Design Research Society
DRS Digital Library

**DRS Conference Volumes** 

**DRS Conference Volumes** 

9-10-2023

## Proceedings of IASDR 2023: Life-changing Design

Daniela De Sainz Molestina Politecnico di Milano, daniela.desainz@polimi.it

Laura Galluzzo Politecnico di Milano, laura.galluzzo@polimi.it

Francesca Rizzo Politecnico di Milano, francesca.rizzo@polimi.it

Davide Spallazzo Politecnico di Milano, davide.spallazzo@polimi.it

Follow this and additional works at: https://dl.designresearchsociety.org/conference-volumes

Part of the Art and Design Commons

#### Citation

De Sainz Molestina, D., Galluzzo, L., Rizzo, F., and Spallazzo, D. (eds.) (2023) *Proceedings of IASDR 2023: Life-changing Design*, 9-13 October, Milan, Italy, Design Research Society. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.21606/iasdr.2023.001

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the DRS Conference Volumes at DRS Digital Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in DRS Conference Volumes by an authorized administrator of DRS Digital Library. For more information, please contact dl@designresearchsociety.org.



# THE 2023

# Lifechanging design

Milan 9<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> October

### **PROCEEDINGS OF IASDR 2023**

EDITORS: Daniela De Sainz Molestina Laura Galluzzo Francesca Rizzo Davide Spallazzo





SCUOLA DEL DESIGN DIPARTIMENTO DI DESIGN



# Life-Changing Design

Proceedings of the 10th Congress of the International Association of Societies of Design Research (IASDR 2023)

### **EDITORS:**

Daniela de Sainz Molestina Laura Galluzzo Francesca Rizzo Davide Spallazzo

#### Proceedings of the 2023 IASDR Congress

International Association of Societies of Design Research International Congress 9 – 13 October 2023 Milan, Italy www.iasdr2023.polimi.it

Cover and congress identity design by Daniela de Sainz Molestina Proceedings compiled by Lenny Martinez Dominguez

Editors: Daniela de Sainz Molestina, Laura Galluzzo, Francesca Rizzo, Davide Spallazzo



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

ISBN 978-1-912294-59-6 https://doi.org/10.21606/iasdr.2023.001

Published by the Design Research Society 85 Great Portland Street London, W1W 7LT United Kingdom

#### **General Chairs**

Luisa Collina, Politecnico di Milano Alessandro Deserti, Politecnico di Milano Francesco Zurlo, Politecnico di Milano

#### **Programme Chairs**

Laura Galluzzo, Politecnico di Milano Francesca Rizzo, Politecnico di Milano Davide Spallazzo, Politecnico di Milano

#### **Doctoral and Graduate Consortium Chairs**

Paola Bertola, Politecnico di Milano
Peter Gall Krogh, Aarhus University
Anna Meroni, Politecnico di Milano
Lucia Rosa Elena Rampino, Politecnico di Milano

Doctoral and Graduate Consortium Associate Chairs Elena Mariele Elgani, Politecnico di Milano Clorinda Sissi Galasso, Politecnico di Milano

#### **Pictorial Chairs**

Marco Quaggiotto, Politecnico di Milano Umberto Tolino, Politecnico di Milano

Pictorial Associate Chair Sabrina Scuri, Politecnico di Milano

#### **Short Paper Chairs**

Erminia D'Itria, Politecnico di Milano Silvia Maria Gramegna, Politecnico di Milano Xue Pei, Politecnico di Milano

Short Paper Associate Chairs Ambra Borin, Politecnico di Milano Gianluca Carella, Politecnico di Milano Martina Carraro, Politecnico di Milano Laura Cipriani, Politecnico di Milano Mattia Italia, Politecnico di Milano Francesco Leoni, Politecnico di Milano Claudia Mastrantoni, Politecnico di Milano Erin MCauliffe, Politecnico di Milano Vanessa Monna, Politecnico di Milano Angelica Vandi, Politecnico di Milano

#### **Theme Chairs**

#### [Changing] Organizations and Policies

Sabrina Bresciani, Politecnico di Milano Sabine Junginger, Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Arts Euiyoung Kim, Delft University of Technology Marzia Mortati, Politecnico di Milano

[Changing] Organizations and Policies Associate Chair Hedwidge Serot Almeras

#### [Changing] Products and Production

Chiara Colombi, Politecnico di Milano Venere Ferraro, Politecnico di Milano Markus Holzbach, Offenbach University of Art and Design KwanMyung Kim, Ulsan National Institute of Science & Technology

[Changing] Products and Production Associate Chairs Valentin Brück, Offenbach University of Art and Design Kwon Hyosun, Kookmin University Tufail Muhammad, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University James Andrew Self, Ulsan National Institute of Science Technology Ziyu Zhou, Offenbach University of Art and Design

#### Identities and [Changing] Identities

José Allard, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile Anna Barbara, Politecnico di Milano Valeria Bucchetti, Politecnico di Milano Peter Scupelli, Carnegie Mellon

*Identities and [Changing] Identities Associate Chair* **Reejy Atef Abdelatty Mikhail,** Politecnico di Milano

#### [Changing] Ecosystems

Camilo Ayala García, Libera Università di Bolzano Cindy Kohtala, Umeå Institute of Design, Umeå University Valentina Rognoli, Politecnico di Milano Carlo Vezzoli, Politecnico di Milano

[Changing] Ecosystems Associate Chairs Alessandra Caroline Canfield Petrecca, Politecnico di Milano Hang Su, Politecnico di Milano Sofia Duarte Poblete, Politecnico di Milano Elena Albergati, Politecnico di Milano Luca Alessandrini, Politecnico di Milano Barbara Pollini, Politecnico di Milano Alessia Romani, Politecnico di Milano

#### [Changing] Communities

Susana Gonzaga, Universidade da Madeira Ki Young Nam, Korea Advanced Institute of Science & Technology Agnese Rebaglio, Politecnico di Milano Daniela Selloni, Politecnico di Milano

[Changing] Communities Associate Chairs Stefana Broadbent, Politecnico di Milano Young Ok Choi, Brunel University

#### [Changing] Education

Elena Caratti, Politecnico di Milano Silvia Ferraris, Politecnico di Milano Silke Lange, Central Saint Martins, University of the Arts London Zang Yingchun, Tsinghua University

[Changing] Education Associate Chairs Ingrid Calvo, Universidad de Chile Nina Trivedi, University of the Arts London Shencheng XU, Northeast University Chao Zhao, Tsinghua University

#### [Changing] Spaces and Services

Brian Dixon, Ulster University Davide Fassi, Politecnico di Milano Daniela Sangiorgi, Politecnico di Milano Lou Yongqi, Tongji University

[Changing] Spaces and Services Associate Chairs Annalinda De Rosa, Politecnico di Milano Francesco Vergani, Politecnico di Milano

#### [Changing] Interactions

Mauro A. Ceconello, Politecnico di Milano Sangwon Lee, Yonsei University Panos Markopoulos, Eindhoven University of Technology Margherita Pillan, Politecnico di Milano

