Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa)

Computers and Mathematics with Applications



# journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa)

# Regularity and sparse approximation of the recursive first moment equations for the lognormal Darcy problem



Fr[a](#page-0-0)ncesca Bonizzoni ª<su[b](#page-0-2)>'</sub>\*, Fabio Nobile <sup>b</sup>

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>a *Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Austria* <sup>b</sup> *CSQI – MATH, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland*

#### A R T I C I E I N E O

*Article history:* Received 15 May 2020 Received in revised form 16 October 2020 Accepted 18 October 2020 Available online 13 November 2020

*Keywords:* Uncertainty quantification Lognormal distribution Perturbation technique Moment equations Hölder spaces with mixed regularity Sparse approximation

### A B S T B A C T

We study the Darcy boundary value problem with lognormal permeability field. We adopt a perturbation approach, expanding the solution in Taylor series around the nominal value of the coefficient, and approximating the expected value of the stochastic solution of the PDE by the expected value of its Taylor polynomial. The recursive deterministic equation satisfied by the expected value of the Taylor polynomial (first moment equation) is formally derived. Well-posedness and regularity results for the recursion are proved to hold in Sobolev space-valued Hölder spaces with mixed regularity. The recursive first moment equation is then discretized by means of a sparse approximation technique, and the convergence rates are derived.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### **1. Introduction**

In many applications, the input parameters of the mathematical model describing the system behavior are unavoidably affected by uncertainty, as a consequence of the incomplete knowledge or the intrinsic variability of certain phenomena. *Uncertainty Quantification* (UQ) conveniently incorporates the input variability or lack of knowledge inside the model, often by describing the uncertain parameters as random variables or random fields, and aims to infer the uncertainty in the solution of the model, or the specific output quantities of interest, by computing their statistical moments.

The physical phenomenon we are interested in this work is the single-phase flow of a fluid in a bounded heterogeneous saturated porous medium. In particular, we consider the following stochastic partial differential equation (PDE), named the Darcy problem, posed in the complete probability space ( $\Omega$  ,  $\cal F$  ,  $\Bbb P)$  and in the bounded physical domain  $D\subset \Bbb R^d$  ( $d=2,3$ ):

$$
- \operatorname{div} \left( e^{Y(\omega, x)} \nabla u(\omega, x) \right) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in D \text{ and a.e. } \omega \in \Omega \tag{1}
$$

<span id="page-0-3"></span>
$$
(1)
$$

endowed with suitable boundary conditions on ∂Ω, where *u*(ω, *x*) represents the hydraulic head, the forcing term  $f(x) \in L^2(D)$  is deterministic, and the permeability coefficient e<sup>Y(ω,x)</sup> is modeled as a *lognormal random field*, *Y*(ω, x) being a centered Gaussian random field with small standard deviation. The lognormal diffusion problem ([1](#page-0-3)) is widely used in geophysical applications (see, e.g.,  $[1-4]$  $[1-4]$  $[1-4]$  and the references there), and has been studied mathematically, e.g., in  $[5-8]$  $[5-8]$  $[5-8]$ .

Under suitable assumptions on the covariance of the random field  $Y(\omega, x)$ , it is possible to show that the Darcy problem is well-posed (see [[7\]](#page-21-4)).

Given complete statistical information on the Gaussian random field  $Y(\omega, x)$ , and assuming that each realization  $Y(\omega, \cdot)$ is almost surely Hölder continuous with parameter  $\gamma$ , the aim of the present work is to construct an approximation for

Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2020.10.014>

<span id="page-0-1"></span>*E-mail addresses:* [francesca.bonizzoni@univie.ac.at](mailto:francesca.bonizzoni@univie.ac.at) (F. Bonizzoni), [fabio.nobile@epfl.ch](mailto:fabio.nobile@epfl.ch) (F. Nobile).

<sup>0898-1221/© 2020</sup> The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

the expected value of the stochastic solution E [*u*]. To this end, we adopt a *perturbation approach*, in which the stochastic solution *u* is viewed as the map  $u: C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D}) \to H^1(D)$  which associates to each realization  $Y(\omega, \cdot) \in C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})$ , the unique solution *u*( $\omega$ , ·) of [\(2](#page-1-0)), and is expanded in Taylor series w.r.t. *Y*, i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{D^k u(0)[Y]^k}{k!}$  $\frac{[0][Y]^k}{k!}$ ,  $D^k u(0)[Y]^k$  being the *k*th Gateaux derivative of  $u$  in  $Y = 0$  evaluated along the vector  $(Y, \ldots, Y)$ . The expected value of  $u$  is then approximated as

$$
k
$$
 times

$$
\mathbb{E}[u](x) \simeq \mathbb{E}[T^{K}u](x) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{\mathbb{E}[D^{k}u(0)[Y]^{k}](x)}{k!},
$$

where  $T^K u(Y,x)$  denotes the  $K$ th degree Taylor polynomial. We refer to  $\mathbb{E}\left[D^k u(0)[Y]^k\right]$  as the  $k$ th order correction to the expected value of *u*, and to  $\mathbb{E}\left[T^Ku\right]$  as the Kth degree approximation of the expected value of *u*.

In [[6,](#page-21-5)[9](#page-22-0)[,10\]](#page-22-1) the authors show that, as *K* goes to infinity, the *K*th order approximation of the expected value of *u* may actually diverge, for any positive value of the standard deviation  $\sigma\coloneqq\sqrt{\frac{1}{|D|}\int_D\mathbb{E}\left[Y^2\right](x)dx}$  of the random field  $Y(\omega,x)$ . Nevertheless, for  $\sigma$  and  $K$  small enough,  $\mathbb{E}\big[T^K u\big]$  provides a good approximation of  $\mathbb{E}\, [u].$  The work [[6\]](#page-21-5) also provides an estimate of the optimal degree of the Taylor polynomial achieving minimal error, for any given  $\sigma > 0$ .

If a finite-dimensional approximation of the random field  $Y(\omega, x)$  via *N* random variables is available (e.g., by using the Karhunen–Loève (KL) expansion), then the (multi-variate) Taylor polynomial can be explicitly computed (see, e.g., the geophysical literature [\[11–](#page-22-2)[14](#page-22-3)]). However, this approach entails the computation of  $\begin{pmatrix} N+R\ 1+R\end{pmatrix}$ *K* ) derivatives. To alleviate

the curse of dimensionality, adaptive algorithms have been proposed in [[15](#page-22-4)[,16](#page-22-5)] for the case of uniform random variables. In the present paper we consider the entire field  $Y(\omega, x)$ , and not a finite dimensional approximation of it, hence the Taylor polynomial cannot be directly computed. Following [\[17](#page-22-6)–[19](#page-22-7)], we adopt the *moment equations* approach, that is, we solve the deterministic equations satisfied by  $\mathbb{E}\left[D^k u(0)[Y]^k\right]$ , for  $k\geq 0$ .

In [\[20\]](#page-22-8) the authors derive analytically the recursive problem solved by  $\mathbb{E}\left[D^k u(0)[Y]^k\right]$ , which requires the recursive  $\sup$  computation of the ( $i+1$ )-points correlations  $\mathbb{E}\left[D^{k-i}u(0)[Y]^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right]$ , with  $\tilde{i}=k,k-1,\ldots,1.$  These functions being high dimensional, a full tensor product finite element discretization is impractical and suffer the curse of dimensionality. To overcome this issue, in [\[20](#page-22-8)] the authors have proposed a low rank approximation of the fully (tensor product) discrete problem, using the Tensor Train format. The effectiveness of the method is shown with both one and two-dimensional numerical examples.

The present paper complements the above-mentioned results. The main achievement consists in the well-posedness and regularity results for the recursive first moment equation. These results are developed in the framework of *p*integrable Lebesgue spaces. In particular, the key tool consists in showing that the diagonal trace of functions in the *L p* (*D*) space-valued mixed  $\gamma$ -Hölder space, belongs to *L<sup>p</sup>(D)*, whenever  $p>\frac{2d}{\gamma}$ . We also address the discretization of the moment equations. Differently from [[20](#page-22-8)], to alleviate the curse of dimensionality we propose here a sparse approximation method equations. based on the Smolyak construction, which is more amenable to error analysis. We present then a complete convergence analysis of the proposed discretization method.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section [2](#page-1-1) we recall the recursion solved by the kth order correction  $\mathbb{E}\left[D^k u(0)[Y]^k\right]$ under the assumption that every quantity is well-defined, and every problem is well-posed. In Section [3,](#page-3-0) we first introduce the Banach space-valued maps with mixed Hölder regularity, and then study the Hölder regularity of the diagonal trace of Sobolev space-valued mixed Hölder maps. These technical results will be needed in Section [4](#page-6-0) to study the well-posedness and regularity of the recursion for  $\mathbb{E}\left[D^k u(0)[Y]^k\right]$ . Section [5](#page-11-0) is dedicated to the sparse discretization of the recursion and its error analysis. Finally, we draw some conclusions in Section [6](#page-16-0).

