

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339103153>

# Forced oscillation technique for optimising PEEP in ventilated extremely preterm infants

Article in *European Respiratory Journal* · January 2020

DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01650-2019

---

CITATIONS

13

---

READS

169

5 authors, including:



**Linda Wallström**

Uppsala University

17 PUBLICATIONS 160 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Chiara Veneroni**

Politecnico di Milano

72 PUBLICATIONS 448 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Emanuela Zannin**

ASST Monza – San Gerardo Hospital

79 PUBLICATIONS 1,005 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Raffaele Dellacà**

Politecnico di Milano

245 PUBLICATIONS 4,465 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



## FORCED OSCILLATION TECHNIQUE FOR OPTIMISING PEEP IN VENTILATED EXTREMELY PRETERM INFANTS

Journal:	<i>European Respiratory Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	ERJ-01650-2019.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Letter
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Dec-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Wallstrom, Linda; Uppsala University, Department of Women's and Children's Health, Section for Pediatrics, Perinatal Research Laboratory, Uppsala University Children's Hospital Veneroni, Chiara; Politecnico di Milano University, Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria Zannin, Emanuela; Politecnico di Milano Dipartimento di Elettronica Informazione e Bioingegneria, Dellaca, Raffaele; Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria DEIB Sindelar, Richard; Department of Women's and Children's Health, Section for Pediatrics, Perinatal Research Laboratory
Key Words:	neonatal ventilation, neonatal lung disease, prematurity, lung mechanics, PEEP
Abstract:	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 **FORCED OSCILLATION TECHNIQUE FOR OPTIMISING PEEP IN**  
13 **VENTILATED EXTREMELY PRETERM INFANTS**  
14

15  
16 Linda Wallström<sup>1\*</sup>, Chiara Veneroni<sup>2\*</sup>, Emanuela Zannin<sup>2</sup>, Raffaele L. Dellacà<sup>2</sup>, and Richard  
17 Sindelar<sup>1</sup>  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23 **Affiliations:**  
24

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> Department of Women's and Children's Health, Uppsala University  
27

28 <sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria, Politecnico di Milano  
29

30 **Corresponding author and reprint requests:** Linda Wallström, Department of Women's  
31 and Children's Health, Uppsala University, Uppsala University Children's Hospital, SE-751  
32 85 Uppsala, Sweden. Phone: +46-(0)18- 611 11 53. E-Mail: [linda.wallstrom@kbh.uu.se](mailto:linda.wallstrom@kbh.uu.se)  
33  
34

35 \*These authors contributed equally to the manuscript  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

To the Editor,

Ventilatory settings are critical in mechanically ventilated extremely preterm newborn infants due to the risk of ventilation induced lung injury (VILI) and subsequent development of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) [1]. The positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) settings usually rely on blood gases, oxygen requirement, lung auscultation, evaluation of chest radiograph, and assessment of pressure-volume curves provided by the ventilators. Studies of optimal PEEP settings in the surfactant treated preterm infant in need of mechanical ventilation are limited and evidence based clinical guidelines are sparse [2,3]. A bedside method identifying the PEEP value that comprises maximal lung volume recruitment and minimizing tissue overdistension could improve real-time optimisation of PEEP and potentially minimize the risk of VILI and BPD [4,5].

The respiratory input reactance measured by the forced oscillation technique (FOT) has been shown to be feasible bedside in premature infants at different maturational and postnatal ages [6,7], and in animal models it has been shown to identify the lowest PEEP at which lung recruitment is most optimal during a decreasing PEEP trial [8,9].

Our aim was to investigate the relationship between lung mechanics and PEEP during the first week of life using FOT, and to characterize the mechanical optimal PEEP ( $PEEP_{FOT}$ ) settings in ventilated extremely preterm infants in comparison to the clinically set PEEP ( $PEEP_{CLIN}$ ).