[Changing] Interactions Associate Chairs Tommaso Elli, Politecnico di Milano Chris Hamamoto, Seoul National University

#### [Changing] Heritage

Carlo Franzato, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro Rodolfo Maffeis, Politecnico di Milano Marco Mason, Northumbria University–Newcastle Raffaella Trocchianesi, Politecnico di Milano

[Changing] Heritage Associate Chairs Francesca Dolcetti, University of Essex Rosella Locatelli, Politecnico di Milano Umair Shafqat Malik, Politecnico di Milano Federica Rubino, Politecnico di Milano Elena Spadoni, Politecnico di Milano

#### **IASDR 2023 Organizing Committee**

Luisa Collina, Politecnico di Milano Francesca Rizzo, Politecnico di Milano Laura Galluzzo, Politecnico di Milano Davide Spallazzo, Politecnico di Milano Daniela de Sainz Molestina, Politecnico di Milano

#### **IASDR Executive Board**

President
Toshimasa Yamanaka, Japanese Society for the Science of Design (JSSD)
Vice president
Peter Lloyd, Design Research Society (DRS)
Secretary-General
Tek-Jin Nam, Korean Society for Design Science (KSDS)
Treasurer
Fong-Gong Wu, Chinese Institute of Design (CID)
Board Members
Martyn Evans, Design Research Society (DRS)
Byung-Keun Oh, Korean Society for Design Science (KSDS)
Kenta Ono, Japanese Society for the Science of Design (JSSD)
Tung-Jung Sung, Chinese Institute of Design (CID)
Co-opted Member
David Durling, Design Research Society (DRS)

#### **Peer Reviewers**

Mehdi A. Fallah Elena Abbiatici Syeda Tanveer Ahmed Sunghee Ahn Marco Ajovalasit Elena Albergati Luca Alessandrini Anwer Ali Noris Alice Jose Allard Iyad Alsabouni Akosua Mawuse Amankwah Mariana Amatullo Qingfan An Laura Anselmi Anna Anzani **Eddie Appiah** Venanzio Arquilla Wai Dik Au Valentina Auricchio Elena Aversa Steve Awoniyi Camilo Ayala Garcia Mata Ayoub Laura Badalucco Joon Sang Baek Margarita Maria Baena Restrepo Ladan Bahmani Mark Bailey Nilanjana Bairagi Sharmistha Banerjee Anna Barbara Shahidha Bari Gabriele Barzilai Sanem Bayar Sandra Bedaf Andrea Benedetti **Estelle Berger** Renato Bernasconi Maresa Bertolo Elisa Bertolotti Massimo Bianchini **Tom Bieling** Arianna Bionda Mario Bisson Thea Blackler Johan Blomkvist **Spyros Bofylatos Elizabeth Boling** 

Natasha Bonnelame Sofie Boons Ambra Borin Alessandra Bosco Marc Boulianne Stephen Boyd Davis Mariana Fonseca Braga Antonio Erlindo Braga Jr. Frederico Braida Sabrina Bresciani Maria De Los Angeles Briones Rojas Stefana Broadbent Cara Broadley Camen Bruno **Miguel Bruns** Valeria Bucchetti Fabienne Bünzli Dorothea Burato Isadora Burmeister Dickie Sonia Cabral Matos Valentina Caiola Daniela Anna Calabi Barbara Camocini **Raquel Canaan** Alessandra Caroline Canfield Petrecca Marita Canina Silvia Cantalupi Elena Caratti Gianluca Carella Lawrence F. Caruana Marina Carulli Rossana Carullo Federica Caruso Jose Luis Casamayor Daria Casciani Magdalena Cattan Marta Elisa Cecchi Vanessa Cesário Sejal Changede Amy Chen Jing Chen **JiaYing Chew** Lin Chia-Hua **Tseng-Ping Chiu** Eun Ji Cho Hyungjun Cho Suji Choi Anirban Chowdhury Mariana Ciancia

Laura Cipriani Adriana Cobo Corey Alessandro Colizzi Silvia Colombo Alice Comi Matteo Conti Paola Cordera Elisa Cordero-Jahr Anna Cornaro Marta Corubolo Fiammetta Costa Vincenzo Cristallo Barbara de Oliveira Cruz Silvia D'Ambrosio Erminia D'Itria Doriana Dal Palù Manuel Damásio Bharati Das Amrita Datta Amalia de Götzen Juan Alfonso de la Rosa Paola De la Sotta Valentina De Matteo Annalinda De Rosa Ng Debbie Barbara Del Curto Mariagiovanna Di Iorio Chiara Di Lodovico Barbara Di Prete Cyriel Diels Francesca Dolcetti Dario Donetti Ana Paula Silveira dos Santos Dimitra Dritsa Wu Duan Palak Dudani Daniel Echeverri Duha Engawi Silvia Escursell Susan Evans Fabricio Farias Tarouco De Luca Federico Vaz Federico Cinzia Ferrara Ana Margarida Ferreira **Eleonora Fiore** Francesca Foglieni Francesca Fontana Elena Maria Formia Elsa Franco Franco Brian Franklin

Teresa Franqueira Zhiyong Fu Rossana Gaddi Rosendy Galabo Brenda García Parra Silvia Gasparotto Pamela Gatica Krity Gera Giulia Gerosa Valentina Gianfrate Lion Giovanni Nandhini Giri Leonardo Gómez Castillo Nilton Gonçalves Gamba Junior Sara Gonizzi Barsanti Susana Gonzaga Silvia Maria Gramegna Adriana Edith Granero Sandra Groll **Emily Groves** Francesco E. Guida Pelin Gultekin Simone Gumtau Weiwei Guo Marisela Gutierrez Lopez Julier Guy Young Ae Hahn Chris Hamamoto Eduardo Hamuy Kim Han-Jong Ammer Harb **Robert George Harland** Yasuyuki Hayama Leah Heiss Leigh-Anne Hepburn Lorenz Herfurth **Ricardo J Hernandez Clive Hilton** Elise Hodson Stefan Holmlid Fei Hu Jun Hu Nan Hu Li-Ting Huang Huang Huang Yan Karl Hurn Gordon Hush Claudia Huxtable SunMin May Hwang Kyung Hoon Hyun Mulder Ingrid

Farina Isabel Yoshimune Ishikawa Mattia Italia Ruben Jacob-Dazarola Shiva Ji Yan Jin Michael Pierre Johnson **Eui-Chul Jung** Sabine Junginger Esther Kang Heimin Kang Takeo Kato Janet Kelly Sebastian Kernbach Danish Khan Ahmee Kim Chajoong Kim **Chorong Kim** Dongwhan Kim Kyulee Kim Miso Kim Yujin KIM Sara Klohn Reader Nobuyuki Kobayashi **Cuiting Kong** Yoori Koo Chirumalla Koteshwar Lia Krucken **Gwendolyn Kulick** Hyosun Kwon Yun Kyungwon Luiz Lagares Izidio Anna Maria Lambri Silke Lange Carla Langella Lorna Lares Meile Le Minh-Nguyet Le Chiara Lecce Brian Yu Hin Lee Changyeob Lee Jieun Lee Jung-Joo Lee Kyung-Ryong Lee Kyungho Lee Minha Lee Sangsu Lee Sungeun Lee Sunok Lee Zune Lee Miikka J. Lehtonen

