

2018

## **Design as a catalyst for change - Proceedings of DRS 2018 International Conference, Vol. 3**

Cristiano Storni

Keelin Leahy

Muireann McMahon

Peter Lloyd

Erik Bohemia

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dl.designresearchsociety.org/conference-volumes>

---

### **Recommended Citation**

Storni, C., Leahy, K., McMahon, M., Lloyd, P., and Bohemia, E. (eds.) (2018) *Design as a catalyst for change - Proceedings of DRS 2018 International Conference, Vol. 3*, 25-28 June, Limerick, Ireland, Design Research Society. <https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2018>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by DRS Digital Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in DRS Conference Volumes by an authorized administrator of DRS Digital Library. For more information, please contact [DL@designresearchsociety.org](mailto:DL@designresearchsociety.org).

**DRS  
2018**

**Design as  
a catalyst  
for change**

25–28 June 2018 Limerick, Ireland.

ISBN 978-1-912294-28-2

**VOLUME 3**

# **Proceedings of DRS2018**

Edited by:

Cristiano Storni

Keelin Leahy

Muireann McMahon

Erik Bohemia

Peter Lloyd

Design  
Research  
Society

The logo for the Design Research Society (DRS) features the letters 'DRS' in a bold, white, sans-serif font. To the left of the letters, the words 'Design', 'Research', and 'Society' are stacked vertically in a smaller, white, sans-serif font. Three thin white lines extend from the top left towards the 'D', 'R', and 'S' respectively.

# **Proceedings of DRS 2018**

Catalyst

---

## **Volume 1**

---

Editors

Cristiano Storni, Keelin Leahy, Muireann McMahon  
Peter Lloyd and Erik Bohemia

## **Proceedings of DRS**

2018 International Conference

25–28 June 2018, Limerick, Ireland

[www.drs2018limerick.org](http://www.drs2018limerick.org)

Volume 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

Cover and conference identity design by Piquant

Conference identity design initial concept: Tamara Stone & Selina Kindt, Limerick Institution of Technology.

Proceedings compiled by Laura Santamaria, Cristiano Storni and Erik Bohemia

Editors: Cristiano Storni, Keelin Leahy, Muireann McMahon, Peter Lloyd and Erik Bohemia

Series Editors: Peter Lloyd and Erik Bohemia

Section-Editors: Nicola Morelli, Amalia de Götzen, Ingrid Mulder, Grazia Concilio, Daniel Cardoso Llach, Terry Knight, Colin M. Gray, Austin L Toombs, Ann Light, John Vines, Wouter Eggink, Steven Dorrestijn, Kristina Lindström, Laura Forlano, Ramia Maze, Åsa Ståhl, Li Jönsson, Lesley-Ann Noel, Renata M Leitão, Joon Sang Baek, Joyce Yee, Yoko Akama, Joanna Boehnert, Dan Lockton, Ingrid Mulder, Philippa Mothersill, Kim Halskov, Bo Christensen, Mikael Wiberg, Thierry Lagrange, Jo van den Berghe, Nithikul Nimkulrat, Camilla Groth, Elvin Karana, Tom Fisher, Faith Kane, Elisa Giaccardi, Farnaz Nickpour, Hua Dong, Rhoda Trimmingham, Edward Gardiner, Sarah Kettley, Lois Frankel, Thea Blackler, Emmanuel Tseklevs, Rebecca Cain, Ann Petermans, Anna Pohlmeier, Pieter Desmet, Deger Ozkaramanli, Liv Merete Nielse, Mike Tovey, Chris Hammond, Joyce Yee, Sabine Junginger, Sarah B Brooks, Joni Saylor, Kamil Michlewski, Rodríguez Ferradas, Nuša Fain, José Antonio Alfaro, Rachel Cooper, and Erik Bohemia.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Proceedings of DRS 2018 International Conference: Catalyst (2<sup>nd</sup> edition)

ISSN 2398-3132

ISBN 978-1-912294-26-8 (ebook, volume 1)

ISBN 978-1-912294-27-5 (ebook, volume 2)

ISBN 978-1-912294-28-2 (ebook, volume 3)

ISBN 978-1-912294-29-9 (ebook, volume 4)

ISBN 978-1-912294-30-5 (ebook, volume 5)

ISBN 978-1-912294-31-2 (ebook, volume 6)

ISBN 978-1-912294-32-9 (ebook, volume 7)

Published by the Design Research Society

Loughborough University, London

3 Lesney Avenue, The Broadcast Centre, Here East

London, E15 2GZ

United Kingdom

Design Research Society Secretariat

email: [admin@designresearchsociety.org](mailto:admin@designresearchsociety.org)

website: [www.designresearchsociety.org](http://www.designresearchsociety.org)

Founded in 1966 the Design Research Society (DRS) is a learned society committed to promoting and developing design research. It is the longest established, multi-disciplinary worldwide society for the design research community and aims to promote the study of and research into the process of designing in all its many fields.

## Table of Contents

<b>Editorial</b> .....	i
STORNI Cristiano; LEAHY Keelin; MCMAHON Muireann; LLOYD Peter and BOHEMIA Erik	
Volume 1	
Section 1.	
Design, Technology and Society	
<b>Editorial: Design, Technology and Society</b> .....	3
CARDOSO LLACH Daniel and KNIGHT Terry	
<b>The Design Laboratory. A Review of Five Design Education Programmes</b> .....	5
HASENHÜTL Gert	
<b>Olivetti's New Canaan Electronic Laboratory: when design meets computing</b> .....	19
ROCHA João	
<b>Data Streams, Data Seams: Toward a seamful representation of data interoperability</b> .....	35
INMAN Sarah and RIBES David	
<b>Regimes of Digital Quantification: making data-driven decisions?</b> .....	50
TIRONI Martin and VALDERRAMA Matías	
<b>Digital, Analog, Discursive: knowledge practices and sense-making in design-build architecture</b> .....	61
NICHOLAS Claire and OAK Arlene	
<b>Scenes of Writing</b> .....	73
BURDICK Anne	
Section 2.	
Ethics, Values, and Designer Responsibility	
<b>Editorial: Ethics, Values, and Designer Responsibility</b> .....	83
GRAY Colin M.; TOOMBS Austin L.; LIGHT Ann and VINES John	
<b>Ethics in Design: pluralism and the case for justice in inclusive design</b> .....	86
BIANCHIN Matteo and HEYLIGHEN Ann	
<b>Good Design-Driven Innovation</b> .....	98
BAHA Ehsan; DAWDY Gray; STURKENBOOM Nick; PRICE Rebecca and SNELDRS Dirk	
<b>Ethical Issues in Designing Interventions for Behavioural Change</b> .....	113
JUN Gyuchan Thomas; CARVALHO Fernando and SINCLAIR Neil	
<b>The Ethics and Values of Visual Communication Strategies in European Advertisements in 21st Century Western and Islamic Culture</b> 125	
LOZA Ilze	
<b>Platform Ethics in Technology: What Happens to the User?</b> .....	145
REDDY Anuradha and REIMER Maria Hellström	
<b>Design for Profit or Prosperity?</b> .....	159
SKJOLD Else and LARSEN Frederik	
<b>Examining the Professional Codes of Design Organisations</b> .....	173
BUWERT Peter	
Section 3.	
Philosophical Tools in Design Research	
<b>Editorial: Philosophical Tools in Design Research: from empirical turn to practical turn</b> .....	189
EGGINK Wouter and DORRESTIJN Steven	
<b>Philosophy of Technology x Design: the practical turn</b> .....	191
EGGINK Wouter and DORRESTIJN Steven	
<b>Service Fictions Through Actant Switching</b> .....	202
FOLEY Sarah-Marie and LOCKTON Dan	
<b>The Use of Philosophical Theories in Design: A Research-Through-Design Case of Treatment Compliance</b> .....	220
VAN BELLE Jonne; GIESBERTS Bob and EGGINK Wouter	
<b>Turning Philosophy with a Speculative Lathe: object-oriented ontology, carpentry, and design fiction</b> .....	230
LINDLEY Joseph; COULTON Paul and AKMAL Haider Ali	
<b>Aestheticising Change: simulations of progress</b> .....	245
BAILEY Jocelyn and STORY Chad	
<b>Using the Product Impact Tool for Prospective Thinking</b> .....	256
RAUB Thomas; DORRESTIJN Steven and EGGINK Wouter	
<b>Using Heterotopias to Characterise Interactions in Physical/Digital Spaces</b> .....	270
AKMAL Haider Ali and COULTON Paul	
<b>DRS Conferences: barometer and mirror of theoretical reflection of design discipline</b> .....	280
POBLETE Alejandra	

Section 4.  
Open Track

---

<b>The Interconnected Process of Design and Science: a method for mapping concepts and knowledge</b> .....	298
AZZAM Carol; STRAKER Karla and WRIGLEY Cara	
<b>Three Arguments About the Current State of Scientific Design Process Theories</b> .....	310
BECK Jordan and STOLTERMAN Erik	
<b>Designery Activity Theory: toward a new ontology for design research</b> .....	320
ZAHEDI Mithra and TESSIER Virginie	
<b>An Examination of Scholarly Critique in DRS Publications and Its Role as Catalyst for Community Building</b> .....	335
BECK Jordan and CHIAPELLO Laureline	
<b>The Critical Design Exhibition: an epistemic space</b> .....	345
RUSSELL Gillian	
<b>Research-Through-Design: Exploring a design-based research paradigm through its ontology, epistemology, and methodology</b> .....	358
ISLEY C. Grey and RIDER Traci	
<b>Structuring Roles in Research Through Design Collaboration</b> .....	369
SLEESWIJK VISSER Froukje	
<b>RTD in Landscape Architecture: a first State of the Art</b> .....	382
LENZHOLZER Sanda; NIJHUIS Steffen and CORTESÃO João	
<b>Critical Placemaking: towards a more critical engagement for participatory design in the urban environment</b> .....	395
ALLEN Tania and QUEEN Sara Glee	
<b>A Place to Be or, at Least, a Space to See: a qualitative inquiry on the experience and appreciation of extensive green roofs</b> .....	406
GAGNON Caroline; DAGENAIS Danielle and CÔTÉ Valérie	
<b>Design Expertise in Public and Social Innovation</b> .....	425
VAN DER BIJL-BROUWER Mieke and MALCOLM Bridget	
<b>Design for Future Retailing: an investigation into the changing status of city-based retailers in the UK</b> .....	438
HUANG Yujia and HANDS David	

Volume 2  
Section 5.

Design, Research and Feminism(s)

---

<b>Editorial: Design, Research and Feminism(s)</b> .....	455
LINDSTRÖM Kristina; MAZÉ Ramia; FORLANO Laura; JONSSON Li and STÅHL Åsa	
<b>Fostering Commonfare. Entanglements Between Participatory Design and Feminism</b> .....	458
SCIANNAMBLO Mariacristina; LYLE Peter and TELI Maurizio	
<b>Prototyping Multispecies Environments: attentiveness and friction as modes of knowing</b> .....	472
TIRONI Martin and HERMANSEN Pablo	
<b>Critique and Post-Critique in Social Innovation Projects: between speculation and realism</b> .....	486
OLANDER Sissel	
<b>Heart Sense: experiments in design as a catalyst for feminist reflections on embodiment</b> .....	497
JAFARINAIMI Nassim and POLLOCK Anne	
<b>Reframing Design Problems Within Women's Health</b> .....	507
HOMEWOOD Sarah	
<b>Formgiving to Feminist Futures as Design Activism</b> .....	518
HEIDARIPOUR Maryam and FORLANO Laura	
<b>Design Justice: towards an intersectional feminist framework for design theory and practice</b> .....	529
COSTANZA-CHOCK Sasha	
<b>"All about Love": How would bell hooks teach fashion design?</b> .....	541
AHMED Tanveer	
<b>Learning from Feminist Critiques of and Recommendations for Industrial Design</b> .....	552
PROCHNER Isabel and MARCHAND Anne	
<b>Bookmaking as Critical and Feminist Practice of Design</b> .....	568
MAZÉ Ramia	
<b>Taking Care of Issues of Concern: feminist possibilities and the curation of Speculative and Critical Design</b> .....	580
PENNINGTON Sarah	

Section 6.

