

diid

disegno industriale
industrial design

theoria
pòiesis
praxis

diid.it


DIGITAL
SPECIAL
ISSUE
1

Disrupting Geographies in the Design World

Proceedings of the 8th International
Forum of Design as a Process

Alma Mater Studiorum — Università di Bologna

Editors
(Eds.)
Erik Ciravegna
Elena Formia
Valentina Gianfrate
Andreas Sicklinger
Michele Zannoni





Colophon

diid
disegno industriale
industrial design
Digital Special Issue 1
— DSI 1

Year
XXI

diid is an open access
peer-reviewed scientific
design journal

diid is published
three times a year

Registration at Tribunale
di Roma 86/2002
(March 6, 2002)

www.diid.it

Print subscription
(3 issues)
Euro 60,00
Subscription office
ordini@buponline.it

Editor-in-chief
Flaviano Celaschi
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

**Deputy Editor-in-chief
and Managing Editor**
Elena Formia
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Editors
Erik Ciravegna
Elena Formia
Valentina Gianfrate
Andreas Sicklinger
Michele Zannoni
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Founded by
Tonino Paris

Associate Editors
Viktor Malakuczi
Sapienza — Università
di Roma

Lorela Mehmeti
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Elena Vai
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Art Director
Davide Giorgetta

Editing Assistant
Olga Barmine

CC BY-NC-SA
Creative Commons
Attribution
NonCommercial
ShareAlike

Copyright © 2023
diid disegno industriale
industrial design

Publisher
Fondazione
Bologna University Press
Via Saragozza 10
40123 Bologna
Tel. (+39) 051 232 882
Fax (+39) 051 221 019
www.buponline.com
info@buponline.com

ISSN
1594-8528

ISSN Online
2785-2245

DOI
10.30682/diiddsi23

ISBN Online
979-12-5477-329-1

Scientific Board

Mariana Amatullo
Parsons School of Design
— The New School

Laura Badalucco
Università Iuav di Venezia

Alberto Bassi
Università Iuav di Venezia

Anna Bernagozzi
École nationale supérieure
des Arts Décoratifs, Paris

Paola Bertola
Politecnico di Milano

David Bihanic
Université Paris 1
Panthéon-Sorbonne

Andrea Boeri
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Olindo Caso
Delft University
of Technology

Manuela Celi
Politecnico di Milano

Erik Ciravegna
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Mirko Daneluzzo
Dubai Institute of Design
and Innovation

Claudia De Giorgi
Politecnico di Torino

Alessandro Deserti
Politecnico di Milano

Dijon De Moraes
Universidade do Estado
de Minas Gerais

Franco Fassio
Università di Scienze
Gastronomiche di Pollenzo

Daniele Fanzini
Politecnico di Milano

Luigi Ferrara
George Brown College

Carlo Franzato
Pontifícia Universidade
Católica do Rio de Janeiro
— PUC-Rio

Giuseppe Furlanis
ISIA Design Firenze

Claudio Germak
Politecnico di Torino

Valentina Gianfrate
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Miaosen Gong
Jiangnan University

Christian Guellerin
École de Design Nantes
Atlantique

Roberto Iñiguez Flores
Tecnológico de Monterrey

Çiğdem Kaya
Istanbul Technical
University

Giuseppe Lotti
Università degli Studi
di Firenze

Mario Losasso
Università degli Studi
di Napoli Federico II

Roberto Montanari
Università degli Studi
Suor Orsola Benincasa
di Napoli

Nicola Morelli
Aalborg University

Andrew David Morrison
Oslo School of Architecture
and Design

Spartaco Paris
Sapienza Università
di Roma

Daniela Petrelli
Sheffield Hallam University

Andreas Sicklinger
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Francesca Tosi
Università degli Studi
di Firenze

Ambra Trotto
RISE — Research
Institutes of Sweden

Michele Zannoni
Alma Mater Studiorum
— Università di Bologna

Main partners

Latin Design Process



DISEÑO | UC
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
Escuela de Diseño



Related activities and projects



Endorsers



SID Società Italiana di Design

In collaboration with



Reviewers

The Proceedings of the 8th International Forum of Design as a Process have undergone a rigorous peer-review process to ensure the scholarly quality of the contributions contained herein.

The Editors extend their sincere appreciation to the following Scholars who served as Reviewers for this Digital Special Issue.