Francesco Leoni **Beatrice Lerma** Mortara Letizia Melanie Levick-Parkin Honghai Li Zihan Li Vittorio Linfante **Baisong** Liu Fang Liu Helene Liu Long Liu Wei Liu Simon Lockrey Leon LOH **Richard Lombard** Emilio Lonardo **Teresa Lopes** Imbesi Lorenzo **Dirk Loyens** Catalina Lovola Min-Yuan Ma Shuhao Ma Yuemei Ma Valerie Mace Suzanne MacLeod Adriano Magliocco Umair Shafqat Malik Andrea Manciaracina Anastasios Maragiannis Antonio Marano Bertoni Marco Unanue Mariane Ilaria Mariani Victoria Marshall Patrizia Marti Rodrigo Martin-Iglesias Mazzarello Martina Mauro Martino Marco Mason Claudia Mastrantoni Sandra Mathey García-Rada Francesca Mattioli Markus Mau Anna Mazzanti Marianne McAra Erin McAuliffe G. Mauricio Mejía Michele Melazzini Maura Mengoni **Coral Michelin** 

Reejy Atef Abdelatty Mikhail

Kyungbo Min Keita Mitomi Tomohide Mizuuchi Fahim Mohammadi Vanessa Monna Carolina Montoya Rodríguez Lee Moonhwan **Brian Morgan Carole Morrison** Margherita Motta Martina Motta Najla Mouchrek Louise Mullagh Mia Münster Francesca Murialdo **Ki-Young NAM** Attilio Nebuloni Oscar Nespoli **Minqing Ni** Morelli Nicola Christiaan Nieman Janssen Nithikul Nimkulrat Valentina Nisi Sophia Njeru Murteza Noor **Renee Noortman Raquel Noronha** Christine O'Dell Pedro Oliveira Takuya Onishi Doenja Oogjes Bryan Orthel Yunyu Ouyang Siiri Paananen Stefania Palmieri **Berto Pandolfo** Pierri Paola Stefano Parisi Hyoung-June Park Hyunyim (Shera) Park Jaewan Park Shera Hyunyim Park Young-Woo Park Seungho Park-Lee Leonardo Parra Agudelo Irene Pasina Anke Pasold Luciana Pastor Milica Pavlovic Xue Pei **Emanuele Pellegrini** 

Barak Pelman Paolo Perego Bruno Perelli **David Perez** Nancy Perlman Daniela Petrelli Elena Peverada Francesca Piredda Sofia Poblete Alessandro Pollini Barbara Pollini Lubomir Popov Vesna Popovic Patrick Pradel Catia Prandi Alison Prendiville Zoe Prosser Yue Qiu Alexandra Raeva Alejandro Ramírez Lozano Charlie Ranscombe Vivek Rao Lucia Ratti Agnese Rebaglio Price Rebecca Steffen Reiter Michael Renner Dina Riccò Valentina Rognoli Alessia Romani Weihan Rong Maiara Rosa Cencic Emilio Rossi Qassim Saad Nicole Sacchetti Noemi Sadowska Juan Salamanca Lara Salinas Seo Sang-Duck **Carlos Santos** Chiara Scarpitti Scott Schmidt Martina Sciannamè Peter Scupelli Sabrina Scuri Carla Sedini Juhri Selamet Daniela Selloni Andi Setiawan Bahareh Shahri Xiaolin Shen

Xin Shen **Yvette Shen** Chi-Fei Shih Moe Shimomura Jorge Sierra-Pérez Wouter Sluis-Thiescheffer Wina Smeenk Silvia Soares **Bjorn Sommer** Jun Soojin **Omar Sosa-Tzec** Álvaro Sousa Pedro Soza Ruiz Carlo Emilio Standoli **Michael Stead Tim Stephens** Hang Su Suahg Su Qian Sun Irina Suteu Aleksandra Sviridova Kim Taesun Anna Talley Zhengyu Tan Kelly Tang Shen Tao Yuki Taoka Virginia Tassinari Fabio Andres Tellez Jan Tepe Susanna Testa James Thorp Pieter Tilroe Gabriella Tisza Cyril Tjahja Danielle Tran Paola Maria Trapani Viviana Trapani Lorena Trebbi Kate Tregloan Nina Trivedi Konstantinos Tsiakas Elena Vai Sander Valk Anna Vallgårda Francesca Valsecchi Roy van den Heuvel Nansi Van Geetsom Koen van Turnhout Angelica Vandi **Philippe Vanrie** 

Santanu Vasant Giasemi Vavoula Francesco Vergani José Vicente Melania Vicentini **Kelly Walters** Qi Wang Wujun Wang Xinyi Wang Zixuan Wang Andrea Wechsler Huaxin Wei Lai Wei **Amy Winters** Jiayu Wu Yichen Wu Yiying Wu Nan Xia Yumeng Xie Long Xu Shencheng XU Toshimasa Yamanaka Hideyoshi Yanagisawa Aria Yang Ya-chun Yang Zhi Yang Xinhui Ye Zaigiao Ye Tse-Yen Yeh Zang Yingchun JungKyoon Yoon **HoYoung Youn** Luwen Yu Gahui Yun Ilma Yusrina Mariana Zafeirakopoulos Feiran Zhang Jun Zhang Yi Zhang Chao Zhao Yang Zhao **Bing Zheng** Ling Zheng Wengi Zheng Jiwei Zhou Marco Zilvetti Lee Zune Henfeng Zuo

# The Tenth IASDR congress. An Introductory Address from the IASDR Board

IASDR 2023 is the 10th biennial congress of IASDR, and the first to take place after the crisis of COVID-19. With this congress we re-confirm the importance of discussion and debate for the network of researchers in design, as well as the importance of developing younger researchers for the future of the Association.

The International Association for Societies of Design Research (IASDR) was established in 2005 through a collaboration of four academic societies: Chinese Institute of Design (CID), the Design Research Society (DRS), Korean Society for Design Science (KSDS) and Japanese Society for the Science of Design (JSSD).

The history of international collaboration in Design Research in the Asian region can be traced back to 1996 when JSSD organized the first Japan-China Industrial Design Symposium which was hosted by Beihang University in Beijing, 1996. This started a series of international conferences in design research known as the Asian Design Conference. Conferences took place in 1997 (Daejeon, Korea at KAIST), 1998 (Taichung, Taiwan at National Taichung University of Science and Technology), 1999 (Nagaoka, Japan at Nagaoka University of Technology), 2001 (Seoul by National Seoul University), and 2003 (Tsukuba, Japan at Tsukuba International Congress Center). At the 2003 congress – the 6th Asian Design Conference – the three Asian academic societies agreed to welcome the Design Research Society into a new association.