#### **2. Analytical derivation of the first moment equation**

<span id="page-1-1"></span>The weak formulation of the Darcy PDE [\(1\)](#page-0-3) endowed with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions reads:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\int_{D} e^{Y(\omega, x)} \nabla u(\omega, x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx = \int_{D} f(x)v(x) dx, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(D), \text{ a.s. in } \Omega.
$$
\n(2)

We assume here that the random field  $Y\in L^{\theta}\left(\Omega; \mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D}\right)\right)$   $(0<\gamma<1/2)$  for all  $1\leq\theta<+\infty.$  Then, for any  $f\in L^p(D)$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ , the boundary value problem [\(2\)](#page-1-0) admits a unique solution  $u \in L^p(\Omega; H^1(D))$ , which depends continuously on the data (see [[7\]](#page-21-4)). In particular, using the Kolmogorov–Chentsov continuity theorem (see, e.g., [[21\]](#page-22-9)), it has been proven that the Hölder regularity assumption  $Y\in L^{\theta}\left(\Omega;c^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar D\right)\right)$  (0  $<\gamma<$  1/2) for all 1  $\leq$   $\theta<+\infty,$  is fulfilled if the covariance function Co $v_Y\,\in\, \mathcal{C}^{0,t}$   $(\overline{D\times D})$  for some 2 $\gamma\,<\,t\,\leq\,1$  (see [\[6](#page-21-5)[,10\]](#page-22-1)). The mentioned well-posedness result extends to the case of uniform/non-uniform Neumann as well as mixed Dirichlet–Neumann boundary conditions. In particular, the limit situation of Neumann boundary conditions on ∂*D* leads to the uniqueness of the solution *u*(ω, *x*) up to a constant. For clarity of presentation, in this work we restrict to the case of homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions in the rest of the paper.

<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>(6)

In this section we recall (see [\[10,](#page-22-1)[20](#page-22-8)]) the structure of the problem solved by  $\mathbb{E}\left[D^k u(0)[Y]^k\right]$  – the *k*th order correction of the expected value of *u* – assuming that every quantity is well-defined and every problem is well-posed. We will detail these theoretical aspects in the next sections.

Let  $D\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ , be such that  $\partial D\in C^1$ . Let p, q be real numbers such that  $1< p, q<\infty$ , with  $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$  and  $p>\frac{2d}{\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the Hölder regularity of the random field Y. The requirement  $p>\frac{2d}{\gamma}$  will be clarified later (see [Proposition](#page-6-1) [11](#page-6-1)). Given *f* ∈ *L*<sup>*p*</sup>(*D*), 1 < *p* < +∞, we define the linear form  $\mathcal{F}$  ∈ ( $W_0^{1,q}(D)$ )\* as

$$
\mathcal{F}(v) := \int_D f v \, dx \quad \forall \, v \in W_0^{1,q}(D),
$$

where  $(W_0^{1,q}(D))^\star$  denotes the dual space of  $W_0^{1,q}.$  The correction of order 0,  $u^0:=u|_{Y=0},$  is deterministic and is the *unique weak solution* of the following problem: find  $u^0 \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$  such that

$$
\int_{D} \nabla u^{0} \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \mathcal{F}(v) \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1,q}(D),\tag{3}
$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Moreover, it exists  $C = C(D) > 0$  such that

$$
\|u^0\|_{W^{1,p}(D)} \le C \, \|f\|_{L^p(D)},\tag{4}
$$

We refer to [[22](#page-22-10), Chapter 7] for the proof of existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the Laplace–Dirichlet problem in *W*<sup>1</sup>,*<sup>p</sup>* spaces.

To lighten the notations, let  $u^k := D^k u(0) [Y]^k$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , the *k*th order correction  $\mathbb{E}\left[ u^k \right]$  satisfies the following problem,

## **k-th order correction BVP**

$$
\int_{D} \nabla \mathbb{E} \left[ u^{k} \right] \cdot \nabla v \, dx = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array} \right) \int_{D} \mathbb{E} \left[ \nabla u^{k-j} Y^{j} \right] \cdot \nabla v \, dx \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1,q}(D). \tag{5}
$$

Eq.  $(5)$  $(5)$  is obtained in two steps: (i) derive the problem satisfied by  $u^k$ , by taking derivatives with respect to Y of the stochastic equation  $(2)$  $(2)$  $(2)$  (see [\[6](#page-21-5)] and the references therein); (ii) apply the expected value to both sides of the obtained equation.

The function  $\mathbb{E}[\nabla u^{k-i}Y^i]$  appearing in the r.h.s. of ([5\)](#page-2-0) is the diagonal of the  $(i + 1)$ -points correlation function E [ ∇*u <sup>k</sup>*−*<sup>i</sup>* ⊗ *Y* ⊗*i* ] , where ⊗ denotes the tensor product. In particular, it holds

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[u^{k-i}Y^i\right](x) := \left(\mathrm{Tr}_{|_{1:i+1}}\mathbb{E}\left[u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right]\right)(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right](\underbrace{x,\ldots,x}_{(i+1)-\text{times}}),
$$

where

- Tr is the diagonal trace operator (it will be formally defined in [Definition](#page-6-2) [10\)](#page-6-2);
- $\bullet \mathbb{E}\left[u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right](x, y_1, \ldots, y_i)$  is the  $(i+1)$ -point correlation function defined as

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right](x,y_1,\ldots,y_i):=\int_{\Omega}u^{k-i}(\omega,x)\otimes Y(\omega,y_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes Y(\omega,y_i)d\mathbb{P}(\omega).
$$

In the same way,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\nabla u^{k-i}Y^i\right](x) := \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{|1:i+1}\mathbb{E}\left[\nabla u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right]\right)(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\nabla u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right] \left(\underbrace{x,\ldots,x}_{(i+1)-\text{times}}\right),
$$

where  $\mathbb{E}\left[\nabla u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right]=\nabla\otimes \operatorname{Id}^{\otimes i}\mathbb{E}\left[u^{k-i}\otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right],$  that is, the linear operator  $\nabla\otimes \operatorname{Id}^{\otimes i}$  applies the gradient operator to the first variable  $x$  and the identity operator to all other variables  $y_j$  for  $j=1,\ldots,i$ .

The correlation functions themselves satisfy the following recursion:

#### **Recursion on the correlations**

Given all lower order terms 
$$
\mathbb{E}[u^{k-i-j} \otimes Y^{\otimes(i+j)}]
$$
 for  $j = 1, ..., k - i$ , find  $\mathbb{E}[u^{k-i} \otimes Y^{\otimes i}]$  s.t.  
\n
$$
\int_{D} (\nabla \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes i}) \mathbb{E}[u^{k-i} \otimes Y^{\otimes i}](x, y_1, ..., y_i) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= - \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} \int_{D} \text{Tr}_{1+j+1} \mathbb{E}[\nabla u^{k-i-j} \otimes Y^{\otimes(i+j)}](x, y_1, ..., y_i) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\forall v \in W_0^{1,q}(D), \text{ for all } y_1, ..., y_i \in D.
$$

#### **Table 1**

*K*-th order approximation of the mean. The first column contains the input terms  $\mathbb{E}\left[u^0\otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right]$  and the first row contains the kth order corrections  $\mathbb{E}\left[u^k\right]$ , for  $k = 0, \ldots, K$ . To compute  $\mathbb{E}\left[\left.T^Ku(Y,x)\right]$ , we need all the elements in the top left triangular part, that is, all elements in the *k*th diagonal, for  $k = 0, \ldots, K$ .

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

an the elements in the top iert thangular part, that is, an elements in the kin alagonal, for $k = 0, \ldots, n$					
		$\mathbb{E} u^2 $		$\mathbb{E} u^4 $	0
	$\mathbb{E}\left[ u^1 \otimes Y \right]$		$\mathbb{E}[u^3 \otimes Y]$		÷.
$u^0\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[ Y^{\otimes 2} \right]$		$\mathbb{E}\left[u^2\otimes Y^{\otimes 2}\right]$			
	$\mathbb{E}\left[u^1\otimes Y^{\otimes 3}\right]$		$\bullet$	0	$\cdot$
$u^0\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[ Y^{\otimes 4} \right]$					

Note that problem [\(5\)](#page-2-0) is a particular case of ([6](#page-2-1)) for  $i\,=\,0,$  since  $\mathbb E\left[u^{k-0}\otimes Y^{\otimes 0}\right]\,=\,\mathbb E\left[u^k\right].$  Moreover, observe that  $\mathbb{E}\left[u^0\otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right]=u^0\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]$ , since  $u^0$  is deterministic, and it is fully characterized by the mean solution  $u^0$  and the covariance structure of *Y*, which is an input of the problem.

The computation of the *k*th order correction of the expected value of *u* relies on the *recursive* solution of BVPs of the type [\(6\)](#page-2-1), as summarized in Algorithm [1.](#page-3-1)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Algorithm 1** Computation of the *k*-th order correction  $\mathbb{E}\left[ u^k \right]$ 

1: **for**  $k = 0, ..., K$  **do** 2: Compute  $u^0 \otimes \mathbb{E}[Y^{\otimes k}]$ . 3: **for**  $i = k - 1, k - 2, ..., 0$  **do** 4: Compute the  $(i + 1)$ -point correlation function  $\mathbb{E}\left[u^{k-i} \otimes Y^{\otimes i}\right]$  (Eq. [\(6](#page-2-1))). 5: **end for** 6: The result for  $i = 0$  is the k-th order correction  $\mathbb{E}\left[u^k\right]$  to the mean  $\mathbb{E}\left[u\right]$ .

7: **end for**

[Table](#page-3-2) [1](#page-3-2) illustrates the computational flow of the presented algorithm. Each non-zero correlation E [ *u <sup>k</sup>*−*<sup>i</sup>* ⊗ *Y* ⊗*i* ] , with  $i \lt k$ , can be obtained only when all the preceding terms in the kth diagonal have been already computed. As a consequence, to derive the Kth order approximation  $\mathbb{E}\left[ T^K u \right]$ , it is necessary to compute all the elements in the top left triangular part of the table. Notice that, since we assumed  $\mathbb{E}[Y](x) = 0$ , all the  $(2k + 1)$ -point correlations of *Y* vanish, and all odd diagonals are zero.