Tarek Abdellatif	Valentina Frosini	João Nunes Sampaio
Cosimo Accoto	Rossana Gaddi	Boon Ong
Elena Alfaro	Vanessa Galvin	Giulio Palmitessa
Giulia Allegrini	Silvia Gasparotto	Anty Pansera
Margherita Ascari	Pamela Gatica	Veronica Pasini
Margarida Azevedo	Gionata Gatto	Amina Pereno
Laura Badalucco	Angela Giambattista	Merav Perez
Silvia Barbero	Valentina Gianfrate	Pier Paolo Peruccio
Luca Barbieri	Clara Giardina	Bárbara Pino Ahumada
Esra Bici Nasır	Carlo Giardinetti	Davide Pletto
Alessandra Bosco	Debora Giorgi	Gregory Polletta
Saveria Olga Murielle Boulanger	Michael Gray	Alessandro Pollini
Sabrina Bresciani	Pablo Hermansen	Shalini Prasad
Elena Brigi	Oscar Huerta	Diego Pucci
Francesca Calabrese	Çiğdem Kaya	Nathalie Rodríguez Estrada
Alberto Calleo	Elif Kocabıyık	Valentina Rognoli
Alfredo Calosci	Christopher Kueh	Maximiliano Romero
Serena Cangiano	Kristina Lamour Sansone	Ludovica Rosato
Stefano Capolongo	Jennifer Lane	Ilaria Ruggeri
Lina María Cárdenas	Carla Langella	Francesca Sabatini
Paolo Cardini	Lorna Lares López	Mariana Salgado
John Cardozo	Beatriz Leal Ramos	Jorge Sanabria
Giorgio Casoni	Margo Lengua	David Sánchez Ruano
Andrea Cattabriga	Ruth Maribel León-Morán	Romina Santi
Manuela Celi	Beatrice Lerma	Andreas Sicklinger
Gabriel Cereceda	Ami Licaj	Leila Signorelli
Simona Colitti	Lígia Lopes	Ricardo Sosa
Pietro Costa	Anna Lottersberger	Frank Spencer
Doriana Dal Palù	Stefano Luca	Chiara Sponza
Giorgio Dall'Osso	Patricia Mann	Laura Succini
Dolly Daou	John Martin	Ermanno Tasca
Antonio De Feo	Martina Massari	Martin Tironi
Vanessa De Luca	Mauricio Mejía	Umberto Tolino
Barbara Del Curto	Paula Melo Signerez	Florencia Toro Silva
Özgen Osman Demırbaş	Riccardo Mercuri	Beatrice Turillazzi
Carmelo Di Bartolo	Leonel Merino	Elena Vai
Loredana Di Lucchio	Giuseppe Mincoletti	Virginia Vignali
Amir Djalali	Katharine Mollenhauer	Paula Wuth
Alice Donferri Mitelli	Pierluigi Molteni	Burcu Yahız
Sergio Donoso	Carlos Montaña	Nélida Yanet Ramírez Triana
Seyedarshia Eghbali	Roberto Montanari	Michele Zannoni
Paola Fabbri	Edgardo Moraga	Carola Zurob
Daniele Fanzini	Alfonso Morone	
Anne Farren	Erica Isa Mosca	
	Federica Natalia Rosati	

Contents

14

**The Latin Network for the Development
of Design Processes**

16

8th Forum Main Partners

18

**Disrupting Geographies
in the Design World**

20

People

26

Impact

Track 1

There's No Plan(et) B: Sustainable Transitions to Systemic Planet-Centric Design

30

**There's No Plan(et) B.
Sustainable Transitions to
Systemic Planet-Centric Design**
Erik Ciravegna, Clara Giardina, Davide Pletto

40

**Beyond Collaboration: A Network Analysis
of Local Stances and Global Frameworks
in the Collective Design of the City**
Francesca Sabatini, Martina Massari,
Saveria Olga Murielle Boulanger

50

**Alter_Azioni: Designing
between Biological and Artifactual.
Scenarios for a Short-Term Future**
Pietro Costa, Raffaella Fagnoni

62

**(Systemic) Design for Sustainable
Territorial Transition: A Literature
Review of State of the Art**
Asja Aulisio, Silvia Barbero, Amina Pereno

72

**Dasein ist Design:
An Ontological Discussion of Design
in the Ecological Crisis Time**
Sabrina Lucibello, Carmen Rotondi

80

**The More-Than-Human Trend
in Design Research: A Literature Review**
Annapaola Vacanti, Francesco Burlando,
Isabella Nevoso, Massimo Menichinelli

90

**Being and Nature.
The Aesthetic Ecocentrism**
Adriano Pinho, Francisco Providência

102

**Forward to the Primitive.
New Sustainable Design Processes
Characterized by Primitive Aesthetic**
Jurji Fileri, Elisabetta Benelli

110
How Long Does It Take For a Paradigm Shift. A Design-based Critical Essay on Materials and Fabrication Processes
Guilherme Giantini, Lígia Lopes

118
Sustainability Needs Service Efficacy
Chiara Olivastri, Giovanna Tagliasco

126
Systemic Design Applied to Medtech. Guidelines for Corporate Training on Sustainable Healthcare
Enrica Ferrero, Giulia Ferrero

138
Reducing Waste in Healthcare: A Systemic Design Approach for Sustainable Disposables Manufacturers
Gabriele Maria Cito, Angela Giambattista

150
A Framework to Design Appliances for the Circular Economy Scenario
Chiara Battistoni

162
Digital Fashion Technologies & Practices: Design Driven Sustainable Transition in Fashion Industry
Ludovica Rosato, Alberto Calleo

170
Material Resources as a Contextual Complex System
Michele De Chirico

180
Diffuse Micro-Factory: Circular Distributed Production System for Microbial Nanocellulose
Lorena Trebbi

190
From Sea to Fashion. Seaweeds as Material for a Sustainable Transition
Paolo Franzo, Clizia Moradei

198
The Sound of Sustainability. Biomaterials and New Sensory Frontiers
Giovanni Inglese, Sabrina Lucibello, Carmen Rotondi

208
Unpacking Ceramic History in Asia and Europe: Contribution to New Reusable Packaging Design
Raquel Gomes, Cláudia Albino

Track 2

Intersectional Design for an Accessible and Empowering World

220
Intersectional Design for an Accessible and Empowering World: Views from the 8th Forum of Design as a Process
Valentina Gianfrate, Lígia Lopes, Margherita Ascari, Simona Colitti