We thus begun the International Association of Societies of Design Research for the field of design research in 2005, in Taiwan. Since that time, we have enhanced the network of researchers and fields of design research and promoted design research education. We will continue to build this incomparable network of design research as we move towards our 2025 congress, at Tapei, Taiwan.

Our deepest thanks go to Luisa Collina, and the entire Politecnico Milano team who have worked so hard, as hosts for IASDR2023, to ensure its success. Your leadership throughout the process has been excellent and we think the result will be much appreciated by the IASDR design research community.

Toshimasa Yamanaka President

On behalf of the IASDR Board Peter Lloyd Tek-Jin Nam Fong-Gong Wu Lin-Lin Chen Byung-Keun Oh Rebecca Cain Kenta Ono

# Life-Changing Design. Introduction to the Tenth IASDR congress

The International Association of Societies of Design Research (IASDR) has long been at the forefront of advancing design research, providing an international platform for researchers, scholars, and practitioners to engage in robust discussions, share insights, and explore the ever-evolving landscape of design research. IASDR 2023, the association's 10th Congress, stands as a pivotal juncture in the trajectory of design research, offering a comprehensive perspective on its current state while charting its future directions.

Over the past decade, design research has witnessed a remarkable transformation. From its roots in aesthetic considerations and form-centric approaches, design research has evolved into a multifaceted discipline, extending its influence beyond traditional boundaries. Contemporary design literature now encompasses a wide array of facets, each addressing critical aspects of design's impact on diverse domains, including organisational culture, public policies, product development, and the creation of immersive spaces, services and systems. This transformation underscores the dynamic nature of design research, as it continuously adapts to our society's changing demands and challenges.

The central theme of IASDR 2023, "Life-Changing Design", resonates profoundly in the wake of global events, particularly the unprecedented disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. This theme invites us to reflect on the profound transformations that have unfolded and continue to reshape our world. The pandemic has brought to the forefront questions about the role of design in navigating these changes, challenging us to explore how design can facilitate adaptation, resilience, and innovation in a rapidly changing world.

IASDR 2023 has been organised and host by Politecnico di Milano, where design keeps strong roots in the made in Italy tradition and where at the same time design opens up to the new territories of design research and to the new trajectories of innovation.

IASDR 2023 encompasses an array of thematic tracks, each dedicated to exploring critical dimensions of design research. These tracks serve as focal points for discussions and investigations, providing a framework for researchers to delve into specific areas of interest.

The following thematic tracks guide our exploration:

#### [Changing] Organizations and Policies

This track examines the transformative potential of design in the realm of public sector organisations and policies. It aims to foster social justice and sustainability by challenging traditional notions of prosperity. Researchers investigate how design equips itself with tools, methods, and frameworks to support systemic transformation, thereby promoting well-being and addressing complex societal challenges.

#### [Changing] Products and Production

This track focuses on the transformation of manufacturing processes and their impact on products and

systems. It explores the proliferation of digital fabrication and digital craft, analysing their potential to revolutionise product development, sustainability, and business models. Researchers delve into how design can envision emerging materials, artefacts, and future scenarios from a sustainable perspective.

#### **Identities and [Changing] Identities**

Cultural identities and their evolution in an increasingly multicultural world take center stage in this track. Researchers delve into the roots of design's influence on identity, considering factors such as authorial identities, identity hegemony, and the implications of design on gender, class, and religion. Additionally, this track explores the role of design in translation processes, which involve revising systems, tools, and programs for communicating and preserving identity.

#### [Changing] Ecosystems

Addressing the imperative transition toward sustainability, this track examines how design contributes to the socio-ethical and economic dimensions of sustainability. It explores design for sustainable materials, energy, business models, and transitions, focusing on fostering positive environmental and social change.

#### [Changing] Communities

Community empowerment and sustainable behavioural change through design interventions are central to this track. Researchers investigate how design can enhance collaborative processes, co-design knowledge, and tools while addressing urgent public interest issues. The track emphasises shared decision-making, democratic participation, and the evolving roles of individuals, communities, and entities in supporting systemic transitions.

#### [Changing] Education

This track reflects on the evolving landscape of design education, recognising the complexities and challenges inherent in this domain. Researchers explore the inspirations for change in design education, the transformations it engenders, and the existing gaps and issues. This track seeks to foster clarity, identity, and adaptability in designing educational goals while embracing diversity and differentiation.

#### [Changing] Spaces and Services

Integrating spatial and service design to create innovative living environments and services is the central concern of this track. It explores how design interventions across various scales, from micro to macro, can drive transformative actions, enhance public participation, and guarantee inclusivity and diversity in service offerings.

#### [Changing] Interactions

The dynamic interplay between technology, social changes, and design forms the core of this track. Researchers investigate how digital technologies, augmented reality, virtual reality, and mixed environments impact interactions, communities, processes, and professions. This track emphasises the role of Interaction Design in shaping technology-based innovations responsive to social and contextual changes.

#### [Changing] Heritage

Preserving and reinterpreting cultural heritage in the face of global change is the central focus of this track. Researchers explore how design research can offer novel approaches to knowledge preservation and cultural experiences related to tangible and intangible heritage. This track seeks to activate participation dynamics that reintegrate relevant portions of cultural heritage excluded from current development paradigms.

IASDR 2023, with its overarching theme of "Life-Changing Design" and its diverse thematic tracks, presents an exceptional opportunity for researchers, scholars, and practitioners to engage with the dynamic landscape of design research. The conference serves as a platform for robust discussions, knowledge sharing, and the exploration of innovative solutions to society's complex challenges.

By examining these thematic tracks and their intersection with the central theme, "Life-Changing Design," we aim to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding design research and its transformative potential, fostering a deeper understanding of design's role in shaping our world.

Luisa Collina Alessandro Deserti Francesco Zurlo



International Association of Societies of Design Research Congress 2023 LIFE-CHANGING DESIGN

Politecnico di Milano 9-13 October 2023

# Pictorials in Design Research. A Comprehensive Analysis of IASDR 2023 Contributions

Quaggiotto, Marco\*<sup>a</sup>; Tolino, Umberto<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy

\* marco.quaggiotto@polimi.it

doi.org/10.21606/iasdr.2023.889

In the context of scholarly publication, Pictorials represent a valuable approach to knowledge dissemination, particularly in design, HCI, and related disciplines. These academic contributions elevate visual elements to central roles in scholarly communication, facilitating a holistic understanding of research findings. They provide a setting where visual elements, including diagrams, sketches, photographs, and illustrations, take center stage, fostering innovative ways of communicating research. They also offer an alternative framework for sharing complex concepts that resist traditional textual transcription. This shift acknowledges the cognitive significance of visual representations and the limitations of text-centric formats. In this contribution we analyze Pictorials submitted to IASDR 2023 in terms of recurring themes, visual elements, relationship between visuals and text, communication functions, narrative structure, and visual quality. We find a wide variety of approaches, with some achieving seamless integration while others remain somewhat disjointed. Common composition strategies include annotated images, text-rich diagrams, and captions. Narrative structures within Pictorials include sequences of images, storyboards, juxtapositions, and complex formats, each serving unique purposes in conveying research findings. The analysis culminates in the development of a set of comprehensive categories, which discerningly classifies Pictorials into distinct typologies: informationdriven, narrative-driven, informative, and technical or scientific, reflecting diverse design and communication goals. In conclusion, Pictorials celebrate diversity in expression and the integration of visual and textual communication, transforming how knowledge is shared.