## **3. Banach space-valued mixed Hölder maps, and trace results**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Within this section, we introduce the notion of *V*-valued Hölder maps with mixed regularity, *V* being a Banach space, and we prove some useful properties. In particular, we study the regularity of the diagonal trace of Hölder mixed regular maps when *V* is a Sobolev space. These properties will be needed later in Section [4](#page-6-0) to analyze the well-posedness of the equations in the recursion  $(6)$  $(6)$ . Since the proofs of the Propositions in this Section are tedious and not essential for the later developments, they have been postponed to [Appendix.](#page-17-0)

#### *3.1. Banach space-valued mixed Hölder spaces*

<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Definition 1** (*Banach Space-Valued Hölder Space*). Let *V* be a Banach space,  $0 < \gamma \le 1$  be real, and  $k \ge 1$  integer. The *V*-valued Hölder space with exponent  $\gamma$ ,  $c_y^{0,\gamma}$  ( $\bar{D}^{xk}$ ; *V*), consists of all continuous maps  $\varphi:\bar{D}^{xk}\to V$  with Hölder  $\gamma$ -regularity. It is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} := \max \left\{ \|\varphi\|_{C^0_{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)}, |\varphi|_{C^{0,\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} \right\}
$$

with

∥ϕ∥<sup>C</sup>

$$
\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^0(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} \coloneqq \sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\bar{D}^{\times k}} \|\varphi(\mathbf{y})\|_V
$$

and

$$
|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)}:=\sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\bar{D}^{\times k},\mathbf{h}\neq\mathbf{0}\atop\textnormal{s.t. }\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h}\in\bar{D}^{\times k}}\frac{\|\varphi(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h})-\varphi(\mathbf{y})\|_{V}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|^\gamma},
$$

where

 $\mathbf{h} := (h_1, \ldots, h_k) = (h_{1,1}, \ldots, h_{1,d}; h_{2,1}, \ldots, h_{2,d}; \ldots; h_{k,1}, \ldots, h_{k,d}) \in \mathbb{R}^{kd},$ 

that is  $h_j$  is a vector of *d* components for each  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ , and  $\| \cdot \|$  denotes the Euclidean norm.

Note that, even if it is not standard, we prefer to specify the subscript **y** in the notation of the Banach space-valued Hölder space  $C_y^{0,\gamma}$  ( $\bar{D}^{\times k};V$ ), in view of the rest of the paper, where the Banach space *V* will be a Sobolev space of functions of the spatial variable  $x \in D$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Definition 2.** Let  $h_j\neq 0$ . The one-dimensional difference quotient  $D_{j,h_j}^\gamma$  along the direction  $j$  and with exponent  $0<\gamma\leq 1$ of the function  $v : \bar{D}^{\times k} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$
D_{j,h_j}^{\gamma}v(y_1,\ldots,y_k):=\frac{v(y_1,\ldots,y_j+h_j,\ldots,y_k)-v(y_1,\ldots,y_k)}{\|h_j\|^{\gamma}}.
$$
\n(7)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Definition 3.** Given  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \ldots, h_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{kd}$ , we introduce  $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})$  as the vector containing the (non repeated) indices corresponding to the non-zero entries  $h_j$  of **h**, and  $\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})^c = \{1,\ldots,k\} \setminus \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})$  (i.e.,  $h_j \neq (0,\ldots,0)$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})$ , and  $h_j = (0, \ldots, 0)$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})^c$ ). The mixed difference quotient  $D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{h}}^{\gamma,mix}$  is defined as

$$
D_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{h}}^{\gamma,\text{mix}} := \prod_{j=1}^{||\mathbf{h}||_0} D_{i_j,h_{i_j}}^{\gamma} \tag{8}
$$

 $\text{where } \|\mathbf{h}\|_0 := #i(\mathbf{h}).$ 

In the following, when no confusion arises, we will denote the one-dimensional difference quotient also as  $D_j^{\gamma}$ , and the mixed different quotient as  $D_i^{\gamma,mix}$ , omitting to specify the increment **h**.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Definition 4** (*Banach Space-Valued Mixed Hölder Space*). Let *V* be a Banach space,  $0 < \gamma \le 1$  be real, and  $k \ge 1$  integer. The V-valued mixed Hölder space with exponent  $\gamma$ ,  $c^{0,\gamma,mix}_\mathbf{y}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)$ , consists of all continuous maps  $\varphi=\varphi(y_1,\ldots,y_k)$  :  $\bar{D}^{\times k}\to V$  with Hölder  $\gamma$ -regularity in each variable  $y_j, j=1,\ldots,k,$  separately. It is a Banach space with the norm

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} := \max \left\{ \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)}, |\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} \right\}
$$
(9)

where  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{\mathcal{C}^{0}_{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; V)}$  is as in [Definition](#page-3-3) [1](#page-3-3), and

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V) := \max_{\substack{j=1,\ldots,k \\ \text{ s.t. } ||\mathbf{h}||_{0} = j \\ \text{ and } \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h}\in\bar{D}^{\times k}}} \left\| D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\gamma,\text{mix}}\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \right\|_{V},
$$
\n(10)

 $D_i^{\gamma, mix}$  being introduced in [Definition](#page-4-0) [3](#page-4-0).

Note that, for  $k = 1$ , it holds

$$
\mathcal{C}_y^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D};V\right) = \mathcal{C}_y^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D};V\right). \tag{11}
$$

*3.1.1. Banach space-valued Hölder spaces with higher regularity*

Let *V*, *k* and *γ* as in [Definition](#page-3-3) [1,](#page-3-3) and let *n* ≥ 1 integer. Moreover, given a vector, denote as |⋅| its ℓ<sub>1</sub>-norm. We introduce

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varphi:D^{\times k}\to V\text{ s.t. }\forall\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}=(\alpha_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{k})\in\mathbb{N}^{kd}\text{ with}\\ \alpha_{\ell}=(\alpha_{\ell,1},\ldots,\alpha_{\ell,d})\in\mathbb{N}^{d}\text{ and }\sum_{\ell=1}^{k}|\alpha_{\ell}| \leq n,\\ \partial^{\alpha}\varphi=\partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\cdots\partial_{y_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}}\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)\end{array}\right\},\tag{12}
$$

which is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^n(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} := \max_{|\alpha|=0,\dots,n} \|\partial^{\alpha}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^0(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)}.
$$
\n(13)

We define the Banach space-valued Hölder space with regularity *n* and exponent  $\gamma$  as

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V) = \left\{\begin{array}{c} \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V) \text{ s.t. } \forall \alpha = (\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}) \in \mathbb{N}^{kd} \\ \text{with } |\alpha| = |\alpha_{1}| + \cdots + |\alpha_{k}| = n \\ \partial^{\alpha}\varphi = \partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial_{y_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V) \end{array}\right\}.
$$
 (14)

The space  $\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}_{\bf y}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)$  is a Banach space with seminorm

$$
|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} := \max_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=n} \left\| \partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \varphi(\mathbf{y}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} \tag{15}
$$

*F. Bonizzoni and F. Nobile Computers and Mathematics with Applications 80 (2020) 2925–2947*

and norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} := \max\left\{ \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^n(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)}, |\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} \right\}.
$$
\n(16)

Moreover, we introduce the space

$$
C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varphi:D^{\times k}\to V\text{ s.t. }\forall\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)\in\mathbb{N}^{kd}\text{ with}\\ \alpha_{\ell}=(\alpha_{\ell,1},\ldots,\alpha_{\ell,d})\in\mathbb{N}^d\text{ and }0\leq|\alpha_{\ell}|\leq n\,\forall\,\ell,\\ \vartheta^{\alpha}\varphi=\partial_{y_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{y_k}^{\alpha_k}\varphi\in C_{\mathbf{y}}^0\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)\end{array}\right\},\tag{17}
$$

which is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\eta,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} := \max_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)\in\mathbb{N}^{kd} \\ 0\leq |\alpha_\ell|\leq n}} \|\partial^{\alpha}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^0(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)}.
$$
\n(18)

Finally, generalizing [Definition](#page-4-1) [4](#page-4-1), we introduce the space  $c_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)$  as follows:

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right) = \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right) \text{ s.t. } \left|\varphi\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)} < +\infty\right\}.
$$
\n(19)

It is a Banach space with the norm

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\|\varphi\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} := \max\left\{ \|\varphi\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)}, |\varphi|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)} \right\},\tag{20}
$$

where the seminorm is defined as

$$
|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V):=\underset{\substack{j=1,\ldots,k\\\text{st. }|\mathbf{h}||_{0}=j\\\text{and } \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h}\in\bar{D}^{\times k}}} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{x}=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)\in\mathbb{N}^{kd}\\\alpha\leq |\alpha_{\ell}| \leq n,\ \forall\ell=1,\ldots,k\\\alpha\neq j\leq n,\ \forall\ell=1,\ldots,k}} \left\|D^{\gamma,\text{mix}}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{h}}\partial^{\alpha}\varphi(\mathbf{y})\right\|_{V}.
$$
\n(21)

Note that, for  $k = 1$ , it holds  $\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_y\left(\bar{D}; V\right) = \mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}_y\left(\bar{D}; V\right)$ .

#### *3.1.2. Properties of Banach space-valued mixed Hölder spaces*

In this section we prove some properties of Banach space-valued mixed Hölder spaces. We refer to [Appendix](#page-17-0) for the proofs.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Proposition 5.** *Let V be a Banach space, and*  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ *. Then,* 

$$
C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)\subset C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma/k,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V)
$$
\n(22)

*for all*  $k \geq 2$ *.* 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Proposition 6.** The spaces  $c_{y_2}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar D; c_{y_1}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar D; V\right)\right)$  and  $c_{y_1}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar D; c_{y_2}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar D; V\right)\right)$  are isomorphic to the space  $c_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\overline{D \times D}; V\right)$  for *all n* ≥ 0 *integer.*

**Remark 7.** With small modifications to the proof, it is possible to prove that [Proposition](#page-5-0) [5](#page-5-0) holds for Hölder spaces with higher regularity, yielding

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma/k,mix}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right) \tag{23}
$$

for all  $k \geq 2$ . Moreover, [Proposition](#page-5-1) [6](#page-5-1) generalizes to higher regularity and higher dimension, yielding

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{\mathbf{D}}^{\times k};V\right) \sim \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}_{\sim i}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{\mathbf{D}}^{\times (k-1)}; \mathcal{C}_{y_i}^{n,\gamma}\left(\bar{\mathbf{D}};V\right)\right) \quad \forall i=1,\ldots,k+1,
$$
\n(24)

where **y**∼*<sup>i</sup>* = (*y*1, . . . , *yi*−1, *yi*+1, . . . , *yk*).

