Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 33 (2022), 967–995 DOI 10.4171/RLM/995

© 2023 Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei Published by EMS Press



Mathematical Analysis. – An overview on extremals and critical points of the Sobolev inequality in convex cones, by ALBERTO RONCORONI, communicated on 22 April 2022.

ABSTRACT. – In this survey, we consider the sharp Sobolev inequality in convex cones. We also prove it by using the optimal transport technique. Then we present some results related to the Euler–Lagrange equation of the Sobolev inequality: the so-called critical p-Laplace equation. Finally, we discuss some stability result related to the Sobolev inequality.

KEYWORDS. – Sharp Sobolev inequality, convex cones, anisotropic elliptic equations, optimal transport, qualitative properties of PDEs.

2020 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. – Primary 35A23; Secondary 35J92, 35B06, 35B33.

1. Introduction

The starting point is the well-known Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n firstly showed in [110] (see also [75,96] for alternative proofs and [15, Theorem IX.9], [79, Theorem 7.10], and [126, Theorem 2.4.1] for further references): given $n \ge 3$ and 1 , there exists a positive constant <math>S = S(n, p) such that

(1.1)
$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \ge S \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \text{ for all } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$p^* := \frac{np}{n-p}$$

is the so-called *Sobolev critical exponent* and the space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the usual Sobolev space of functions $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\nabla u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Actually, thanks to a short interpolation argument (see e.g. [15, Corollary IX.10]), it is immediate to see that the following version of the Sobolev inequality

(1.2)
$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \ge S \|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \text{ for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}),$$

holds, where

$$\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \nabla u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

is a homogeneous Sobolev space. It is homogeneous in the same sense the Sobolev inequality (1.2) is homogeneous under rescaling $f_{\lambda}(\cdot) := f(\cdot/\lambda)$. As we will see the

A. RONCORONI

homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is better than the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in order to study extremals of the Sobolev inequality.

An interesting and fascinating aspect is to prove the sharp version of the Sobolev inequality (1.2), this means that one wants to characterize the extremals of (1.2), i.e., functions that realize the equality in (1.2), and to compute the best constant in (1.2). This has been done independently in two contemporary papers: [7, 112] by using symmetrizations. In particular, they show that the extremals of (1.2) can be explicitly computed and are of the form

(1.3)
$$\mathcal{U}_{a,\lambda,x_0}(x) := \frac{a}{\left(1 + \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be chosen arbitrarily; moreover, the best constant in (1.2) is given by

(1.4)
$$\sqrt{\pi}n^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{n-p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(n/p)\Gamma(1+n-n/p)}{\Gamma(1+n/2)\Gamma(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

In this paper, we focus on the different approach, proposed in [43], to prove the sharp Sobolev inequality (see Section 2 for more details). The approach in [43] is based on the optimal transport technique and, actually, this approach is suitable to be adapted to show also the sharp Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n in the anisotropic setting, i.e., in \mathbb{R}^n endowed with a generic anisotropic norm. In this context, the (anisotropic) Sobolev inequality becomes the following: given $n \ge 3$ and 1 , there exists a positiveconstant <math>S = S(n, p, H) such that

(1.5)
$$\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \ge S \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $H : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is an anisotropic norm (or gauge); i.e.,

H is positive, positively homogeneous of degree one¹ and convex²;

(1) $H(\lambda\xi) = \lambda H(\xi)$, for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that, in general, we do not require *H* to be symmetric, so it may happen that $H(\xi) \neq H(-\xi)$.

(2) We mention two typical classes of anisotropic norms: the first ones are the so-called ℓ_p -norms given by

$$H(x) = ||x||_p := (|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p}, \text{ for } 1$$

the second ones are the so-called crystalline norms: given a finite set $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, consider

$$H(x) := \max_{1 \le j \le N} x \cdot p_j, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$$

and

$$\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigg\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(\nabla u)^p \, dx < +\infty \bigg\}.$$

Moreover, thanks to [43] we know that the extremals of (1.5) are of the form

$$\mathcal{U}_{a,\lambda,x_0}^H(x) := \frac{a}{\left(1 + \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1}} H_0(x_0 - x)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be chosen arbitrarily and where H_0 is the dual norm of H; explicitly

$$H_0(\zeta) := \sup_{H(\xi)=1} \zeta \cdot \xi$$
, for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Another interesting aspect related to the Sobolev inequality is about its validity in convex cones of \mathbb{R}^n . We recall that an open cone $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\Sigma := \{ tx : t \in (0, +\infty), x \in \omega \},\$$

for some open domain $\omega \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In particular, we are interested in convex cones and so we recall that every convex cone Σ of \mathbb{R}^n can be decomposed in the following way:

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{C},$$

for some $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ is a convex cone that does not contain a line and with only one vertex \mathcal{O} (from now on and for simplicity we will assume that \mathcal{O} coincides with the origin). The Sobolev inequality in convex cones has been firstly established in [84] and it has been generalized to the anisotropic setting in [19], where it takes the following form: given $n \ge 3$ and 1 , there exists a positive constant $<math>S_{\Sigma} = S_{\Sigma}(n, p, H)$ such that

(1.6)
$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} H(\nabla u)^p \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge S_{\Sigma} \left(\int_{\Sigma} \left|u(x)\right|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}}, \text{ for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma),$$

where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex cone, H is an anisotropic norm in \mathbb{R}^n , and

$$\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma) := \bigg\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\Sigma) : \int_{\Sigma} H(\nabla u)^p \, dx < +\infty \bigg\}.$$

The point is that in [19] the characterization of extremals of (1.6) (i.e., the sharp anisotropic Sobolev inequality in convex cones) is missing, indeed they deduce the anisotropic Sobolev inequality in convex cones as a corollary of the anisotropic isoperimetric inequality (the Wulff inequality, see Section 1.1 for more details) in convex

A. RONCORONI

cones. Actually, in [84] the sharp Sobolev inequality can be found in the case p = 2 and $H(\cdot) = |\cdot|$. Exploiting the optimal transport approach, in [34], we prove the sharp anisotropic Sobolev inequality in convex cones computing the extremals and we show that they are of the form

$$\mathcal{U}_{a,\lambda,x_0}^H(x) := \frac{a}{\left(1 + \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1}} H_0(x_0 - x)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily and where, as before, H_0 is the dual norm of H. Moreover, if $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n$, then x_0 may be any point of \mathbb{R}^n , if $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{C}$ with $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ and \mathcal{C} does not contain a line, then $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \{\mathcal{O}\}$; otherwise $x_0 = \mathcal{O}$.

1.1. Analogies with the isoperimetric inequality

We conclude this introduction by mentioning that the same picture drown for the Sobolev inequality holds also for the isoperimetric inequality. The classical Euclidean isoperimetric inequality states that, for any bounded open (smooth) set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the perimeter P(E) controls the volume |E|: more precisely,

(1.7)
$$P(E) \ge n|B_1|^{\frac{1}{n}}|E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where B_1 is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if E is a ball (see [47] and also [98]). A way to define the perimeter (in the smooth setting) is the following:

$$P(E) := \int_{\partial E} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where \mathcal{H}^{n-1} denotes the (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^n (for the general definition of perimeter we refer to the book [85]). More in general, we can consider the notion of anisotropic perimeter, i.e., the perimeter induced by an anisotropic norm H in \mathbb{R}^n ; i.e.,

$$P_H(E) := \int_{\partial E} H(\nu(x)) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

where $\nu(x)$ denotes the unit outward normal at $x \in \partial E$. The corresponding anisotropic isoperimetric inequality, known as the Wulff inequality, is the following:

(1.8)
$$P_H(E) \ge n|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}|E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where K is the Wulff shape (or Alexandrov's body) associated to H; explicitly

$$K := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \nu < H(\nu), \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \}.$$

In addition, the equality holds if and only if $E = x + \rho K$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\rho > 0$ (see [113, 114, 124] and also [71]). In the context of a convex cone Σ of \mathbb{R}^n , the definition of perimeter becomes the following:

$$P(E,\Sigma) := \int_{\partial E \cap \Sigma} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1};$$

i.e., we consider the relative perimeter of the set E with respect to Σ . The analogue of (1.7) in a convex cone Σ of \mathbb{R}^n is the following isoperimetric inequality: for every bounded open (smooth) set $E \subset \Sigma$, we have

$$P(E, \Sigma) \ge n |B_1 \cap \Sigma|^{\frac{1}{n}} |E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Furthermore, if the cone contains no lines, then the equality holds if and only if *E* is a spherical sector centered at the vertex of the cone (see [83] and also [5, 8, 18, 19, 59, 80, 102] for generalizations and different proofs). In particular, in [19] and in [59], the authors prove the following Wulff inequality in a convex cone Σ :

(1.9)
$$P_H(E,\Sigma) \ge n|K \cap \Sigma|^{\frac{1}{n}}|E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$

where $P_H(E, \Sigma)$ denotes the anisotropic relative perimeter of the set E with respect to Σ ; i.e.,

$$P_H(E,\Sigma) := \int_{\partial E \cap \Sigma} H(\nu(x)) \, d \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

Moreover, if the cone contains no lines, then the equality holds in (1.9) if and only if $E = \rho K$, for some $\rho > 0$.