Not Just From the Centre

---

<b>Editorial: Not Just From the Centre</b> .....	592
NOEL Lesley-Ann and LEITÃO Renata M	
<b>Challenges in Barbadian Design Education – When Graphic Design &amp; Product Development Collide</b> .....	595
MAYERS Shelly	
<b>Puerto Rico 2054: design pedagogy in a time of crisis</b> .....	613
NOEL Lesley-Ann and O'NEILL María de Mater	
<b>Methods Across Borders: reflections of using design-led qualitative methods in Burkina Faso</b> .....	629
YEMTIM Adolphe; LEBONGO ONANA Achille Sévérin; RAY Charlotte; CROSS Jamie; MARTIN Craig and VERHOEVEN G. Arno	
<b>The Rhetorical Appeals in Interaction Design: decolonizing design for people of collectivist culture</b> .....	645
WAHYURINI Octaviyanti Dwi	

<b>How Consumers Read the Visual Presentation of Food Packaging Design in a Cross-cultural Context: a conceptual framework and case study.....</b>	<b>656</b>
HU Linna and DONG Hua	
<b>A Case for Caribbean Design Principles .....</b>	<b>669</b>
ESTWICK Debbie-Ann	
<b>Sustainable Fashion Practices in the Soviet Union? .....</b>	<b>689</b>
VEILANDE Simona	

### Section 7.

#### Designing Social Innovation in Cultural Diversity and Sensitivity

<b>Editorial: Designing Social Innovation in Cultural Diversity and with Sensitivity .....</b>	<b>701</b>
BAEK Joon.S; YEE Joyce and AKAMA Yoko	
<b>Social Hierarchy in Design and Social Innovation: perspectives from Thailand .....</b>	<b>704</b>
TJAHJA Cyril and YEE Joyce	
<b>The Co-archiving Toolbox – Designing conditions for diversity in public archives .....</b>	<b>717</b>
NILSSON Elisabet M. and OTTSEN HANSEN Sofie Marie	
<b>Understanding Situated Energy Values in Rural Kenya .....</b>	<b>729</b>
PSCHETZ Larissa; MAGILL Catherine; KLEIN Ewan; CROSS Jamie and VAN DER HORST Dan	
<b>A Survey of Prosthetic Preferences in the UK and Greece .....</b>	<b>747</b>
VLACHAKI Anna; PATERSON Abby M.J.; PORTER C. Samantha and BIBB Richard J.	
<b>Culture-Orientated Food Design for Social Issue.....</b>	<b>763</b>
GUO Yinman and JI Tie	

### Section 8.

#### Design Innovation Management

<b>Editorial: Design Innovation Management .....</b>	<b>778</b>
BOHEMIA Erik and COOPER Rachel	
<b>Understanding How Design Action Plans Support the Strategic Use of Design .....</b>	<b>780</b>
ZITKUS Emilene; NA Jea Hoo; EVANS Martin; WALTERS Andrew; WHICHER Anna and COOPER Rachel	
<b>Journey Towards an Irish Design Strategy .....</b>	<b>792</b>
WHICHER Anna and MILTON Alex	
<b>Design Insights and Opportunities from a Field Study to Digitally Enhance Microcredit Practices in Brazil.....</b>	<b>806</b>
CANDELLO Heloisa; MILLEN David; PINHANEZ Claudio and BIANCHI Silvia	
<b>Matching Data and Emotions for Designing Personalized Digital Experiences .....</b>	<b>819</b>
MONTIJN Myrthe; CALABRETTA Giulia and VAN ERP Jeroen	
<b>From Wicked Problem to Design Problem: developing actionable briefs and solution opportunities through a collaborative, multidisciplinary design-led approach.....</b>	<b>831</b>
BAILEY Mark; SPENCER Nick; CHATZAKIS Emmanouil; LAMPITT ADEY Kate; STERLING Nate; SMITH Neil	
<b>Determinant Moments for the Design Management Occurrence in Fashion Industry .....</b>	<b>852</b>
LIBÂNIO Cláudia de Souza; AMARAL Fernando Gonçalves and MIGOWSKI Sérgio Almeida	
<b>Do Professionals with Different Backgrounds Use Distinct Thinking Styles When Designing a Product? .....</b>	<b>864</b>
MANDELLI Roberta Rech; ROSA Valentina Marques ; FOGLIATTO Flavio Sanson; BRUST-RENCK Priscila and TONETTO Leandro Miletto	
<b>A Framework to Maximise Design Knowledge Exchange .....</b>	<b>875</b>
BURNS Kathryn	

### Volume 3

### Section 9.

#### Designing for Transitions

<b>Editorial: Designing for Transitions .....</b>	<b>892</b>
BOEHNERT Joanna; LOCKTON Dan and MULDER Ingrid	
<b>Affective Interaction Design at the End of the World .....</b>	<b>896</b>
FRITSCH Jonas	
<b>A Vocabulary for Visions in Designing for Transitions .....</b>	<b>908</b>
LOCKTON Dan and CANDY Stuart	
<b>Transition-oriented Futuring: integrated design for decreased consumption amongst millennials .....</b>	<b>927</b>
SRIVASTAVA Swati and CULÉN Alma Leora	
<b>Exploring Lost and Found in Future Images of Energy Transitions: towards a bridging practice of provoking and affirming design.....</b>	<b>941</b>
HESSELGREN Mia; ERIKSSON Elina; WANGEL Josefin and BROMS Looove	
<b>Recognizing and Overcoming the Myths of Modernity .....</b>	<b>955</b>
LEITAO Renata	
<b>The Emerging Transition Design Approach .....</b>	<b>968</b>
IRWIN Terry	
<b>Transition Design: teaching and learning .....</b>	<b>990</b>
ROHRBACH Stacie and STEENSON Molly	
<b>Identifying the User in an Informal Trade Ecosystem.....</b>	<b>1010</b>
BHAN Niti and GAJERA Rinku	
<b>Catalysing Pathway Creation for Transition Governance .....</b>	<b>1023</b>
HYYSALO Sampsa; PERIKANGAS Sofi; MARTTILA Tatu and AUVINEN Karoliina	

<b>Design as a Catalyst for Sustainability Transitions .....</b>	<b>1041</b>
GAZİULUSOY Ayşe İdil and ERDOĞAN ÖZTEKİN Elif	

Section 10.  
Tools of Design

<b>Editorial: Tools of Design .....</b>	<b>1053</b>
MOTHERSILL Philippa	
<b>Changes in Design Research: sources and methods of idea generation in industrial design .....</b>	<b>1057</b>
SUN Ying; MÜNSTER Sander and SOMMER Carlo Michael	
<b>Card-based Tools for Creative and Systematic Design .....</b>	<b>1075</b>
ROY Robin and WARREN James	
<b>A Co-Experience Toolkit: investigating the issues of the pavement environment and the relationship with elderly pedestrians .....</b>	<b>1088</b>
YIN Lulu and PEI Eujin	
<b>Mybias: A web-based Tool to Overcome Designers' Biases in Heterogeneous Design Teams .....</b>	<b>1102</b>
MATTIOLI Francesca; FERRARIS Silvia Deborah; FERRARO Venere; and RAMPINO Lucia Rosa Elena	
<b>Point of View Framework: describing the audience's emotional connection to information design artifacts .....</b>	<b>1116</b>
JUN Soojin	
<b>Discovery DiDIY. An Immersive Gamified Activity to Explore the Potentialities of Digital Technology.....</b>	<b>1131</b>
CANINA Marita and BRUNO Carmen	
<b>Annotated Portfolios as a Method to Analyse Interviews .....</b>	<b>1148</b>
SAUERWEIN Marita; BAKKER Conny and BALKENENDE Ruud	
<b>Developing a Design Toolkit for the Internet of Things .....</b>	<b>1159</b>
VITALI Ilaria and ARQUILLA Venanzio	
<b>The Ideas Café: engaging the public in design research .....</b>	<b>1175</b>
ULAHANNAN Arun; CAIN Rebecca; DHADYALLA Gunwant; JENNINGS Paul; BIRRELL Stewart and WATERS Mike	
<b>Horse, Butler or Elevator? Metaphors and enactment as a catalyst for exploring interaction with autonomous technology.....</b>	<b>1193</b>
STRÖMBERG Helena; PETTERSSON Ingrid and JU Wendy	
<b>A Study on the Roles of Designers Co-Evolving with Tools.....</b>	<b>1208</b>
LIM Jeong-Sub and JUNG Eui-Chul	
<b>Reinventing Graphic Design Software by Bridging the Gap Between Graphical User Interfaces and Programming .....</b>	<b>1219</b>
MAUDET Nolwenn	
<b>Post-Series Design: a tool for catalysing the diffusion of personalisable design .....</b>	<b>1231</b>
MALAKUCZI Viktor; DI LUCCHIO Loredana; COPPOLA Alex and ALAMO AVILA Ainee	
<b>Surfing for Inspiration: digital inspirational material in design practice .....</b>	<b>1247</b>
KOCH Janin; LÁSZLÓ Magda; LUCERO Andrés and OULASVIRTA Antti	
<b>An Ontology of Computational Tools for Design Activities.....</b>	<b>1261</b>
MOTHERSILL Philippa and BOVE V. Michael	

Section 11.

Physical and Digital Materials in Creative Design Practice

<b>Editorial: Physical and Digital Materials in Creative Design Practice .....</b>	<b>1279</b>
HALSKOV Kim; CHRISTENSEN Bo T.; and WIBERG Mikael	
<b>How Materials Support Conceptual Blending in Ideation.....</b>	<b>1281</b>
BISKJAER Michael Mose; FISCHER Aron; DOVE Graham and HALSKOV Kim	
<b>Co-Located Team Designing: the oscillation between individual and social processes.....</b>	<b>1297</b>
CHRISTENSEN Bo T. and ABILDGAARD Sille Julie J.	
<b>Designing Idea Management Tools: three challenges .....</b>	<b>1314</b>
INIE Nanna; DALSGAARD Peter and DOW Steven	
<b>How Emerging Technologies Influence Designing – The Case of Conversational Agents and Interaction Design.....</b>	<b>1326</b>
WARD Connor; STOLTERMAN Erik and BECK Jordan	

Volume 4

Section 12.

Designing with Data, Democratisation Through Data

<b>Editorial: Designing with Data, Democratisation Through Data .....</b>	<b>1339</b>
MORELLI Nicola; DE GÖTZEN Amalia; MULDER Ingrid and CONCILIO Grazia1339	
<b>Data Exploration for Generative Design Research .....</b>	<b>1342</b>
KUN Peter; MUULDER Ingrid and KORTUEM Gerd	
<b>Orienteering design through data: The data-driven design model .....</b>	<b>1357</b>
QUIÑONES GÓMEZ Juan Carlos	
<b>Transformative Learning: co-design with communities' collective imagery as data for social innovation.....</b>	<b>1368</b>
CHUENG-NAINBY Priscilla and LEE John	
<b>Repurposing Digital Methods for Human-Centered Design.....</b>	<b>1384</b>
RICCI Donato; BRILLI Agata and TASSI Roberta	
<b>Data Sensification: beyond representation modality, toward encoding data in experience.....</b>	<b>1410</b>
HOGAN Trevor	
<b>User Empowerment by Design: a new domestic electricity consumption model. A case study of young urban tenants .....</b>	<b>1425</b>
DOMINITZ Sagit and PERSOV Elad	



<b>Designing with Meaningful Data: <i>Deep personalisation</i> in the air travel context.....</b>	<b>1440</b>
YUAN Mengqi; PRICE Rebecca; van ERP Jeroen; SOCHA Jorge Andres Osorio	

Section 13.

The (Act of) Drawing in Design

<b>Editorial: Drawing as a Powerful Catalyst for Design Driven Research and Creation.....</b>	<b>1456</b>
LAGRANGE Thierry and VAN DEN BERGHE Jo	
<b>The Search of the Unpredictable – the Process of Drawing.....</b>	<b>1458</b>
RENNER Michael	
<b>Drawing as Notational Thinking in Architectural Design .....</b>	<b>1474</b>
PAANS Otto and PASEL Ralf	
<b>Desert Drawing: from pigment to (<i>Apple</i>) pencil .....</b>	<b>1486</b>
ST JOHN Nicola	
<b>On Connecting Form: explorations of a drawing method.....</b>	<b>1503</b>
VANGRUNDERBEEK Dimitri	
<b>Drawing to See / Drawn to Seeing: Multimodal Reinterpretation in an Autonomous Drawing Machine.....</b>	<b>1530</b>
PENMAN Scott	

Section 14.

Experiential Knowledge

<b>Editorial: Experiential Knowledge in Collaborative Interdisciplinary Design Research .....</b>	<b>1548</b>
NIMKULRAT Nithikul and GROTH Camilla	
<b>Transdisciplinary Doctorates in the Making Disciplines .....</b>	<b>1551</b>
SOLBERG Anne	
<b>The Future of Heuristic Fossils .....</b>	<b>1567</b>
DOWNS Simon T. and LERPINIÈRE Claire A.	
<b>Distinctions Between the Communication of Experiential and Academic Design Knowledge: a linguistic analysis .....</b>	<b>1582</b>
KOU Yubo and GRAY Colin M.	
<b>'One Over, One Under': a dialogue between design and craft .....</b>	<b>1596</b>
ALTAY Can and ÖZ Gizem	
<b>Combining Practices in Craft and Design .....</b>	<b>1610</b>
NITSCHÉ Michael and ZHENG Clement	
<b>Co-creation in Professional Craft Practice .....</b>	<b>1624</b>
GROTH Camilla and BERG Arild	
<b>Embodied Knowledge in a Community Adaptive Practice.....</b>	<b>1645</b>
BENIWAL Sucharita	
<b>Designer's Emotions in the Design Process.....</b>	<b>1658</b>
BIAGIOLI Monica; GRIMALDI Silvia and ALI Hena	
<b>Understanding the Evaluation of New Products Through a Dual-Process Perspective .....</b>	<b>1668</b>
HAUG Anders	

Section 15.

