

Reachable domain analysis for analytical design of end-oflife disposal

Xiaodong Lu, Prof. Camilla Colombo

Politecnico di Milano

New Frontiers of Celestial Mechanics: theory and applications





- Background and motivations
- Modelling of the long-term evolution of s/c
- Phase space structure of orbital elements
- Post-mission disposal
- Conclusion and future work

#### **Background and motivation**

CMPASS erc

- Number of debris increases
- Earth's orbit region becomes crowded
- Necessities of mitigation measures
  - Post-mission disposal, largest contribution
  - ...
- Trade-off of mitigation measures
  - Space environment improvements
  - Feasibility and cost
- Post-mission disposal
  - Technically feasible
  - Economical in the sense of fuel consumption
- ESA'S Annual Space Environment Report, April 2022



Making progress in clearance, but nowhere near enough

1992

1996

2000

3

2004

EOL vear

20

20 February, 2023

1996

1992

2000

2004

EOL vear

2008

2012 2016 2020

100

80

60

40

20

Counts [%]

2008 2012 2016 2020

Attemnt

No Attempt

#### **Background and motivation**



Aim: end-of-life disposal design techniques leveraging perturbations

to decrease the fuel consumption during the disposal

- Follow the natural evolution of the s/c orbit
- Enhance the natural perturbations by manoeuvres
  - Impulsive
  - Continuous

- semi-analytical models of s/c dynamics
- Hamiltonian formalism
  - Phase space structure of orbital elements

# Modelling of the long-term evolution of s/c



Semi-analytical dynamics model based on averaging technique

Lagrange planetary equations

 $\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{2}{na} \frac{\partial R}{\partial M} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}e}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{b}{na^3 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \omega} + \frac{b^2}{na^4 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial M} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}i}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{1}{nab \sin i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \Omega} + \frac{\cos i}{nab \sin i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \omega} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{1}{nab \sin i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial i} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{\cos i}{nab \sin i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial i} + \frac{b}{na^3 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial e} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}M}{\mathrm{d}t} &= n - \frac{2}{na} \frac{\partial R}{\partial a} - \frac{b^2}{na^4 e} \frac{\partial R}{\partial e} \end{aligned}$ 

Filtering out the short period effects

$$\overline{F}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} F(\alpha) \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(\alpha) \mathrm{d}M.$$

- Possible reference frame choices:
  - Equatorial
  - Lunar plane
  - Ecliptic
- Kaufman and Dasenbrock, Higher order theory for long-term behavior of earth and lunar orbiters, 1972
- Colombo, C. "Long-Term Evolution of Highly-Elliptical Orbits: Luni-Solar Perturbation Effects for Stability and Re-Entry", 2019

Single average, over period of s/c

• J<sub>2</sub> perturbation

$$\overline{R}_{J_2} = \frac{\mu J_2 R_{\oplus}^2}{4a^3 \eta^3} \left(2 - 3\sin^2 i\right).$$

Third-body attraction

$$\overline{R}_{3b} = \sum_{l \ge 2} \frac{\mu_3}{r_3} \left(\frac{a}{r_3}\right)^l F_l(A, B, e).$$

Double average, over period of the third body

$$\overline{\overline{R}}_{3b} = \sum_{l \ge 2} \frac{\mu_3 a^{\iota}}{a_3^{l+1} \eta_3^{2l}} \sum_k \left( F_{l,k} \cos k\omega_3 + G_{l,k} \sin k\omega_3 \right)$$

Total disturbing potentialSingle-averaged

$$\overline{R} = \overline{R}_{J_2} + \overline{R}_{Moon} + \overline{R}_{Sun}$$

Double-averaged

$$\overline{\overline{R}} = \overline{R}_{J_2} + \overline{\overline{R}}_{Moon} + \overline{\overline{R}}_{Sun}$$

## Modelling of the long-term evolution of s/c



- Model for lunar and solar ephemerides
- Sun: circular Earth's orbit
- Moon:
  - Vallado, 2013
  - Simplified model used in double-averaged dynamics
    - Constant semimajor, eccentricity, inclination referred to the ecliptic
    - Linear regression of the lunar node
    - Linear advance of the lunar perigee



Blue: Model from Vallado 2013

# Modelling of the long-term evolution of s/c



#### Validation of the model

- INTEGRAL
  - [87736 km, 0.824, 53 deg, 102 deg, 302 deg, 83 deg]

Eccentricity

- From 13-Nov-2002, propagate for 50 years
- Including J2, and lunisolar perturbations

- Gauss and Cartesian
  - Exact force model for third body attraction
- Lagrange, single-averaged and double-averaged
  - Truncated up to 4<sup>th</sup> order of the Legendre form



#### Phase space structure of orbital elements

CMPASS erc

- Double-averaged elements referred to Moon plane
- Constructing the phase space maps
  - Propagate the orbital elements using double averaged equations
  - Transfer to the orbital elements referred to the equatorial plane to those referred to the Moon plane
  - Construct the  $e \omega i$  maps