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Proposition 8.** Denote with  $C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})$  the space  $C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};\mathbb{R})$ . Then, it holds

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
||u||_{C^{0,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} = \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})},
$$
\n(25)

*for all*  $u(y_1, \ldots, y_k) := u_1(y_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k(y_k) \in C^{0,\gamma, \text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k}).$ 

**Remark 9.** With small modifications to the proof, it is possible to prove that [Proposition](#page-5-2) [8](#page-5-2) holds for Hölder spaces with higher regularity, yielding to:

$$
||u||_{\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,\max}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} = \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D})},
$$
\n(26)

for all  $u(y_1, \ldots, y_k) := u_1(y_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k(y_k) \in C^{n, \gamma, mix} (\bar{D}^{\times k}).$ 

### *3.2. Diagonal trace of Sobolev space-valued mixed Hölder maps*

In this section we focus on Sobolev space-valued maps with mixed Hölder regularity, namely maps in (*k*+1) variables  $\varphi = \varphi(x, y_1, \ldots, y_k) : \bar{D}^{\times (k+1)} \to \mathbb{R}$ , which we interpret as  $W^{m,p}(D)$ -valued maps in k variables  $\bar{D}^{\times k} \ni (y_1, \ldots, y_k) \mapsto$  $\varphi(\cdot,y_1,\ldots,y_k)\in W^{m,p}(D).$  From clarity, we will use the subscript x in the notation  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf y}^{n,\gamma,mix}\left(\bar D^{\times k};\, W^{m,p}_x(D)\right).$ 

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Definition 10** (*Diagonal Trace*). Let *p*, *q*, *N* be positive integers satisfying  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq N$ , and let v be a function of *N* variables. Then the diagonal trace function  $\text{Tr}_{|p:q}v$  is a function of  $N-(q-p)$  variables, defined as

$$
(\operatorname{Tr}_{|p:q}) v(x_1, \ldots, x_p, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_N) := v(x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}, \underbrace{x_p, \ldots, x_p}_{(q-p+1)-\text{times}}, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_N).
$$

In the following proposition we state the regularity of the diagonal trace of Sobolev space-valued mixed Hölder maps. We refer to [Appendix](#page-17-0) for the proof.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Proposition 11.** Let  $\varphi = \varphi(x, y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in C^{n, \gamma, mix}_{y_1, \ldots, y_k}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W^{m, p}_{x}(D))$ , with  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \ge 1$  integer,  $n \ge m \ge 0$  integers,  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  and  $p > \frac{2d}{\gamma}$ . Then, for all  $j = 2, \ldots, k + 1$ , and for all  $(y_j, \ldots, y_k) \in D^{\times (k-j+1)}$ ,  $(\text{Tr}_{|_{1j}}\varphi)(x; y_j, \ldots, y_k) \in W_{x}^{m, p}(D)$ . In *particular, there exists Ctr* > 0 *such that*

$$
\begin{aligned} &\|(\mathrm{Tr}_{1_{1j}}\varphi)(x;y_j,\ldots,y_k)\|_{W_x^{m,p}(D)}\\ &\leq C_T^{j-1} \left\|\varphi(x,y_1,\ldots,y_{j-1};y_j,\ldots,y_k)\right\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_{j-1}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\tilde{D}^{\times (j-1)};W_x^{m,p}(D)\right)},\end{aligned} \tag{27}
$$

*for all*  $(y_j, ..., y_k) \in D^{\times (k-j+1)}$ *.* 

 $M$ oreover,  $\text{Tr}_{|_{1;j}}\varphi \in C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_j,...,y_k}\left(\bar{D}^{\times (k-j+1)}; \, W^{m,p}_x(D)\right)$ , and

$$
\|{\rm Tr}_{|_{1;j}}\varphi\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_j,\ldots,y_k}\left(\bar{D}^{\times(k-j+1)};W^{m,p}_x(D)\right)} \leq C^{j-1}_{tr} \|\varphi\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};W^{m,p}_x(D)\right)} \tag{28}
$$

*for all*  $i = 2, ..., k + 1$ .

### **4. Recursion on the correlations — analytical results**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>This section is organized as follows. We first study the mixed Hölder regularity of the input of the recursion  $(6)$  $(6)$  $(6)$ , i.e., the (k+1)-points correlation function  $\mathbb{E}\left[u^0\otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right]$  (see [Corollary](#page-8-0) [13](#page-8-0)). Then, in Section [4.2](#page-8-1), we prove the well-posedness and regularity of the recursion itself.

## *4.1. Mixed Hölder regularity of the input of the recursion*

The following proposition states the mixed Hölder regularity of the (*k* + 1)-points correlation function  $\mathbb{E}\,[\,v\otimes Y^{\otimes k}],$ where v belongs to a Banach space *V*.

<span id="page-6-5"></span>**Proposition 12.** *Let V be a Banach space of functions on D, and Y be a centered Gaussian random field such that Y* ∈  $L^{\theta}$   $(\Omega;\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D}))$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq \theta < +\infty$ . Then, for every  $v \in V$  and every positive integer k, the  $(k+1)$ -points correlation  $\mathbb{E}\left[v\otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right]$  belongs to the Hölder space with mixed regularity  $c_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V_x)$ . Moreover, it holds:

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\left\| \mathbb{E} \left[ v \otimes Y^{\otimes k} \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V_{\chi})} = \| v \|_{V} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[ Y^{\otimes k} \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})}.
$$
\n(29)

**Proof.** We prove that  $\mathbb{E}\left[v \otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right] \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,mix}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};\,V_{\chi}\right)$  in two steps. **Step 1**:  $\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right] \in \mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}\right)$ 

We have to show that

<span id="page-6-3"></span>(i) 
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right] \in C^{n,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})
$$
, i.e.,  $\partial^{\alpha}\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right] = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_k}^{\alpha_k}\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right] \in C^0(\bar{D}^{\times k})$  for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{kd}$  with  $0 \leq |\alpha_j| \leq n$ , for  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ .

<span id="page-7-1"></span>(ii) 
$$
\partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}[Y^{\otimes k}] \in C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})
$$
, for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{kd}$  with  $|\alpha_j| = n$ , for some  $j = 1, ..., k$ .  
Let us start with (i). Fix  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{kd}$  with  $0 \le |\alpha_j| \le n$ , for  $j = 1, ..., k$ . Then,

$$
\begin{split} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ Y^{\otimes k} \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} &= \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ Y^{\otimes k} \right] (\mathbf{y}) \right| \\ &= \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}} \left| \partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial_{y_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \mathbb{E} \left[ Y^{\otimes k} \right] (y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}) \right| \\ &= \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} Y(y_{1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial_{y_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} Y(y_{k}) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} Y(y_{1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial_{y_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} Y(y_{k}) \right| \right]. \end{split} \tag{30}
$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$
(30) \leq \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}} \prod_{i=1}^k \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \partial_{y_i}^{\alpha_i} Y(y_i) \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \max_{y_i \in \bar{D}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \partial_{y_i}^{\alpha_i} Y(y_i) \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k}.
$$

Observe that

$$
\max_{y_i \in \bar{D}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \partial_{y_i}^{\alpha_i} Y(y_i) \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k} = \left( \max_{y_i \in \bar{D}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \partial_{y_i}^{\alpha_i} Y(y_i) \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{y_i \in \bar{D}} \left| \partial_{y_i}^{\alpha_i} Y(y_i) \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k} = \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \max_{y_i \in \bar{D}} \left| \partial_{y_i}^{\alpha_i} Y(y_i) \right| \right)^k \right] \right)^{1/k}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| Y \right\|_{C^n(\bar{D})}^k \right] \right)^{1/k} = \left\| Y \right\|_{L^k(\Omega; C^n(\bar{D}))}.
$$

We conclude that *k*

$$
\prod_{i=1}^k \max_{y_i \in \bar{D}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \partial_{y_i}^{\alpha_i} Y(y_i) \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k} \leq \|Y\|_{L^k(\Omega; \mathcal{C}^n(\bar{D}))}^k < +\infty.
$$

We prove now [\(ii\)](#page-7-1). Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$  with  $|\alpha_j| = n$  for some  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ . Using [Definitions](#page-4-2) [2](#page-4-2) and [4](#page-4-1), we have

$$
\begin{split}\n\left|\partial^{\alpha}\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} &= \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{h} \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0}=j}} \left|D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\gamma,\min}\partial^{\alpha}\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right| \\
&= \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{h} \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0}=j}} \left|D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\gamma}\cdots D_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}^{\gamma}\partial^{\alpha}\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right| \\
&= \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{h} \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0}=j}} \left|D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\gamma}\cdots D_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}^{\gamma}\mathbb{E}\left[\partial_{\mathbf{y}_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}Y(\mathbf{y}_{1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\partial_{\mathbf{y}_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}}Y(\mathbf{y}_{k})\right]\right| \\
&= \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{h} \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0}=j}} \left|\mathbb{E}\left[\bigotimes_{\ell\in\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})} \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{y}_{\ell}}^{\alpha_{\ell}}Y(\mathbf{y}_{\ell}+h_{\ell})-\partial_{\mathbf{y}_{\ell}}^{\alpha_{\ell}}Y(\mathbf{y}_{\ell})}{\|h_{\ell}\|^{\gamma}}\cdot\bigotimes_{\ell'\in\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})^{\mathbf{c}}} \partial_{\ell'}^{\alpha_{\ell'}}Y(\mathbf{y}_{\ell'})\right]\right|.\n\end{split} \tag{31}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>1/*k*