Keywords: pictorial; knowledge dissemination; visual design; scholarly publications



#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 A working definition

In the context of scholarly publishing, particularly in the fields of design, HCI, and related disciplines, the term Pictorials typically refers to a category of scholarly work in which visual elements play a central role in the communication of scholarly contributions (*Papers and Pictorials, DIS2022*; Pictorials, TEI 2021; *Pictorials, Creativity & Cognition 2021*). In this visually rich format, various forms of visual elements such as field notes, photographs, sketches, diagrams, screenshots, illustrations, renderings, and artistic creations play a role that is as important (if not more) than the text that accompanies them, allowing for a variety of different perspectives. Pictorials, in other words, offer an alternative framework for knowledge dissemination that harnesses the expressive potential of visual means to communicate concepts that may not be well suited to more traditional textual transcription. By offering a medium in which images are not mere supplements but central components of intellectual discourse, Pictorials encourage a broader and more engaging approach to knowledge sharing, providing design and related disciplines with a scholarly format that encourages an innovative use of visual communication to redefine the contours of knowledge transmission within the design research landscape (Blevis et al., 2015).

In some ways, Pictorials represent a reclamation of the visual within the academic fabric: they provide a communicative tool that allows for the sharing of potentially complex design research findings, merging intellectual rigor with a sensory experience, and providing a richer set of communication tools for researchers who wish to share concepts, contexts, or experiences that are deeply rooted in visual practices. The gradual rise of Pictorials to the same level as full papers in terms of production standards, review procedures, and academic relevance marks a transition to more innovative ways of communicating research and a progressive departure from conventional norms, opening doors to a variety of expressive formats to foster a richer understanding of scholarly contributions.

#### 1.2 Visual Communication in Scholarly Publishing

In the history of scientific thought and academic discourse, the heritage of visual communication is well documented. Images have historically been employed to articulate complex scientific principles, to document empirical observations, and to explore, study, and communicate complex ideas, with examples ranging from the meticulously illustrated manuscripts of the Middle Ages to the anatomical drawings of the Renaissance (Ford, 1993). The human cognitive system, with its roots in sensory experience, relies as much on visual representation as it does on logical, analytical thought, an research has explored the influence of various forms of visual thinking on distinct aspects of learning (Berry and Chew, 2008; Dexter and Hughes, 2011; Nesbit and Adesope, 2006) and the role of visual thinking processes in supporting information communication (Chabris and Kosslyn, 2005; Tufte, 2006).

However, while the practice of scientific research is heavily influenced by visual tools and methods, conventional academic publishing has predominantly employed text-centric formats, prioritizing textual expression over the use of visual elements, which are often relegated to a subordinate role (Jessica Barness & Amy Papaelias, 2021).

Traditional scientific publications, while invaluable to the advancement of knowledge, face the inherent self-imposed limitations associated with their primary reliance on linear text as a

communication medium (Chiriboga, 2019). This is particularly true in fields such as design, humancomputer interaction (HCI), and related areas, where the complex interplay of interactive interfaces, the subtle aspects of user experience, and the physical manifestations of design processes can be difficult to fully explain through writing alone (Cross, 2003).

Scholarly communication has, however, undergone a significant transformation in the digital age. In the countless changes brought about by this shift, written communication is gradually loosening its hegemony, and an environment ripe for the re-evaluation of alternative formats is emerging. In this landscape, the potential of images has regained prominence, particularly in fields that prioritize experiential and aesthetic aspects as central components of their research paradigms, especially in the field of design research.

In this context, visual communication occupies a unique position at the intersection of creativity and information exchange with a dualistic nature: on the one hand, visuals possess an eloquence that transcends linguistic barriers, enabling them to evoke emotions, encapsulate sensory experiences, and convey nuances that often elude textual articulation. On the other hand, in the context of scholarly discourse, images require deliberate curation, as the intrinsic eloquence of visual representation must be carefully balanced against the need for precision and coherence. Visual representation emerges as an interpretive act that not only requires attention to the representative dimension, but also a nuanced understanding of the intricacies of the subject matter .

In this modern environment, Pictorials present themselves not only as a categorical distinction, but rather as a profound reimagining of the scholar's expressive tools, where a convergence of historical antecedents, digital innovations, and the intrinsic capacity of images to communicate complex phenomena has led to an intellectual context in which the visual is accorded new scholarly significance. In this vein, Pictorials represent both a revival and an evolution: they reflect the potential for visuals to enrich academic discourse, while also calling for a reconfiguration of our understanding of scholarly representation in the digital age.

By highlighting visual elements such as field notes, sketches, diagrams, and screenshots, pictorials act as a bridge, creating a dynamic space that reframes the distinct advantages of both visual communication and academic dissemination. Positioned at the intersection of media theory, design studies, and academic publishing, pictorials reflect a change in the manner in which knowledge is not solely conveyed, but also encountered.

By emphasizing the visual, Pictorials engage with an alternative epistemology that recognizes the power of images to elicit emotions, evoke contexts, and convey intricate narratives that may exceed traditional textual articulation. The field of Design, which emphasizes the sensory experience, recognizes the need for new methods of communication able to provide a unique way to express the embodiment aspect of design knowledge, communicating the implicit knowledge inherent to the design process, thereby fostering a deeper comprehension of the subject matter.

#### 1.3 Objectives

Given this context, in this paper, we analyze the range of images presented at IASDR 2023, with the goal of developing a deeper understanding of the current use of such a communication format, its potential, and its limitations. In this study, our aim is to examine how authors leverage the creative

flexibility afforded by the pictorial format to communicate their research findings, and to analyze the ways in which authors use this visual medium to enhance the communication of scientific knowledge.

The aim is to assess the prevalence and importance of different visual elements (diagrams, infographics, illustrations, photographs, etc.), their relation to the textual content, and their overall narrative. Through this analysis, we hope to gain a better understanding of the relationship between the nature and content of scientific papers and the visual structures used to convey them. Additionally, we will attempt to establish whether different visual logics emerge within the realm of academic pictorials and, if so, whether they bear any intrinsic relationship to the content of the papers. Lastly, we will attempt to discuss some of the limitations observed in pictorial submissions and engage in a reflective discussion of the underlying reasons that contribute to these limitations. By critically examining the challenges and constraints authors face in effectively utilizing visual elements, we hope to shed light on potential areas for improvement in the guidelines provided by scholarly publishing platforms.

Through these objectives, we aim to make a focused contribution to the ongoing discourse related to the role of visuals in academic communication and to provide insights into the evolving landscape of scholarly publishing.