226
Viva! Colinas. Service Design for Tourism and Reconciliation in Communities of Former Colombian Guerrilla
Beatriz Bonilla Berrocal

238
The Digital Archive as an Inclusive Tool for Knowledge Construction Through Design Practices
Alessandra Bosco, Fiorella Bulegato, Silvia Gasparotto

248
Intercultural Design Approach. Narrative Design for a Multicultural Society
Irene Caputo, Marco Bozzola, Claudia De Giorgi

258

From Wayfinding to Placefinding. Orientation and Alterity in Urban Spaces
Daniela D'Avanzo, Salvatore Zingale

268

A Meta-Analysis for an Interactive, Intersectional and Inclusive Exhibition Based on the SDGs
Sergio Degiacomi, Francesca Zoccarato, Simone De Pascalis, Pietro Crovari, Fabio Catania

278

From Empathy to Inclusive Design: Multisensory Solutions for (Not Only) Socially Sustainable Projects
Federica Delprino

288

Etnography in Sever Do Vouga: Reality->Change First Step to Engage a Creative and Rural Community
Pedro Fragoso Lopes, Gonçalo Gomes

298

The Implementation of U.D. in a Metal Processing Plant of the Metropolitan Zone of Guadalajara (MZG)
Luis Erik Hernández Sánchez, Enrique Herrera Lugo, Jaime Francisco Gómez Gómez, Francisco Javier González Madariaga

312

Towards Better Public Sector Innovation. Co-designing Solutions to Improve Inclusion and Integration
Ilaria Mariani, Francesca Rizzo, Grazia Concilio

322

Creating Methodological Design Processes for Empowering Artisans of Cali, Colombia
Edgar Andrés Martínez Muñoz, Diana Marcela Giraldo Pinedo

332

Empowering Through Design: Regional Development Strategy of Los Lagos as an Intersectional Case
Daniel Moreno, Katherine Mollenhauer, Arturo Orellana

344

Inclusive Merchandising. A Storyteller for an Accessible University
Monica Oddone, Marco Bozzola, Claudia De Giorgi

354

Geopolitics of Fashion. Glocal Power Evidence and Design Activism for Leading Disrupting Textile Debris in Chile
Bárbara Pino Ahumada

366

Intersectional Design in Practice: A Critical Perspective on Sustainability for All
Alessandro Pollini, Pilar Orero, Alessandro Caforio

374

Empower to Care or Care to Empower? The Theory Behind the Practice That Transforms
Marcia Santos da Silva, Gustavo Severo de Borba

384

Perspectives of Sound: Promoting Social Inclusion Under the Principle of "Access for All" in Museums
Yi Zhang, Raffaella Trocchianesi

Design and Responsive Technologies for Human Wellbeing

396

Design and Responsive Technologies for Human Wellbeing

Mirko Daneluzzo, Michele Zannoni, Giorgio Dall'Osso, Silvia Gasparotto

406

Counterpoint. Are We Sure That All These Data Are Good for Us?

Antonella Valeria Penati, Carlo Emilio Standoli

418

Sustainable Data-Driven Strategies and Active Well-Being: A Case Study

Giuseppe Mincolelli, Gian Andrea Giacobone, Michele Marchi

426

Are You Me? Re-Embodiment Process for Telepresence Robots

Lorenza Abbate, Claudio Germak

440

From Applications to Implications: Design as a Process for Humanising Future Robotics

Christiam Mendoza, Roberto Íñiguez Flores, Ruth León Morán

450

Data Driven Design: From Environment to the Human Body

Elena Cavallin

458

IF THIS THAN THAT Broken Linear Logic. Rethinking and Representing the Design Process

Margherita Ascari, Andrea Cattabriga, Simona Colitti, Ami Liçaj

468

Responsible Tech Innovation Through Design: A Participative, Reflective, and Systemic Approach

Jane Vita, Tiina Mäkelä, Teemu Leinonen

478

(Re)Active Materials. Well-Being's Concept Evolution and Advanced Material Innovations

Noemi Emidi

490

Mixed Reality as Activator of Collaborative Processes for Transcultural Future

Alessandra Miano

500

Exhibitions as Hybrid Environments. Exploring Situated & Embodied Interaction in Cultural Heritage

Letizia Bollini, Marco Borsotti

512

Ethnographic Study: Finger Food Systems, Contribution to a Project Program in Food Design

Lígia Afreixo, Francisco Providência

522

PASSO Project: Design of a Smart System Using Biofeedback to Train People with Parkinson's Disease

Silvia Imbesi, Giuseppe Mincolelli

532

Pathos: A digital service to improve women's hospital experience

Elisa L'Angiocola, Angela Giambattista

544

Brain Training, Mindfulness, and Wearables: Empowering Employee Wellbeing Through Neurotechnologies

Francesca Bonetti, Giorgio Casoni

554

The Augmented Body: Technological Contamination in the Fashion-Tech Paradigm

Elisabetta Cianfanelli, Margherita Tufarelli, Elena Pucci

564

Health Communication as Apo-Mediation. The Impact of Communication Design on Health Prevention and Perception

Daniela Anna Calabi, Alice Maturo

Design Values Out of the Mainstream: New Geographies of Influence

578

Design Values Out of the Mainstream: New Geographies of Influence

Qassim Saad, Andreas Sicklinger,
Lorela Mehmeti

588

An Analytical study to develop the traditional craft in the field of creative industries in Egypt