Proceeding as in the proof of  $(i)$ , we conclude

$$
(31) \leq \max_{j=1,\ldots,k} \prod_{\ell \in \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{h} \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0} = j}} \left| \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{y}_{\ell}}^{\alpha_{\ell}} Y(\mathbf{y}_{\ell} + h_{\ell}) - \partial_{\mathbf{y}_{\ell}}^{\alpha_{\ell}} Y(\mathbf{y}_{\ell})}{\|h_{\ell}\|^{\gamma}} \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k}
$$

$$
\prod_{\substack{\ell' \in \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})^c}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \partial_{\mathbf{y}_{\ell'}}^{\alpha_{\ell'}} Y(\mathbf{y}_{\ell'}) \right|^k \right] \right)^{1/k}
$$

$$
\leq \|Y\|_{L^k(Q; \mathcal{C}^{n, \gamma}}^k(\bar{b})) < +\infty.
$$

**Step 2:**  $\mathbb{E}\left[v \otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right] \in C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}; V_{x}\right)$ It is enough to observe that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\left[\mathbb{E}\left[v\otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right]_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V_{\chi})} &=& \left[v\otimes \mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right]_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V_{\chi})} \\
&=& \left\|v\right\|_{V}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} < +\infty.\n\end{array}
$$

It remains us to show equality ([29](#page-6-4)). By definition, it holds:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[v \otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right]\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V_{X})} = \max_{\alpha} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\|\partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left[v \otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right](\cdot, \mathbf{y})\right\|_{V_{X}} \n= \max_{\alpha} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\|v(\cdot) \otimes \partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right](\mathbf{y})\right\|_{V_{X}} = \max_{\alpha} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\|v\right\|_{V_{X}} \left|\partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right| \n= \left\|v\right\|_{V_{X}} \left\|\partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left[Y^{\otimes k}\right]\right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k})}.
$$

In the same way, it is possible to show that

$$
\left| \mathbb{E} \left[ v \otimes Y^{\otimes k} \right] \right|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};V_X)} = \|v\|_{V_X} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ Y^{\otimes k} \right] \right|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})},
$$

and equality  $(29)$  follows.  $\square$ 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Corollary 13.** Applying [Proposition](#page-6-5) [12](#page-6-5) with  $v = u^0 \in W^{1,p}(D)$ , we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[u^0\otimes Y^{\otimes k}\right]\in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};\,W_{\chi}^{1,p}(D)\right).
$$

*4.2. Well-posedness and regularity of the recursion*

<span id="page-8-1"></span>To lighten the notation, from now on we denote the *k*th order correction  $\mathbb{E}\left[u^k\right]$  with  $E^k$ , and the  $(i+1)$ -points correlation  $\mathbb{E}\left[ u^{k-i} \otimes Y^{\otimes i} \right] (x,y_1,\ldots,y_i)$  with  $E^{k-i,i}.$ 

<span id="page-8-5"></span>**Theorem 14** (Well-Posedness of the Recursion). Let  $D\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ , such that  $\partial D\in C^1$ , and  $Y\in L^\theta\left(\Omega;C^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D}\right)\right)$  for all  $1\leq\theta<+\infty$ . Let  $f\in L^p(D)$  for  $p>\frac{2d}{\gamma}$ , and  $1< q<\infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1.$  Then, for any  $k\geq 0$  and for any  $i=0,\ldots,k-1,$  the Laplace–Dirichlet problem: Given  $E^{k-i-j,i+j}$  for  $j=1,\ldots,k-i$ , find  $w(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\in W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)$  such that, for all  $\mathbf{y}:=(y_1,\ldots,y_i)\in D^{\times i}$ ,

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\int_{D} (\nabla \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes i}) w(x, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}(v) \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,q}(D)
$$
\n(32)

*has a unique solution, with*

$$
\|w(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\|_{W_{0,x}^{1,p}(D)} \le C \left\|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}\right\|_{(W_0^{1,q})^\star},\tag{33}
$$

*where C*  $>0$  *is independent of y, and the linear form*  $\mathcal{L}_{\bm y}: W^{1,q}_0(D) \to \mathbb{R}$  *is defined as* 

<span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}(v) := -\sum_{j=1}^{k-i} \left( \begin{array}{c} k-i \\ j \end{array} \right) \int_{D} \mathrm{Tr}_{\vert \mathbf{1}_{j+1}} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} E^{k-i-j,i+j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.
$$
 (34)

Moreover, the unique solution belongs to the space  $c_{y_1,...,y_i}^{0,\gamma,\min}\left(\bar D^{\times i}; W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)$  and coincides with  $E^{k-i,i}.$ 

**Proof.** We prove the theorem by induction. Let  $k = 2$  and  $i = 1$ . The problem we handle with is: given  $E^{0,2}$ , find  $w(·, y) ∈ W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)$  s.t., for all  $y ∈ D$ ,

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\int_{D} (\nabla \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes i}) w(x, y) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx = \mathcal{L}_{y}(v) \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1,q}(D),\tag{35}
$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_y(v) := -\int_D Tr_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2}(x, y) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx$ . **Step 1: well-posedness of problem** ([35](#page-8-2))

*We* have to show that  $\mathcal{L}_y$  ∈  $(W_0^{1,q})^*$ . Since  $\partial D \in C^1$  and  $f \in L^p(D)$ , then  $u^0 \in W^{1,p}(D)$ , as stated in Section [2.](#page-1-1) Applying [Proposition](#page-6-1) [12](#page-6-5) with  $n = 0$ , we have  $\nabla_x E^{0,2} \in C^{0,\gamma,mix}_{y_1,y_2}(\overline{D \times D};L^p_x(D))$ . Applying Proposition [11](#page-6-1) with  $n = 0$ we get  $\mathrm{Tr}_{|_{1:2}}\nabla_{\mathsf{x}}E^{0,2}\in \mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_{y_2}\left(D;L_{\mathsf{x}}^p(D)\right)$ , and, in particular,

$$
C_{\mathcal{L}} := \sup_{y_2 \in D} \| \text{Tr}_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2} \|_{L^p_x(D)} < \infty.
$$

Hence, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{L}_{y}(v)\right| \leq \left\|\operatorname{Tr}_{1:2} \nabla_{x} E^{0,2}\right\|_{L_{X}^{p}(D)} \left\|\nabla v\right\|_{L_{X}^{q}(D)} \leq C_{\mathcal{L}} \left\|v\right\|_{W_{X}^{1,q}(D)},
$$

so that  $\mathcal{L}_y \in (W_0^{1,q})^{\star}$  for all  $y \in D$ . Thanks to [[22,](#page-22-10) Chapter 7], we conclude that problem ([35](#page-8-2)) has a unique solution  $w(\cdot,y)\in W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)$  for every  $y\in D.$  Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $C=C(p,d,D)$  such that

$$
||w(\cdot,y)||_{W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)} \leq C ||\mathcal{L}_y||_{(W^{1,q}_0(D))^{\star}} \leq C C_{\mathcal{L}}.
$$

<span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span> $\cdot \cdot \cdot$  $\overline{a}$ 

## **Step 2: Hölder regularity of**  $w(x, \cdot)$

Let us consider the difference between problem ([35\)](#page-8-2) in  $y + h$  and in *y*:

$$
\int_{D} (\nabla \otimes \text{Id})(w(x, y + h) - w(x, y)) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{D} (\text{Tr}_{1:2} \nabla_{x} E^{0,2}(x, y + h) - \text{Tr}_{1:2} \nabla_{x} E^{0,2}(x, y)) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx,
$$
\n(36)

for all  $v\in W^{1,q}_0(D)$ . Following the same procedure as in Step 1, we conclude that problem [\(36\)](#page-9-0) is well-posed, and

$$
||w(\cdot, y+h) - w(\cdot, y)||_{W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)} \leq C ||\mathcal{L}_{y+h} - \mathcal{L}_y||_{(W^{1,q}_0(D))^*}.
$$
\n(37)

Hence, we have

$$
|w|_{C_y^{0,\gamma}}(\bar{p},w_{0,x}^{1,p}(D)) = \sup_{y,h} \frac{1}{\|h\|^{\gamma}} \|w(\cdot,y+h) - w(\cdot,y)\|_{W_{0,x}^{1,p}(D)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \sup_{y,h} \frac{1}{\|h\|^{\gamma}} \| \mathcal{L}_{y+h} - \mathcal{L}_y \|_{(W_0^{1,q}(D))^*}
$$
  
\n
$$
= C \sup_{y,h} \frac{1}{\|h\|^{\gamma}} \sup_{w \in W_0^{1,q}(D)} \left| \int_D (Tr_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2}(x, y+h) - Tr_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2}) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx \right|_{\|v\|_{W_0^{1,q}(D)} = 1}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \sup_{y,h} \frac{1}{\|h\|^{\gamma}} \|Tr_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2}(\cdot, y+h) - Tr_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2}(\cdot, y) \|_{L_x^p(D)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= C \sup_{y,h} \|D_{y,h}^{\gamma} Tr_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2}(\cdot, y) \|_{L_x^p(D)} \leq C |Tr_{1:2} E^{0,2}|_{C_y^{0,\gamma}}(\bar{p};w_{0,x}^{1,p}(D))
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \sup_{y,h} \|D_{y,h}^{\gamma} Tr_{1:2} \nabla_x E^{0,2}(\cdot, y) \|_{L_x^p(D)} \leq C |Tr_{1:2} E^{0,2}|_{C_y^{0,\gamma}}(\bar{p};w_{0,x}^{1,p}(D))
$$

so that  $w\in\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_y\left(\bar D; \,W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)$ . Moreover, since  $E^{1,1}$  solves problem  $(35)$  for every  $y\in D$ , then  $E^{1,1}\in\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_y\left(\bar D; \,W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)$  is the unique solution of  $(35)$ .