Objects, Practices, Experiences and Networks

<b>Editorial: Material-Enabled Changes in Design Research and Practice.....</b>	<b>1682</b>
KARANA Elvin, FISHER Tom, KANE Faith and GIACCARDI Elisa	
<b>Experiential Characterization of Materials: toward a toolkit .....</b>	<b>1685</b>
CAMERE Serena and KARANA Elvin	
<b>Natural Materials – Nature of Materials .....</b>	<b>1706</b>
TONUK Damla and FISHER Tom	
<b>Materials Liaisons: facilitating communication in Design-Driven Material Innovation (DDMI) projects .....</b>	<b>1717</b>
HORNBUCKLE Rosie	
<b>Designers by Any Other Name: exploring the sociomaterial practices of vernacular garment menders .....</b>	<b>1731</b>
DURRANI Mariam	
<b>ICS Materials. Towards a Re-Interpretation of Material Qualities Through Interactive, Connected, and Smart Materials. ....</b>	<b>1747</b>
PARISI Stefano; ROGNOLI Valentina; SPALLAZZO Davide and PETRELLI Daniela	
<b>Visual Materiality: crafting a new viscosity .....</b>	<b>1762</b>
ENGBERG Maria; KOZEL Susan and LARSEN Henrik Svarrer	
<b>Textile Waste and Haptic Feedback for Wearable Robotics .....</b>	<b>1775</b>
VAN REES Hellen; MADER Angelika; SMITS Merlijn; LUDDEN Geke and LAMONTAGNE Valérie	
<b>Change Matters: theories of postdigital textiles and material design.....</b>	<b>1787</b>
IGOE Elaine	
<b>Responsive Knit: the evolution of a programmable material system .....</b>	<b>1800</b>
SCOTT Jane	

Volume 5

Section 16.

Inclusive Design

<b>Editorial: Designing for Diversity: Inclusive Design as a catalyst for change?.....</b>	<b>1814</b>
NICKPOUR Farnaz and DONG Hua	

<b>Approaches for Capturing and Communicating Individual Narrative Timelines Reflecting Real Life (Retirement)</b> .....	1816
MCGINLEY Chris; GHEERAWO Rama and SALNOT Florie	
<b>Evaluating Inclusivity Using Quantitative Personas</b> .....	1828
GOODMAN-DEANE Joy; WALLER Sam; DEMIN Dana; GONZÁLEZ-DE-HEREDIA Arantxa; BRADLEY Mike and CLARKSON P. John	
<b>Numeral Legibility and Visual Complexity</b> .....	1841
BEIER Sofie; BERNARD Jean-Baptiste and CASTET Eric	
<b>Beyond Accessible Aisles? Psychosocial Inclusivity of Shopping Experience: an ethnographic investigation</b> .....	1855
LIM Yonghun; GIACOMIN Joseph and NICKPOUR Farnaz	
<b>Seeking for Diversity among Young Users: the case of children’s photography</b> .....	1871
SÜNER Sedef and ERBUĞ Çiğdem	
<b>Smart Citizenship: designing the interaction between citizens and smart cities</b> .....	1884
FERRONATO Priscilla and RUECKER Stan	
<b>Responding to Diversity Including Disability</b> .....	1894
SØRENSEN OVERBY René and RYHL Camilla	
<b>Design for Self-inclusion: supporting emotional capability</b> .....	1908
BOESS Stella	
<b>Investigating Perceptions Related to Technology Acceptance &amp; Stigma of Wearable Robotic Assistive Devices by Older Adults – Preliminary Findings</b> .....	1919
SHORE Linda; DE EYTO Adam and O’SULLIVAN Leonard	
<b>A Study of Roles and Collaboration in the Development of Assistive Devices for People with Disabilities by Clinical Experts and Design Experts</b> .....	1938
KIM Agnes Jihae; KIM Jeonghyun; HWANG Daeun and KWEON Oseong	
<b>Designing Play Equipment for Children with Cerebral Palsy: the context and design guidelines</b> .....	1953
BORZENKOVA Ganna; NIEDDERER Kristina and ROZSAHEGYI Tunde	

Section 17.  
Sustainable Design

<b>Editorial: Sustainable Design</b> .....	1971
TRIMINGHAM Rhoda	
<b>Shifting Towards a User-Centred Approach for Resource-Efficient Building: lessons from an educational study</b> .....	1972
BOSSEREZ Ann; VERBEECK Griet and HERSENS Jasmien	
<b>A Design Tool to Apply Distributed Manufacturing Principles to Sustainable Product-Service System Development</b> .....	1986
PETRULAITYTE Aine; CESCHIN Fabrizio; PEI Eujin and HARRISON David	
<b>Training the Next Generation of Designers for a Sustainable Future: Action Research on the Circular Design Internship</b> .....	2008
BAKIRLIOĞLU Yekta; MCMAHON Muireann; DE EYTO Adam and RIO Manon	
<b>The Fashion Collection Recalibrated – a Design Tool Furthering Sustainable Strategies</b> .....	2019
RÆBILD Ulla and BANG Anne Louise	
<b>Towards a Circular Economy: exploring factors to repair broken electrical and electronics products by users with pro-environmental inclination</b> .....	2032
LEFEBVRE Marie; LOFTHOUSE Vicky and WILSON Garrath	
<b>Re-framing Product Circularity from a User Perspective</b> .....	2046
SELVEFORS Anneli; REXFELT Oskar; STRÖMBERG Helena and RENSTRÖM Sara	

Section 18.  
Design for Behaviour Change

<b>Editorial: Design for Behaviour Change</b> .....	2059
GARDINER Edward	
<b>Tegelen: supporting individual and group reflection through a dynamic, structured and tangible tool</b> .....	2061
ARSLAN Yasemin; MOLS Ine and HUMMELS Caroline	
<b>Ambiguity and Open-Endedness in Behavioural Design</b> .....	2075
BOON Boudewijn; ROZENDAAL Marco C. and STAPPERS Pieter Jan	
<b>Align and Combine, Customer Journey Mapping and COM-B Analysis to Aid Decision-Making During the Design Process</b> .....	2086
ELIZAROVA, Olga and KAHN, Paul	
<b>Co-designing Behaviour Change in Healthcare</b> .....	2101
JOHN Kieran; FLYNN Daphne and ARMSTRONG Mark	
<b>Designing for Lifelong Sports Experience</b> .....	2116
KARAHANOĞLU Armağan; VAN ROMPAY Thomas and LUDDEN Geke	
<b>The Beauty of Making Hot Chocolate – an inquiry on designing for everyday rituals</b> .....	2126
LÉVY Pierre	
<b>Persona Development in the Public Domain? Challenges to tackle</b> .....	2136
RONTELTAP Amber; BUKMAN Andrea; DE JONGE Martha and ROSCAM ABBING Erik	
<b>Heuristics for selecting and using behavioural design methods</b> .....	2146
TROMP Nynke; RENES Reint Jan and DAALHUIZEN Jaap	
<b>Rational Overrides: Influence Behaviour Beyond Nudging</b> .....	2163
VAN LIEREN Anne; CALABRETTA Giulia and SCHOORMANS Jan	

Section 19.  
The Role of Design in Open Innovation

<b>Editorial: The Role of Design in Open Innovation</b> .....	<b>2178</b>
RODRIGUEZ-FERRADAS María Isabel; FAIN Nuša and ALFARO-TANCO, José Antonio	
<b>A Case Study to Explore Applicability of Creating Shared Value (CSV) into Design Practice</b> .....	<b>2181</b>
KIM Kyulee	
<b>The Design and Social Enterprise Ecosystem: How can design be applied to a developing social enterprise ecosystem?</b> .....	<b>2193</b>
HYEJIN Kwon; YOUNGOK Choi and BUSAYAWAN Lam	
<b>Exploring the Pop-up Shop for Co-design Research</b> .....	<b>2209</b>
OVERDIEK Anja	

Volume 6  
Section 20.

Design for Tangible, Embedded and Networked Technologies

<b>Editorial: Design for Tangible, Embedded and Networked Technologies Special Interest Group (tentSIG)</b> .....	<b>2224</b>
KETTLEY Sarah; FRANKEL Lois and BLACKLER Alethea	
<b>Exploring the Interaction Between Lighting Variables and Information Transfer as a New Function of Lighting</b> .....	<b>2227</b>
DAEUN Jun CHAJOONG Kim; and KWANGMIN Cho	
<b>Contemporary Automotive Infotainment Solutions to Empower Front-Seat Passengers</b> .....	<b>2246</b>
SEN Guzin; SENER Bahar and JUMP Mike	
<b>Understanding Design as a Catalyst to Engage Remote Couples in Designing for Long-Distance Relationships</b> .....	<b>2265</b>
LI Hong	
<b>Are Traditional NPD Processes Relevant to IoT Product and Service Development Activities? A Critical Examination</b> .....	<b>2280</b>
LEE Boyeun; COOPER Rachel and HANDS David	
<b>Designing <i>In</i> With Black Box Technologies and PD</b> .....	<b>2294</b>
MANOHAR Arthi and BRIGGS Jo	
<b>Designing Machines with Autonomy: from independence to interdependence to solidarity</b> .....	<b>2308</b>
LIU Yuxi and PSCHETZ Larissa	

Section 21.2321  
Health and Wellbeing by Design

<b>Editorial: Health and Wellbeing by Design</b> .....	<b>2322</b>
TSEKLEVES Emmanuel	
<b>Social Innovation by Design in Mobile Healthcare for Sleep Disorders</b> .....	<b>2324</b>
CATOIR-BRISSON Marie-Julie	
<b>The Role of the Designer in Public Discourse – A critical discourse analysis of a medical brochure for diabetes patients</b> .....	<b>2334</b>
JACOBY Julia	
<b>Building Relationships and Sustaining Dialogue Between Patients, Caregivers and Healthcare Practitioners: a design evaluation of digital platforms for ventricular assist device users</b> .....	<b>2346</b>
DUNN Jessica Lea; KO Keum Hee Kimmi; NUSEM Erez; STRAKER Karla; WRIGLEY Cara; and GREGORY, Shaun	
<b>Design Research Opportunities in the Internet of Health Things: a review of reviews</b> .....	<b>2366</b>
TSEKLEVES Emmanuel and COOPER Rachel	
<b>Design in Healthcare: challenges and opportunities</b> .....	<b>2380</b>
NUSEM Erez	
<b>Healthy Self-Management Communities by Design</b> .....	<b>2390</b>
TAN Liren; WONG Sweet Fun; LOH Zhide and LEE Wei Chung	
<b>Mentian: Developing Design Fiction for Dementia Policy</b> .....	<b>2407</b>
DARBY Andy and TSEKLEVES Emmanuel	
<b>Socio-Cultural Factors in Diabetes Care in South Korea</b> .....	<b>2422</b>
HAHN Young-ae	
<b>Cascading Mentorship: Designing a Support Tool for Patients with Ventricular Assist Devices</b> .....	<b>2441</b>
ETHERINGTON Mackenzie Norman; KO Keum Hee Kimmi; DUNN Jessica Lea; STRAKER Karla; NUSEM Erez; WRIGLEY Cara; and GREGORY, Shaun	
<b>Design for Multi-Dimensional Stages of Lymphoedema Self-Management</b> .....	<b>2459</b>
KOPANOGLU Teksin; EGGBEER Dominic and WALTERS Andrew	
<b>Toward a More Granular Management of the Calibration Process for Hearing Devices: the role of design-based knowledge translation</b> .....	<b>2474</b>
SIMEONE Luca; PICINALI Lorenzo and ATVUR Alisan	
<b>Encouraging Physical Activity and Self-Enhancement in Women with Breast Cancer Through a Smart Bra</b> .....	<b>2487</b>
MENHEERE Daphne; MEGENS Carl; VAN DER SPEK Erik and VOS Steven	
<b>A Qualitative Study on Turkish Medical Device Manufacturers and the Attention They Place on Human-Centred Design</b> .....	<b>2499</b>
KOSE Nilay Gulfer and CIFTER Abdusselam Selami	
<b>Do-It-Yourself Medical Devices: exploring their potential futures through design fiction</b> .....	<b>2511</b>
STEAD Michael; COULTON Paul and LINDLEY Joseph	
<b>Does Feedback from This Device Change Unhealthy habits? Lessons from my PhD project</b> .....	<b>2524</b>
HERMSEN Sander	

Section 22.  
Design for Subjective Wellbeing

<b>Editorial: Design for Subjective Wellbeing</b> .....	2540
CAIN Rebecca; PETERMANS Ann; POHLMAYER Anna; DESMET Pieter; OZKARAMANLI Deger	
<b>Co-designing Wellbeing: the commonality of needs between co-designers and mental health service users</b> .....	2544
WARWICK Laura; TINNING Alexandra; SMITH Neil and YOUNG Robert	
<b>Soma-Wearable Design: Integrating Somaesthetic Practice and Fashion Design for Somatic Wellbeing</b> .....	2558
JUNG Heekyoung and STÄHL Anna	
<b>The Road to Happiness is Paved with Playful Intentions</b> .....	2572
LEGAARD Jesper	
<b>Co-creating Happy Moments: A Case Study of Designing for People with Mental Health Challenges</b> .....	2587
LI Hong; ZHA Yiyun and ZHAO Jie	
<b>Design Probes for People with Dementia</b> .....	2607
GARDE Julia Anne; VAN DER VOORT Mascha Cécile and NIEDDERER Kristina	

Volume 7

Section 23.