Hoda Aman

600

Enhancing social well-being through social innovation approach and design expertise: a case study for social innovation in a local district in Turkey

Yagmur Gizem Avci, Ece Cinar, Cigdem Kaya

610

Cultural Factories: Conversion of Industrial Areas into Cultural Hubs

Eva Vanessa Bruno, Beatrice Lerma,
Doriana Dal Palù, Claudia De Giorgi

620

Bahrain Knowledge Bay. Using Design Thinking to Establish an Infrastructure Towards Knowledge Economy

Halim Choueiry

630

Culture and creativity as assets for inclusive growth in small and remote places: a design-led process

Annalinda De Rosa, Davide Fassi

640

Culture-based Innovation: A Localized Approach for Designing

Alaa El Anssary, Ahmed Wahby

650

Burning approaches to tensing the present: a new political dimension of design

Fabiana Marotta

658

Design Resistance. Material Solutions for local remoteness

Martina Taranto, Barbara Pollini,
Valentina Rognoli

668

How Should Technology Follower Companies of Developing Countries Innovate Through Design Capability?

Bilgen Tuncer Manzakoglu

680

Subjectivation and cities: relationships between local independent fashion and Possible Future Scenarios

Paula Visoná, Mágda Rodrigues da Cunha,
César Kieling

New Education Pathways for Future Designers in a Changing World

696

New Education Pathways for Future Designers in a Changing World

Valentina De Matteo, Elena Formia, Roberto Iñiguez Flores, Laura Succini

706

Decolonizing the Design Process: A Case Study in Authorship, Power, and Control

Scot Geib

714

OpenMind Handbook. A System of Design Tools and Processes to Empower Democracy Culture in Primary Schools

Valentina Facchetti, Laura Galluzzo, Ambra Borin

724

Architecture, Design and Community in Colombia. More Urban, More Rural, More Social: The Workshop Experience

Sasha Londoño-Venegas, Adriana Jaramillo Botero

736

Creative Community for Generation Z Teachers in Brazil Through Strategic Design

Lara Maria Luft, Debora Barauna, Gustavo Severo de Borba

746

Design Thinking and Career Development: A Comparative Study

Clio Dosi, Eric Guerci, Jacek Jakięta, Joanna Świętoniowska, Eleni Vordou, Maria José Varadinov, Matteo Vignoli, Gastão de Jesus Marques, Joanna Wójcik

760

Design Processes: From the Historical Perspective to the Application in Startups Companies

Isabela Moroni, Amilton Arruda

772

Design and Innovation: Where Do We Want to Play? Inquiry Into Some Design's Strengths and Weaknesses in Innovation

Marco Limani

782

Design Ecosystem in Portugal. Education, Research and Entrepreneurship

Marlene Ribeiro, Francisco Providência

790

The Design Posture: A Collaborative Learning-By-Doing Approach

Rita Duina, Marco Berni, Andrea Del Bono

798

Advanced Manufacturing for Sustainable Fashion. Developing Interdisciplinary Educational Experiences

Daria Casciani

810

Co-designing Contents With Situated Stakeholders: An In-Field Process in Nolo (Milan)

Davide Fassi, Francesco Vergani

820

Creativity and Mirror Effect: Teaching Creative Skills Through Non-traditional Pedagogies

Alejandra Amenábar Álamos

830

How Design Thinking Could Benefit Future Educational Environments in a Post-Pandemic Era?

Yuqing Zhu, Yunyu Ouyang

840

How a Technology Identity Can Enhance the Diffusion of Good Design Practices in Product Sound Design

Daphne Degiorgis, Marco D'Addario, Beatrice Lerma, Doriana Dal Palù

852

Learning and Teaching From and by Social Media. Instagram to Support Blended Learning Models

Vittorio Linfante, Andrea Manciaracina

864

Education & Practice in Open Design. Improving the Learning Experience Through Knowledge Connections

Fabrizio Valpreda

876

**You Can Never Solve Problems With
the Same Mindset That Created Them.
How Can We Change the How and the
What We Teach to Enable Our Students
to Become Truly “Terrestrial” Designers?
A Proposition Following Bruno Latour’s
“Terrestrial Manifesto”**

Angela Grosso Ciponte, Evelyne Roth

884

**Good for Good. Designing
Packaging in the Era of Deliveries**

Loredana Di Lucchio, Ivo Caruso

896

**Onboarding Future Systemic
Innovation Designers Through
Informal and Collaborative Activities**

Leonardo Moiso, Sofia Cretaio,
Cristina Marino, Chiara L. Remondino,
Paolo Tamborrini

908

**Material Practices in Transition:
From Analogue to Digital in Teaching
Textile and Fashion Design**

Delia Dumitrescu, Martina Motta

918

**Designing for the Future of
Education Through Cultural Heritage**

Nour Zreika, Daniele Fanzini

928

**We Need to Talk About Learning Design.
A Proposal for Critical Conversation**

Suzanne E. Martin

938

**Collaborative Learning of Ph.D.
Candidates in Design on Emerging
Scenarios in Scientific Publication**

Eleonora Lupo, Sara Radice

948

**Scenarios, Networks and Systems:
An Alternative to Dichotomous Patterns**

Liana Chiapinotto, Fernando Guimarães
Horlle, Tássia Ruiz, Celso Carnos Scaletsky