#### 2 Methodology

In order to explore patterns and typologies used in design research in the context of pictorials, this paper uses a mixed-methods approach to analyze a dataset consisting of all pictorials submitted to IASDR 23. The methodology includes five key dimensions, each of which aims to provide a different perspective on the use of pictorials.

- Visual elements: This dimension involves the categorization of the various visual components present in the pictorials. The goal is to identify the prevalence and importance of various visual aids, such as diagrams, photographs, screenshots, and more. This categorization facilitates the identification of trends in the selection and use of visual representations in the context of design and research presentations.
- **Relationship to Text:** Within this dimension, we explore the intricate relationship between visuals and textual content. The analysis seeks to determine the extent to which visuals complement or augment textual information, and whether they play a primary or secondary role in conveying the intended message. By exploring this dimension, we gain insight into how designers and researchers balance the integration of text and visuals to effectively communicate their ideas.
- Communication function: Within this dimension, we focus on identifying communication objectives. The analysis seeks to identify the core purposes served by the visuals within the presentation: whether the visuals are primarily used to illustrate concepts, showcase prototypes, illustrate research tools, or achieve other communication goals, this analysis reveals the primary functions of the visuals and their contribution to communicating complex design and research concepts.

- Narrative Structure: This dimension examines how visuals work with textual elements to construct the overall narrative of the presentation. It assesses whether visuals are used sequentially to depict temporal progressions or stories, or whether they are used in fragmented ways, such as juxtapositions for comparative purposes or as collections of detailed examples. Analyzing narrative structure reveals how visuals are woven into the storytelling process.
- Graphic Quality: This dimension aims to assess the overall craftsmanship of graphic elements, including images, charts, graphs, and illustrations. By examining graphic quality, we seek to determine the level of clarity, detail, and professionalism demonstrated in these visuals. This analysis helps to identify potential improvements in the graphical presentation of research findings or design concepts.

By examining the data along these dimensions and correlating it with the analysis of the topics discussed in the papers, we can gain meaningful insights into prevalent approaches, emerging trends, and variations in the visual communication strategies employed by designers and researchers. These learnings allow for a deeper understanding of evolving practices and preferences in the field, thereby fostering a better comprehension of the crucial role of visuals in the communication of design and research concepts. Furthermore, this research helps to inform the development of best practices for effective visual communication in both academic and professional contexts, ultimately enhancing the quality and impact of visual presentations at conferences such as IASDR.

Although the potentials of this analysis are exciting, we acknowledge the potential limitations of qualitative interpretation and the complexity of integrating heterogeneous data. As a result, we recommend that these findings be interpreted as an initial exploration of a limited sample of contributions, which nevertheless holds the potential to enrich our understanding of the field.

#### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Recurring topics

Analysing specific themes and keywords frequently used within the contributions, the potential for change and transformation that is inherent in contemporary design processes emerges. Eliminating the word design used as a single and separate word, concepts such as prototyping, speculative design, interaction design and community involvement continue to prove the central role that design and design practices play in reshaping social paradigms, human interactions and the structures of our living environments.

Considering the Pictorials track as an opportunity to visually display and argue research reflections, processes and solutions, the recurring presence of the word prototyping underlines the ability of design methods to manifest abstract ideas into tangible solutions. The Pictorial form was effectively applied in most contributions to visualise and explicate research models in diagrams and schemes or to display results in figures, renderings, mock-ups or photographs (Fernández-Fontecha et al., 2019).

Through the approach of speculative design (Dunne & Raby, 2013) this analysis is identified as a collection of contributions that stimulate critical reflection and dialogue on pressing issues through

emotional and cognitive visualisations, fostering user empathy and facilitates the understanding of written contents (Hegarty et al., 1991).

The nature of the interaction design discipline, rooted in the principles of user-centredness, also sees the visual dimension as fundamental for the argumentation of its design process (Dahl et al. 2001). Both user research and the various forms of visualising user paths, to the point of designing and prototyping interfaces, were the subject of the manifold contributions that leverages this specific format to allow greater level of detail and comprehension.

Finally, the recurring theme of community involvement underlines the central role of design in promoting participatory and inclusive research activities. Design can go beyond the mere transactional nature of finished objects and spaces, extending into shared experiences and participatory development processes. In this perspective, it becomes an agent of social transformation. Applying participatory design, the contributions show how the collective design and use of notational toolkits and systems useful for field research can increase the users' sense of belonging with the final outcome of collaborative investigation.

From a scientific point of view, these recurring themes and keywords collectively underline the evolving landscape of research through design (Frayling, 1993), emphasising its interdisciplinary nature, its strength in considering ethics and suggest the impact it may have on society. It is also evident how certain themes related to the visualisation of processes and outcomes are particularly suited to the communicative form of Pictorial. They encourage the authors to adopt the storytelling potential that this format allows more intensely in the future.

#### 3.2 Visual elements

A rich variety of visual elements, skillfully woven into complex visual structures, is evident in the pictorial publications analyzed. Rarely confined to a single typology, such publications frequently employ a seamless blend of three to four different visual components. This deliberate combination of elements, including photographs, diagrams, illustrations, and charts, not only enhances the overall visual appeal, but also facilitates a more nuanced and comprehensive transmission of information. It highlights a deliberate effort to engage and inform audiences through well-rounded and visually rich presentations that extend beyond the limitations of a single visual format.

Some of the most common visual elements in the submissions under review are:

- **Photos of prototypes:** These are photographs of physical or digital prototypes of a product or design. They are used to show the physical representation of an idea or concept.
- **Diagrams:** Diagrams are visual representations that use symbols, shapes, and lines to convey information or relationships between elements. They are often used to simplify a complex concept or process.
- **Illustrations:** Illustrations are hand-drawn or digitally generated images that provide a representation of concepts, ideas, or objects related to the project.
- Photos of scenarios: Scenario photos depict real-life situations or scenarios relevant to the project. For example, if the author is presenting a kitchen appliance, photos of it in a kitchen would be scenario photos.

- **3D Renders:** These are computer-generated images that represent three-dimensional objects or scenes. They are often used in product design and architectural presentations.
- **Process photos:** These photos document various stages of the design or research process. They can include images of team members at work, materials being used, or equipment being set up.
- **Reference Images:** Reference images are visuals used for inspiration or as a point of reference in the design or research process. They can include images of similar products, styles, or historical references.
- **Screenshots:** Screenshots are images of computer screens or digital interfaces. They are valuable for showing software interfaces, web designs, or other digital aspects of a project.
- **Charts:** Charts are graphical representations of data or statistics. They help present quantitative information in a visual and easy-to-understand format. They can include bar charts, histograms, graphs, time lines, etc.
- **Notes/Sketches:** These are images of handwritten or digital notes and sketches related to the project. They can include brainstorming sessions, rough ideas, or preliminary drawings.
- **Maps:** Maps are graphical representations of geographic locations or spatial data. They can be used to show geographic aspects relevant to the project, such as location-based research or design considerations.