Design Education for the General Public

<b>Editorial: Design Education for the General Public</b> .....	2624
NIELSEN Merete Liv	
<b>'Being Cultural' Versus 'Cultural Beings' – general design education</b> .....	2626
DIGRANES Ingvild	
<b>Being-and-Becoming a Sustainable Practice</b> .....	2634
CODDINGTON Alicen and AYERS James	
<b>Innovation Development in Norwegian Public Schools. The relationship between innovation, creativity and imagination</b> .....	2647
VITTERSØ Jorid and REITAN Janne Beate	
<b>Developing Chilean Teaching Capability Through Design Thinking</b> .....	2658
CORTÉS Catalina; BRAVO Úrsula; RIVERA Maritza; HONORATO María Jesús; LLOYD Peter and JONES Derek	
<b>A Toolkit for Teaching the Design Process: A Case of Korean Elementary School Students</b> .....	2672
TUFAIL Muhammad; LEE Seonmi and KIM KwanMyung	
<b>Combining Craft and Digital Tools in Design Education for the General Public</b> .....	2688
STRAND Ingri and NIELSEN Liv Merete	
<b>Redesigning Migrant Children's Education Through Service Design in Shanghai</b> .....	2700
BO Gao and QING Deng	
<b>Design Processes and Co-Activity in Design Education</b> .....	2714
KVELLESTAD Randi Veiteberg	
<b>Augmenting Low Investment Learning Styles</b> .....	2727
BADNI Kevin	

Section 24.

Design Education: Catalysing Design Capability

<b>Editorial: Design Education: Catalysing Design Capability (PEDISG)</b> .....	2743
TOVEY Michael	
<b>Engaging Qualities: factors affecting learner attention in online design studios</b> .....	2745
LOTZ Nicole; JONES Derek and HOLDEN Georgy	
<b>Overcoming Design Fixation in Idea Generation</b> .....	2764
LEAHY Keelin; SEIFERT Colleen M.; DALY Shanna; and MCKILLIGAN Seda	
<b>Pedagogic Power-Tools: knowing what was and what is, for what will be</b> .....	2776
O'SULLIVAN Glen .....	
<b>Have I Got a Proposition for You: Developing the capability for compelling arguments through rhetorical practice in the design studio</b> .....	2788
KELLY Veronika and THIESSEN Myra	
<b>Why We Need Engineers to Make Art</b> .....	2800
INNELLA Giovanni and RODGERS Paul A.	
<b>Graphic Design Research: a cause for the concerned</b> .....	2811
HARLAND Robert George; CORAZZO James; GWILT Ian; HONNOR Alison and RIGLEY Steve	
<b>Requests from Companies and Requirements for Design Education in Brazil: where do they meet?</b> .....	2822
DZIOBCZENSKI Paulo Roberto Nicoletti; PERSON Oscar; TONETTO Leandro Miletto and MANDELLI Roberta Rech	
<b>Pedagogical Design Research for University Police Uniforms</b> .....	2837
BRANDEWIE Brooke; KIM Injoo; KIM Myoung-Ok; ENGEL Robin and KARPIAK Kevin	
<b>Whole-to-Part-to-Whole: Co-Evolutionary and Integrative Design Approach</b> .....	2850
CASAKIN, Hernan and GINSBURG, Yoram	
<b>Living Labs in Co-Creation and Sustainability as Strategies for Design Education</b> .....	2863
MOUCHREK Najla and KRUCKEN Lia	
<b>Using Design Competencies to Define Curricula and Support Learners</b> .....	2881
FASS John; RUTGERS Job and CHUI Mo-Ling	
<b>Tacit Synthesis: typography as research</b> .....	2898
COOREY Jillian and MOONEY Aoife	

<b>Paradigm Shift in Design Education: An overview on issues and possibilities for change</b> .....	<b>2913</b>
ROCHA Hugo; FERREIRA A. M. and JEFFERSON Manhães	
<b>I-Wonder-How:A Method for Co-designing with Children in Design Education</b> .....	<b>2926</b>
UMULU Sila and KORKUT Fatma	

## Section 25.

### How Organisations Employ Design as Vehicle for Change

<b>Editorial: How Organisations Employ Design as Vehicle for Change</b> .....	<b>2941</b>
HAMMOND Chris; YEE Joyce; JUNGINGER Sabine, BROOKS Sarah B, SAYLOR Joni, and MICHLEWSKI Kamil	
<b>Building the Foundation for a Design-Led Ambidexterity in a Medium-Sized Tech Company</b> .....	<b>2945</b>
STOIMENOVA Niya and DE LILLE Christine	
<b>Embodied Design Methods as Catalyst for Industrial Service Design</b> .....	<b>2962</b>
JYLKÁS Titta and KUURE Essi	
<b>How Design Thinking Offers Strategic Value to Micro-Enterprises</b> .....	<b>2973</b>
GAYNOR Lee; DEMPSEY Hilary and WHITE P.J.	
<b>Introducing Design-Driven Innovation into Brazilian MSMEs: barriers and next challenges of design support</b> .....	<b>2986</b>
FONSECA BRAGA Mariana and ZURLO Francesco	
<b>Using Dynamic Capabilities in an Actionable Tool as a Vehicle to Initiate Design-Driven Innovation</b> .....	<b>3006</b>
KLITSIE Barend; PRICE Rebecca and DE LILLE Christine	
<b>Design Capability in a Software SME: report from an embedded design innovation catalyst</b> .....	<b>3019</b>
BASTIAANSEN Sjoerd J.B.M.; PRICE Rebecca Anne, GOVERS Pascale C.M. and MACHIELSEN Tjeerd M.	
<b>The View from Within: Design's Voyage to Get a Seat at the Strategy Table</b> .....	<b>3036</b>
BOZTEPE Suzan	
<b>Entangling, Oscillating, Frilux-ing: branding the art of design</b> .....	<b>3047</b>
PANDEY Sumit	
<b>The Role(s) of Process Models in Design Practice</b> .....	<b>3064</b>
IVERSEN Søren; KUNØ Mads and VISTISEN Peter	
<b>Perspective: the gist of public tender for service design</b> .....	<b>3077</b>
PARK-LEE Seunggho and PERSON Oscar	
<b>Index of Authors</b> .....	<b>3089</b>

---

# **Volume 3**

---

# **Section 10.**

---

## **Tools of Design**

---

# Mybias: A web-based Tool to Overcome Designers' Biases in Heterogeneous Design Teams

MATTIOLI Francesca\*; FERRARIS Silvia Deborah; FERRARO Venere; and RAMPINO Lucia Rosa Elena

Politecnico di Milano

\* Corresponding author e-mail: francesca1.mattioli@mail.polimi.it

doi: 10.21606/drs.2018.445

Cross-cultural and interdisciplinary collaborations are increasing in all sectors, from companies to universities. As a consequence, design teams are becoming more and more heterogeneous; it thus becomes fundamental to improve teamwork for heterogeneous teams. Designer's interpretation ability is a fundamental skill, but it might be strictly connected to the designer's personal experience and can, therefore, be strongly biased. Are design students aware of this? If not, how can they be supported to manage diversity? In this paper, we first introduce our research that is aimed at better understanding the role of biases in the design process and in heterogeneous teams. We afterward present the development of a web-based tool designed to improve design teams' dynamics by making students more aware of their biases from the beginning of the design process. The results of the tool testing on 79 students of two different classes of a Design Studio Course are presented and discussed.

*design tool, heterogeneous teams, biases, mutual understanding*

## 1 Introduction

In today globalized and complex world, cross-cultural and interdisciplinary collaborations are increasing in companies, universities, and institutions. Design is also moving in this direction, both in the area of education and profession. Design teams are becoming increasingly heterogeneous; it thus becomes fundamental to inquire about how to improve teamwork for these teams.

Indeed, while common thought patterns and a better chance to understand each other exist amongst people sharing the same cultural background, heterogeneous groups of people are characterized by a high variety of viewpoints and, therefore, have complex dynamics that lead to major misunderstandings.

Being a group of design researchers of an international university, we teach in a multidisciplinary and multicultural environment and, therefore, have first-hand experiences of the effect of diversity



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>



in teamwork. As educators, we observed that the high variety of different viewpoints that defines the profiles of our postgraduate students can impact their teamwork to a point that require support.

In this paper, we present the tool we are now developing and testing to overcome biases in heterogeneous teamwork. The first testing activity was carried out in a Master of Science course, where students come from all continents and from different fields of expertise.

We acknowledged that, when the team members share many cultural patterns, it is quite easy to accept some assumptions for granted. It is natural to think that “*everybody in the team knows what this means or what this is*”, but it is quite inaccurate to assume that some ideas are universally understandable. However, this natural attitude becomes problematic when the team is highly heterogeneous because personal meanings can differ considerably among team members. If not well managed, this lack of understanding can be frustrating. On the other hand, it can also be an effective way to experience the subjectivity of interpretations. Indeed, each designer necessarily filters what she observes (context, user, interactions) through a personal point of view and most of the time, designers have their preconceptions about design objects. As a result, teams’ heterogeneity can be considered either as positive or negative for the design process because from one side it leads to a varied range of ideas, but it also leads to many misunderstandings.

All these considerations brought us to the following question: how can we ask students to “*think outside the box*” if they do not know in which box they are thinking in? Each person’s mind-set is built on personal biases and first-hand experiences.

We verified the need to effectively manage cultural differences during the design process to enhance teamwork. We observed that design students do not exactly know where the limit of their interpretation of reality lies until they are confronted with a completely different one. Designers’ interpretation ability is a fundamental skill, but it might be strictly connected to the designer’s personal experience and therefore strongly biased. Are the design students aware of this? If not, how can we support them?

In this paper we introduce our research regarding biases managing in design education. This research started in 2016 and led the team to develop a web-based tool to overcome designers’ biases. The research output described in this paper refers to the making of a latest prototype, tested in the current academic years started September 2017 in two parallel design studio courses.

## **2 Literature review**

While setting the basis of our research, we investigated three areas of interest, from the more general to the more specific, all strictly connected with the issue of managing a design team. Firstly, a general overview on decision-making will be presented. Then, teamwork practice in the design field will be briefly discussed. Finally, the issues related to biases in heterogeneous design teams are presented.

### **2.1 Decision-making: is teamwork effective to overcome biases?**

To make good decisions has always been by far one of the most important goals of the organizations; human behaviours within the decision-making process are therefore a crucial topic in the field of teamwork science. Consequently, in the early days of this field, researchers tried to describe cognitive processes behind the individuals’ ability to make choices (Larrick, 2016).

Heuristic processes, which could be defined as a shortcut to memory, are identified as the main drivers of individuals’ decision-making (Kahneman, 2011). In other words, the human brain usually relies on memory when it comes to making decisions. Even though heuristic processes frequently work well in everyday life, they can however lead to wrong assumptions when decision-making concern unusual problems. Moreover, the lack of awareness of the heuristic processes leads to the tendency of people to ground decision on a biased set of evidence (Larrick, 2016), which are called cognitive biases. Cognitive biases are defined as “the deviation of rationality in judgment, whereby

situations may be represented in a subjective way” (Haselton, Nettle, & Murray, 2005). The extensive literature about cognitive biases in the fields of cognitive psychology and strategic management suggest that cognitive simplification and biases play a crucial role in strategic decision making.

The existence of cognitive biases explains the rising importance of teams in organizational management as well, because a group of people can have access to a higher variety of experiences and therefore to a wider range of data (Kahneman, 2011). In his review, Larrick (2016) suggests that the heterogeneous composition of the team improves the decisional process because of two principles. The first is that of error reduction, because the introduction of multiple viewpoints produces different errors that statistically balance each other. The second is the principle of knowledge aggregation; diverse people will bring up different knowledge which will allow a better understanding of a given decision (Larrick, 2016). For these reasons, in the recent decades teams have become the strategy of choice when organizations are confronted with complex and difficult tasks (Salas, Cooke, & Rosen, 2008). The team can be defined as a social entity in which two or more individuals socially interact (Kozlowski & Ilgen, 2016) and the decision-making can be defined as one of the main results of team’s social processes (Larrick, 2016).