Material Practices in Transition: From Analogue to Digital in Teaching Textile and Fashion Design

Delia Dumitrescu

University of Borås

delia.dumitrescu@hb.se

ORCID 0000-0002-0256-6257

Martina Motta

Politecnico di Milano

martina.motta@polimi.it

ORCID 0000-0003-2894-4145

Abstract

The transition to digital design tools challenges the craftsmanship of textile and fashion designers as part of the product value chain, opening for reflection on how textile craftsmanship should be taught in education due to the current trend of digitalisation. By looking at new forms of craftsmanship, this research expands on the idea of teaching students transdisciplinary methods which connect analogue and digital tools within textile and fashion design education. Based on analysis of a number of case studies, we propose a framework of different strategies for teaching textile craftsmanship in the digital design age, with the aim of integrating textile-specific digital environments — which have been designed primarily to maximise the efficiency of industrial processes, rather than to enhance design development with regard to artistic expression — and non-textile digital tools on the basis that these are exploratory in nature and open to more creative design practices.

Keywords

Material practices
Teaching
Analogue craftsmanship
Digital tools
Textile and Fashion Design

The Role of Haptics in the Age of Analogue Craftsmanship

Prior to the age of digitalisation, the haptic dimension and analogue tools were generally employed in teaching fundamental skills in design education programmes. Compared to the role of visual observation in the design process, haptic perception informs about the physical features of materials that refer to characteristics such as roughness, compliance, coldness, slipperiness (friction), and weight (Tiest, 2010). Complementary, Zuo et al. (2014) make a clear distinction between the physical features of material textures based on the structural arrangement of the constitutive elements and how the texture is experienced and communicated based on sensorial perception. Moreover, haptic discovery connects the understanding of the material's physical and sensorial properties and communicates the necessary adjustments in forming and material craftsmanship. In referring to the quality of craftsmanship, Manzini (1989) associates the role of direct interaction with the medium of creation with modes of learning technical knowledge and selective processes leading to the refining of skills.

The first foundational examples for teaching textural qualities were developed at the Bauhaus, where the richness of haptic perception and importance of teaching multidimensional forms of expression by connecting the visual to the tactile were understood, and employed in the training of students. The exercises were based on material discovery, facilitating direct interaction with a large variety of textures and materials and experimentation with a diverse range of textural qualities and media of expression, including wood, metal, and textiles (Itten, 1975). Hence, the use of the descriptive language of material characters and properties was considered insufficient for enabling complex creative processes in texture studies.

Following the same line of thought, Groth (2016) stresses the importance of nowadays education through direct tactile and bodily experiences in craft and design. Physical prototyping supports cognitive and sense-making processes by connecting an ideal mental vision of a desired design to a possible reality, and enables the creator to communicate the qualities of the artwork to the user.

For textile designers studying, basic exercises in textural design have a fundamental role in integrating the sense of touch alongside visual expression in the processes of selecting materials (yarn qualities, printing materials) and textile techniques (weaving, printing). Addressing haptics as a sensorial dimension in the design process allows the designer to work with complex processes, connecting materials, tools, textures, and forms through direct experience with the artefact and understanding of the physical properties of materials.

Haptics in the Age of Digital Craftsmanship

Today, the increased influence of digitalisation on the creative processes of the artistic fields challenges the role of haptic knowledge and analogue environments in teaching and acquiring basic design skills. Thus, the extended transition away from analogue methods and towards digital tools in most design education programmes has moved the focus in teaching from haptic to visual media of expression and has challenged the use of conventional design methods (Kretzer, 2021). Consequently, digitalisation has lessened the importance of experiential knowledge and tactility in the process of artefact-making by amplifying the importance of vision and digital craftsmanship within creative processes. Digital media of expression offer the possibility to generate highly expressive digital surfaces, which are solely visual in nature and constituted by digital textures that utilise mediations of analogue characteristics (such as colour, transparency, dullness, and lustre) and digital variables (including reflection, refraction, specularly, and luminosity). In analysing the differences between analogue and digital craftsmanship, McCullough (2006) describes the direct manipulation of objects in real-time as one of the fundamental characteristics of digital craftsmanship, as the digital medium allows the designer to achieve similar artistic goals in less time.

Reflecting on the transition from analogue to digital design methods, Malins et al. (2007), discuss the positive tension that occurs in teaching design when transitioning from educating for traditional haptic skills to the digital medium emphasising the gain of a transdisciplinary dimension of knowledge that crosses the traditional borders of the established design professions. This hybrid knowledge synthesises traditional form-making processes based on the diminishing importance of material craftsmanship and experiential knowledge as compared to abstract digital creative processes based on logic and generative complexity. Gross and Do (2009) refer to educating for a new maker culture defined by cross-disciplinary creativity and openness to combine the established knowledge domains in digital and physical tools and processes.