## **Patients and Methods**

### *Study population*

Infants born < 28 weeks of gestational age were eligible if intubated and mechanically ventilated during the first day of life, and if no congenital abnormalities or other

malformations relevant to lung function were present. During the study period 22 patients met the inclusion criteria, but 8 were excluded as they were extubated and remained so after day 1. Fourteen extremely preterm infants (mean gestational age 24.4 +/- 1.7 weeks; birth weight 612±132g) were included after informed parental consent. All measurements were performed at the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, University Children's Hospital in Uppsala, Sweden, between June 2011 and May 2014. All infants received prenatal steroids and early surfactant. Median duration of mechanical ventilation was 38 days (range 12-239). One infant died before 36 weeks and 7 of the remaining 13 patients (54%) were diagnosed with severe BPD. The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board (Dnr:99092).

### *Study protocol*

Infants were intubated with uncuffed endotracheal tubes (ETT; 2.0– 2.5mm) and ventilated by assist/control ventilation (Stephanie Ventilator, Fritz Stephan GmbH, Germany), enabling the patients to get support during spontaneous breaths. PEEP was chosen by the attending clinician, and the peak inspiratory pressures were set according to predefined pCO<sub>2</sub> targets. During the intervention ventilatory parameters except PEEP were kept constant, with fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) adjusted to maintain oxygen saturation according to institutional guidelines. The infants were studied on the 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> day of life. Lung mechanics were measured using FOT by a 5, 10, and 15 Hz over-imposed sinusoidal pressure signal on the ventilation pressure waveform. PEEP was increased 2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O above the clinically set PEEP (PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub>) then decreased by four 5-minute steps of 1 cmH<sub>2</sub>O, and finally restored to PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub>. Registration periods were held short as to not interfere with the on-going ventilatory assistance.

Pressure was measured at the inlet of the ETT and the flow signal was obtained from the ventilator. All signals were sampled at 300Hz by a custom-made digitizer and stored on a laptop for subsequent analysis. Reliable impedance-data were obtained from all frequencies

1  
2  
3 without interference by ventilator frequency, but only data measured at 10 Hz is presented as  
4  
5 5 and 15 Hz did not provide more information on lung mechanics. All calculations were  
6  
7 made after each registration, and the attending clinicians were blinded for the results in all  
8  
9 instances.  
10  
11

### 12 13 ***Data and Statistical analysis***

14  
15 The respiratory system resistance (Rrs) and reactance (Xrs) were obtained by computing the  
16  
17 transfer function between pressure and flow at the stimulus frequencies and correcting for the  
18  
19 ETTs contribution. Xrs accounts for the elastic and inertial properties of the system [10]. For  
20  
21 each protocol step 10 breaths were automatically selected for each frequency and their end-  
22  
23 expiratory Rrs and Xrs values were averaged to have one data point for each PEEP level.  
24  
25

26  
27 Optimal PEEP (PEEP<sub>FOT</sub>) was defined as the pressure associated to the maximum value  
28  
29 of Xrs during a decreasing PEEP trial [6,8,11].  
30  
31

32  
33 Two-way (days of life and PEEP) ANOVA for repeated measurements was used to test  
34  
35 differences in the measured parameters. Tukey test was used as a post hoc test after ANOVA.  
36  
37 A  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.  
38  
39

### 40 **Results**

41  
42 PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> was lower than PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> on day 1 and 7; PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> was higher on day 3 and 7  
43  
44 compared to day 1 while PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> did not show statistical changes with time (Figure 1). Xrs  
45  
46 at PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> was higher than Xrs at PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> (mean difference (95%CI) of Xrs at PEEP<sub>FOT</sub>  
47  
48 compared to PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> was 4.7 (1.4;8.0) cmH<sub>2</sub>O\*s/L on day 1, 2.5 (0.4;4.6) cmH<sub>2</sub>O\*s/L on  
49  
50 day 3, and 5.2 (0.04;10.3) cmH<sub>2</sub>O\*s/L on day 7.  
51  
52