Organization of the paper

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we show how to apply the optimal transport approach to prove the sharp Sobolev inequality in a convex cone Σ of \mathbb{R}^n ; in Section 3, we investigate another important aspect related to the sharp Sobolev inequality, i.e., the characterization of critical points. Finally, in Section 4 we present some stability results related to the extremals and to the critical points of the Sobolev inequality.

2. Proof by optimal transport

The idea to apply the optimal transport theory to prove functional and geometric inequalities dates back to Gromov (see the appendix in the book [92]), who applied the Knothe map³ to prove the isoperimetric inequality (1.7). This approach has been

^{(&}lt;sup>3</sup>) In his original argument, Gromov did not use the optimal transport map but instead the Knothe map; see e.g. [66, Section 1.4] for more details.

brilliantly used in [66] to show the so-called Wulff inequality, i.e., the anisotropic isoperimetric inequality (1.8). We mention also that in [59] the optimal transport approach is used to prove the anisotropic Sobolev inequality in a convex cone (1.9).

Finally, the optimal transport approach has been also used to prove the Brunn– Minkowski inequality (see e.g. [89]) and to prove the anisotropic Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities (see [43]).

Here we sketch the proof of the sharp Sobolev inequality in a convex cone Σ of \mathbb{R}^n in the isotropic case (i.e., when *H* is the Euclidean norm) and we refer to [43, Sections 2 and 4] and to [34, Appendix A] for the proof in the anisotropic setting in the case $\Sigma \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$ and in the conical case, respectively. In particular, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1 (Sharp Sobolev inequality in convex cones). Given $n \ge 3$ and 1 , $let <math>\Sigma$ be a convex cone of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a positive constant $S_{\Sigma} = S_{\Sigma}(n, p)$ such that

(2.1)
$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} \left|\nabla u(x)\right|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge S_{\Sigma} \left(\int_{\Sigma} \left|u(x)\right|^{p^{*}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}}, \text{ for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma).$$

Moreover, the inequality is sharp and the equality in (2.1) is attained if and only if $u(x) = U_p(x)$, where

$$\mathcal{U}_{a,\lambda,x_0}(x) := \frac{a}{\left(1 + \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}},$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$. Furthermore, writing $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{C}$ with $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$, with $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ a convex cone that does not contain a line, and with only one vertex \mathcal{O} , then

- (i) if k = n, then $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n$ and x_0 may be a generic point in \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (ii) if $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \{\mathcal{O}\}$;
- (iii) if k = 0, then $x_0 = \mathcal{O}$.

As already mentioned, a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the optimal transport theory (see [4, 104, 121, 122] for general references); in the next subsection we recall briefly some basic tools from optimal transport theory and we recall the main theorem that we are going to use. In what follows, Σ will be a convex cone of \mathbb{R}^n , even if some results are true more in general.

2.1. Optimal transport theory

Given two probability densities F and G on Σ (i.e., two nonnegative functions in $L^1(\Sigma)$ such that $||F||_{L^1(\Sigma)} = ||G||_{L^1(\Sigma)} = 1$), we say that a map $\mathcal{T} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ sends

F onto G, and we will call it a transport map, if

$$\mathcal{T}_{\#}F = G;$$

i.e., the push forward of F through \mathcal{T} is G. Explicitly, if

(2.2)
$$\int_{E} G(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(E)} F(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for every } E \text{ Borel subset of } \Sigma;$$

or, equivalently, if

(2.3)
$$\int_{\Sigma} b(y)G(y) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\Sigma} b(\mathcal{T}(x))F(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for every } b: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R} \text{ nonnegative Borel function.}$$

Moreover, we consider the following cost function $c: \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$c(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|^2}{2}$$

and we define the *total cost of a transport map* \mathcal{T} as

$$cost(\mathcal{T}) := \int_{\Sigma} c(x, \mathcal{T}(x)) F(x) \, dx.$$

In general, we are interested in the *optimal transport map*, i.e., the transport map \mathcal{T} that minimizes the total cost, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{cost}(\mathcal{T}) = \min \{ \operatorname{cost}(\mathcal{S}) : \mathcal{S} : \Sigma \to \Sigma, \ \mathcal{S}_{\#}F = G \}.$$

The main ingredient is the following existence theorem for the optimal transport map (see e.g. [48]).

THEOREM 2.2. If *F* and *G* are two probability densities on Σ , then there exist a convex function $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the transport map $\mathcal{T} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$, defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(x) := \nabla \varphi(x),$$

is the unique optimal transport map that sends F onto G. We will refer to T as the Brenier map. Moreover, T is differentiable F(x) dx-a.e. and

(2.4)
$$\left|\det\left(\nabla \mathcal{T}(x)\right)\right| = \frac{F(x)}{G(\mathcal{T}(x))}, \quad F(x) \, dx \text{-a.e. } x \in \Sigma.$$

Observe that if \mathcal{T} is a diffeomorphism, then the change of variables $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$ in (2.2) and (2.3) shows that \mathcal{T} solves (2.4), which in terms of φ becomes the following Monge–Ampère equation:

(2.5)
$$\left|\det\left(\nabla^2\varphi(x)\right)\right| = \frac{F(x)}{G\left(\nabla\varphi(x)\right)}.$$

More in general, (2.4) and (2.5) hold for F(x) dx-a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ without further assumptions on F and G below integrability (see e.g. [122, Chapter 10] and [48, Theorem 3.6]). In this case, being φ a convex function, it admits, almost everywhere, a second-order Taylor expansion as shown in [56, Chapter 6].

2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1

The first step in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following:

LEMMA 2.3. Given $n \ge 3$, 1 , and <math>q = p/(p-1), let Σ be a convex cone of \mathbb{R}^n . Whenever $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma)$ and $g \in L^{p^*}(\Sigma)$ are two functions with $||f||_{L^{p^*}(\Sigma)} = ||g||_{L^{p^*}(\Sigma)}$, then

(2.6)
$$\int_{\Sigma} \left| g(x) \right|^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})} dx \le \frac{p(n-1)}{n(n-p)} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |y|^q \left| g(y) \right|^{p^*} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

with equality if

$$f(x) = g(x) = \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}(x) = \frac{1}{\left(1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}};$$

recall that O coincides with the origin.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3. First of all, it is well known that whenever $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma)$, then $\nabla |f| = \pm \nabla f$ almost everywhere, so f and |f| have equal Sobolev norms. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that f and g are nonnegative and, by homogeneity, satisfy

$$||f||_{L^{p^*}(\Sigma)} = 1 = ||g||_{L^{p^*}(\Sigma)}.$$

Secondly, we prove (2.6) in the special case when f and g are smooth functions with compact support inside $\overline{\Sigma}$; the general case will follow by approximation.