#### 3.3 Relationship with text

The analysis of pictorial publications reveals a variety of approaches to establishing connections between visual elements and textual content. While the strategies for this integration are varied and functional, it is noteworthy that in most cases the primary text and accompanying visual components appear somewhat disjoined, except for their relative placement within the publication. Only in exceptional cases do we encounter examples where the images and text are deeply interwoven.

Among the relationships identified between textual and visual components, some of the most common include:

- Annotated photos: Annotated photos are images with text annotations or labels added to provide additional context, explanation, or information about specific elements within the image.
- Annotated Diagrams: Annotated diagrams are visual representations that include explanatory text or labels to help the audience understand the components or concepts depicted in the diagram.
- **Text-rich diagrams:** Text-rich diagrams are visual representations that rely heavily on textual elements to convey information or concepts. These diagrams may include detailed labels, descriptions, or explanations within the visual.

- **Captions:** Captions are short, descriptive pieces of text typically placed below or next to images to provide context, explanation, or additional information related to the image content.
- Complex image-text composites: Complex image-text composites are combinations of text and images that work together to convey complex or detailed information, such as cards or tables. These compositions often require the audience to interpret both text and images to fully understand the message.
- **Fully integrated visual elements:** Fully integrated visual elements refer to the seamless blending of images, charts, or other visual elements with the written content of the image to create a single, cohesive presentation.

#### 3.4 Communicative functions

Visual elements in the analyzed publications cover a wide range of communicative functions. Their versatility allows them to serve different purposes, from explaining the structure and function of objects to explaining complex design processes and concepts. They can showcase prototypes, highlight design results, or even function as primary results themselves in fields such as visual and communication design.

Among the communicative functions identified, the most important are:

- Explaining the structure/function of an object: In this context, visuals are used to convey information about the structure and function of an object, product, or system. They may include diagrams, schematics, or illustrations that provide a clear understanding of how the object works or is organized.
- **Explain the design process:** Visuals can be used to walk the audience through the design process, showing the various steps and decisions made during the creation of a product or system. This could include flowcharts, timelines, or process diagrams.
- **Explain the concept:** Visuals used to explain concepts aim to make abstract ideas or theories more tangible and understandable. These visuals can include metaphors, analogies, or graphical representations to simplify complex concepts.
- Showing the prototype: Visuals are used to present physical or digital prototypes of a product or design. This allows the audience to see a tangible representation of the intended final product, even if it's not fully developed.
- **Explaining design results:** Design results explanation visuals show the results of a particular design project. This could include data visualizations, graphs, or before-and-after comparisons to show the impact of the design.
- **Showing Interactions:** Visuals can be used to demonstrate how different elements interact with each other within a system or design. This might involve animations, simulations, or user interface mockups to illustrate user interactions.

- **Explaining Methodology:** Visuals can be used to clarify the research or design methodology employed in a project. This could include flowcharts, diagrams, or infographics that outline the steps taken in the research or design process.
- **Explaining Research Tools:** Visuals used for explaining research tools provide insights into the tools, instruments, or software used in a research or design project. This helps the audience understand the technology or resources behind the work.

In addition to these functions, in the case of contributions related to fields such as visual communication or interface design, the visuals may be themselves intended as the outcome or primary results of a project, and not a mere representation of the results. This may include studies of visual languages, visual depictions, notational systems, and so on.

#### 3.5 Narrative structure

With respect to the images submitted, visual presentations not only use a variety of visual elements, but also construct visual narratives by combining and arranging them in ways effectively able to convey the research contents. These diverse visual elements convey sequential narratives through temporal progressions or storyboards; they create juxtapositions for comparative purposes; they adapt complex and unique formats such as screenplays or maps; or serve as collections of individual images to highlight details, features, or examples, all skillfully woven into the storytelling process.

The following narrative structures emerge in the analyzed entries:

- Sequences of images (temporal development): In this narrative structure, visuals are used in sequence to depict a temporal progression. This means that the visuals are arranged to show a chronological order of events or changes over time. This can be particularly useful for presentations or storytelling that involve historical timelines, processes, or any subject matter that unfolds over a period of time.
- **Storyboards:** This narrative structure involves using visuals in a sequence to create a story or narrative arc. Often accompanied by textual contents, or annotations, each visual represents a specific moment or frame in the story.
- Juxtaposition of images: In this structure, visuals are placed next to each other or in close proximity for comparison purposes. The juxtaposition allows the audience to draw connections or contrasts between the visuals. This can be used to highlight differences, similarities, or relationships between different elements within the presentation.
- **Complex formats:** Visuals are structured in complex combinations of textual and visual elements, organized in specific formats such as cards, scripts, diagrams, forms, etc.
- **Collection of images:** Visuals are grouped together to provide a comprehensive view of specific details, features, or examples related to the topic.

#### 3.6 Emerging typologies

From the evaluation of the selected criteria, recurrences of values emerge in the context of pictorials that seem to identify some proto-typological forms of communication that display a consistency in the utilization of visual elements, visual structure, and communicative functions, highlighting a tendency

of certain visual representations to align with specific characteristics and intended purposes. However, while this emergence is fairly consistent, it is crucial to emphasize that these categories are not rigidly demarcated from one another and instead, they exhibit a notable fluidity, with elements of overlap and interplay. This fluidity underscores the dynamic nature of visual communication, where boundaries between categories are permeable, and the potential for creative synthesis and reinterpretation is apparent. In light of this analysis, we can identified five main typological forms, each displaying its own unique characteristics and functions:

#### **Information-Driven Pictorials**

These pictorials prioritize the effective communication of data and information. They excel in aspects such as graph quality, visual hierarchy, and readability of information.

Recurring visual elements: Charts, Diagrams, Screenshots Recurring relationships with text: Annotated Diagrams, Text-rich diagrams Recurring communicative functions: Explaining the structure/function, Explaining the design process Recurring narrative structures: Sequences of images, Complex formats

#### **Narrative Pictorials**

Narrative-driven pictorials emphasize visual storytelling. They are strong in composition, visual and content relationship, and creating a coherent narrative flow.

Recurring Visual elements: Photos of scenarios, Illustrations, Storyboards Recurring Relationship with text: Complex image-text composites, Fully integrated visual elements Recurring Communicative functions: Showing the prototype, Showing Interactions Recurring Narrative structure: Sequences of images, Storyboards, Juxtaposition of images

#### **Informative Pictorials**

Informative pictorials are geared toward presenting information for enhancing understanding. They employ visual elements that eliminate ambiguity and enhance comprehension.

Recurring Visual elements: Diagrams, Charts, Notes/Sketches Recurring Relationship with text: Annotated photos, Annotated Diagrams, Captions Recurring Communicative functions: Explain the design process, Explaining design results, Explaining Methodology

Recurring Narrative structure: Complex formats, Collection of images

#### **Technical or Scientific Pictorials**

Pictorials in this category focus on maintaining graphical integrity and accuracy. They are characterized by high-quality graphs, charts, and diagrams and are commonly found in scientific publications.