We perform now the induction step. Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  be fixed, and assume that  $E^{k-i-j,i+j} \in$  $\mathcal{C}_{y_1,\dots,y_{i+j}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times (i+j)}; W_{0,x}^{1,p}(D)\right)$ , for  $j = 1,\dots,k-i$ .

## **Step 1: well-posedness of problem** ([32](#page-8-3))

We have to show that  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}$  as in ([34](#page-8-4)) is in  $(W_0^{1,q})^\star$ . Since  $E^{k-i-j,i+j}\in\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma,mix}_{y_1,...,y_{i+j}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times (i+j)};W_{0,x}^{1,p}(D)\right)$ , then  $\text{Tr}_{|_{1;j+1}}\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}E^{k-i-j,i+j}\in\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma,mix}_{\gamma}$  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,...,y_i}\left(\bar{D}^{\times i};\, L^p_{\mathsf{x}}(D)\right)$ , and, in particular,

$$
C_{\mathcal{L},j} := \sup_{y_1,\ldots,y_i \in D^{\times i}} \| \text{Tr}_{|_{1:j+1}} \nabla_x E^{k-i-j,i+j} \|_{L^p_x(D)} < \infty.
$$

Hence, by the Hölder inequality, we have  $|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}(v)| \leq C_{\mathcal{L}} \|v\|_{W^{1,q}(D)}$ , with  $C_{\mathcal{L}} \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{k-i}$ ( *k* − *i j*  $\left(C_{\mathcal{L},j}\right)$ , so that  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} \in (W_0^{1,q})^*$ . Thanks to [[22](#page-22-10), Chapter 7], we conclude that problem [\(35\)](#page-8-2) has a unique solution  $w(\cdot,\mathbf{y}) \in W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)$  for a.e.  $\mathbf{y} \in D^{\times i}$ . Moreover, it holds

$$
||w(\cdot, \mathbf{y})||_{W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)} \leq C ||\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}||_{(W^{1,q}_0(D))^{\star}} \leq C C_{\mathcal{L}}.
$$

**Step 2: Hölder regularity of**  $w(x, \cdot)$ By considering the problem solved by  $D_i^{y, mix} w(x, y)$ , we have

$$
\|D_i^{\gamma,mix}w(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\|_{W_{0,x}^{1,p}(D)}\n\n\leq C \sup_{v\in W_0^{1,q}(D)}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} \int_D D_i^{\gamma,mix} \text{Tr}_{|1:j+1} \nabla E^{k-i-j,i+j} \cdot \nabla v dx\right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} \|D_i^{\gamma,mix} \text{Tr}_{|1:j+1} \nabla E^{k-i-j,i+j}(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\|_{L_X^p}.
$$
\n(38)

Hence, we have

 $\vert$ 

$$
w|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}}(\bar{v}^{\times i};w_{0,x}^{1,p}(D))} = \max_{\ell=1,\dots,i} \sup_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{h},||\mathbf{h}||_{0}=\ell} \|D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\gamma,\text{mix}}w(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\|_{W_{0,x}^{1,p}(D)}
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{(38)}{\leq} C \max_{\ell=1,\dots,i} \sup_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{h},||\mathbf{h}||_{0}=\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} \|D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\gamma,\text{mix}}\mathrm{Tr}_{1:j+1}\nabla E^{k-i-j,i+j}(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\|_{L_{\chi}^{p}}
$$
  

$$
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} |\mathrm{Tr}_{1:j+1}\nabla E^{k-i-j,i+j}|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}}(\bar{v}^{\times i};L_{\chi}^{p}(D))
$$
  

$$
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} C_{tr}^{j} \|E^{k-i-j,i+j}\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}}(\bar{v}^{\times(i+j)};W_{\chi}^{1,p}(D)) < +\infty.
$$

In particular, since  $E^{k-i,i}$  solves problem [\(32\)](#page-8-3) for a.e.  $\mathbf{y}\in D^{\times i}$ , then  $E^{k-i,i}\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\min}\left(\bar{D}^{\times i};\,W^{1,p}_{0,\chi}(D)\right)$  is the unique solution of  $(32)$  $(32)$  $(32)$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Theorem 15** (Regularity of the Recursion). Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\partial D \in C^{2+r}$ ,  $r \ge 0$ . Let  $f \in W^{r,p}(D)$ , and  $Y \in L^{\theta}(\Omega; C^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D})),$ for all  $1\leq\theta<\infty$  and  $n\geq r+1.$  Then the correlation  $E^{k-i,i}\in\mathcal C^{n,\gamma,mix}_{y_1,...,y_i}\left(\bar D^{\times i};\,W^{2+r,p}_x(D)\cap W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)$  for all  $i=k,k-1,\ldots,0.$ *Moreover, there exists a positive constant*  $C_{reg}$  *independent of*  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_i)$ *, such that* 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\left\| E^{k-i,i}(\cdot,\mathbf{y}) \right\|_{W^{2+r,p}(D)} \leq C_{reg} \left\| \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} \right\|_{(W^{r,q})^*},\tag{39}
$$

*where*  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}}$  *has been introduced in* [\(34](#page-8-4))*.* 

**Proof.** We prove the theorem by induction. Let  $k = 2$  and  $i = 1$ . Since  $f \in W^{r,p}(D)$ , we have  $u^0 \in W_0^{1,p}(D) \cap W^{2+r,p}(D)$ (see [[22](#page-22-10), Chapter 9]). Using the assumption  $Y \in L^{\theta}$  ( $\Omega$ ;  $\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}$  ( $\bar{D}$ )) and [Proposition](#page-6-5) [12](#page-6-5), we have

$$
E^{0,2} \in C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,y_2}\left(\overline{D \times D};\, W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D) \cap W^{2+r,p}_x(D)\right),\,
$$

so that  $\nabla_{\mathsf{x}}E^{0,2} \in \mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,mi\mathsf{x}}_{y_1,y_2}\left(\overline{D\times D};\,W_{\mathsf{x}}^{1+r,p}(D)\right).$  Applying [Proposition](#page-6-1) [11](#page-6-1), we have  $\text{Tr}_{|_{1,2}}\nabla E^{0,2} \in \mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}_\mathsf{y}\left(\bar{D};\,W_{\mathsf{x}}^{1+r,p}(D)\right).$ Following the same reasoning as in the proof of [Theorem](#page-8-5) [14,](#page-8-5) we have that  $E^{1,1} \in C_y^{n,\gamma}\left(\bar D; W^{2+r,p}_x(D)\right)$ . Finally, since we have already shown that  $E^{1,1}\in \mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}_{y}\left(\bar{D};\,W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)$ , we conclude the thesis.

We perform now the induction step. Assume that the correlation

$$
E^{k-i-j,i+j} \in C^{n,\gamma,mix}_{y_1,\ldots,y_{i+j}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times (i+j)}; W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D) \cap W^{r+2,p}_x(D)\right),
$$

for  $j = 1, \ldots, k - i$ . Applying [Proposition](#page-6-1) [11,](#page-6-1) we have

$$
\mathrm{Tr}_{|_{1:j+1}} \nabla E^{k-i-j,i+j} \in \mathcal{C}_{y_1,\ldots,y_i}^{n,\gamma,\mathrm{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times i};\,W_x^{r+1,p}(D)\right).
$$

Following the same reasoning as in the proof of [Theorem](#page-8-5) [14](#page-8-5), we conclude that

$$
E^{k-i,i} \in \mathcal{C}_{y_1\ldots,y_i}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times i}; W_{x}^{2+r,p}(D)\right).
$$

Finally, since we have already shown that  $E^{k-i,i}\in\mathcal C^{n,\gamma,mix}_{y_1...y_i}\left(\bar D^{\times i};\,W^{1,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)$ , we conclude the thesis.

Finally, the upper bound [\(39](#page-10-0)) follows from [[22](#page-22-10), Chapter 9], observing that  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} \in (W^{1+r,p})^*$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-10-4"></span>**Proposition 16.** *Under the assumptions of [Theorem](#page-10-1)* [15](#page-10-1)*, it holds*

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\|E^{k-i,i}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\min}_{y_1,\ldots,y_i}(\bar{D}^{\times i};W^{2+r,p}_x(D))} \leq \lambda_{k-i} \|E^{0,k}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\min}_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W^{2+r,p}_x(D))}
$$
(40)

 $f$  for all  $i\leq k$ , where the coefficients  $\{\lambda_{k-i}\}_{i=1}^k$  are defined by recursion as  $\lambda_0:=1$  and  $\lambda_{k-i}:=C_{reg}\sum_{j=1}^{k-i}$  $(k - i)$ *j*  $\int C_{tr}^{j} \lambda_{k-i-j}$ *for i* < *k, the constants Creg* , *Ctr being introduced in [Theorem](#page-10-1)* [15](#page-10-1) *and [Proposition](#page-6-1)* [11](#page-6-1)*, respectively.*

**Proof.** Let *k* be fixed. We prove the Theorem by induction on *i*. If  $i = k$ , bound ([40](#page-10-2)) holds as an equality. Let now  $i < k$ fixed. By induction, we assume

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
\|E^{k-\ell,\ell}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,\ldots,y_\ell}\left(\bar{D}^{\times\ell};W^{2+r,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)} \leq \lambda_{k-\ell} \|E^{0,k}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,\ldots,y_\ell}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};W^{2+r,p}_{0,x}(D)\right)},\tag{41}
$$

for all  $i + 1 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$ . Thanks to [\(39](#page-10-0)) and [Proposition](#page-6-1) [11](#page-6-1), it holds:

$$
\|E^{k-i,i}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,\ldots,y_i}}(\bar{D}^{\times i};w^{2+r,p}_{0,x}(D))\n\n\leq C_{reg}\sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} C^j_{tr} \|E^{k-i-j,i+j}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,\ldots,y_{i+j}}}(\bar{D}^{\times (i+j)};w^{2+r,p}_{0,x}(D))\n.
$$

Using the assumption  $(41)$  $(41)$ , we have

$$
\|E^{k-i,i}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\min}_{y_1,\ldots,y_i}}(\bar{\nu}^{\times i};w_{0,x}^{2+r,p}(D))\n\n\leq C_{reg}\sum_{j=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose j} C^j_{tr} \lambda_{k-i-j} \|E^{0,k}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\max}_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}}(\bar{\nu}^{\times k};w_{0,x}^{2+r,p}(D))\n\n= \lambda_{k-i} \|E^{0,k}\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\min}_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}}(\bar{\nu}^{\times k};w_{0,x}^{2+r,p}(D)) \cdot \square
$$

#### **5. Recursion on the correlations — sparse discretization**

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Within this section we aim at deriving a discretization for the recursive problem [\(6\)](#page-2-1). In particular, the differential operator in the spatial variable *x* will be discretized by a Galerkin method, whereas the parametric dependence on the variable **y** will be approximated by a sparse interpolation technique. To this end, we first introduce some assumptions on the considered Galerkin projector  $\pi_h$ , and the sparse interpolant operator  $P_L$ .