Now, consider the two probability densities

$$F(x) = f^{p^*}(x)$$
 and $G(x) = g^{p^*}(x)$

on Σ . Let $\mathcal{T} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be the optimal transport map given by Theorem 2.2. Thanks to the regularity theory developed in [42], we know that \mathcal{T} is a diffeomorphism and it satisfies

(2.7)
$$\left|\det\left(\nabla \mathcal{T}(x)\right)\right| = \frac{f^{p^*}(x)}{g^{p^*}(\mathcal{T}(x))}, \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma.$$

From the definition of *F* and *G* and from the transport condition (2.3), with $b(y) = G^{-\frac{1}{n}}(y)$, we know that

(2.8)
$$\int_{\Sigma} g^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(y) \, dy = \int_{\Sigma} G^{1-\frac{1}{n}}(y) \, dy = \int_{\Sigma} G^{-\frac{1}{n}}(y) G(y) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\Sigma} G^{-\frac{1}{n}}(\mathcal{T}(x)) F(x) \, dx = \int_{\Sigma} g^{-\frac{p^*}{n}}(\mathcal{T}(x)) f^{p^*}(x) \, dx.$$

While, from (2.7) we get

(2.9)
$$\int_{\Sigma} g^{-\frac{p^*}{n}} (\mathcal{T}(x)) f^{p^*}(x) dx = \int_{\Sigma} \left| \det \left(\nabla \mathcal{T}(x) \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) dx.$$

Since $\mathcal{T} = \nabla \varphi$, for some convex function $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$, then $\nabla \mathcal{T} = \nabla^2 \varphi$ is symmetric and non-negative definite. In particular, det $(\nabla \mathcal{T}) \ge 0$ and from the arithmetic-geometric inequality we get

(2.10)
$$\left|\det(\nabla \mathcal{T})\right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}).$$

Hence, combining (2.10) with (2.8) and (2.9),

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma} g^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(y) \, dy &\leq \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{div} \left(\mathcal{T}(x) \right) f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) \, dx \\ &= -\frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})-1}(x) \mathcal{T}(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) \, dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial \Sigma} f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) \mathcal{T}(x) \cdot \nu(x) \, d\sigma(x), \end{split}$$

where we have used the integration by parts formula. Now, observe that since $\mathcal{T}(x) \in \overline{\Sigma}$, for all $x \in \overline{\Sigma}$, the convexity of Σ implies that

(2.11)
$$\mathcal{T}(x) \cdot \nu(x) \le 0$$
, for all $x \in \partial \Sigma$.

Thus,

(2.12)
$$\int_{\Sigma} g^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(y) \, dy \leq -\frac{p^*}{n} \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})-1}(x) \mathcal{T}(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) \, dx,$$

and from Hölder's inequality we conclude that

$$(2.13)$$

$$-\int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})-1}(x)\mathcal{T}(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) \, dx \leq \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{T}(x)|^q f^{p^*}(x) \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$= \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |y|^q g^{p^*}(y) \, dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

A. RONCORONI

hence,

$$\int_{\Sigma} g^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(y) \, dy \leq \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |y|^q g^{p^*}(y) \, dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

which is (2.6) since

$$\frac{p^*}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{p(n-1)}{n(n-p)}.$$

In the special case $f = g = \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}$, the Brenier map coincides with the identity map; i.e., $\mathcal{T}(x) = x$ and det($\nabla \mathcal{T}$) = 1. This implies that the inequalities in (2.10) and (2.11) become equalities; in particular also in (2.12) the inequality becomes equality. Moreover, from a direct computation one can show that

$$-\int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}^{\frac{p^*}{q}}(x) \nabla \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}(x) \cdot x \, dx$$
$$= \|\nabla \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |x|^q \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}^{p^*}(x) \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

which ensures that also in (2.13) the equality holds. This is the end of the proof of the lemma.

We are now in position to prove Theorem 2.1

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. An immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3 is the following duality principle:

(2.14)
$$\sup_{\|g\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Sigma)}=1} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} |g(x)|^{p^{*}(1-\frac{1}{n})} dx}{\int_{\Sigma} |y|^{q} |g(y)|^{p^{*}} dy} = \frac{p(n-1)}{n(n-p)} \inf_{\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Sigma)}=1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\Sigma)}.$$

with $\mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}$ extremal in both variational problems.

From (2.14), the proof of (2.1) is immediate. Indeed, let $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma)$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}$ be the extremal, then from (2.14) we have

$$\frac{\|\nabla \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}\|_{L^{p}(\Sigma)}}{\|\mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Sigma)}} = \inf_{\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Sigma)}=1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\Sigma)} \le \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Sigma)}}{\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Sigma)}},$$

thus,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Sigma)} \geq \frac{\|\nabla \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}\|_{L^{p}(\Sigma)}}{\|\mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Sigma)}} \|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Sigma)}$$

which is (2.1). The fact that the inequality is sharp follows from the fact that $u = \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}$ realizes the equality.

Now we deal with the characterization of extremals. We want to prove the following:

A function $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma)$ realizes the equality in the Sobolev inequality (2.1) if and only if there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$ as in Theorem 2.1 (i), (ii), and (iii) such that

$$u(x) = a \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}} \big(\lambda(x - x_0) \big) = \mathcal{U}_{a,\lambda,x_0}(x).$$

To prove this fact, we follow the approach in [34, Appendix A], in [43, Section 4], and in [66, Appendix A]. Firstly, we observe that if u is an extremal, then also |u| will be an extremal and then the conclusion of the theorem will force u to have constant sign. Hence, it is enough to consider nonnegative functions $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma)$. Secondly, given two nonnegative measurable functions f and g such that $\int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*} = \int_{\Sigma} g^{p^*}$, then saying that $f(x) = a g(\lambda(x - x_0))$, for $a \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$, and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$, is equivalent to say that the Brenier map $\mathcal{T} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ which sends f^{p^*} onto g^{p^*} is of the form $\mathcal{T}(x) = \tilde{\lambda}(x - x_0)$, for some $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$. Furthermore, we can assume that $\int_{\Sigma} u^{p^*} = 1$. So thanks to Lemma 2.3 and to the first part of the proof of this theorem, we just have to set $g = \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}$ and prove that

a nonnegative function $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma)$ such that

$$||u||_{L^{p^*}(\Sigma)} = ||\mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}}||_{L^{p^*}(\Sigma)} (=1)$$

achieves equality in (2.6) if and only if there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$ as in Theorem 2.1 (i), (ii), and (iii) *such that*

$$u(x) = a \mathcal{U}_{1,1,\mathcal{O}} \big(\lambda(x - x_0) \big),$$

The main issue is that we have proved Lemma 2.3 (i.e., (2.6)) in the case when f and g are compactly supported; this restriction on f and g had no implication on the final inequality but it prevents to preserve the equality cases.

The idea is to proceed in two steps:

- (1) generalize the proof of (2.6) in order to obtain the right inequality (i.e., for all admissible f and g not necessarily smooth and with compact support);
- (2) trace back all the equality cases in the previous proof, without further assumptions on f and g.

Observe that once this is done, then the equality in the arithmetic-geometric inequality (2.10) would imply that $\nabla \mathcal{T}$ is a point-wise multiple of the identity, from which it is easy to show that $\mathcal{T}(x) = \lambda(x - x_0)$, for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$.

The first step can be done as in [43, Proof of Lemma 7] by showing that the inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{div}\left(\mathcal{T}(x)\right) u^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) \, dx \le -\frac{p^*}{n} \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_{\Sigma} u^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})-1}(x) \mathcal{T}(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) \, dx$$

holds true. This step can be performed by approximation and regularization and we refer to [43, Proof of Lemma 7] for the technical details.

