark$	
Receiver channel bandwidth	$\checkmark$	



Case		
Different station pointing	$\checkmark$	
Signal interuption during the passage	$\checkmark$	
RCS fluctuations during the passage	$\checkmark$	
Mismatching between real and assumed RCS	$\checkmark$	
Signal from uncontrolled reentry	$\checkmark$	
Receiver channel bandwidth	$\checkmark$	

Different percentage of interruputions considered

▼ Success: 100% RMSE: 1e-03 deg

Case		Different dB RCS fluctuations	
Different station pointing	$\checkmark$	COnsidered	
Signal interuption during the passage	$\checkmark$		
RCS fluctuations during the passage	$\checkmark$		
Mismatching between real and assumed RCS	$\checkmark$		
Signal from uncontrolled reentry	$\checkmark$		
Receiver channel bandwidth	$\checkmark$	↓ Success: 100%	
		RMSE: 1e-03 deg*	

Case		Diffe
Different station pointing	$\checkmark$	
Signal interuption during the passage	$\checkmark$	
RCS fluctuations during the passage	$\checkmark$	
Mismatching between real and assumed RCS	$\checkmark$	
Signal from uncontrolled reentry	$\checkmark$	
Receiver channel bandwidth	$\checkmark$	

Different real RCS considered

Success: 100% RMSE: 1e-03 deg\*

6 7

8

5

4

RMSE: 1e-02 deg

Time [s]

20

2

1

3

#### Sensitivity analysis on the uncatalogued case:

Case	
Different station pointing	$\checkmark$
Signal interuption during the passage	$\checkmark$
RCS fluctuations during the passage	$\checkmark$
Mismatching between real and assumed RCS	$\checkmark$
Signal from uncontrolled reentry	$\checkmark$
Receiver channel bandwidth	$\checkmark$



Case		Different bandwidth considered
Different station pointing	$\checkmark$	
Signal interuption during the passage	$\checkmark$	
RCS fluctuations during the passage	$\checkmark$	
Mismatching between real and assumed RCS	$\checkmark$	
Signal from uncontrolled reentry	$\checkmark$	
Receiver channel bandwidth	$\checkmark$	Success: 72,2%
🗸 Uncatalog	gued case	RMSE: 1e-01 deg* e

#### **Real observation**



- $\checkmark$
- Method works in a real scenario

#### **Real observation**

### CZ-5B reentry (May 9, 2021)

- Backend not adapted
- Weak signal





Method works in a very challenging real scenario

### Conclusions

- ✓ New processing method
- $\checkmark$  High accuracy on synthetic data
- ✓ Successful tests on real scenarios

### Acknowledgement

Work conducted in the European Commission Framework Programme H2020, Copernicus "SST Space Surveillance and Tracking" and ASI-INAF agreement "Support to IADC and SST activities 2019-2021"

#### **References:**

- 1. M. Losacco, Orbit Determination of Resident Space Objects Using Radar Sensors in Multibeam Configuration, Politecnico di Milano, 2020.
- 2. H. L. Van Trees, Optimum Array Processing: Part IV of Detection, Estimation, and Modulation Theory, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2002
- 3. J. Siminski, Techniques for assessing space object cataloguing performance during design of surveillance systems, 6th International Conference on Astrodynamics Tools and Techniques (ICATT), Darmstadt, Germany, 2016, 14-17 March
- 4. C. Yanez, F. Mercier, J. C. Dolado, A novel initial orbit determination algorithm from doppler and angular information, in: in Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> Conference on Space Debris, 2017



Thank you