Recurring Visual elements: Charts, Diagrams, Reference Images Recurring Relationship with text: Captions Recurring Communicative functions: Explaining the structure/function of an object Recurring Narrative structure: Complex formats

While it's clear that each category is characterized by its own affinities for visual elements, visual structures, and communicative functions, the boundaries between specific categories are exceptionally blurred, and we celebrate this fluidity as a sign of the dynamic nature of visual

storytelling and the adaptability of designers and communicators in using different elements to effectively convey their messages. Ultimately, while typologies provide useful frameworks, they should not suppress the innovation that can emerge from a design's intersections and overlaps.

#### 4 Conclusions

In the field of design research, the integration of textual visual elements is fundamental to the communication of complex ideas and findings. This paper has undertaken a comprehensive analysis of pictorial submissions across five parameters: the nature of visual elements, their relationship to textual content, communicative functions, narrative structures and visual quality. While the overall quality of the submissions is high and demonstrates a very good control of the medium, it may be useful to discuss some limitations.

First, the quality of visual elements is a key concern. Authors are often limited by a lack of access to high-quality equipment or expertise in creating professional-quality visuals. This can result in visuals that do not effectively convey the intended message, limiting their communicative potential.

Accessibility and inclusivity are additional dimensions of limitation. Image submissions can inadvertently exclude readers with disabilities if visuals are not designed with accessibility in mind. Addressing this limitation requires increased awareness of accessibility requirements and tools.

Interpretation and clarity are important aspects to consider when submitting visuals, so authors must strive for clarity in the design of their visuals and provide clear guidance to the reader. While visuals provide a rich communication channel, they may also have issues in conveying the intended message or may be susceptible to multiple interpretations, potentially leading to confusion among readers.

A well-executed pictorial goes beyond simply increasing the number of images in a research paper; it focuses on achieving a seamless integration of visualizations and text. This integration aims for a holistic approach, where visual elements and textual content complement and enhance each other. The result is a more comprehensive and synergistic presentation of the research findings, ensuring that both visuals and text work together to convey a deeper and richer understanding of the subject matter.

#### 4.1 Recommendations for designing Pictorials

In the context of IASDR 23, the intent behind the Pictorial call was to foster innovation and diverse perspectives at the intersection of visual communication and knowledge dissemination. While a word template for the pictorial track was thoughtfully provided, authors were accorded the freedom to exercise their creativity in developing the visual style and storytelling of their contributions. In line with the scope of the IASDR conference, the track encouraged the presentation of multifaceted research findings as well as reflection on the dynamic and evolving nature of visual communication within the scientific community. Based on an exhaustive examination of the limited literature available on the subject of pictorials and neighbouring body of knowledge on visual communication, in conjunction with the results obtained, we have synthesised a minimal set of recommendations that can be useful to researchers willing to experiment with visual communication formats.

#### **Content development**

- Content structure: focus on organising content logically, using visual components to enhance the flow of ideas.
- Visual-content relation: ensure coherence and alignment between visual elements and textual content for a seamless integration that reinforces understanding.

#### **Composition structure**

- Thoughtful composition: prioritise the arrangement and organisation of visual elements for a visually pleasing and coherent presentation.
- Visual hierarchy: create a clear hierarchy to communicate importance and relationships effectively.
- Visual consistency: maintain a consistent design language aligned with your research paper's aesthetic.

#### Visual design

- Strategic use of colour and contrast: employ colours and contrast effectively to highlight important information.
- Selected typography: choose fonts, sizes, and styles that enhance text readability and complement visual elements.
- Scale and proportion: maintain appropriate sizes and proportional relationships among visual elements.
- Effective use of symbols and icons: use symbols and icons judiciously to convey meaning and simplify complex information.
- Graphical integrity: maintain the accuracy and credibility of graphical representations, such as graphs, charts, and diagrams.

Overall, these clusters provide a structured approach for authors and designers to consider various aspects of pictorial design and composition, ensuring that visual and textual elements work harmoniously to effectively convey research findings.

#### References

Journal Barness J & Papaelias A.. (2021). Readable, Serious, Traditional: Investigating Scholarly Perceptions of the Visual Design and Reading Experiences of Academic Journals. She Ji: The Journal of Design, Economics, and Innovation.

Blevis, E., Hauser, S., & Odom, W. (2015). Sharing the hidden treasure in pictorials. Interactions, 22(3), 32–43. Chabris, C. F., & Kosslyn, S. M. (2005). Representational Correspondence as a Basic Principle of Diagram

Design. In S.-O. Tergan & T. Keller (Eds.), Knowledge and Information Visualization: Searching for Synergies (pp. 36–57). Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Chiriboga, L. (2019). The changing landscape of scientific publishing. Journal of Histotechnology, 42(3), 95–97. Creativity & Cognition (2021), Pictorials. Retrieved September 7, 2023,

from https://cc.acm.org/2021/2021-call-for-pictorials/

Cross, N. (2006). Designerly Ways of Knowing. Springer Science & Business Media.

Dahl, D. W., Chattopadhyay, A., & Gorn, G. J. (2001). The importance of visualisation in concept design DIS2022, Papers and Pictorials. Retrieved September 7, 2023,

from https://dis.acm.org/2022/papers-and-pictorials/

Dunne, A., & Raby, F. (2013). Speculative everything: Design, fiction, and social dreaming. The MIT Press Fernández-Fontecha, A., O'Halloran, K. L., Tan, S., & Wignell, P. (2019). A multimodal approach to visual thinking: The scientific sketchnote. Visual Communication, 18(1), 5–29

Ford, B. J. (1993). Images of Science: A History of Scientific Illustration. Oxford University Press.

Frayling, C. (1993). Research in Art and Design. Royal College of Art, Research Papers

Hegarty, M., Carpenter, P. A., & Just, M. A. (1991). Diagrams in the comprehension of scientific texts TEI 2021, Pictorials. Retrieved September 7, 2023,

from https://tei.acm.org/2021/participate/pictorials/ Tufte, ER., (2006). Beautiful evidence. Cheshire, Graphics Press

#### About the Authors:

**Marco Quaggiotto:** associate professor at the Design Department of Politecnico di Milano, where he obtained his PhD in Industrial Design and Multimedia Communication. Since 2009 he has been a teacher at the School of Design of the Politecnico di Milano, where he teaches the degree course in Communication Design.

**Umberto Tolino:** Designer and researcher in Communication and Interaction Design, and member of AIAP – Italian Communication Design Association from 1997 to 2018. Included in four ADI Compasso d'Oro Design Index listings, and selected for two exhibitions: "The New Italian Design at the Triennale di Milano" and "Farnesina Design Collection". In 2018 achieved the Compasso d'Oro ADI honorable mention and in 2019 won a Red Dot Design Award. He teaches at the School of Design, Politecnico di Milano, before as Adjunct Professor (2002-2010), then as Assistant Professor (2010-2018), and Associate Professor (2018-ongoing), lecturing on digital communication and leading advanced interactive design projects