Once we have this formula, we prove that u is positive. This can be done arguing as in [43, Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 6] or arguing as in [34, Appendix A] by using the notion of indecomposability⁴ of the support of u. Here we sketch this second proof. Assume, by contradiction, that the support of u is decomposable; i.e., one could write $u = u_1 + u_2$, where u_1 and u_2 have disjoint supports. Then, of course

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left| \nabla u(x) \right|^p dx = \int_{\Sigma} \left| \nabla u_1(x) \right|^p dx + \int_{\Sigma} \left| \nabla u_2(x) \right|^p dx,$$

while from (2.1) and the fact that u is an extremal, we would get

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} u(x)^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} = \frac{1}{S_{\Sigma}^p} \int_{\Sigma} \left|\nabla u(x)\right|^p dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{S_{\Sigma}^p} \int_{\Sigma} \left|\nabla u_1(x)\right|^p dx + \frac{1}{S_{\Sigma}^p} \int_{\Sigma} \left|\nabla u_2(x)\right|^p dx$$
$$\ge \left(\int_{\Sigma} u_1(x)^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} + \left(\int_{\Sigma} u_2(x)^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}$$

Since u_1 and u_2 have disjoint support, then

$$\int_{\Sigma} u(x)^p \, dx = \int_{\Sigma} u_1(x)^p \, dx + \int_{\Sigma} u_2(x)^p \, dx$$

By the concavity of the function $t \mapsto t^{p/p^*}$, we conclude that either u_1 or u_2 vanishes. Hence, we have that the support of u is indecomposable. Once we know this, we can apply the classical interior regularity result in [20] for solutions of the Monge–Ampère equation to conclude that $\varphi \in W_{loc}^{2,\alpha}$. This implies that $\nabla \mathcal{T}$ has no singular part⁵, hence

(4) A set of finite perimeter E is said the be indecomposable if for every $F \subseteq E$ having finite perimeter and such that

$$\operatorname{Per}(E) = \operatorname{Per}(F) + \operatorname{Per}(E \setminus F),$$

we have that

$$\min\left\{|E|, |E \setminus F|\right\} = 0.$$

This is a measure-theoretic notion similar to the topological notion of connectedness and we refer to [3] for more details.

(⁵) A different and more direct proof of this fact can be found in [43, Step 2 in the proof of Proposition 6].

the equality in the arithmetic-geometric inequality (2.10) implies that the matrix $\nabla \mathcal{T}$ is a multiple of the identity which implies that $\mathcal{T}(x) = \lambda(x - x_0)$, for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$ (see [43, Step 3 in the proof of Proposition 6]). Of course, from this fact, the result follows easily, as already discussed. Finally, properties (i), (ii), and (iii) on the location of x_0 follow for instance from the fact that \mathcal{T} has to map Σ onto Σ .

2.3. Weighted Sobolev inequalities

Actually the optimal transport approach is also suitable to prove a more general class of sharp weighted Sobolev inequalities. Given $1 , a convex cone <math>\Sigma$ of \mathbb{R}^n , and given a weight $w \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that it is positive, homogeneous of degree $\alpha \ge 0$, and $w^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ is concave if $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive constant S = S(n, p, a, w, H) such that

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} H(\nabla u(x))^{p} w(x) \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge S\left(\int_{\Sigma} |u(x)|^{\beta} w(x) \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma),$$

where

$$\beta := \frac{p(n+a)}{n+a-p}$$

Results of this kind can be found in [9, 19, 34], where the case of (more general) sharp weighted Sobolev inequalities in convex cones is treated.

3. CRITICAL POINTS

Besides the study of the extremals of the Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n , one interesting and challenging aspect is the study of critical points of the Sobolev inequality. For simplicity and for later convenience, we define the following 2-parameters subclass of extremals:

(3.1)
$$\mathcal{U}_{\lambda,x_0}(x) := \frac{\left[n\left(\frac{n-p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\lambda^p\right]^{\frac{n-p}{p^2}}}{\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|x-x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We will refer to this kind of functions as *Aubin–Talenti bubbles*. Roughly speaking, the idea is the following: let *u* be an Aubin–Talenti bubble and we compute the first variation of the Sobolev inequality (which is zero) in order to find the associated Euler–Lagrange equation. Explicitly, let

$$u(x) = \mathcal{U}_{\lambda, x_0}(x),$$

and compute

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left(\|\nabla u + \varepsilon \nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} - S \|u + \varepsilon \varphi\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \right) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

A direct computation yields to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{p^*-1} \varphi \, dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

which is the weak formulation of the following quasilinear PDE:

$$\Delta_p u + u^{p^* - 1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

which is called the *critical p-Laplace equation* and where $\Delta_p u$ is the usual *p-Laplace operator* defined in the following way:

$$\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right).$$

Note that with the definition of Aubin–Talenti bubbles (3.1), we find that every Aubin–Talenti bubble solves (3.2) exactly. Summing up, we have shown that the Aubin–Talenti bubbles are solutions of the quasilinear problem

(3.3)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u + u^{p^* - 1} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u > 0. \end{cases}$$

The natural question now is the following:

Are the Aubin–Talenti bubbles (3.1) the only solutions to (3.3)?

This question has attracted a lot of interest both in the PDEs and in the differential geometry communities. Indeed, it is well known that the critical Laplace equation (so p = 2) is related to the *Yamabe problem*. Thanks to the efforts made in [6,97,105,115, 125] (see also the survey [82]), we know the validity of the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let (M, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \ge 3$. Then there exists a metric g on M which is conformal to g_0 and has constant scalar curvature.

If we write the conformal change from g_0 to g in the following way:

$$g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_0$$

for some positive and smooth function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$, then finding g is equivalent to ask that u solves the PDE

$$\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}u - R_{g_0}u + R_g u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0,$$

where R_{g_0} and R_g denote the scalar curvature of M with respect to g_0 and g, respectively. When (M, g_0) is the round sphere, by stereographic projection we get that the

previous PDE becomes

$$\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta u + R_g u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and hence (up to constants)

$$\Delta u + u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

which is the critical Laplace equation.

Turning back to question of characterization of solutions to (3.3), the state of the art is presented in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. Let 1 and let <math>u be a solution to (3.3) such that $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $u = \mathcal{U}_{\lambda,x_0}$, for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the semilinear case p = 2, the theorem, without the assumption $u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, has been proved in the celebrated paper [21] (see also [26, 78, 97] for the previous important results) by using the *method of moving planes* (see the reviews [16, 36]) and the *Kelvin transform*. In the quasilinear case $p \neq 2$, the problem is more difficult because of the nonlinear structure of the *p*-Laplace operator and because of the lack of regularity of the solutions. Moreover, in the quasilinear case the Kelvin transform is not available. The first result related to the quasilinear case has been obtained in [44] for $\frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p < 2$; the result has been extended to the case 1 in [119] and to the case <math>2 in [106] exploiting a fine analysis of the behavior of the solutions at infinity that allows to exploit the moving plane method as developed in [45, 46] (see also [108]). We point out that the big difference between the semilinear and the quasilinear case is the additional assumption, in the quasilinear case, that*u* $has finite energy, i.e., <math>u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$: to prove the analogue result, removing this assumption, is an open and challenging problem⁶.

Finally, we mention that even in the semilinear case the assumption u > 0 is fundamental; indeed it is possible to construct many sign-changing solutions to

$$\Delta u + u|u|^{2^*-2} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which are not radial (see [53] and also [49,50,90,91,93]). Moreover, we refer to positive solutions of (3.2) since, from the maximum principle for quasilinear equations (see e.g. [118]), non-negative solutions to (3.2) are either zero or positive.

The same procedure of computing the first variation of the Sobolev inequality can be done also starting from the anisotropic Sobolev inequality in convex cones (1.6). In

⁽⁶⁾ We refer to the recent papers [24,99,120] where the authors provide positive (and partial) answers to this problem.

analogy to (3.1), we consider the following subclass of extremals:

(3.4)
$$\mathcal{U}_{\lambda,x_0}^H(x) := \frac{\left[n\left(\frac{n-p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\lambda^p\right]^{\frac{n-p}{p^2}}}{\left(1+\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1}}H_0(x_0-x)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$ is such that if $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n$, then x_0 may be any point of \mathbb{R}^n , and if $\Sigma = \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ with $k \in \{\dots, n-1\}$ and \mathcal{C} does not contain a line, then $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \{\mathcal{O}\}$; otherwise $x_0 = \mathcal{O}$. We will refer to this kind of functions as anisotropic Aubin–Talenti bubbles.

As before, let

$$u(x) = \mathcal{U}_{\lambda, x_0}^H(x)$$

and computing as before the first variation of the anisotropic Sobolev inequality in convex cones, we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} H^{p-1}(\nabla u) \nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Sigma} u^{p^*-1} \varphi \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma),$$

i.e., the weak formulation of the Neumann quasilinear problem

(3.5)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^H u + u^{p^* - 1} = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ a(\nabla u) \cdot v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

where ν is the outward normal to $\partial \Sigma$,

$$a(\nabla u) := H^{p-1}(\nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u)$$

and $\Delta_p^H u$ is the so-called *anisotropic (or Finsler) p-Laplace operator* defined in the following way

$$\Delta_n^H u := \operatorname{div} (a(\nabla u)).$$

In [34], we prove the following result which is the generalization of Theorem 3.2 for positive solutions to the problem (3.5).