It is interesting to understand the socio-cognitive processes through which the team builds a shared conception of an issue. The shared cognition is built thanks to communication among team members and the crucial attitude to reach this goal is mutuality, which means that the team is in an environment where all members can potentially contribute and be listened to by others (Barron, 2000). In other words, each viewpoint brings value to the shared cognition building process. Hence this process is enhanced if all the members are willing to build a mutual understanding. Mutually shared cognition is developed when an agreement is reached around the co-constructed understandings (Van den Bossche, Gijssels, Segers, & Kirschner, 2016).

In our research, it is assumed that processes to build mutual understanding and share cognition should be implemented from the very beginning of the team activities. This aspect is identified as a booster for teamwork efficiency because it leads to more respectful dynamics.

## **2.2 Teamwork and Design**

Design can be described as a “social process of interaction and negotiation between different participants who each bring to bear their own ‘object world’” (Cross, 2011). By using these words, Cross highlights the subjectivity of the design process which needs the participants’ interaction and negotiation to succeed. Since design teams’ dynamics are gathering a massive importance within design research, many socio-cognitive and behavioural processes related to design thinking have been analysed. Two of them raised our interest among the others: design-by-analogy and design fixations.

Design-by-analogy is highlighted as one of the most important processes that regulate designers’ thinking. Designers tend to make analogies during idea generation, which means that they use their experiences to find solutions to actual issues. This process seems to improve creativity (Toh & Miller, 2015), but still there is a lack of understanding about how much those analogies are accessible in heterogeneous teams (Christensen & Ball, 2016).

The second fundamental aspect of designers’ behaviour is design fixations.

*Design fixation is a state in which someone engaged in a design task undertakes a restricted exploration of the design space due to an unconscious bias resulting from prior experiences, knowledge or assumptions. (Crilly & Cardoso, 2017, p. 6)*

Fixation is neither defined as something good or bad for the design process, but it seems to be unavoidable (Crilly & Cardoso, 2017). Moreover, it is described as an unconscious behaviour which is always present (Cardoso, Badke-Schaub, & Eris, 2016).

Both design fixations and design-by-analogy could be interpreted as the result of previous designer's experiences. These two socio-cognitive processes suggested us that the problem-solution framing within the design process could be highly influenced by self-constructed preconceptions. As much as cognitive biases are unavoidable factors in decision making, the pre-conceptual ideas, that we call in this research "biases", seem to be present and relevant in design teams' dynamics.

Indeed, according to Krippendorff (2005) the way designers understand the world is not different from the way in which other people are influenced by their subjectivity. Designers should develop the skill of understanding of others' understanding. We do advocate that this skill could be implemented first with co-workers, leading at the same time to the construction of the shared cognition and mutual understanding among team members. This practice could also improve an efficient communication in the team, which is highlighted as fundamental in design collective processes as well (Wardak, 2016).

However, the design teamwork research often focused on the observation of teams during the decision-making moments. Referring to the Double Diamond mapping of the design process made by the Design Council, decision-making mainly takes place in the convergent parts of the scheme (Design Council, 2007).

What is the role of divergent thinking in decision-making? This first stage of design thinking lays the basis for idea generation, because the designer is exposed to stimulus that later will possibly have a role in the analogical reasoning (Mougenot, Bouchard, Aoussat, & Westerman, 2008). Designer's subjective experience is a fundamental element during the discovery research (Mougenot, et al., 2008). We think that this leads to the unavoidable fact that designers' cognition acts like a filter during divergent thinking, while designer observes and tries to deeply understand the design issues. Divergent thinking is therefore necessary to shape the ground where decision-making takes place, it is thus a crucial phase for the team to build shared cognition because designers could have different perspectives and biases while observing users and contexts. It is therefore important for them to be aware about the subjectivity of their interpretations.

### **2.3 Heterogeneous design teams: the challenge for the future.**

During the last decades, design studies moved from analysing the individuals to analysing homogeneous teams (D'souza, 2016). Recently, the interest is shifting on heterogeneous design teams, especially because interdisciplinary collaborations increased. Some principles to foster interdisciplinary teamwork could be summarised as (Maciver et al., 2016, p. 14-15):

- *Fostering appreciation and unifying activities*
- *Recognising, acknowledging and embracing difference in approach*
- *Challenging of assumptions*
- *Synthesising ideas via alternative forms of communication*

Indeed, the role of individual variation in background knowledge is vitally important for attaining a full understanding of the biases of team members, which influences the effectiveness of teamwork (Christensen & Ball, 2016). Consequently, research on knowledge-sharing in interdisciplinary teams has also arisen in the design field. The knowledge-sharing literature demonstrated that a potential for design teamwork exists in the exchange and integration of previously unshared domain knowledge (Christensen & Ball, 2016).

Nevertheless, Maciver et al. (2016) principles can also be effective in other kind of teams, for instance in cross-cultural and demographically diverse teams. Indeed, the internet and the globalization has transformed our world into an international marketplace. Even though teamwork science paid very little attention to culture in its early times (Zeynep & Gelfand, 2012), increasing globalization pushed the field to an era where culture research is becoming an emergent field of scholarly inquiry (Larrick, 2016; Salas, Cooke, & Rosen, 2008). Several design tools have been

developed to manage divergent thinking to understand users coming from different backgrounds and contexts (i.e. IDEO.org, 2015). Nevertheless, cross-cultural research in the design field seems to be quite exclusively related to designer-user interaction (i.e. Plocher, Rau, & Choong, 2012) and is rarely discussed during design teamwork itself.

Some authors underlined the importance of cross-cultural collaborations in designer's education (i.e. Hoyos, Scharoun, & Poplin, 2015; Peña, Conesa, Hassan, & Ballester, 2009). The interest in this topic is rising since academic studies are becoming increasingly international. However, from the team members' point of view, most research does not provide practical insights aimed at solving the issues related to cross-cultural design teamwork in education. In her article Audra Buck-Coleman (2010) presents a cross-cultural workshop organized with students of graphic design coming from different universities across the world. The identified need was to inform the students on how traits such as religion, socioeconomic class and other differences can impact visual messages (Buck-Coleman, 2010). Therefore, the workshop deliberately challenges students to evaluate their beliefs, recognize the limitations of their knowledge to understand how preconceptions manifest in their design work.

In our case study - an interdisciplinary master course attended by students from different Countries - it was difficult to define if the observed personal biases were caused by different disciplinary backgrounds or different cultures. Therefore, we defined heterogeneous team as one characterized by a wide range of different biases and by a lower initial shared cognition.

Moreover, since the design activity performed by the students' team were intended to mimic professional practice (with a brief issued by a company), we agreed on the theory that the reflective practice about biases their selves could solve the identified issue.

*It is argued that reflective practice can help practitioners to understand their own experience and knowledge, in turn assisting them as their expertise develops over their careers [...]. Furthermore, in certain situations, effective reflective methods need to allow a person to reflect on the influence of others as well as themselves in the decision-making process. (Gribbin, Aftab, Young, & Park, 2016, p. 12).*

The repertory grid technique proposed by Gribbin et al. (2016) for designers is another example of a tool aimed at making design practitioners and student more aware of their tacit knowledge and biases, which is also our issue concerning heterogeneous teams. In particular, it is intended to uncover implicit personal constructs through building polar definitions of certain topics using exclusively words.

### **3 Designing a tool to share biases in heterogeneous teams**

We decided to design a tool for designers aimed at reducing the negative effects of personal biases on teamwork dynamics. Since the wide variety of biases is the most important characteristic of heterogeneous teams, the tool should help designers to understand another designer's viewpoint from the very beginning of the design process.

The idea was to create a way to represent personal biases. Through this representation, designers should reach a greater awareness of their own biases and, at the same time, they have a chance to understand the mental models of their teammates. In this way, the tool can actively improve mutual understanding in design teams by sharing personal biases about the design object.

Before developing the tool, we searched for already existing ones. We acknowledged that most of the tools for teamwork are designed for the convergent phases, while the tools for the divergent phases are mainly related to designer-user interaction (i.e. IDEO.org, 2015). This lack of tools aimed at improving designer-designer's interaction during the inspiration phase reflects the attitude, already observed in literature, to allocate the shared cognition building process after the divergent phase. We furthermore reviewed some interesting research related to this issue. Most of this research gave us useful insights, but they referred to *ad hoc* workshop activities (i.e. Buck-Coleman,

2010). Other research presented interesting tools related to the reflective practice, for instance the already mentioned repertory grid technique (Gribbin et al., 2016). Nevertheless, this technique, which completely relies on words contraposition, also appeared inappropriate; indeed, since designers frequently communicate by using images, we believed that the tool should have been based on diverse communication modes.

This aspect of the tool and its other expected characteristics are presented and justified. Beside the communication modes, we also supposed that a web-based tool would have been the best solution to represent personal biases and to train students to reflective practice. However, we found a lack of web-based design tools for designers aimed at building mutual understanding regarding designer's tacit knowledge (Bernal, Haymaker e Eastman 2015).

To sum up, none of the tools we found seemed to fit our goal. Since our specific case-studies were two Design Studio Courses based on learning-by-doing, we needed an applied tool for the students to manage such diversity. We therefore looked for a repeatable activity, easy and fast, to be proposed to the teams at the beginning of the Design Studio project teamwork. The tool should be aimed at improving team dynamics by making students more aware of their biases from the beginning of the design process.

### **3.1 Fundamental characteristics of the tool**

As anticipated, we defined some fundamental characteristics for the tool based on some assumptions deduced by our observation of the students and from the literature review. We will briefly introduce them because the characteristics definition was a fundamental step for the definition of the design tool.

#### **3.1.1 Light cognitive load**

According to cognitive psychology, we can define the cognitive load as the total amount of mental effort being used to accomplish a certain task. The tool aimed at recreating an everyday interaction which did not represent a heavy cognitive load. We wanted students to be relaxed while doing the activity, because we expected that an unstressed atmosphere among participants would have fostered the mutual understanding building process.

Also, observing the interaction between students in heterogeneous teams, we noticed that when they want to express an idea, they first try to use the English language. If they do not know some words, they take out their laptops or mobile phones and look for translations. They also rely on gestures to empathize what they are saying. To reinforce their references, they usually look for images on the Internet or they pick some stored images on their devices and social networks. This technology-based and internet-based interaction appeared to be faster and more effective.

The core of meaning-making process within design collaborations relies on the correlations between words, images and gestures (Wardak, 2016). We therefore assumed that all those communication modes should have been present in the tool, because they are necessary during the meaning-making process and because they ensure a right cognitive load.

#### **3.1.2 Communication using images**

Images are a powerful design communication mode and they are intensively used during the whole design thinking process. We hence supposed that participants should necessarily use some pictures to describe their biases.

Mougenot et al. (2008) observed designers during images selection of the discovery phase and they found that web browsing allows a wider range of inspirational pictures and consequently a greater range of outputs. Then they observed how refining keywords is crucial to find the correct images, especially when designers want to express abstract or feeling-related concepts. For example, to represent "Competition" a participant looked for Footwear first, then Footwear + Sport, then Footwear + Sport + Design (Mougenot et al., 2008). They finally observed that "today computational

tools could allow more effective control, such that individual differences in information gathering strategy can be more effectively pursued” (Mougenot et al., 2008).

We consequently thought that the picture selection of the tool should have been internet-based to guarantee the widest range of images. Participants should be free to refine their research keywords until they find the right pictures. Therefore, an internet-based activity also ensured a high flexibility of sources, which is needed to show a wide range of subjective ideas.

### *3.1.3 Communication using a common language*

The use of words is also important and the correlation between pictures and words is another sense-making factor. Keywords and storytelling are fundamental to make the images-words correlation explicit. Storytelling is very important because it leads to building deep connections between participants and talks and gestures are a fundamental step to building shared understanding (Wardak, 2016).

The course we refer to is in English, which is identified as the international business language (Harvard Business School Publishing, 2017) and the international academic language (Jenkins, 2014). Many other international organizations and companies tend to assume English as the language chosen for cross-cultural collaborations. This usually happens even if no one in the team is an English native speaker.

Language is a critical issue in heterogeneous collaborations since the team communicates using a certain language with different proficiency levels. This implies that each person will have different skills in expressing and sharing subjective ideas. Moreover, according to each different mother tongue, the translation could be easier or harder. Indeed, it is fundamental to consider the notion of linguistic distance, which refers to the relative difference between two languages. According to the models of the origins of languages, ‘language trees’, to explain the historical relations between ‘families’ or ‘groups’ of languages being structurally relatively similar, the structural closeness of languages can significantly vary (Lauring & Selmer, 2010). Regardless of individual fluency, it is thus more difficult to express a concept for people with a higher linguistic distance from English.