## Phase space structure of orbital elements



- $e \omega i$  map, double-averaged elements referred to Moon plane
- Lunar perturbation only
  - Layer structure for different (*e*, *i*) pairs
  - Corresponding to Kozai parameter,  $\Theta = (1 e^2) \cos^2 i$ 
    - integral corresponding to the z-component of the angular momentum
  - Smaller Θ, libration regime
    - Large variations for  $e, \omega, i$
    - Libration centre at  $\omega = 90 \deg$
  - $\Theta$  increases
    - Libration centre move towards lower eccentricity and lower inclination
  - Higher  $\Theta$ , rotation regime
    - Almost no libration centre
    - Rotating apsidal line
  - Variation of  $a, \omega$  only cause movement within the same layer of the phase portrait



9

• Kozai Y. "Secular perturbations of asteroids with high inclination and eccentricity", 1962

### Phase space structure of orbital elements



- $e \omega i$  map, double-averaged elements referred to the Moon plane
- Lunisolar perturbations
  - Slightly deviate from the case with only lunar perturbation
  - Libration regime
    - Similar to lunar case
    - periodic
  - Rotation regime
    - quasi-periodic
  - Due to small inclination of the lunar orbit with respect to the ecliptic,  $i_{Moon} = 5.145 \text{ deg}$
- J<sub>2</sub> and lunisolar perturbations
  - Deviate from the lunisolar case







10

0.3

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

## **Post-mission disposal**



- Possible re-entry solution aided by natural perturbations
- Post-mission disposal for s/c in HEO
  - INTEGRAL
  - Targeting a re-entry



- Phase space structure provide possible solutions for re-entry.
  - Give an initial impulsive manoeuvre
  - $kep = kep_0 + \Delta kep$
  - $\Delta kep = \text{Gauss' equations}(kep_0, \Delta v)$  $\left[\cos \alpha \cos \beta\right]$
  - $\Delta v = ||\Delta v|| \left[ \sin \alpha \cos \beta \\ \sin \beta \right]$ , in TNH frame
  - Propagate for 50 years using double averaged dynamics
  - Maximal eccentricity
- Criterion for re-entry
  - Perigee height  $h_p \leq 100 \text{ km}$
  - Critical eccentricity  $e_{crit} = 1 \frac{R_{\oplus} + h_p}{a}$
  - $e_{max} \ge e_{crit}$ , possible to re-enter
- Colombo, C., End-of-life re-entry for highly elliptical orbits: the INTEGRAL mission, 2014
- Scala F., Analytical design of end-of-life disposal manoeuvre for Highly Elliptical Orbits under the influence of the third body's attraction and planet's oblateness", 2018

#### **Post-mission disposal**



- Possible re-entry solution aided by natural perturbations
- Grid search for possible manoeuvre for INTEGRAL's re-entry
- Initial Keplerian elements of INTEGRAL
  - [81120 km, 0.8977, 55.8956 deg, 109.4648 deg, 293.0959 deg, 0 deg]
  - Date = [2020 12 8]
- 1<sup>st</sup> search
  - $||\Delta v|| = 100 \sim 150 \text{ m/s}$
  - $\alpha = 0 \sim 180 \text{ deg}, \beta = 0 \sim 90 \text{ deg}$
  - Possible solutions lay in the cone with axis T
  - Either increase or decrease the velocity along the tangential axis
- 2<sup>nd</sup> search
  - $||\Delta v|| = 110 \text{ m/s}$
  - $\alpha = 0 \sim 30 \ 150 \sim 180 \ \text{deg}, \beta = 0 \sim 30 \ \text{deg}$



## **Post-mission disposal**



- Possible re-entry solution aided by natural perturbations
- Summary of the results
  - successful re-entry manoeuvres lie in the cone of the tangential direction
  - most effective: T or -T direction
- In the phase space
  - Get the lowest perigee height
  - Increase the maximal eccentricity within 50 years
  - Move the evolution of elements to another layer of the phase portrait, corresponding to different values of the Kozai parameter
    - Change inclination, hard and consuming more fuel
    - Change eccentricity, feasible, along the tangential direction



- Colombo, C., End-of-life re-entry for highly elliptical orbits: the INTEGRAL mission, 2014
- Scala F., Analytical design of end-of-life disposal manoeuvre for Highly Elliptical Orbits under the influence of the third body's attraction and planet's oblateness", 2018

#### **Conclusion and Future work**



- Conclusion
  - Implemented the averaged dynamics for s/c under J<sub>2</sub> and lunisolar perturbations
  - Constructed and analysed the phase space structure of Keplerian elements
    - Especially  $e \omega i$  maps
  - Post-mission disposal analysis targeting an atmospheric re-entry
  - Serve as preliminary work for future optimisations
- Future work
  - Optimisation of the enhancing manoeuvre for the post-mission disposal
  - Analytical design of the post-mission disposal using the phase space structure



POLITECNICO MILANO 1863

> This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 679086 – COMPASS)

Xiaodong Lu acknowledges the support of China Scholarship Council

Reachable domain analysis for analytical design of end-oflife disposal

Xiaodong Lu, Prof. Camilla Colombo Politecnico di Milano





CMPASS

erc