THEOREM 3.3. Let $1 and let <math>\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{C}$ be a convex cone of \mathbb{R}^n , where \mathcal{C} does not contain a line. Let H be a norm of \mathbb{R}^n such that H^2 is of class $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}) \cap C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and it is uniformly convex⁷. Let u be a positive solution to (3.5) such that $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma)$. Then $u(x) = \mathcal{U}^H_{\lambda,x_0}(x)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\Sigma}$. Moreover,

(i) if k = n, then $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n$ and x_0 may be a generic point in \mathbb{R}^n ;

(7) i.e., there exist two constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ such that

$$\lambda \mathrm{Id} \le H(\xi) \, D^2 H(\xi) + \nabla H(\xi) \otimes \nabla H(\xi) \le \Lambda \, \mathrm{Id} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$$

(note that $D^2(H^2) = 2H D^2 H + 2\nabla H \otimes \nabla H$).

- (ii) if $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \{\mathcal{O}\}$;
- (iii) if k = 0, then $x_0 = \mathcal{O}$.

As already mentioned, case (i) in Theorem 3.3 has been already proved in [21, 44, 106, 119] when $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n$ and H is the Euclidean norm. Actually in the Euclidean case and when p = 2, the classification result in convex cones was proved in [84, Theorem 2.4] by using the Kelvin transform (inspired by [77, 97]).

For general 1 , the Kelvin transform and the method of moving planesare not helpful neither for anisotropic problems nor inside cones. In [34], we providea new approach to the characterization of solutions to critical*p*-Laplace equation,which is based on integral identities rather than the method of moving planes. Thisapproach takes inspiration from [109], where the authors prove nonexistence resultsgeneralizing the ones in [77] to <math>1 and takes inspiration also from [12–14,29,37],where the authors prove symmetry and rigidity results for overdetermined problem(see [100, 101, 107, 123]) in the anisotropic and in the conical settings.

Finally, we mention that also in this case the assumption u > 0 is fundamental. Indeed, it is possible to construct sign-changing solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + |u|^{2^* - 2} u = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \partial_{\nu} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma \end{cases}$$

which are non-radial (see [41]).

4. QUANTITATIVE STUDIES

In this section, we present some results for two important and fascinating problems related to the sharp Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n : the study of the stability of the extremals and of the critical points.

4.1. Almost extremals

In this subsection, we investigate another important aspect related to the sharp Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n : the stability of the Sobolev inequality (1.2) or the quantitative version of the Sobolev inequality (1.2).

Firstly, we indicate with M_p the (n + 2)-dimensional manifold of all functions of the form (1.3), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}_p := \left\{ \mathcal{U}_{a,\lambda,x_0}(x) := \frac{a}{\left(1 + \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}} : a \in \mathbb{R}, \ \lambda > 0, \ x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Secondly, we define the Sobolev deficit

$$\delta_p(u) := \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} - S, \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

observe that, thanks to the sharp Sobolev inequality:

 $\delta(u) \ge 0$ for all $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\delta(u) = 0$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{M}_p$.

The idea, based on a question in [17], is the following:

for p = 2 the Sobolev deficit can be estimated from below by some appropriate distance between u and M_2

This problem has been solved in [11], by showing that there exists a positive constant c = c(n) such that

$$\delta_2(u) \ge c \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_2} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right)^2, \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

and the result is optimal (in terms of the distance and in terms of the exponent 2).

Now, the natural question is as follows:

What about the general case, i.e., 1 ?

The complete answer to this question has been recently provided in [69] (see also [28, 68, 94] for previous results) where the authors prove the following quantitative estimate: for 1 there exists a positive constant <math>c = c(n, p) such that

$$\delta_p(u) \ge c \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_p} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right)^{\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the exponent α is given by max{2, p} and it is optimal.

4.2. Almost critical points

In this subsection, we consider the Euler–Lagrange equation associated to the Sobolev inequality for p = 2, i.e., positive solutions to

(4.1)
$$\Delta u + u^{2^*-1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In this subsection, we want to investigate the following naïf question:

If u almost solves (4.1), then is it close to an Aubin–Talenti bubble? In order to answer this question, we define the following deficit:

$$\delta(u) := \|\Delta u + u^{2^*-1}\|_{H^{-1}}, \text{ for all } u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } u > 0;$$

then it is clear, from Theorem 3.2, that

 $\delta(u) = 0$ if and only if u is an Aubin–Talenti bubble (3.1).

Now, the question becomes the following:

If $\delta(u)$ is small, is the *u* close to an Aubin–Talenti bubble?

The answer to this question is negative as one can see from the following example: we set

$$u(x) := \mathcal{U}_{1,-Re_1}(x) + \mathcal{U}_{1,Re_1}(x), \text{ for } R \gg 1.$$

In this case, we say that *u* is the sum of two weakly-interacting Aubin–Talenti bubbles. Then it is intuitive that *u* will approximately solve (4.1) in any reasonable sense. But, of course, *u* is not close to a single Aubin–Talenti bubble (in particular $\delta(u) \rightarrow 0$, as $R \rightarrow \infty$). Actually, this is the only possibility as shown in [111], indeed the author shows the following:

$$\Gamma(u) \to 0$$
, as $\delta(u) \to 0$,

provided $u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that

$$\left(\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right)S^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \left(\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right)S^n,$$

and where

$$\Gamma(u) := \inf_{\lambda_i, x_i} \left\| \nabla u - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \nabla \mathcal{U}_{\lambda_i, x_i} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

denote the distance between u and the sum of $v \ge 1$ Aubin–Talenti bubbles.

The quantitative version of the result in [111] has been the object of several studies; in particular we have the following estimates, according to the number of bubbles ν and to the dimension:

$$\Gamma(u) \lesssim \begin{cases} \delta(u) & \text{if } v = 1, n \ge 3, \\ \delta(u) & \text{if } v > 1, 3 \le n \le 5, \\ \delta(u)\sqrt{|\log \delta(u)|} & \text{if } v > 1, n = 6, \\ \delta(u)^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}} & \text{if } v > 1, n \ge 7. \end{cases}$$

The first estimate can be found in [33], the second one in [58], and the third and the last one in [52] and we refer to the original papers for the complete statements and for comments.

4.3. Further quantitative studies

Finally, we mention that, motivated by important applications in the calculus of variations and evolution PDEs, the study of the quantitative stability of functional and geometric inequalities has been a growing interest in the recent years. We refer to the survey papers [57, 61, 72] for a general discussion and presentation of the results and we refer to [30, 31, 59, 62-64, 66, 70, 74] for the study of the stability for isoperimetric inequalities and to [35, 38-40, 51, 81, 86-88] (see also the survey [32]) for the study of the stability of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces (i.e., the critical points of the classical isoperimetric inequality (1.7) and these results are motivated by the celebrated Alexandrov soap bubbles theorem in [1, 2]); moreover, we refer to [60, 65, 116, 117] for the study of the stability of the Brunn–Minkowski inequality, to [23, 54, 95, 103] for the stability of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, and to [10, 22, 25, 27, 55, 67, 73, 76] (besides the already cited papers) for further stability results related to the Sobolev inequality (in the fractional case or for p = 1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. – The author thanks Giulio Ciraolo for a careful reading of the manuscript.

FUNDING. – This survey is based on a short online talk that the author presented during the workshop "Geometric theory of PDE's and sharp functional inequalities" organized by Carlo Sbordone and Cristina Trombetti. The author has been the holder of a postdoc funded by the "Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica" (INdAM).