The transition to digital tools and cross-disciplinary workflows has been widely established in object-based fields such as product design and architecture (Carpo, 2017). In the textile field, teaching methods for digital modelling and digital manufacturing techniques are at an early stage (Kwon et al., 2017). Subsequently, in material-based fields such as textile and fashion design the haptic dimension of material-making remains a quintessential aspect of teaching and learning textile structural techniques or fabric manipulation methods. However, the role of textile and fashion design as part of the product value chain faces large challenges at present that open for reflection on how textile craftsmanship should be taught due to the current trend of digitalisation of tools. This influences industrial manufacturing, the development of complex workflows, remote modes of production, assessment of sustainable material life cycles, and customisation of textile products. Moreover, the pandemic forced designers, teachers, and students to teach and to work on physical artefacts remotely, utilising digital tools and equipment in innovative ways and studying how they can be used to replace phys-

ical prototyping (Özdamar et al., 2021; Carpo, 2022). Today, a slow but progressive return to physical, in-person approaches means that the solutions found during difficult times are no longer replacing the old ones but opening up new opportunities. Thus, the field requires suitable methods of teaching complementary digital skills for material simulation to be established, and creative processes of structural design that can complement traditional haptic skills and the craft of hand manipulation and use of analogue equipment.

Exploring a Textile Perspective on Digitalisation: Research Approach

This research expands on the idea of teaching transdisciplinary methods that connect analogue and digital tools in textile design education to develop new forms of craftsmanship that emerge from the use of advanced digital fabrication methods, both textile and non-textile. Using a practice-based approach, the aim was to outline a space for digital textile craftsmanship that would expand the existing knowledge on analogue textile tools and material crafting in relation to digital environments. Knitting was selected as it allows the practitioner to work with the processes of material construction, object forming, and surface-texture design so as to link the material to the product. The aim was to broaden specialised knowledge of textiles by connecting craftsmanship to the use of the most advanced digital tools, such as digital environments for virtual sampling, and highly specialised machine-control software. The purpose of this was to generate suitable methods for textile- and fashion-design education programmes that would complement existing analogue skills with digital ones and add value to manual craftsmanship. This research opens up for reflection on a new profession that combines textile design training with current modes of connectivity in production, communication, and collaboration that are specific to Industry 5.0, the current industrial model (Nahavandi, 2019).

In the field of knitting design there already exist highly specialised digital environments for working with structural programming, surface imagery, materials, and three-dimensional visual sampling and customisation of textile products. These include SDS-ONE APEX for industrial machines such as the Shima Seiki and M1Plus for Stoll knitting machines, both of which can be used with garment-simulation software such as VStitcher and Clo3D. These digital environments require access to highly specialised software and machines and advanced knowledge of textile craftsmanship and technical programming. Exploratory creative processes that make use of these environments are generally assisted by a technician as they require special training in technical skills that are usually not provided by design training. Complementing these are non-textile digital environments such as Rhino 3D and Blender, which allow the designer to start with a basic three-dimensional drawing of textile structures, simulate and animate three-dimensional textile forms. These require knowledge of CAD software and facilitate work with more general-purpose, affordable tools such as 3D-printing and laser-cutting machines. As compared to specialised textile-design software and industrial-scale machines, which are constrained by

textile and fashion industry workflows, non-textile digital tools provide an exploratory character to design processes and open up for a more direct relationship with product design and architecture.

The case studies presented constitute four scenarios and ways of interaction between the haptic dimension and digital environments for textile and knitting design. A two-fold approach was used:

- First, from a practice-based research perspective using the designer's standpoint, interactions were explored with regard to expanding the range of tools and design processes to generate new methods to teach new forms of hybrid craftsmanship.
- Second, from a pedagogical standpoint, how interactions can enhance the teaching and learning process was explored, as was the generation of updated tools and methods to improve the learning experience and obtaining of both manual-haptic and digital skills.

A Framework for Teaching Textile Craftsmanship by Mixing Analogue and Digital Tools

As result, we propose a framework of methods Fig. 1 that relate analogue and digital craftsmanship to specialised textile and non-textile tools in design processes. The purpose of this is to elaborate on and offer different strategies for teaching textile material practices in the digital age, with the aim of integrating textile-specific digital tools — which have been designed primarily to maximise the efficiency of industrial processes, rather than to enhance design development — and general-purpose digital tools, which provide an exploratory character to design processes and open up for perspectives from a diverse array of fields.




Fig. 1
Position of the case studies according to the type of necessary craftsmanship skills and type of modelling environment used, by Authors.

1 Implementing digital craftsmanship in specialised digital textile environments and non-textile digital environments. This method involved two approaches to combining digital tools and material-fabrication methods using a specialised digital textile environment for knitting design (M1Plus) and non-textile digital environments such as Rhino 3D and Grasshopper for 2D and 3D pattern-generation and simulation. These 2D patterns were printed on textiles using three-dimensional filament-printing technology and applied to a previously produced knitted base, which was prestressed. The result was a hybrid collection of three-dimensional textile composites with self-folding and -forming behaviours **Fig. 2**. The advantage of combining these two approaches in teaching was that it facilitated work with haptic qualities of textiles such as feel, weight, and elasticity and 2D surface design and the formation of a complex three-dimensional form in a digital environment. This led to the development of forming skills relating to the combining of soft and stiff material behaviour (Dumitrescu, 2021), and simplified the knitting process so as to achieve complex textures by adding a new technology, resulting in three-dimensional textures and forms.

The process emerged from a dialogue between:

- the haptic experience of knitted structures;
- material hybrid fusions to generate self-folding and -forming behaviours;
- 2D pattern design and forming of textures in a digital environment such as Rhino 3D;
- acquiring new craftsmanship skills in a non-textile fabrication method: three-dimensional filament-printing technology.




Fig. 2
A diagram for structuring samples for teaching hybrid materials based on form and material behaviour according to the textile elasticity and the flexibility of the 3D printed layer, by the author.