53  
54 Xrs decreased significantly when PEEP was increased by 2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O from PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub>  
55  
56 (mean difference (95%CI): 11.7 (8.3;15.2) cmH<sub>2</sub>O\*s/L on day 1; 10.0 (5.7;14.4) cmH<sub>2</sub>O\*s/L  
57  
58 on day 3; and 9.1 (4.7; 13.5) cmH<sub>2</sub>O\*s/L on day 7) indicating that the lungs were easily  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 overdistracted. Oxygen need did not differ between the days, or between PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> and  
4 PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> (Figure 1), and FiO<sub>2</sub> was low on all days during the time of the trial (0.23±0.05,  
5 0.27±0.9 and 0.26±0.06 respectively on day 1, 3 and 7; NS) resulting in non-significant  
6 changes in the SpO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> rates (Figure 1). Clinically set peak inspiratory pressures were  
7 lower on the first day of life compared to the third and seventh day (15±2 vs 18±4 and 19±3  
8 cm H<sub>2</sub>O; p<0.05), but with no differences in tidal volumes (4.4±0.9 vs 4.7±0.8 and 5.2±1.1  
9 ml) or respiratory rates (59±8 vs 59±4 and 58±9 breaths/min) between the study days.

## 20 Discussion

21  
22 In this cohort of extremely preterm infants the optimal PEEP as identified by FOT were  
23 lower than the clinical set PEEP on day 1 and 7. The lower optimal PEEP settings were not  
24 associated with changes in oxygenation or other clinical measurements compared to the  
25 clinically set PEEP. Our data suggests that clinically set PEEP levels might be too high,  
26 implying that the surfactant-treated lung is highly compliant and easily overdistracted by the  
27 PEEP during mechanical ventilation. Our data indicated overdistraction of the lung despite  
28 the limited increase in PEEP during the trial (+2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O), and although only a small, but  
29 significant, mean difference was noted between PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> and PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> on day 1 and 7, this  
30 represented a range of 0 to +2 and -1 to +2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O on these respective days. Also on day 3,  
31 the individual differences between PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> and PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> ranged from -1 to +2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O.  
32 Thus, PEEP settings may be especially critical on the first day of life as we observed larger  
33 differences in Xrs during PEEP titration at the beginning than at the end of the first week of  
34 life.

35  
36 The differences between PEEP derived from clinical data compared to PEEP-derived  
37 from lung mechanical measurements by FOT, illustrates the limitation of the available  
38 bedside information for tailoring individualized PEEP, and the need for more accurate  
39 assessments of lung mechanics during the first initial phase of life. The lower PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> was  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 not associated with any changes in  $\text{FiO}_2$  suggesting the uncertainty of using oxygen  
4 requirements to guide PEEP settings bedside in extremely preterm newborn infants. Our data  
5 also suggests that in the absence of lung mechanical measurements, clinically set PEEP might  
6 be biased toward higher values leading to potentially harmful overdistention of the immature  
7 lung. Moreover,  $\text{PEEP}_{\text{CLIN}}$  showed lower sensitivity to evolving lung conditions, without  
8 differences in  $\text{PEEP}_{\text{CLIN}}$  during the study period.  
9

10  
11  
12 We have previously shown that bedside FOT is well tolerated in this population of  
13 extremely preterm infants during their first day of life [7]. This study adds information about  
14 evolving lung mechanics during the first postnatal week as measured by FOT, and we believe  
15 that Xrs changes with PEEP might provide useful information for real-time individualization  
16 of PEEP repeatedly and at daily basis.  
17

18  
19  
20 Limitation of our study is the low number of patients included, the limited range of  
21 PEEP covered by the trial, and the fixed inspiratory pressures (chosen to prevent changes in  
22 peak inspiratory pressures). In our study the impedance data were measured only at end-  
23 expiration, i.e. at PEEP, and as it has been shown that optimal PEEP assessed by FOT  
24 minimizes intra-tidal recruitment (9). However, if the use of other ventilation approaches  
25 results in different applied tidal volumes, the degree of lung volume recruitment and,  
26 consequently, also  $\text{PEEP}_{\text{FOT}}$ , may change. Additionally, studies are needed to explore the  
27 effects of repeatedly adjusted PEEP settings according to FOT measurements on ventilation  
28 and oxygenation.  
29

30  
31  
32 In summary, we have found that the optimal PEEP during mechanical ventilation of  
33 extremely preterm infants, as evaluated by FOT in the first week of life, is lower than the  
34 clinically set PEEP and that it changes over time. The lower  $\text{PEEP}_{\text{FOT}}$  identified on day 1  
35 compared to day 3 and 7, together with the low  $\text{FiO}_2$  and PIP, suggests that the surfactant  
36 treated lungs of extremely preterm infants can be easily overdistended even at low PEEP.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 FOT might be a valuable bedside tool for assessment of lung mechanics for adjustments  
4 of PEEP over time and as part of a protective ventilation strategy to these vulnerable patients,  
5 especially during the first days of life. Further studies are needed to evaluate the possible  
6 long-term benefits of adjusting clinical PEEP by FOT, in this population of the most preterm  
7 infants.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