References

- A. D. ALEKSANDROV, Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. II. Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. 12 (1957), no. 7, 15–44. Zbl 0101.13801 MR 0102111
- [2] A. D. ALEKSANDROV, Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. V. Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. 13 (1958), no. 19, 5–8. MR 0102114
- [3] L. AMBROSIO V. CASELLES S. MASNOU J.-M. MOREL, Connected components of sets of finite perimeter and applications to image processing. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 3 (2001), no. 1, 39–92. Zbl 0981.49024 MR 1812124
- [4] L. AMBROSIO N. GIGLI, A user's guide to optimal transport. In *Modelling and optimisa*tion of flows on networks, pp. 1–155, Lecture Notes in Math. 2062, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. MR 3050280
- [5] G. ANTONELLI E. PASQUALETTO M. POZZETTA D. SEMOLA, Sharp isoperimetric comparison and asymptotic isoperimetry on non collapsed spaces with lower Ricci bounds. 2022, arXiv:2201.04916v1.
- [6] T. AUBIN, Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 55 (1976), no. 3, 269–296. Zbl 0336.53033 MR 431287
- [7] T. AUBIN, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. J. Differential Geometry 11 (1976), no. 4, 573–598. Zbl 0371.46011 MR 448404

- [8] E. BAER A. FIGALLI, Characterization of isoperimetric sets inside almost-convex cones. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 37 (2017), no. 1, 1–14. Zbl 1355.49035 MR 3583468
- [9] Z. M. BALOGH C. E. GUTIÉRREZ A. KRISTÁLY, Sobolev inequalities with jointly concave weights on convex cones. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **122** (2021), no. 4, 537–568. Zbl 1469.35007 MR 4244992
- [10] T. BARTSCH T. WETH M. WILLEM, A Sobolev inequality with remainder term and critical equations on domains with topology for the polyharmonic operator. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 18 (2003), 253–268. Zbl 1059.31006 MR 2018667
- [11] G. BIANCHI H. EGNELL, A note on the Sobolev inequality. J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), no. 1, 18–24. Zbl 0755.46014 MR 1124290
- [12] C. BIANCHINI G. CIRAOLO, Wulff shape characterizations in overdetermined anisotropic elliptic problems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 43 (2018), no. 5, 790–820. Zbl 1411.35214 MR 3920523
- [13] C. BIANCHINI G. CIRAOLO P. SALANI, An overdetermined problem for the anisotropic capacity. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 55 (2016), no. 4, Art. 84, 24. Zbl 1368.35195 MR 3519594
- [14] B. BRANDOLINI C. NITSCH P. SALANI C. TROMBETTI, Serrin-type overdetermined problems: an alternative proof. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 190 (2008), no. 2, 267–280. Zbl 1161.35025 MR 2448319
- [15] H. BREZIS, Analyse fonctionnelle. Théorie et applications. Collection Mathématiques Appliquées pour la Maîtrise, Masson, Paris, 1983. Zbl 0511.46001 MR 697382
- [16] H. BREZIS, Symmetry in nonlinear PDE's. In *Differential equations: La Pietra 1996* (*Florence*), pp. 1–12, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. Zbl 0927.35038 MR 1662746
- [17] H. BREZIS E. H. LIEB, Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms. J. Funct. Anal. 62 (1985), no. 1, 73–86. Zbl 0577.46031 MR 790771
- [18] F. BROCK F. CHIACCHIO A. MERCALDO, Weighted isoperimetric inequalities in cones and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), no. 15, 5737–5755. Zbl 1253.26049 MR 2948294
- [19] X. CABRÉ X. ROS-OTON J. SERRA, Sharp isoperimetric inequalities via the ABP method. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 18 (2016), no. 12, 2971–2998. Zbl 1357.28007 MR 3576542
- [20] L. A. CAFFARELLI, Boundary regularity of maps with convex potentials. II. Ann. of Math.
 (2) 144 (1996), no. 3, 453–496. Zbl 0916.35016 MR 1426885
- [21] L. A. CAFFARELLI B. GIDAS J. SPRUCK, Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 42 (1989), no. 3, 271–297. Zbl 0702.35085 MR 982351
- [22] E. A. CARLEN, Duality and stability for functional inequalities. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 26 (2017), no. 2, 319–350. Zbl 1387.46031 MR 3640893

- [23] E. A. CARLEN A. FIGALLI, Stability for a GNS inequality and the log-HLS inequality, with application to the critical mass Keller–Segel equation. *Duke Math. J.* 162 (2013), no. 3, 579–625. Zbl 1307.26027 MR 3024094
- [24] G. CATINO D. D. MONTICELLI A. RONCORONI, On the critical *p*-Laplace equation. 2022, arXiv:2204.06940v1.
- [25] S. CHEN R. L. FRANK T. WETH, Remainder terms in the fractional Sobolev inequality. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 62 (2013), no. 4, 1381–1397. Zbl 1296.46032 MR 3179693
- [26] W. X. CHEN C. LI, Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations. *Duke Math. J.* 63 (1991), no. 3, 615–622. Zbl 0768.35025 MR 1121147
- [27] A. CIANCHI, A quantitative Sobolev inequality in BV. J. Funct. Anal. 237 (2006), no. 2, 466–481. Zbl 1110.46020 MR 2230346
- [28] A. CIANCHI N. FUSCO F. MAGGI A. PRATELLI, The sharp Sobolev inequality in quantitative form. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 11 (2009), no. 5, 1105–1139. Zbl 1185.46025 MR 2538501
- [29] A. CIANCHI P. SALANI, Overdetermined anisotropic elliptic problems. *Math. Ann.* 345 (2009), no. 4, 859–881. Zbl 1179.35107 MR 2545870
- [30] M. CICALESE G. P. LEONARDI, A selection principle for the sharp quantitative isoperimetric inequality. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 206 (2012), no. 2, 617–643. Zbl 1257.49045 MR 2980529
- [31] M. CICALESE E. SPADARO, Droplet minimizers of an isoperimetric problem with long-range interactions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 66 (2013), no. 8, 1298–1333.
 Zbl 1269.49085 MR 3069960
- [32] G. CIRAOLO, Quantitative estimates for almost constant mean curvature hypersurfaces. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 14 (2021), no. 1, 137–150. Zbl 1462.35208 MR 4218626
- [33] G. CIRAOLO A. FIGALLI F. MAGGI, A quantitative analysis of metrics on \mathbb{R}^n with almost constant positive scalar curvature, with applications to fast diffusion flows. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2018** (2018), no. 21, 6780–6797. Zbl 1408.53018 MR 3873544
- [34] G. CIRAOLO A. FIGALLI A. RONCORONI, Symmetry results for critical anisotropic p-Laplacian equations in convex cones. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **30** (2020), no. 3, 770–803. Zbl 1453.35104 MR 4135671
- [35] G. CIRAOLO F. MAGGI, On the shape of compact hypersurfaces with almost-constant mean curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **70** (2017), no. 4, 665–716. Zbl 1368.53004 MR 3628882
- [36] G. CIRAOLO A. RONCORONI, The method of moving planes: a quantitative approach. In *Bruno Pini mathematical analysis seminar 2018*, pp. 41–77, Bruno Pini Math. Anal. Semin. 9, Università di Bologna, Alma Mater Studiorum, Bologna, 2018. Zbl 1444.35004 MR 3932952