## *5.1. Galerkin projector*

Let  $\{W_h\}_{h\geq 0}$  be a sequence of nested finite dimensional subspaces of  $W_0^{1,\infty}(D)$ ,  $h$  being the discretization parameter. Assume that

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\min_{w_h \in W_h} \|u - w_h\|_{W^{1,p}(D)} \le C_{\text{fem}} h^{\beta} \|u\|_{W^{2+r,p}(D)} \quad \forall \, u \in W_0^{1,p}(D) \cap W^{2+r,p}(D),\tag{42}
$$

for some  $\beta \in (0, r + 1)$ . Moreover, we assume the following discrete inf–sup condition: there exists  $v > 0$  independent of *h* such that

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\inf_{u_h \in W_h} \sup_{w_h \in W_h} \frac{\int_D \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla w_h \, dx}{|u_h|_{W^{1,q}(D)} |w_h|_{W^{1,q}(D)}} \ge \nu. \tag{43}
$$

Then, we can infer

<span id="page-11-4"></span>
$$
||u - \pi_h u||_{W^{1,p}(D)} \le C_{\pi_h} h^{\beta} ||u||_{W^{2+r,p}(D)} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(D) \cap W^{2+r,p}(D),
$$
\n(44)

where  $\pi_h$  :  $W_0^{1,p}(D) \cap W^{2+r,p}(D) \to W_h$  is the Galerkin projector, i.e., the operator which associates *u* to its finite dimensional approximation via the Galerkin method, and  ${\sf C}_{\pi_h} >0$  is independent of *h*.

**Remark 17.** The discrete inf–sup condition [\(43\)](#page-11-1), as well as [\(42\)](#page-11-2), hold, for instance, for *D* convex  $c^1$ , and continuous  $\mathbb{P}^1$ finite elements (with  $\beta = 1$  and  $r = 0$ ). We refer to [\[23\]](#page-22-11) for further cases.

## *5.2. Sparse interpolant operator*

Let  $\{V_\ell\}_{l\geq 0}$  be a dense sequence of nested finite dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}$   $(\bar D),$  and let the discretization parameter  $h_\ell$  of  $V_\ell$  be  $h_\ell:=\frac{h_{\ell-1}}{2},$  so that  $h_\ell=h_0\,2^{-\ell}.$  Denote with  $\{a^\ell_j\}_{j=1}^{N_\ell}\subset D$  a set of interpolation points unisolvent in  $V_\ell$ , and with  $\{\xi_j^\ell\}_{j=1}^{N_\ell}$  the Lagrangian basis of  $V_\ell$  such that  $\xi_j^\ell(a_i^\ell)=\delta_{i,j}$  for all  $i,j=1,\ldots,N_\ell.$  Moreover, let  $P_\ell:\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar D\right)\to V_\ell$  be the Lagrangian interpolation operator, that is  $P_\ell(v)=\sum_{j=1}^{N_\ell}v(a^\ell_j)\xi^\ell_j$ , and note that  $P_\ell$  is a projector, too. Assume that  $P_\ell$ satisfies the following property:

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
||u - P_{\ell}u||_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})} \leq C h_{\ell}^{s} ||u||_{C^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D})} \quad \forall u \in C^{n,\gamma}(\bar{D}), \qquad (45)
$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $h_{\ell}$ , and  $s > 0$ .

Following [[24](#page-22-12)], we define the sparse interpolation operator as follows. Let  $\Delta_\ell := P_\ell - P_{\ell-1}$  be the difference operator. Given *k*, *L* positive integers, the *sparse interpolation operator of level L* is defined as:

$$
\widehat{P}_{L,k} := \sum_{\substack{\ell = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \\ |\ell| \le L}} \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \Delta_{\ell_j}.
$$
\n(46)

The sparse interpolation operator  $\widehat{P}_{L,k}$  maps the Hölder space with mixed regularity  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})$  onto the sparse tensor product space  $\widehat{V}_L_k$ , defined as

$$
\widehat{V}_{L,k} := \bigcup_{\substack{\ell = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \\ |\ell| \le L}} \bigotimes_{j=1}^k V_{\ell_j}.
$$
\n
$$
(47)
$$

The application of the sparse interpolation operator  $\widehat{P}_{L,k}$  to a function implies the evaluation of the function itself in a finite set of points – the *sparse grid* – denoted as  $H_{L,k}$ . To lighten the notation, the sparse interpolation operator  $\widehat{P}_{L,k}$  will be simply denoted as  $P_L$ , when no confusion occurs.

<span id="page-12-3"></span>**Proposition 18.** *Let k be a positive integer and W a Banach space of functions on D. Then it holds:*

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\left\|\widehat{P}_{L,k}u - u\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_{\chi})} \leq C_{\widehat{P}_{L,k}} h_L^{s(1-\tau)}\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_{\chi})} \tag{48}
$$

for all  $u = u(x, y) \in C^{n, \gamma, mix}_{y}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_x)$ , with  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in \bar{D}^{\times k}$ , where  $0 < \tau < 1$ , and  $C_{\hat{P}_{L,k}}$  is a positive constant *independent of h*<sub>L</sub> (but blowing up when  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ).

**Proof.** The bound ([48](#page-12-0)) is derived by standard computations (see, e.g., [[25](#page-22-13)]). For completeness, we report here all the steps.

Denote with  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$  ∈  $\bar{D}^{\times (k-1)}$ I Denote with  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \in D^{\times (k-1)}$  the vector  $(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_k)$ . We start giving an upper bound for the norm  $\|\Delta_\ell \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes (k-1)}u(\cdot, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_1)\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D};W_x)}$ . Using the triangular inequali

$$
\begin{split}\n\|\Delta_{\ell} \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes (k-1)} u(\cdot, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1})\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}_{1}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}; W_{\chi})} \\
&\leq \left\| (P_{\ell} - \mathrm{Id}) \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes (k-1)} u(\cdot, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}_{1}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}; W_{\chi})} \\
&\quad + \left\| (P_{\ell-1} - \mathrm{Id}) \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes (k-1)} u(\cdot, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}_{1}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}; W_{\chi})} \\
&\leq (\mathrm{Ch}_{\ell}^{\varsigma} + \mathrm{Ch}_{\ell-1}^{\varsigma}) \left\| u(\cdot, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}_{1}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}; W_{\chi})} \\
&\leq 2C h_{\ell-1}^{\varsigma} \left\| u(\cdot, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}_{1}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}; W_{\chi})} \\
&\leq 2C h_{0}^{\varsigma} 2^{-s(\ell-1)} \left\| u(\cdot, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}_{1}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}; W_{\chi})},\n\end{split} \tag{49}
$$

where we have used that  $h_\ell \leq h_{\ell-1}$ , and  $h_{\ell-1} = h_0 \, 2^{-(\ell-1)}$ . Using ([49](#page-12-1)), it follows:

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\begin{split} \|\varDelta_{\ell_1}\otimes\varDelta_{\ell_2}\otimes\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes(k-2)}u\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\overline{D\times D};W_{\chi})}\\ &=\left\|(\varDelta_{\ell_1}\otimes\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes(k-1)})(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\varDelta_{\ell_2}\otimes\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes(k-2)})u\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\overline{D\times D};W_{\chi})}\\ &\leq 4C^2h_0^{2s}\,2^{-s(\ell_1-1)}2^{-s(\ell_2-1)}\,\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1,y_2}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\overline{D\times D};W_{\chi})}\,. \end{split}
$$

By recursion, we have

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\begin{split} \|\Delta_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_{\ell_k} u\|_{C_y^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D};W_X)} \\ &\leq 2^k C^k \left(\frac{h_0}{2}\right)^{sk} 2^{-s|\ell|} \left\|u\right\|_{C_y^{\eta,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D};W_X)}. \end{split} \tag{50}
$$

By ([50](#page-12-2)), it follows that the series  $\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}^k}\otimes_{n=1}^k\varDelta_{\ell_n}u$  is absolutely convergent, and that  $\sum_{|\ell|\leq L}\otimes_{n=1}^k\varDelta_{\ell_n}u$  converges to  $u$  as  $L \to \infty$  in  $C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_{\chi}).$ 

Finally, we have

$$
\|\widehat{P}_L u - u\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}_y(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_X)} = \left\|\sum_{|\ell| \le L} \bigotimes_{n=1}^k \Delta_{\ell_n} u - u\right\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}_y(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_X)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left\|\sum_{|\ell| > L} \bigotimes_{n=1}^k \Delta_{\ell_n} u\right\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}_y(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_X)} \le \sum_{|\ell| > L} \left\|\bigotimes_{n=1}^k \Delta_{\ell_n} u\right\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}_y(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_X)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\le 2^k C^k \left(\frac{h_0}{2}\right)^{sk} \left(\sum_{|\ell| > L} 2^{-s|\ell|}\right) \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}_y(\bar{D};W_X)}.
$$

In [[26](#page-22-14), Lemma 6.10] the authors prove that

$$
\sum_{|\ell|>L} 2^{-s|\ell|} \le \left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-s\tau}}\right)^k 2^{-Ls(1-\tau)} = \left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-s\tau}}\right)^k h_0^{s(\tau-1)} h_L^{s(1-\tau)},
$$

with  $0 < \tau < 1$ . Hence, we conclude [\(48](#page-12-0)) with

$$
C_{\widehat{P}_{L,k}} = 2^k \left(\frac{h_0}{2}\right)^{sk} h_0^{s(\tau-1)} C^k \left(\frac{1}{1-2^{-s\tau}}\right)^k. \quad \Box
$$

**Remark 19.** The result proved in [Proposition](#page-12-3) [18](#page-12-3) holds whenever  $P_\ell$  is any operator fulfilling [\(45\)](#page-11-3).