The tool should indeed be designed for multiple users who can be either non-native speakers or native speakers. Since the objective is to build mutual understanding, it is important to give the team members time to think about words to use and to let them explain “*what they mean with those words*”.

### *3.1.4 Standard format*

We agreed on the need for a standard format which implies a defined quantity of information that must be used to describe the bias. The standard format has some important consequences on the activity. Firstly, everybody knows the format which leads to better understanding of others during the sharing phase.

Moreover, everybody has the same space to express subjective ideas. Indeed, personal attitudes can influence team dynamics and these attitudes can vary according to individuals’ characters. We should guarantee equality among all the team member’s biases and, of course, among team fellows.

Finally, the selection process reveals differences and common points. To select the most relevant aspect to describe an idea is a great exercise to show how differently people can create connections. This tool’s feature is the one that contributes the most to understand the subjectivity of thought.

## **4 Mybias: a web-based tool to share designers’ biases.**

### **4.1 Aim**

The aim was to create an effective bias sharing tool. Indeed, we believe that during their academic path, design students should develop positive behaviour during teamwork, especially when they face a high variety of biases. These include:

1. To be aware that own personal interpretation is biased
2. To respect the team fellows' viewpoints
3. To understand the team fellows' viewpoints

Thus, the tool enables to share personal viewpoints in a safe environment. This is a key step in heterogeneous teams to build mutual understanding because it leads to acceptance and respect of differences.

Mybias is a web-based activity for design teams where users can represent their biases about any topic using a standard format representation that is called bias card (see Figure 1). The web environment, specifically a web application, can be executed by any browser. Indeed, the only requirements for the Mybias activity are to have one device per team member (PC, laptop, tablet, mobile phone) and an internet connection.



Figure 1. two examples of bias cards, made by two participants during the preliminary test of the tool.

## 4.2 Process

Mybias activity is divided into two main parts: the individual part and the collective one.

### 4.2.1 Definition of topics

Before starting the activity, the team should decide the words that are more significant to discuss to create a shared knowledge. Within the activity those words are called topics.

### 4.2.2 Phase 1: individual task, the making of the bias cards

When the team knows the topic, the individual phase starts: the participants are asked to individually represent their biases about the topic by describing it using:

- Three pictures
- Text up to 140 characters

This personal brief description is the bias card (see Figure 1). To do this task, no requirements, restraints or rules are given. The participants are free to fill in the card as they please. Though a lecture was given to explain the tool purpose and application, and some examples were shown, as alter explained in paragraph 5. After this process, the team has one bias card made by each member about each decided topic.

### 4.2.3 Phase 2: team task, storytelling and sharing of understanding

In the collective phase, each participant explains her representation to the teammates, talking in English. The description should include subjective experiences that led the bias's representation process. The rest of the team should ask questions to better understand the presented bias.

This step is crucial to build mutual understanding. The asking-answering process is necessary to comprehend the viewpoint of the others and where it comes from (i.e. cultural biases, previous experiences, different backgrounds). Additionally, it is fundamental to understand the meaning behind the words and the pictures selected by the others.

### 4.3 Output

At the end of the activity, the team has a set of bias cards but, above all, has a shared understanding on what each discussed topic means to each group member. These outputs are very context-related, which means that they are valid to that team in the moment in which the activity took place. The cards can become part of the research material of the team and they can possibly be useful for following parts of the design process (i.e. brainstorming, idea generation). Nevertheless, these implications are not discussed in this paper.

## 5 Testing the tool

Between March and May 2017, a prototype of Mybias was designed and preliminary tested during two short workshops involving 6 postgraduate students. The qualitative analysis of the preliminary test showed the potential of Mybias. Moreover, all the participants of the preliminary tests gave us positive feedback on the tool. However, we only simulated the design teamwork within these short design workshop and we therefore needed to test the tool. Yet, the prototype required extra testing on a wider audience, therefore, a second testing was conducted with 79 students of two classes of the first year Design Studio of the Master course coming from different study paths and parts of the world (see figure 2).

The aim of the Design Studio is to develop an innovative design product from the conceptual to the engineering phase. Students work in heterogeneous teams of three to four students. This semester, the specific design brief was “to design an innovative anti-theft mechanical device”.




Figure 2. charts of the students' backgrounds and native countries.

Firstly, the students filled the initial questionnaire that was aimed at understanding the inclination of students towards teamwork, design teamwork and heterogeneous teams. Then, during a brief lecture, we explained how to use Mybias and we provided two topics, strictly related to the design brief: “means of transportation” and “anti-theft”. The lecture aims were to clarify the practical use of the web tool and to guide the students before the bias cards making process. We therefore showed the tool, we provided various examples of several bias cards and we commented them. As a general rule, we explained that the bias card should be the representation of their genuine thoughts about the given topics. We prompted them to select the three images that would have first popped up in their minds while thinking of the topic. Regarding the text, the students were asked to give their personal definition of the topic at hand, according to their personal way of framing it.



The participants, divided in 21 groups of 3 or 4 students, did the activity autonomously off-class during the following week. To evaluate the impact of the tool, the students were asked to deliver a brief report of the use of the tool, particularly about the storytelling, and to fill a final questionnaire.

## 6 Results

The answers to the initial questionnaire were necessary to understand that most of the students perceived teamwork as very important in the design process, even if some of them do not really like it. Most of them also considered heterogeneous teamwork as an added value for the outcome, because of the wide range of point of views. Nevertheless, some of them highlighted that heterogeneity is often a barrier for mutual understanding during teamwork.




Figure 3. the chart shows the percentage of answers to the initial questionnaire answers. The students also justified the third answer (the blue one in the chart) by inputting a short text.

After using the tool, participants gave some important qualitative feedbacks about the use of Mybias in their reports. Firstly, several teams wrote that the use of Mybias stimulated students to build a shared knowledge

*“All in all, the second topic (means of transportation) triggered more curiosity about the cultural backgrounds and, overall, the group discussed about their own experiences”  
(Class 1, Group 6)*

The students understood that, even though analogies in the definition of topics exist, the differences are always present, and they are fundamental to understand the world in its complexity.

*“To sum up we can say that we have perceived this topic through different shades.”  
(Class 1, Group 1)*

Mybias was also useful for them to acknowledge the importance of building a shared cognition within the team and therefore we expect them in the future to be promoters of this key process for teambuilding.

*“With Mybias we could compare the different point of view, we understood the different thoughts of each member of the group and we also learned that a collective knowledge is more useful than a personal opinion.” (Class 1, Group 7)*

Moreover, Mybias triggered some students’ reflections about the language issue, which was also identified as one of the issues related to heterogeneous design teams.

*“None of the group members’ mother tongue is English, so there is a language barrier while communicating. Spending more time is important for the group to be sure that everyone is on the same page” (Class 2, Group 8)*

Surprisingly, Mybias was triggering some interesting reflections about biases also in less heterogeneous teams.

*“All the team members have a pretty similar cultural background: all of us come from the same Country indeed. This aspect came out during the talk among us; most of thoughts and outcomes happened to be really close to each other. This means that most of the pictures and biases were almost the same. [...]. After the activity, we understood that what we take for granted in our everyday routine might be perceived as unusual by someone else. It means it is quite essential, to work successfully in a team, to listen to the opinion and to the feelings of every member. What sounds weird can be therefore accepted and, eventually, it can enrich the outcome of teamwork”*

*(Class 2, Group 2)*




Figure 4. four examples of bias cards made by four different students with Mybias during the test.

From the final questionnaire answers the students confirmed that they personally found analogies and differences among their cards (see figure 5) and only few of them wrote that their cards were “not at all” or “very much” different from their team fellows’ one. We interpreted this data as a positive result because it means that the team members can build connections (analogies), but at the same time they experience a certain level of differences which stimulate the process of building a shared cognition. From their individual feedbacks we can infer that Mybias was useful for them to build mutual understanding among team fellows.




Figure 5. the chart shows the percentage of answers to the final questionnaire answers. The students also justified the third answer (the green one in the chart) by inputting a short text.

## 7 Discussion

The test revealed the potential of the tool. To share biases was a useful exercise for the majority of the participants. The observations confirmed that Mybias is useful tool to create a safe positive space for people to build connections and mutual understanding. The students' feedbacks highlighted how Mybias was effective to firstly acknowledge the differences among team member's viewpoints. The collective phase of the activity, which was divided into the storytelling and the discussion about different or similar definitions of each topic, was fundamental to understanding others. The results of their discussions can be summarized into some recurrent team attitudes:

- Agreement on some analogies in definitions
- Initial incomprehension of differences, followed by inquiry and collective redefinition
- Interest to make further research about some insights (analogies or differences)

The first two aspects observed are the symptoms of the shared-cognition building. It was interesting to observe that they were present also in less heterogeneous teams (i.e. Class 2, Group 2). Therefore, we suppose that Mybias could be an effective tool to inform students about the subjectivity of their interpretations. It is also highly positive that Mybias triggered curiosity in certain situations because it fosters deeper understanding of others, possibly leading to higher empathy and cohesion in the team.

Concerning the third aspect, it could add value to start the divergent thinking because Mybias can act as a divergence booster. However, it should be investigated whether these interesting insights could have some latent negative effects, for instance if they determine strong fixations for the team. The fact that the tool is web-based proved to be effective, because it let students work together when and where it was most convenient for them.

## 8 Conclusion and Further Development

The paper has sought to clarify the role of biases in the design process, with special attention given to their impact on heterogeneous teams' dynamics. The acknowledgement of some issues related to this context led us to the identification of the need to manage biases in the design thinking process. In this paper we presented the development and evaluation of a bias sharing tool, which enhance designers' reflective practice in relation to bias managing in heterogeneous teams. The tool is identified as a trigger to stimulate the building of mutual understanding among team members, especially in educational design Studios.

One of the main limits of this research is that during the described test, the topics were selected by us, but we do believe this degree of freedom might have an impact on the use of the tool.

Future research should examine the effects of the tool on the following phases of the design process, to understand its influences on the overall designing experience. Even though the use of the tool is positive for teamwork dynamics, future studies should clarify which is the effect of Mybias on creativity and idea generation. Indeed, the way a higher mutual understanding in heterogeneous teams affects the creative outcomes should be contextualised in the wider academic debate on creativity. Indeed, the authors believe it is necessary to verify the role of the tool in the design process, as regards for the creative aspects. Our actual ongoing testing is aimed at investigating this aspect. We are also exploring the use of Mybias by testing the tool on students coming from different fields of expertise (e.g. management and economics). Indeed, the tool could be used in other fields in which teamwork takes place, since biases and low mutual understanding are common features of the majority of heterogeneous team. These tests are now under investigation. Additionally, we should investigate the possible role of Mybias in professional design practice, to clarify the potential of bias-sharing practice for practitioners and companies. Data collected from a broader testing of the tool could provide novel insights on different ways used by people to individually and collectively conceptualise.

## 9 References

- Barron, Brigid. 2000. "Achieving Coordination in Collaborative Problem-Solving Groups." *The Journal of the Learning Sciences* (9): pp. 403-436.
- Bernal, M., J. R. Haymaker, and C. Eastman. 2015. "On the role of computational support for designers in action." *Design Studies* 41 163-182.
- Buck-Coleman, Audra. 2010. "Navigating cross-cultures, curriculum and confrontation: Addressing ethics and stereotypes in design education." *Visible Language* 44.2: pp. 187-206.
- Cardoso, Carlos, Petra Badke-Schaub, and Ozgur Eris. 2016. "Inflection moments in design discourse: How questions drive problem framing during idea generation." *Design Studies* Vol 46 (C): pp. 59-77.
- Christensen, Bo T., and Linden J. Ball. 2016. "Creative analogy use in a heterogeneous design team: The pervasive role of background domain knowledge." *Design Studies* (46): 38-58.
- Crilly, Nathan, and Carlos Cardoso. 2017. "Where next for research on fixation, inspiration and creativity in design?" *Design Studies* Vol. 50 (C): pp. 1-38.
- Cross, Nigel. 2011. *Design Thinking: Understanding How Designers Think and Work*. Oxford: Berg Publishers.
- Design Council. 2007. "Eleven lessons: managing design in eleven global companies." London.
- D'souza, Newton. 2016. "Investigating design thinking of a complex multidisciplinary design team in a new media context: Introduction." *Design Studies* (46): 1-5.
- Gribbin, J., M. Aftab, R. Young, and S. Park. 2016. "Double-loop Reflective Practice as an Approach to Understanding Knowledge and Experience." *Proceedings of DRS 2016, Design Research Society 50th Anniversary Conference*. Brighton, UK.
- Harvard Business School Publishing. 2017. *Global Business Speaks English*. <https://hbr.org/2012/05/global-business-speaks-english>.
- Haselton, Martie G, Daniel Nettle, and Damian R. Murray. 2005. "The evolution of cognitive bias." *The handbook of evolutionary psychology* (Wiley) pp. 724-746.
- Hoyos, Carlos Montana, Lisa Scharoun, and Justine Poplin. 2015. "The Importance of cross-cultural learning in the design disciplines: a case study reviewing a series of short term study tours designed to support cross-cultural exchange in the Asia-Pacific region." *International Journal of Arts & Sciences*, pp. 435-442.
- IDEO.org. 2015. *The Field Guide to Human-Centered Design*.
- Jenkins, Jennifer. 2014. *English as a lingua franca in the international university: The politics of academic English language policy*. Routledge.
- Kahneman, Daniel. 2011. *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. New York: Macmillan.
- Kozlowski, Steve W.J., and Daniel R. Ilgen. 2016. "Enhancing the Effectiveness of Work Groups and Teams." *Psychological Science in the Public Interest* Vol 7 (Issue 3): pp. 77 - 124.
- Krippendorff, K. 2005. *The semantic turn: A new foundation for design*. CRC Press.
- Larrick, Richard P. 2016. "The Social Context of Decisions." *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior* (3): 441-467. doi:10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-041015-062445.