- [37] G. CIRAOLO A. RONCORONI, Serrin's type overdetermined problems in convex cones. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 28. Zbl 1430.35167 MR 4054862
- [38] G. CIRAOLO A. RONCORONI L. VEZZONI, Quantitative stability for hypersurfaces with almost constant curvature in space forms. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 200 (2021), no. 5, 2043–2083. Zbl 1471.53054 MR 4285109
- [39] G. CIRAOLO L. VEZZONI, A sharp quantitative version of Alexandrov's theorem via the method of moving planes. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 20 (2018), no. 2, 261–299. Zbl 1397.53050 MR 3760295
- [40] G. CIRAOLO L. VEZZONI, Quantitative stability for hypersurfaces with almost constant mean curvature in the hyperbolic space. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 69 (2020), no. 4, 1105–1153.
 Zbl 1447.53050 MR 4124123
- [41] M. CLAPP F. PACELLA, Existence of nonradial positive and nodal solutions to a critical Neumann problem in a cone. *Math. Eng.* 3 (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 022. Zbl 1497.35254 MR 4146718
- [42] D. CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN A. FIGALLI, Regularity of monotone transport maps between unbounded domains. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **39** (2019), no. 12, 7101–7112.
 Zbl 1448.35188 MR 4026183
- [43] D. CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN B. NAZARET C. VILLANI, A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities. *Adv. Math.* 182 (2004), no. 2, 307–332. Zbl 1048.26010 MR 2032031
- [44] L. DAMASCELLI S. MERCHÁN L. MONTORO B. SCIUNZI, Radial symmetry and applications for a problem involving the $-\Delta_p(\cdot)$ operator and critical nonlinearity in \mathbb{R}^N . *Adv. Math.* **265** (2014), 313–335. Zbl 1316.35135 MR 3255462
- [45] L. DAMASCELLI F. PACELLA M. RAMASWAMY, Symmetry of ground states of *p*-Laplace equations via the moving plane method. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 148 (1999), no. 4, 291–308. Zbl 0937.35050 MR 1716666
- [46] L. DAMASCELLI M. RAMASWAMY, Symmetry of C^1 solutions of *p*-Laplace equations in \mathbb{R}^N . Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **1** (2001), no. 1, 40–64. Zbl 0998.35016 MR 1850203
- [47] E. DE GIORGI, Sulla proprietà isoperimetrica dell'ipersfera, nella classe degli insiemi aventi frontiera orientata di misura finita. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Mem. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Sez. Ia (8) 5 (1958), 33–44. Zbl 0116.07901 MR 98331
- [48] G. DE PHILIPPIS A. FIGALLI, The Monge–Ampère equation and its link to optimal transportation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 51 (2014), no. 4, 527–580. Zbl 06377770 MR 3237759
- [49] M. DEL PINO M. MUSSO F. PACARD A. PISTOIA, Large energy entire solutions for the Yamabe equation. J. Differential Equations 251 (2011), no. 9, 2568–2597.
 Zbl 1233.35008 MR 2825341

- [50] M. DEL PINO M. MUSSO F. PACARD A. PISTOIA, Torus action on Sⁿ and signchanging solutions for conformally invariant equations. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 12 (2013), no. 1, 209–237. Zbl 1267.53040 MR 3088442
- [51] M. G. DELGADINO F. MAGGI C. MIHAILA R. NEUMAYER, Bubbling with L²-almost constant mean curvature and an Alexandrov-type theorem for crystals. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 230 (2018), no. 3, 1131–1177. Zbl 1421.35076 MR 3851057
- [52] B. DENG L. SUN J. WEI, Sharp quantitative estimates of Struwe's decomposition. 2021, arXiv:2103.15360v2.
- [53] W. Y. DING, On a conformally invariant elliptic equation on Rⁿ. Comm. Math. Phys. 107 (1986), no. 2, 331–335. Zbl 0608.35017 MR 863646
- [54] J. DOLBEAULT G. TOSCANI, Improved interpolation inequalities, relative entropy and fast diffusion equations. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 30 (2013), no. 5, 917–934. Zbl 1450.46020 MR 3103175
- [55] J. DOLBEAULT A. ZHANG, Flows and functional inequalities for fractional operators. *Appl. Anal.* 96 (2017), no. 9, 1547–1560. Zbl 1499.35629 MR 3647258
- [56] L. C. EVANS R. F. GARIEPY, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Stud. Adv. Math., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992. Zbl 0804.28001 MR 1158660
- [57] A. FIGALLI, Stability in geometric and functional inequalities. In *European congress of mathematics*, pp. 585–599, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2013. Zbl 1364.49059
 MR 3469147
- [58] A. FIGALLI F. GLAUDO, On the sharp stability of critical points of the Sobolev inequality. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 237 (2020), no. 1, 201–258. Zbl 1440.35163 MR 4090466
- [59] A. FIGALLI E. INDREI, A sharp stability result for the relative isoperimetric inequality inside convex cones. J. Geom. Anal. 23 (2013), no. 2, 938–969. Zbl 1262.49045 MR 3023863
- [60] A. FIGALLI D. JERISON, Quantitative stability for the Brunn–Minkowski inequality. Adv. Math. 314 (2017), 1–47. Zbl 1380.52010 MR 3658711
- [61] A. FIGALLI D. JERISON, Quantitative stability of the Brunn–Minkowski inequality for sets of equal volume. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* 38 (2017), no. 2, 393–412. Zbl 1369.49065 MR 3615496
- [62] A. FIGALLI F. MAGGI, On the shape of liquid drops and crystals in the small mass regime. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **201** (2011), no. 1, 143–207. Zbl 1279.76005 MR 2807136
- [63] A. FIGALLI F. MAGGI, On the isoperimetric problem for radial log-convex densities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 48 (2013), no. 3-4, 447–489. Zbl 1307.49046 MR 3116018
- [64] A. FIGALLI F. MAGGI C. MOONEY, The sharp quantitative Euclidean concentration inequality. *Camb. J. Math.* 6 (2018), no. 1, 59–87. Zbl 1385.39005 MR 3786098

- [65] A. FIGALLI F. MAGGI A. PRATELLI, A refined Brunn–Minkowski inequality for convex sets. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 26 (2009), no. 6, 2511–2519. Zbl 1192.52015 MR 2569906
- [66] A. FIGALLI F. MAGGI A. PRATELLI, A mass transportation approach to quantitative isoperimetric inequalities. *Invent. Math.* 182 (2010), no. 1, 167–211. Zbl 1196.49033 MR 2672283
- [67] A. FIGALLI F. MAGGI A. PRATELLI, Sharp stability theorems for the anisotropic Sobolev and log-Sobolev inequalities on functions of bounded variation. *Adv. Math.* 242 (2013), 80–101. Zbl 1286.46035 MR 3055988
- [68] A. FIGALLI R. NEUMAYER, Gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality: the case $p \ge 2$. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **21** (2019), no. 2, 319–354. Zbl 1417.46023 MR 3896203
- [69] A. FIGALLI Y. R.-Y. ZHANG, Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality. *Duke Math. J.* 171 (2022), no. 12, 2407–2459. Zbl 07600543 MR 4484209
- [70] A. FIGALLI Y. R.-Y. ZHANG, Strong stability for the Wulff inequality with a crystalline norm. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 75 (2022), 422–446. Zbl 1495.49033 MR 4373173
- [71] I. FONSECA S. MÜLLER, A uniqueness proof for the Wulff theorem. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 119 (1991), no. 1-2, 125–136. Zbl 0752.49019 MR 1130601
- [72] N. Fusco, The quantitative isoperimetric inequality and related topics. *Bull. Math. Sci.* 5 (2015), no. 3, 517–607. Zbl 1327.49076 MR 3404715
- [73] N. FUSCO F. MAGGI A. PRATELLI, The sharp quantitative Sobolev inequality for functions of bounded variation. J. Funct. Anal. 244 (2007), no. 1, 315–341.
 Zbl 1121.46029 MR 2294486
- [74] N. FUSCO F. MAGGI A. PRATELLI, The sharp quantitative isoperimetric inequality. Ann. of Math. (2) 168 (2008), no. 3, 941–980. Zbl 1187.52009 MR 2456887
- [75] E. GAGLIARDO, Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili. *Ricerche Mat.* 7 (1958), 102–137. Zbl 0089.09401 MR 102740
- [76] F. GAZZOLA T. WETH, Remainder terms in a higher order Sobolev inequality. *Arch. Math.* (*Basel*) 95 (2010), no. 4, 381–388. Zbl 1215.46023 MR 2727315
- [77] B. GIDAS, Symmetry properties and isolated singularities of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. In *Nonlinear partial differential equations in engineering and applied science (Proc. Conf., Univ. Rhode Island, Kingston, R.I., 1979)*, pp. 255–273, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 54, Dekker, New York, 1980. Zbl 0444.35038
 MR 577096
- [78] B. GIDAS W. M. NI L. NIRENBERG, Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in Rⁿ. In *Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A*, pp. 369–402, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. 7, Academic Press, New York, 1981. Zbl 0469.35052
 MR 634248
- [79] D. GILBARG N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2001. Zbl 1042.35002 MR 1814364