2 Implementing haptic knowledge of textiles in non-textile digital environments to generate digital textile expressions- This method references the process of transposing physical textile craftsmanship into a non-textile digital environment and modifying it to alter conventional textile expressions, facilitating creativity in the digital environment Fig. 3. The diverse array of actions challenged the flat expression of textiles, resulting in novel, three-dimensional interpretations with enhanced haptic visual expressions. The case uses background knowledge of knitting using analogue and digital tools to generate different structural designs. The aim was to translate reality-based images that could then be manipulated into new textural forms through non-textile digital tools. A method to animate cloth in Blender was used to explore the dynamic forming by draping of digital textiles; this exercise focused on the explorative process of surface, environment, and form. The digital draped fabric forms which were created in Blender were translated to Rhino 3D. Here, the forms were further explored as volumetric textures by applying the digitalised knitted samples. The process enabled the creation of complex coloured textural forms that could later be 3D printed.




Fig. 3
A workflow diagram illustrating the different steps of the design process, by Author.

3 Implementing analogue craftsmanship in specialised digital textile environments. This method used haptic and analogue experience and specialised digital tools to improve the learning process in terms of both analogue and digital knowledge. During the process of learning knitting skills, understanding knitted structures and physically touching materials are fundamental. This knowledge has always been obtained through touch and making hundreds of analogue samples, developing the ability of practitioners to predict the physical features of a final outcome. Today, digital environments for knitted textiles simulate what has been programmed using coding for industrial machines. In this case study students were asked to work in both physical and digital environments, following a series of steps during which they:

Designed and produced a physical knitted sample.

- Programmed the same sample in the SDS-ONE APEX3 software package.
- Simulated the sample by inputting all of the information regarding yarns, structure, and colours into the digital environment, using the skills learned as a result of manual experience.
- Knitted the simulated sample to create a second physical version, and compared this to the one made manually during the first step.

This process Fig. 4 taught the students how to use digital tools to predict analogue results and to use analogue experience to work in digital environments, generating a cyclic enhancement of both domains of knowledge.




Fig. 4
The evolution of samples from manual to electronic machines, through the virtual simulation. Design and production by Ida Belli and Lorenzo Costanzini.

- 4 Using specialised digital textile environments to implement understanding of analogue processes and structures.
- As is discussed above, learning how to knit is a process that requires an understanding of structures, namely how the yarn becomes a three-dimensional fabric. The more the yarn interacts with the needles in different ways, the more varied and complex the structure becomes, generating endless design possibilities. This learning process has always been a “learning-by-doing” process, where the understanding of what is achievable happens through trial and error. Today, the software used to program machines has a feature that simulates the machine while it knits the structure that has just been programmed, showing all of the movements of yarn feeders, needles, and yarns Fig. 5. This dynamic virtual simulation makes it possible to observe what happens inside the machine, where the needles are usually hidden far from the eye and safety mechanisms prevent direct intervention. The tool, which was originally designed to allow technical specialists to check the program, was transformed into a learning tool for design students of both basic and advanced levels.
- The tool allows beginners to:
- Observe how the yarn can be used to make basic structures.
 - Understand how needles and yarn feeders work.

- Identify correspondence between manual and industrial machines.

More advanced users can use the tool to:

- Understand complex structures.
- Expand their knowledge of structures through exploration and experimentation with machines.
- Anticipate and understand possible errors, quickly and with less material wasted.
- Explore a wide variety of design possibilities.

Discussion

The case studies exemplify diverse ways of approaching the teaching of material design in textiles and fashion field, wherein haptic knowledge is combined with digital media, and show four possible paths that practice and processes within textile design can take; these extend out from the creative core of the research presented and encompass the digital technologies available. These can be used to generate new forms of textile craftsmanship, wherein haptic textile knowledge maintains its importance and is worked with in a systematic way in the digital realm. The results of each case study were improvements in terms of both design outcomes and skill development, and assessments of different positioning within the framework show that there are multiple pathways for further research and practices that could be positioned anywhere between the four axes of the framework in terms of finding new ways of helping the haptic and physical craftsmanship to interact with the virtual and digital tools. The results of each case study exemplify the positive impact of a cross-disciplinary approach, and the benefits of combining tools in terms of both design outcomes and practitioner development.




Fig. 5
A screenshot of Shima Seiki Apex3 interface showing the virtual simulation of how the machine performs the programmed knit sample including the movement of yarns, needles and yarn feeders. Produced by Authors.

Digital environments are increasingly supporting the rapid changes in approach to industrial production that we are experiencing: after a decade of Industry 4.0 we are now approaching Industry 5.0, which is centred on symbiosis between human and machine (Longo et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021) while maintaining respect for the planet and workers' wellbeing (Breque et al., 2021). Here, shared workflows and cross-disciplinary practices complement an integrated perspec-

tive on the product value chain, extending from material to product and end-use (Gloy, 2021). Designing, teaching, and learning about hybrid material-based practices, as takes place in the field of textiles, requires new tools and methods with higher levels of digitalisation, and so there is a necessity to implement holistic perspectives on processes relating to design and textile-making which transcend conventional professional boundaries that are based exclusively on analogue and digital craftsmanship and tools, and which will ensure responsive innovation paths.