- Lauring, Jakob, and Jan Selmer. 2010. "Multicultural organizations: Common language and group cohesiveness." *International journal of cross cultural management* 10(3): pp. 267-284.
- Maciver, F, J. Malinsa, J. Kantorovitch, and J. Liapis. 2016. "United We Stand: A critique of the design thinking approach in interdisciplinary innovation." *Proceedings of DRS 2016, Design Research Society 50th Anniversary Conference*. Brighton, UK, 27–30 June 2016.
- Mougenot, Céline, Carole Bouchard, Ameziane Aoussat, and Steve Westerman. 2008. "Inspiration, images and design: an investigation of designers' information gathering strategies." *J. Design Research* Vol. 7 (No. 4): pp.331–351.
- Peña, Manuel Fernández, Divina Gracia Conesa, Houcine Hassan, and Enrique Ballester. 2009. "Multidisciplinary and International Projects." *EAAEIE Annual Conference*. pp. 1-4.
- Plocher, Tom, Pei-Luen Patrick Rau, and Yee-Yin Choong. 2012. "Cross-Cultural Design." In *Handbook of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, by Gavriel Salvendy, 162-187. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Salas, Eduardo, Nancy J. Cooke, and Michael A. Rosen. 2008. "On Teams, Teamwork, and Team Performance: Discoveries and Developments." *Human Factors* Vol. 50 (Issue 3): pp. 540 - 547. doi:10.1518/001872008X288457.
- Toh, Christine A., and Scarlett R. Miller. 2015. "How engineering teams select design concepts: A view through the lens of creativity." *Design Studies* (No. C): pp. 111-138.
- Van den Bossche, Piet, Wim H. Gijssels, Mien Segers, and Paul A. Kirschner. 2016. "Social and Cognitive Factors Driving Teamwork in Collaborative Learning Environments." *Small Group Research* Vol 37 (Issue 5): pp. 490 - 521.
- Wardak, Dewa. 2016. "Gestures orchestrating the multimodal development of ideas in educational design team meetings." *Design Studies* Vol 47 (No. C): pp. 1-22.
- Zeynep, Aycan, and Michele J. Gelfand. 2012. "Cross-Cultural Organizational Psychology." *The Oxford Handbook of Organizational Psychology*, July. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199928286.013.0033.

#### About the Authors:

**Francesca Mattioli**, MSc, is a research collaborator at Politecnico di Milano, Department of Design. Her main research interest is about how to improve collaboration among design team members. She designed Mybias and she presented it during several workshops.

**Silvia D. Ferraris**, PhD, is Assistant Professor at Politecnico di Milano, Department of Design and School of Design. Her research interests focus on product design innovation, with emphasis on tools and methods for new products development, from research to concept, integrating Basic Design principles. She develops new tools for transferring knowledge of visual perception to product design practice.

**Venere Ferraro**, PhD, Assistant Professor at Politecnico di Milano, Department of Design. Her research interest is identified according to two areas: user-centered design and interaction design. Member of the Experience and Interaction Design Research Lab, she participated at several International and National researches also as Coordinator.

**Lucia R. E. Rampino**, PhD, Associate Professor at Politecnico di Milano, Department of Design. Her core field of research is product design, with a focus on theories of design and the nature of design processes, in particular as they pertain to product innovation.

## Index of Authors

- ABILDGAARD Sille Julie J., 1297  
AHMED Tanveer, 541  
AKAMA Yoko, 701  
AKMAL Haider Ali, 230, 270  
ALAMO AVILA Ainee, 1231  
ALFARO-TANCO, José Antonio, 2178  
ALLEN Tania, 395  
ALTAY Can, 1596  
AMARAL Fernando Gonçalves, 852  
ARMSTRONG Mark, 2101  
ARQUILLA Venanzio, 1159  
ARSLAN Yasemin, 2061  
ATVUR Alisan, 2474  
AUVINEN Karoliina, 1023  
AYERS James, 2634  
AZZAM Carol, 298  
BADNI Kevin, 2727  
BAEK Joon.S, 701  
BAHA Ehsan, 98  
BAILEY Jocelyn, 245  
BAILEY Mark, 831  
BAKIRLIOĞLU Yekta, 2008  
BAKKER Conny, 1148  
BALKENENDE Ruud, 1148  
BANG Anne Louise, 2019  
BASTIAANSEN Sjoerd J.B.M., 3019  
BECK Jordan, 310, 335, 1326  
BEIER Sofie, 1841  
BENIWAL Sucharita, 1645  
BERG Arild, 1624  
BERNARD Jean-Baptiste, 1841  
BHAN Niti, 1010  
BIAGIOLI Monica, 1658  
BIANCHI Silvia, 806  
BIANCHIN Matteo, 86  
BIBB Richard J., 747  
BIRRELL Stewart, 1175  
BISKAER Michael Mose, 1281  
BLACKLER Alethea, 2224  
BO Gao, 2700  
BOEHNERT Joanna, 892  
BOESS Stella, 1908  
BOHEMIA Erik, ii, 778  
BOON Boudewijn, 2075  
BORZENKOVA Ganna, 1953  
BOSSEREZ Ann, 1972  
BOVE V. Michael, 1261  
BOZTEPE Suzan, 3036  
BRADLEY Mike, 1828  
BRANDEWIE Brooke, 2837  
BRAVO Úrsula, 2658  
BRIGGS Jo, 2294  
BRILLI Agata, 1384  
BROMS Loove, 941  
BROOKS Sarah B, 2941  
BRUNO Carmen, 1131  
BRUST-RENCK Priscila, 864  
BUKMAN Andrea, 2136  
BURDICK Anne, 73  
BURNS Kathryn, 875  
BUSAYAWAN Lam, 2193  
BUWERT Peter, 173  
CAIN Rebecca, 1175, 2540  
CALABRETTA Giulia, 819, 2163  
CAMERE Serena, 1685  
CANDELLO Heloisa, 806  
CANDY Stuart, 908  
CANINA Marita, 1131  
CARDOSO LLACH Daniel, 3  
CARVALHO Fernando, 113  
CASAKIN, Hernan, 2850  
CASTET Eric, 1841  
CATOIR-BRISSON Marie-Julie, 2324  
CESCHIN Fabrizio, 1986  
CHAJOONG Kim, 2227  
CHATZAKIS Emmanouil, 831  
CHIAPELLO Laureline, 335  
CHRISTENSEN Bo T., 1279, 1297  
CHUENG-NAINBY Priscilla, 1368  
CHUI Mo-Ling, 2881  
CIFTER Abdusselam Selami, 2499  
CLARKSON P. John, 1828  
CODDINGTON Alicen, 2634  
CONCILIO Grazia, 1339



COOPER Rachel, 778, 780, 2280, 2366  
 COOREY Jillian, 2898  
 COPPOLA Alex, 1231  
 CORAZZO James, 2811  
 CORTÉS Catalina, 2658  
 CORTESÃO João, 382  
 COSTANZA-CHOCK Sasha, 529  
 CÔTÉ Valérie, 406  
 COULTON Paul, 230, 270, 2511  
 CROSS Jamie, 629, 729  
 CULÉN Alma Leora, 927  
 DAALHUIZEN Jaap, 2146  
 DAEUN Jun, 2227  
 DAGENAIS Danielle, 406  
 DALSGAARD Peter, 1314  
 DALY Shanna, 2764  
 DARBY Andy, 2407  
 DAWDY Gray, 98  
 DE EYTO Adam, 1919, 2008  
 DE GÖTZEN Amalia, 1339  
 DE JONGE Martha, 2136  
 DE LILLE Christine, 2945, 3006  
 DEMIN Dana, 1828  
 DEMPSEY Hilary, 2973  
 DESMET Pieter, 2540  
 DHADYALLA Gunwant, 1175  
 DI LUCCHIO Loredana, 1231  
 DIGRANES Ingvild, 2626  
 DOMINITZ Sagit, 1425  
 DONG Hua, 656, 1814  
 DORRESTIJS Steven, 189, 191, 256  
 DOVE Graham, 1281  
 DOW Steven, 1314  
 DOWNS Simon T., 1567  
 DUNN Jessica Lea, 2346, 2441  
 DURRANI Marium, 1731  
 DZIOBCZENSKI Paulo Roberto Nicoletti, 2822  
 EGGBEER Dominic, 2459  
 EGGINK Wouter, 189, 191, 220, 256  
 ELIZAROVA, Olga, 2086  
 ENGBERG Maria, 1762  
 ENGEL Robin, 2837  
 ERBUĞ Çiğdem, 1871  
 ERDOĞAN ÖZTEKİN Elif, 1041  
 ERIKSSON Elina, 941  
 ESTWICK Debbie-Ann, 669  
 ETHERINGTON Mackenzie Norman, 2441  
 EVANS Martin, 780  
 FAIN Nuša, 2178  
 FASS John, 2881  
 FERRARIS Silvia Deborah, 1102  
 FERRARO Venere, 1102  
 FERREIRA A. M., 2913  
 FERRONATO Priscilla, 1884  
 FISCHER Aron, 1281  
 FISHER Tom, 1682, 1706  
 FLYNN Daphne, 2101  
 FOGLIATTO Flavio Sanson, 864  
 FOLEY Sarah-Marie, 202  
 FONSECA BRAGA Mariana, 2986  
 FORLANO Laura, 455, 518  
 FRANKEL Lois, 2224  
 FRITSCH Jonas, 896  
 GAGNON Caroline, 406  
 GAJERA Rinku, 1010  
 GARDE Julia Anne, 2607  
 GARDINER Edward, 2059  
 GAYNOR Lee, 2973  
 GAZİULUSOY Ayşe İdil, 1041  
 GHEERAWO Rama, 1816  
 GIACCARDI Elisa, 1682  
 GIACOMIN Joseph, 1855  
 GIESBERTS Bob, 220  
 GINSBURG, Yoram, 2850  
 GONZÁLEZ-DE-HEREDIA Arantxa, 1828  
 GOODMAN-DEANE Joy, 1828  
 GOVERS Pascale C.M., 3019  
 GRAY Colin M., 83, 1582  
 GREGORY, Shaun, 2346, 2441  
 GRIMALDI Silvia and ALI Hena, 1658  
 GROTH Camilla, 1548, 1624  
 GUO Yinman, 763  
 GWILT Ian, 2811  
 HAHN Young-ae, 2422  
 HALSKOV Kim, 1279, 1281  
 HAMMOND Chris, 2941  
 HANDS David, 438, 2280  
 HARLAND Robert George, 2811  
 HARRISON David, 1986  
 HASENHÜTL Gert, 5  
 HAUG Anders, 1668  
 HEIDARIPOUR Maryam, 518  
 HERMANSEN Pablo, 472  
 HERMSEN Sander, 2524  
 HERSSENS Jasmien, 1972  
 HESSELGREN Mia, 941  
 HEYLIGHEN Ann, 86  
 HOGAN Trevor, 1410  
 HOLDEN Georgy, 2745  
 HOMEWOOD Sarah, 507  
 HONNOR Alison, 2811  
 HONORATO María Jesús, 2658