- [80] E. INDREI, A weighted relative isoperimetric inequality in convex cones. *Methods Appl. Anal.* 28 (2021), no. 1, 1–13. Zbl 1480.35274 MR 4322874
- [81] B. KRUMMEL F. MAGGI, Isoperimetry with upper mean curvature bounds and sharp stability estimates. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 56 (2017), no. 2, Paper No. 53. Zbl 1368.49054 MR 3627438
- [82] J. M. LEE T. H. PARKER, The Yamabe problem. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 17 (1987), no. 1, 37–91. Zbl 0633.53062 MR 888880
- [83] P.-L. LIONS F. PACELLA, Isoperimetric inequalities for convex cones. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990), no. 2, 477–485. Zbl 0717.52008 MR 1000160
- [84] P.-L. LIONS F. PACELLA M. TRICARICO, Best constants in Sobolev inequalities for functions vanishing on some part of the boundary and related questions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 37 (1988), no. 2, 301–324. Zbl 0631.46033 MR 963504
- [85] F. MAGGI, Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems: An introduction to geometric measure theory. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 135, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. Zbl 1255.49074 MR 2976521
- [86] R. MAGNANINI G. POGGESI, On the stability for Alexandrov's soap bubble theorem. J. Anal. Math. 139 (2019), no. 1, 179–205. Zbl 1472.53013 MR 4041100
- [87] R. MAGNANINI G. POGGESI, Nearly optimal stability for Serrin's problem and the soap bubble theorem. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59 (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 35. Zbl 1440.35221 MR 4054869
- [88] R. MAGNANINI G. POGGESI, Serrin's problem and Alexandrov's soap bubble theorem: enhanced stability via integral identities. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 69 (2020), no. 4, 1181– 1205. Zbl 1445.35257 MR 4124125
- [89] R. J. MCCANN, A convexity theory for interacting gases and equilibrium crystals. Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1994.
- [90] M. MEDINA M. MUSSO, Doubling nodal solutions to the Yamabe equation in \mathbb{R}^n with maximal rank. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **152** (2021), 145–188. Zbl 1473.35299 MR 4280834
- [91] M. MEDINA M. MUSSO J. WEI, Desingularization of Clifford torus and nonradial solutions to the Yamabe problem with maximal rank. J. Funct. Anal. 276 (2019), no. 8, 2470–2523. Zbl 1439.35175 MR 3926123
- [92] V. D. MILMAN G. SCHECHTMAN, Asymptotic theory of finite-dimensional normed spaces. With an appendix by M. Gromov. Lecture Notes in Math. 1200, Springer, Berlin, 1986. Zbl 0606.46013 MR 856576
- [93] M. Musso J. WEI, Nondegeneracy of nodal solutions to the critical Yamabe problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 340 (2015), no. 3, 1049–1107. Zbl 1328.35047 MR 3406641
- [94] R. NEUMAYER, A note on strong-form stability for the Sobolev inequality. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **59** (2020), Paper No. 25. Zbl 1440.46033 MR 4048334

- [95] V. H. NGUYEN, The sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality in quantitative form. J. Funct. Anal. 277 (2019), no. 7, 2179–2208. Zbl 1423.26032 MR 3989143
- [96] L. NIRENBERG, On elliptic partial differential equations. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) 13 (1959), 115–162. Zbl 0088.07601 MR 109940
- [97] M. OBATA, The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds. J. Differential Geometry 6 (1971/72), 247–258. Zbl 0236.53042 MR 303464
- [98] R. OSSERMAN, The isoperimetric inequality. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1978), no. 6, 1182–1238. Zbl 0411.52006 MR 500557
- [99] Q. Ou, On the classification of entire solutions to the critical *p*-Laplace equation. 2022, arXiv:2210.05141v1.
- [100] F. PACELLA G. TRALLI, Overdetermined problems and constant mean curvature surfaces in cones. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 36 (2020), no. 3, 841–867. Zbl 1445.35258 MR 4109828
- [101] W. REICHEL, Radial symmetry for elliptic boundary-value problems on exterior domains. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 137 (1997), no. 4, 381–394. Zbl 0891.35006 MR 1463801
- [102] M. RITORÉ C. ROSALES, Existence and characterization of regions minimizing perimeter under a volume constraint inside Euclidean cones. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (2004), no. 11, 4601–4622. Zbl 1057.53023 MR 2067135
- B. RUFFINI, Stability theorems for Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequalities: a reduction principle to the radial case. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 27 (2014), no. 2, 509–539.
 Zbl 1315.46038 MR 3223578
- [104] F. SANTAMBROGIO, Optimal transport for applied mathematicians. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications 87, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2015. Zbl 1401.49002 MR 3409718
- [105] R. SCHOEN, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature. J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), no. 2, 479–495. Zbl 0576.53028 MR 788292
- [106] B. SCIUNZI, Classification of positive $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solutions to the critical *p*-Laplace equation in \mathbb{R}^N . *Adv. Math.* **291** (2016), 12–23. Zbl 1344.35061 MR 3459013
- [107] J. SERRIN, A symmetry problem in potential theory. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 43 (1971), 304–318. Zbl 0222.31007 MR 333220
- [108] J. SERRIN H. ZOU, Symmetry of ground states of quasilinear elliptic equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 148 (1999), no. 4, 265–290. Zbl 0940.35079 MR 1716665
- [109] J. SERRIN H. ZOU, Cauchy–Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities. *Acta Math.* 189 (2002), no. 1, 79–142.
 Zbl 1059.35040 MR 1946918
- [110] S. SOBOLEV, Sur un théoréme d'analyse fonctionnelle. *Math. Sb. (N.S)* 46 (1938), 471–496;
 English translation in *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 34 (1963), 39–68.
- [111] M. STRUWE, A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities. *Math. Z.* 187 (1984), 511–517. Zbl 0535.35025 MR 760051

- [112] G. TALENTI, Best constant in Sobolev inequality. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 110 (1976), 353–372. Zbl 0353.46018 MR 463908
- [113] J. E. TAYLOR, Existence and structure of solutions to a class of nonelliptic variational problems. In Symposia mathematica, Vol. XIV (Convegno di Teoria Geometrica dell'Integrazione e Varietà Minimali, INDAM, Roma, Maggio 1973), pp. 499–508, Academic Press, London, 1974. Zbl 0317.49053 MR 0420407
- [114] J. E. TAYLOR, Unique structure of solutions to a class of nonelliptic variational problems. In *Differential geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVII, Part 1, Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1973)*, pp. 419–427, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1975. Zbl 0317.49054 MR 0388225
- [115] N. S. TRUDINGER, Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (3) 22 (1968), 265–274. Zbl 0159.23801 MR 240748
- [116] P. VAN HINTUM H. SPINK M. TIBA, Sharp quantitative stability of the planar Brunn– Minkowski inequality. 2019, arXiv:1911.11945v1.
- [117] P. VAN HINTUM H. SPINK M. TIBA, Sharp stability of Brunn–Minkowski for homothetic regions. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 24 (2022), no. 12, 4207–4223. Zbl 07619416 MR 4493623
- [118] J. L. VÁZQUEZ, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 12 (1984), no. 3, 191–202. Zbl 0561.35003 MR 768629
- [119] J. VÉTOIS, A priori estimates and application to the symmetry of solutions for critical *p*-Laplace equations. *J. Differential Equations* 260 (2016), no. 1, 149–161.
 Zbl 1327.35117 MR 3411668
- [120] J. VÉTOIS, A note on the classification of positive solutions to the critical *p*-Laplace equation in \mathbb{R}^n . 2023, arXiv:2304.02600v1.
- [121] C. VILLANI, *Topics in optimal transportation*. Grad. Stud. Math. 58, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. Zbl 1106.90001 MR 1964483
- [122] C. VILLANI, Optimal transport. Old and new. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 338, Springer, Berlin, 2009. Zbl 1156.53003 MR 2459454
- [123] H. F. WEINBERGER, Remark on the preceding paper of Serrin. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.
 43 (1971), 319–320. Zbl 0222.31008 MR 333221
- [124] G. WULFF, Zur Frage der Geschwindigkeit des Wachstums und der Auflösung der Kristallflächen. Z. Kristallogr. 34 (1901), 449–530.
- [125] H. YAMABE, On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960), 21–37. Zbl 0096.37201 MR 125546
- [126] W. P. ZIEMER, Weakly differentiable functions: Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation. Grad. Texts in Math. 120, Springer, New York, 1989. Zbl 0692.46022 MR 1014685

Received 16 December 2021, and in revised form 29 March 2022

Alberto Roncoroni Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy alberto.roncoroni@polimi.it