Textile and non-textile digital tools are of equal importance when teaching textile design, as both enable the development of creative processes and provide knowledge and skills with which to realise design visions. This research sought to emphasise the design possibilities afforded by each, and to reflect on the need to teach students about the diversity of digital environments to ensure the development of sustainable textile-based practices.

References

- Breque, M., De Nul, L., & Petridis, A. (2021). *Industry 5.0: towards a sustainable, human-centric and resilient European industry*. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research and Innovation.
- Carmo, M. (2017). *The second digital turn: design beyond intelligence*. MIT Press.
- Carmo, M. (2022). Design and automation at the end of modernity: the teachings of the pandemic. *Architectural Intelligence*, 1(3), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44223-022-00001-0>
- Dumitrescu, D. (2021). Digital encounters in the culture of textile making: developing a hybrid craftsmanship for textile design by fusing additive methods of surface fabrication with knitting technology. *Cumulux Conference Proceedings Roma 2021*, 2, 1588-1599.
- Gloy, Y. S. (2021). *Industry 4.0 in Textile Production*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62590-0>
- Gross, M. D., & Do, E. Y. L. (2009). Educating the new makers: cross-disciplinary creativity. *Leonardo*, 42(3), 210-215. <https://doi.org/10.1162/leon.2009.42.3.210>
- Groth, C. (2016). Design and craft thinking analysed as embodied cognition. *FormAkademisk*, 9(1), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.7577/formakademisk.1481>
- Itten, J. (1975). *Design and form: the basic course at the Bauhaus and later*. Wiley.
- Kretzer, M. (2021). Digital crafting: a new frontier for material design. In O. Pedgley, V. Rognoli, & E. Karana (Eds.), *Materials Experience 2: Expanding of Materials and Design* (pp. 53-66). Elsevier.
- Kwon, Y. M., Lee, Y. A., & Kim, S. J. (2017). Case study on 3D printing education in fashion design coursework. *Fashion and Textiles*, 4(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-017-0111-3>
- Longo, F., Padovano, A., & Umbrello, S. (2020). Value-oriented and ethical technology engineering in industry 5.0: A human-centric perspective for the design of the factory of the future. *Applied Sciences*, 10(12), 4182, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10124182>
- Malins, J., Pengelly, J., & Marshall, J. (2007). The post-disciplinary digital practitioner. In E. Bohemia, K. Hilton, C. McMahon, & A. Clarke (Eds.), *Proceedings of E&PDE 2007, the 9th International Conference on Engineering and Product Design Education (E&PDE 2007)*. Newcastle, UK, 13-14 September 2007. *Design Society*, 437-442.
- Manzini, E. (1989). *The material of invention*. MIT Press.
- McCullough, M. (2006). 20 years of scripted space. *Architectural Design*, 76(4), 12-15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.288>
- Nahavandi, S. (2019). Industry 5.0 — A Human-Centric Solution. *Sustainability*, 11(16), 4371. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164371>
- Özdamar, E. G., Caymaz, G. F. Y., & Yavaş, H. (2021). Hapticity in Digital Education Atmospheres. *Journal of Design Studio*, 3(2), 141-157. <https://doi.org/10.46474/jds.982811>
- Tiest, W. M. B. (2010). Tactual perception of material properties. *Vision Research*, 50(24), 2775-2782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2010.10.005>
- Xu, X., Lu, Y., Vogel-Heuser, B., & Wang, L. (2021). Industry 4.0 and Industry 5.0 — Inception, conception and perception. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 61, 530-535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.10.006>
- Zuo, H., Hope, T., & Jones, M. (2014). Tactile aesthetics of materials and design. In E. Karana, O. Pedgley, & V. Rognoli (Eds.), *Materials Experience - fundamentals of materials and design* (pp. 27-37). Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-099359-1.00003-5>

Published online in September 2023

The 8th International Forum of Design as a Process, themed “Disrupting Geographies in the Design World” was held in Bologna from 20 to 22 June 2022. The event was organised by the Advanced Design Unit of the Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna, Department of Architecture, in collaboration with two partner universities: Tecnológico de Monterrey (TEC) and Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile.

The Forum engaged speakers from the Global Design community, expanding the original vocation of the Latin Network for the Development of Design as a Process to include researchers and designers of the Mediterranean Area, Middle East, IOR (Indian Ocean Region), and Global South regions. The goal was to share new perspectives on imagining design futures in a responsible and just perspective, at the forefront of change, while building strategic partnerships and creating accessible knowledge.

Structured around three pillars — seminars, workshops, and exhibitions — the Forum hosted meetings, reflection opportunities, networking activities. It involved designers, scholars, young researchers, design entrepreneurs, in an experimental format.

Speakers’ contributions not only inspired the practices of the designers’ community, but also resonated with students and the broad audiences. The presentations explored intersections of materiality and culture, post-coloniality, decoloniality, gender studies, and other areas of human thought and action which seek to analyse, question and challenge the disruptive geographies in the world, today.

The papers submitted to the five tracks proposed are published in the Digital Special Issue 1 of *diid. disegno industriale – industrial design*, celebrating during those days its 20th anniversary and serving as the fourth partner of the event.

The Editors

Erik Ciravegna, Elena Formia, Valentina Gianfrate,
Andreas Sicklinger, Michele Zannoni

DSI No. 1 — 2023

Year XXI

ISSN 1594-8528

ISSN Online 2785-2245

ISBN Online 979-12-5477-329-1

**DIGITAL
SPECIAL
ISSUE**
1



Bologna
University Press