## 17 **References**

- 18  
19 1. Kugelman A, Durand M. A comprehensive approach to the prevention of  
20 bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2011; 46: 1153-65.  
21  
22
- 23 2. van Kaam AH, Rimensberger PC, Borensztajn D, De Jaegere AP; Neovent Study  
24 Group. Ventilation practices in the neonatal intensive care unit: a cross-sectional  
25 study. *J Pediatr* 2010; 157: 767-71.  
26  
27
- 28 3. Bamat N, Fierro J, Wang Y, Millar D, Kirpalani H. Positive end-expiratory pressure  
29 for preterm infants requiring conventional mechanical ventilation for respiratory  
30 distress syndrome or bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*  
31 2019; 2: CD004500.  
32  
33
- 34 4. Thome U, Töpfer A, Schaller P, Pohlandt F. The effect of positive end expiratory  
35 pressure, and inspiratory time on functional residual capacity in mechanically  
36 ventilated preterm infants. *Eur J Pediatr* 1998; 157: 831-7.  
37  
38
- 39 5. Mathe JC, Clement A, Chevalier JY, Gaultier C, Costil J. Use of total inspiratory  
40 pressure-volume curves for determination of appropriate positive end-expiratory  
41 pressure in newborns with hyaline membrane disease. *Intensive Care Med* 1987; 12:  
42 332-6.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 6. Dellacà RL, Veneroni C, Vendettuoli V, Zannin E, Matassa PG, Pedotti A, Colnaghi  
4 M, Mosca F. Relationship between respiratory impedance and positive end-expiratory  
5 pressure in mechanically ventilated neonates. *Intensive Care Med* 2013; 39: 511–519.  
6  
7
- 8 7. Veneroni C, Wallström L, Sindelar R, Dellacà RL. Oscillatory respiratory mechanics  
9 on the first day of life improves prediction of respiratory outcomes in extremely  
10 preterm newborns. *Pediatr Res* 2019; 85: 312-317.  
11  
12
- 13 8. Dellacà RL, Zannin E, Kostic P, Olerud MA, Pompilio PP, Hedenstierna G, Pedotti  
14 A, Frykholm P. Optimisation of positive end-expiratory pressure by forced oscillation  
15 technique in a lavage model of acute lung injury. *Intensive Care Med* 2011; 37: 1021-  
16 30.  
17  
18
- 19 9. Zannin E, Dellaca RL, Kostic P, Pompilio PP, Larsson A, Pedotti A, Hedenstierna G,  
20 Frykholm P. Optimizing positive end-expiratory pressure by oscillatory mechanics  
21 minimizes tidal recruitment and distension: an experimental study in a lavage model  
22 of lung injury. *Crit Care* 2012; 16: R217.  
23  
24
- 25 10. Dellacà RL, Kaczka DW. Oscillation mechanics of the respiratory system:  
26 applications to lung disease. *Crit Rev Biomed Eng* 2011; 39: 337-59.  
27  
28
- 29 11. Raffaelli G, Veneroni C, Ghirardello S, Lavizzari A, Passera S, Mosca F, Cavallaro G,  
30 Dellacà RL. Role of Lung Function Monitoring by the Forced Oscillation Technique  
31 for Tailoring Ventilation and Weaning in Neonatal ECMO: New Insights From a Case  
32 Report. *Front Pediatr* 2018; 6: 332.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 1. PEEP (left panel) when PEEP was optimised by clinical parameters (PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub>) and by FOT (PEEP<sub>FOT</sub>) on the 1st, 3rd and 7th day of life; and oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) and fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) ratio (right panel). § p<0.05 comparison to day 1; \*p<0.05 comparison between PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> and PEEP<sub>FOT</sub>; at day 3 PEEP<sub>CLIN</sub> and PEEP<sub>FOT</sub> did not reach statistical difference (p=0.10).

256x99mm (300 x 300 DPI)