HORNBUCKLE Rosie, 1717  
 HU Linna, 656  
 HUANG Yujia, 438  
 HUMMELS Caroline, 2061  
 HWANG Daeun, 1938  
 HYEJIN Kwon, 2193  
 HYYSALO Sampsa, 1023  
 IGOE Elaine, 1787  
 INIE Nanna, 1314  
 INMAN Sarah, 35  
 INNELLA Giovanni, 2800  
 IRWIN Terry, 968  
 ISLEY C. Grey, 358  
 IVERSEN Søren, 3064  
 JACOBY Julia, 2334  
 JAFARINAIMI Nassim, 497  
 JEFFERSON Manhães, 2913  
 JENNINGS Paul, 1175  
 Ji Tie, 763  
 JOHN Kieran, 2101  
 JONES Derek, 2658, 2745  
 JONSSON Li, 455  
 JU Wendy, 1193  
 JUMP Mike, 2246  
 JUN Gyuchan Thomas, 113  
 JUN Soojin, 1116  
 JUNG Eui-Chul, 1208  
 JUNG Heekyoung, 2558  
 JUNGINGER Sabine, 2941  
 JYLKÄS Titta, 2962  
 KAHN, Paul, 2086  
 KANE Faith, 1682  
 KARAHANOĞLU Armağan, 2116  
 KARANA Elvin, 1682, 1685  
 KARPIAK Kevin, 2837  
 KELLY Veronika, 2788  
 KETTLEY Sarah, 2224  
 KIM Agnes Jihae, 1938  
 KIM Injoo, 2837  
 KIM Jeonghyun, 1938  
 KIM KwanMyung, 2672  
 KIM Kyulee, 2181  
 KIM Myoung-Ok, 2837  
 KLEIN Ewan, 729  
 KLITSIE Barend, 3006  
 KNIGHT Terry, 3  
 KO Keum Hee Kimmi, 2346, 2441  
 KOCH Janin, 1247  
 KOPANOGLU Teksin, 2459  
 KORKUT Fatma, 2926  
 KORTUEM Gerd, 1342  
 KOSE Nilay Gulfer, 2499  
 KOU Yubo, 1582  
 KOZEL Susan, 1762  
 KRUCKEN Lia, 2863  
 KUN Peter, 1342  
 KUNØ Mads, 3064  
 KUURE Essi, 2962  
 KVELLESTAD Randi Veiteberg, 2714  
 KWANGMIN Cho, 2227  
 KWEON Oseong, 1938  
 LAGRANGE Thierry, 1456  
 LAMONTAGNE Valérie, 1775  
 LAMPITT ADEY Kate, 831  
 LARSEN Frederik, 159  
 LARSEN Henrik Svarrer, 1762  
 LÁSZLÓ Magda, 1247  
 LEAHY Keelin, ii, 2764  
 LEBONGO ONANA Achille Sévérin, 629  
 LEE Boyeun, 2280  
 LEE John, 1368  
 LEE Seonmi, 2672  
 LEE Wei Chung, 2390  
 LEFEBVRE Marie, 2032  
 LEGAARD Jesper, 2572  
 LEITAO Renata, 955  
 LEITÃO Renata M, 592  
 LENZHOLZER Sanda, 382  
 LERPINIÈRE Claire A., 1567  
 LÉVY Pierre, 2126  
 LI Hong, 2265, 2587  
 LIBÂNIO Cláudia de Souza, 852  
 LIGHT Ann, 83  
 LIM Jeong-Sub, 1208  
 LIM Yonghun, 1855  
 LINDLEY Joseph, 230, 2511  
 LINDSTRÖM Kristina, 455  
 LIU Yuxi, 2308  
 LLOYD Peter, ii, 2658  
 LOCKTON Dan, 202, 892, 908  
 LOFTHOUSE Vicky, 2032  
 LOH Zhide, 2390  
 LOTZ Nicole, 2745  
 LOZA Ilze, 125  
 LUCERO Andrés, 1247  
 LUDDEN Geke, 1775, 2116  
 LYLE Peter, 458  
 MACHIELSEN Tjeerd M., 3019  
 MADER Angelika, 1775  
 MAGILL Catherine, 729  
 MALAKUCZI Viktor, 1231  
 MALCOLM Bridget, 425




MANDELLI Roberta Rech, 864, 2822  
 MANOHAR Arthi, 2294  
 MARCHAND Anne, 552  
 MARTIN Craig, 629  
 MARTTILA Tatu, 1023  
 MATTIOLI Francesca, 1102  
 MAUDET Nolwenn, 1219  
 MAYERS Shelly, 595  
 MAZÉ Ramia, 455  
 MCGINLEY Chris, 1816  
 MCKILLIGAN Seda, 2764  
 MCMAHON Muireann, ii, 2008  
 MEGENS Carl, 2487  
 MENHEERE Daphne, 2487  
 MICHLEWSKI Kamil, 2941  
 MIGOWSKI Sérgio Almeida, 852  
 MILLEN David, 806  
 MILTON Alex, 792  
 MOLS Ine, 2061  
 MONTIJN Myrthe, 819  
 MOONEY Aoife, 2898  
 MORELLI Nicola, 1339  
 MOTHERSILL Philippa, 1053, 1261  
 MOUCHREK Najla, 2863  
 MULDER Ingrid, 1339  
 MULDER Ingrid, 892  
 MÜNSTER Sander, 1057  
 MUULDER Ingrid, 1342  
 NA Jea Hoo, 780  
 NICHOLAS Claire, 61  
 NICKPOUR Farnaz, 1814, 1855  
 NIEDDERER Kristina, 1953, 2607  
 NIELSEN Liv Merete, 2688  
 NIELSEN Merete Liv, 2624  
 NIJHUIS Steffen, 382  
 NILSSON Elisabet M., 717  
 NIMKULRAT Nithikul, 1548  
 NITSCHÉ Michael, 1610  
 NOEL Lesley-Ann, 592, 613  
 NUSEM Erez, 2346, 2380, 2441  
 O'NEILL María de Mater, 613  
 O'SULLIVAN Glen, 2776  
 O'SULLIVAN Leonard, 1919  
 OAK Arlene, 61  
 OLANDER Sissel, 486  
 OTTSEN HANSEN Sofie Marie, 717  
 OULASVIRTA Antti, 1247  
 OVERDIEK Anja, 2209  
 ÖZ Gizem, 1596  
 OZKARAMANLI Deger, 2540  
 PAANS Otto, 1474  
 PANDEY Sumit, 3047  
 PARISI Stefano, 1747  
 PARK-LEE Seungho, 3077  
 PASEL Ralf, 1474  
 PATERSON Abby M.J., 747  
 PEI Eujin, 1088, 1986  
 PENMAN Scott, 1530  
 PENNINGTON Sarah, 580  
 PERIKANGAS Sofi, 1023  
 PERSON Oscar, 2822, 3077  
 PERSOV Elad, 1425  
 PETERMANS Ann, 2540  
 PETRELLI Daniela, 1747  
 PETRULAITYTE Aine, 1986  
 PETERSSON Ingrid, 1193  
 PICINALI Lorenzo, 2474  
 PINHANEZ Claudio, 806  
 POBLETE Alejandra, 280  
 POHLMAYER Anna, 2540  
 POLLOCK Anne, 497  
 PORTER C. Samantha, 747  
 PRICE Rebecca, 98, 1440, 3006  
 PRICE Rebecca Anne, 3019  
 PROCHNER Isabel, 552  
 PSCHETZ Larissa, 729, 2308  
 QING Deng, 2700  
 QUEEN Sara Glee, 395  
 QUIÑONES GÓMEZ Juan Carlos, 1357  
 RÆBILD Ulla, 2019  
 RAMPINO Lucia Rosa Elena, 1102  
 RAUB Thomas, 256  
 RAY Charlotte, 629  
 REDDY Anuradha, 145  
 REIMER Maria Hellström, 145  
 REITAN Janne Beate, 2647  
 RENES Reint Jan, 2146  
 RENNÉ Michael, 1458  
 RENSTRÖM Sara, 2046  
 REXFELT Oskar, 2046  
 RIBES David, 35  
 RICCI Donato, 1384  
 RIDER Traci, 358  
 RIGLEY Steve, 2811  
 RIO Manon, 2008  
 RIVERA Maritza, 2658  
 ROCHA Hugo, 2913  
 ROCHA João, 19  
 RODGERS Paul A., 2800  
 RODRIGUEZ-FERRADAS María Isabel, 2178  
 ROGNOLI Valentina, 1747  
 ROHRBACH Stacie, 990

RONTELTAP Amber, 2136  
 ROSA Valentina Marques, 864  
 ROSCAM ABBING Erik, 2136  
 ROY Robin, 1075  
 ROZENDAAL Marco C., 2075  
 ROZSAHEGYI Tunde, 1953  
 RUECKER Stan, 1884  
 RUSSELL Gillian, 345  
 RUTGERS Job, 2881  
 RYHL Camilla, 1894  
 SALNOT Florie, 1816  
 SAUERWEIN Marita, 1148  
 SAYLOR Joni, 2941  
 SCHOORMANS Jan, 2163  
 SCIANNAMBLO Mariacristina, 458  
 SCOTT Jane, 1800  
 SEIFERT Colleen M., 2764  
 SELVEFORS Anneli, 2046  
 SEN Guzin, 2246  
 SENER Bahar, 2246  
 SHORE Linda, 1919  
 SIMEONE Luca, 2474  
 SINCLAIR Neil, 113  
 SKJOLD Else, 159  
 SLEESWIJK VISSER Froukje, 369  
 SMITH Neil, 831, 2544  
 SMITS Merlijn, 1775  
 SNELDERS Dirk, 98  
 SOCHA Jorge Andres Osorio, 1440  
 SOLBERG Anne, 1551  
 SOMMER Carlo Michael, 1057  
 SØRENSEN OVERBY René, 1894  
 SPALLAZZO Davide, 1747  
 SPENCER Nick, 831  
 SRIVASTAVA Swati, 927  
 ST JOHN Nicola, 1486  
 STÅHL Anna, 2558  
 STÅHL Åsa, 455  
 STAPPERS Pieter Jan, 2075  
 STEAD Michael, 2511  
 STEENSON Molly, 990  
 STERLING Nate, 831  
 STOIMENOVA Niya, 2945  
 STOLTERMAN Erik, 310, 1326  
 STORNI, Cristiano, ii  
 STORY Chad, 245  
 STRAKER Karla, 298, 2346, 2441  
 STRAND Ingri, 2688  
 STRÖMBERG Helena, 1193, 2046  
 STURKENBOOM Nick, 98  
 SUN Ying, 1057  
 SÜNER Sedef, 1871  
 TAN Liren, 2390  
 TASSI Roberta, 1384  
 TELI Maurizio, 458  
 TESSIER Virginie, 320  
 THIESSEN Myra, 2788  
 TINNING Alexandra, 2544  
 TIRONI Martin, 50, 472  
 TJAHA Cyril, 704  
 TONETTO Leandro Miletto, 864, 2822  
 TONUK Damla, 1706  
 TOOMBS Austin L., 83  
 TOVEY Michael, 2743  
 TRIMINGHAM Rhoda, 1971  
 TROMP Nynke, 2146  
 TSEKLEVES Emmanuel, 2322, 2366, 2407  
 TUFAIL Muhammad, 2672  
 ULAHANNAN Arun, 1175  
 UMULU Sila, 2926  
 VALDERRAMA Matías, 50  
 VAN BELLE Jonne, 220  
 VAN DEN BERGHE Jo, 1456  
 VAN DER BIJL-BROUWER Mieke, 425  
 VAN DER HORST Dan, 729  
 VAN DER SPEK Erik, 2487  
 VAN DER VOORT Mascha Cécile, 2607  
 van ERP Jeroen, 1440  
 VAN ERP Jeroen, 819  
 VAN LIEREN Anne, 2163  
 VAN REES Hellen, 1775  
 VAN ROMPAY Thomas, 2116  
 VANGRUNDERBEEK Dimitri, 1503  
 VEILANDE Simona, 689  
 VERBEECK Griet, 1972  
 VERHOEVEN G. Arno, 629  
 VINES John, 83  
 VISTISEN Peter, 3064  
 VITALI Ilaria, 1159  
 VITTERSØ Jorid, 2647  
 VLACHAKI Anna, 747  
 VOS Steven, 2487  
 WAHYURINI Octaviyanti Dwi, 645  
 WALLER Sam, 1828  
 WALTERS Andrew, 780, 2459  
 WANGEL Josefin, 941  
 WARD Connor, 1326  
 WARREN James, 1075  
 WARWICK Laura, 2544  
 WATERS Mike, 1175  
 WHICHER Anna, 780, 792  
 WHITE P.J., 2973

WIBERG Mikael, 1279  
WILSON Garrath, 2032  
WONG Sweet Fun, 2390  
WRIGLEY Cara, 298, 2346, 2441  
YEE Joyce, 701, 704, 2941  
YEMTIM Adolphe, 629  
YIN Lulu, 1088  
YOUNG Robert, 2544

YOUNGOK Choi, 2193  
YUAN Mengqi, 1440  
ZAHEDI Mithra, 320  
ZHA Yiyun, 2587  
ZHAO Jie, 2587  
ZHENG Clement, 1610  
ZITKUS Emilene, 780  
ZURLO Francesco, 2986



9 781912 294282

DRS2018 is supported by

