See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370778903

Quantitative assessment of tip toe behavior in individuals with autism spectrum disorders using a structured methodology: comparison between video-recording and wearable sensor app...

Poster ·	May 2023					
CITATIONS 0		READS 33				
5 authors, including:						
9	Giulio Valagussa Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca 58 PUBLICATIONS 89 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		Enzo Grossi Foundation Villa Santa Maria 673 PUBLICATIONS 10,090 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE			

Quantitative assessment of tip toe behavior in individuals with autism spectrum disorders using a structured methodology: comparison between video-recording INSAR and wearable sensor approaches. **NSAR 2023** Valagussa Giulio^{1,2}, Molteni Luca Emanuele¹, Boccotti Martina¹, May 3-6 Andreoni Giuseppe^{3,4}, Grossi Enzo¹



(1) Villa Santa Maria Foundation – Autism Research Unit; (2) University of Milano-Bicocca – School of Medicine and Surgery; (3) Politecnico di Milano - Department of Design, Milano, Italy; (4) Scientific Institute IRCCS "E.Medea" - Bioengineering Laboratory, Bosisio Parini, Lecco, Italy.

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

 \checkmark Tip-toe behavior (TTB) is showed by about 20% of individuals with ASD and is poorly quantified with structured methods¹. In a previous study, we proposed a standardized method to quantify TTB during static and dynamic tasks using a video-recording approach in an ecological setting². This testing approach is very time-consuming and operator dependent requiring an operator to review the videos and computing the parameters. ✓ To overcome these limitations, an instrumental approach using wearable sensors (WS) and an automated calculation system was developed and applied. Moreover, WS approach would also permit monitoring gait for a longer time during the day. • **Objective**: this study aims at implementing a WS-based protocol for the quantitative assessment of TTB and its validation by the comparison with the reference video-recording approach.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Observation Schedule (ADOS) were involved in the study. described². All the tests were performed without shoes albeit with "Sensoria[®] Smart Socks". situated 2 meters away and back again 15 times (see Figure 1). \succ Both assessments were repeated on three different days for each individual (9 assessments). to collect data obtained from video-recording and WS approaches at the same time. \succ The result of the video-recording and WS approaches were analyzed. the second analysis the signal was filtered and normalized before identifying the TTB steps.

 \checkmark We assessed 3 individuals with ASD and TTB. The normal distribution of data was confirmed (Shapiro-Wilk test p > 0.05). in TTB during the static test were 0,862 (good) and 0,870 (good), respectively⁴.

> The "Sensoria[®] Smart Socks" used for the quantitative assessment of TTB in individuals with ASD showed **good reliability** compared to the reference video-recording approach during static and dynamic tests. The results of this preliminary study support further research on a larger sample.

References:

1) Valagussa, G., Trentin, L., Signori, A., & Grossi, E. (2018). Toe Walking Assessment in Autism research: official journal of the International Society for Autism Research, 11(10), 1404–1415. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2009

2) Valagussa G., Balatti V., Trentin L., Signori A., Grossi E., Quantitative assessment of Tip-toe behavior in Autism Spectrum Disorder subjects: a cross-sectional cohort study (poster), INSAR Congress, Rotterdam, 9-12 May 2018 3) Yeung, J., Catolico, D., Fullmer, N., Daniel, R., Lovell, R., Tang, R., Pearson, E. M., & Rosenberg, S. S. (2019). Evaluating the Sensoria Smart Socks Gait Monitoring System for Rehabilitation Outcomes. PM & R: the journal of injury, function, and rehabilitation, 11(5), 512–521. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmrj.12003 4) Portney LG, Watkins MP. Foundations of clinical research: applications to practice. New Jersey: Prentice Hall; 2000.

- > Individuals with ASD diagnosed according to DSM-5 criteria and a diagnosis confirmation using the Autism Diagnostic
- > TTB was quantified during structured static and dynamic tasks using a video-recording approach, previously
- \succ The dynamic test consists in transporting 1 object (e.g. puzzle piece, Lego®) from the therapist to the playing table
- \succ The static test consists in playing while standing in front of a table for 3 minutes (see Figure 1).
- \succ "Sensoria[®] Smart Socks" (SSS) are the validated WS³ used during the video-recorded tests. In this way, we were able
- > In the WS approaches we used two different analysis, in the first analysis the signal of SSS was processed directly, in
- \succ The intraclass correlation coefficient ICC (two-way mixed effects model, absolute agreement, single measurement) was calculated using SPSS ver. 23, to assess the reliability between the video-recording and SSS approaches in quantifying the mean percentage of toe steps and the mean percentage of the time spent in TTB.

RESULTS

- ✓ The age was 10.9 yrs, 12.8 yrs and 13 yrs (3/3 males); their ADOS calibrated severity score was 9, 10 and 8, respectively.
- ICC values of the mean percentage of the toe steps during the dynamic test and the mean percentage of the time spent

CONCLUSIONS



Figure 1: static and dynamic tests

		Static Test - % of the time spent in TTB			Dynamic Test - % of the toe steps		
Subject	Acquisition	Video-Recording	SSS 1st analysis	SSS 2nd analysis	Video-Recording	SSS 1st analysis	SSS 2nd analysis
1	Session 01	0.56%	0.35%	0.02%	58.82%	63,79%	55.41%
2	Session 01	37.78%	7.13%	26.61%	98.28%	79,79%	84.46%
3	Session 01	30.00%	34.47%	34.65%	41.38%	59,83%	46.62%
1	Session 02	1.11%	13.68%	12.93%	7.29%	27,96%	21.43%
2	Session 02	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	98.13%	96,30%	62.67%
3	Session 02	44.44%	45.64%	49.81%	44.90%	59,83%	52.00%
1	Session 03	0.00%	7.57%	16.85%	24.68%	30,38%	40.85%
2	Session 03	21.11%	3.18%	15.34%	100.00%	64,10%	99.17%
3	Session 03	32.22%	48.88%	44.08%	57.33%	64,29%	63.51%
		ICC with respect to	0.717	0.870	ICC with respect to	0.806	0.862

Table 1: values of the mean percentage of the time spent in TTB during Static Test and the mean percentage of the toe steps during Dynamic Test measured with Video-Recording and SSS.