### *5.3. Sparse discretization of the recursion*

As highlighted in Section [2](#page-1-1), the input of the recursion – at the continuous level – is the (*k* + 1)-points correlation *E* 0,*k* . In the same way – at the discrete level – we start giving a (sparse) discretization of *E* 0,*k* . It is obtained in two consecutive steps. First, we define the finite dimensional approximation of  $u^0$  by applying the Galerkin projector  $\pi_h$  to  $u^0$ , i.e.,  $u_h^0 := \pi_h u^0$ . The fully-discrete sparse approximation of  $E^{0,k}$ , denoted as  $E_{L,h}^{0,k}$ , is then obtained by applying the sparse interpolant operator  $\widehat{P}_{L,k}$  to the semi-discrete correlation  $E_h^{0,k} := u_h^0 \otimes E^k$ , i.e.,

$$
E_{L,h}^{0,k} := \widehat{P}_{L,k} E_h^{0,k} = \pi_h u^0 \otimes \widehat{P}_{k,L} E^k.
$$

Note that the semi-discrete correlation  $E_h^{0,k}$  is an element of  $c_{\bf y}^{n,\gamma,mix}$   $(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_h)$ , whereas the fully-discrete correlation  $E_{L,h}^{0,k}$ is an element of the tensor product space  $W_h \otimes \widehat{V}_{L,k}$ .

Let *i* = *k* − 1, . . . , 0 fixed. The fully-discrete sparse approximation of the correlation *E k*−*i*,*i* is obtained as

$$
E_{L,h}^{k-i,i} := \widehat{P}_{L,i} E_h^{k-i,i},
$$

where the semi-discrete correlation  $E_h^{k-i,i}$  is defined as the unique solution of the following recursive problem: given all lower order terms  $E_{L,h}^{k-i-j,i+j} \in W_h \otimes \widehat{V}_{L,i+j}$  for  $j=1,\ldots,k-i$ , find  $E_h^{k-i,i} \in C_{\mathbf{y}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times i};W_h)$  such that

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\int_{D} \nabla E_h^{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla \varphi_h(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= -\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} {k-i \choose j} \int_{D} (Tr_{|1,j+1} \nabla E_{L,h}^{k-i-j,i+j})(x, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla \varphi_h(x) dx
$$
\n(51)

for all  $\varphi \in W_h$ .

In the next theorem we analyze the discretization error.

**Theorem 20.** *Let* [\(42\)](#page-11-2)*,* [\(44\)](#page-11-4) and ([45](#page-11-3)) *hold. Moreover, let the assumptions of [Theorem](#page-10-1)* [15](#page-10-1) *be satisfied. Then, it holds*

<span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\left\| (E^{k-i,i} - E_{L,h}^{k-i,i}) (x, \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{W_X^{1,p}(D)} = O(\min\{h^\beta, h_L^{s(1-\tau)}\}),\tag{52}
$$

*where*  $0 < \tau < 1$  *has been introduced in [Proposition](#page-12-3) [18](#page-12-3).* 

To prove [Theorem](#page-13-0) [20,](#page-13-0) we need to show some preliminary results.

**Lemma 21.** *Let the assumptions of [Theorem](#page-13-0)* [20](#page-13-0) *hold, and define*

$$
\theta_{n,m} = \begin{cases}\n1, & \text{if } n = m \\
0, & \text{if } n < m \\
C_{S} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \binom{n}{j} C_{tr}^{j} \theta_{n-j,m}, & \text{if } n > m,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(53)

*Ctr being as in [Proposition](#page-6-1)* [11](#page-6-1)*. Then, it holds:*

$$
\| (E^{k-i, i} - E_{L,h}^{k-i, i}) (x, \mathbf{y}) \|_{W_X^{1, p}(D)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C_S C_{fem} h^{\beta} \sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i, k-m} \| E^{k-m, m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0, \gamma, mix} (\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_X^{2+r, p}(D))}
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
+\sum_{m=i}^{k-1}\theta_{k-i,k-m}\left\|(E_h^{k-m,m}-E_{L,h}^{k-m,m})(x,\mathbf{y}^{(m)};\mathbf{y})\right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times(m-i)};W_x^{1,p}(D))}\n+\theta_{k-i,0}\left\|(E^{0,k}-E_{L,h}^{0,k})(x,\mathbf{y}^{(k)};\mathbf{y})\right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(k)}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times(k-i)};W_x^{1,p}(D))}
$$
\n(54)

for all  $\mathbf{y} := (y_{k-i+1}, \ldots, y_k) \in \bar{D}^{\times i}$ , where  $\mathbf{y}^{(m)} := (y_{k-m+1}, \ldots, y_{k-i}) \in \bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}$ , for  $m = i, \ldots, k$ .

**Proof.** Let *k* be fixed. We prove the result by induction on *i*. If  $i = k$ , then [\(54\)](#page-14-0) holds as an equality.

Let  $i < k$ , and assume, by induction, that ([54](#page-14-0)) holds for all  $i + 1 \le j \le k$ . Denote  $e_{k-i,i} := E^{k-i,i} - E^{k-i,i}_{L,h}$ , and  $f_{k-i,i} := E_h^{k-i,i} - E_{L,h}^{k-i,i}$ . By triangular inequality we have:

<span id="page-14-4"></span>
$$
\|e_{k-i,i}(x,\mathbf{y})\|_{W^{1,p}_{x}} \leq \left\|E^{k-i,i} - E^{k-i,i}_h(x,\mathbf{y})\right\|_{W^{1,p}_{x}} + \left\|f_{k-i,i}(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\right\|_{W^{1,p}_{x}}.
$$
\n(55)

The discrete inf–sup condition ([43](#page-11-1)) implies the Strang's Lemma in the spaces *W*<sup>1</sup>,*<sup>p</sup>* (*D*)-*W*<sup>1</sup>,*<sup>q</sup>* (*D*). Then, there holds:

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\left\| (E^{k-i,i} - E_h^{k-i,i}) (x, \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{W_x^{1,p}} \leq C_S \left( \inf_{\varphi_h \in W_h} \left\| E^{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y}) - \varphi_h(x) \right\|_{W_x^{1,p}} + \sup_{\varphi_h \in W_h} \frac{\left| \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}(\varphi_h) - \mathcal{L}_h(\varphi_h) \right|}{\left\| \varphi_h \right\|_{W_x^{1,q}}} \right), \tag{56}
$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_y$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_h : W_h \to \mathbb{R}$  are the functionals defining the right-hand side of problems ([6\)](#page-2-1) and ([51](#page-13-1)), respectively. The bound on the first term on the right-hand side of  $(56)$  $(56)$  $(56)$  follows from the approximation property  $(42)$ :

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
\inf_{\varphi_h \in W_h} \| E^{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y}) - \varphi_h(x) \|_{W_x^{1,p}} \leq C_{\text{fem}} h^{\beta} \left| E^{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y}) \right|_{W_x^{2+r,p}}, \tag{57}
$$

with  $\beta \in (0, r + 1)$ . We bound now the second term on the right-hand side of [\(56\)](#page-14-1). Using the Hölder inequality and [Proposition](#page-6-1) [11,](#page-6-1) we have:

$$
\begin{split}\n&\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}(\varphi_{h})-\mathcal{L}_{h}(\varphi_{h})\right| \\
&\leq\sum_{l=1}^{k-i}\binom{k-i}{l}\left|\int_{D}(\mathrm{Tr}_{1_{1:l+1}}\nabla(E^{k-i-l,i+l}-E^{k-i-l,i+l}_{L,h}))(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\cdot\nabla\varphi_{h}(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x}\right| \\
&\leq\sum_{l=1}^{k-i}\binom{k-i}{l}\left\|\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{1_{1:l+1}}\nabla(E^{k-i-l,i+l}-E^{k-i-l,i+l}_{L,h})\right)(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\right\|_{L_{X}^{p}}\|\nabla\varphi_{h}\|_{L_{X}^{q}} \\
&\leq\sum_{l=1}^{k-i}\binom{k-i}{l}C_{lr}^{l}\left\|e_{k-i-l,i+l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}^{(i+l)};\mathbf{y})\right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(i+l)}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times l};W_{\mathbf{x}}^{1,p})}\|\varphi_{h}\|_{W_{\mathbf{x}}^{1,q}}.\n\end{split} \tag{58}
$$

Inserting  $(56)$ ,  $(57)$  $(57)$  $(57)$  and  $(58)$  into  $(55)$  $(55)$  $(55)$ , we have:

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\|e_{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y})\|_{W_x^{1,p}} \leq C_S C_{fem} h^{\beta} |E^{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y})|_{W_x^{2+r,p}} + C_S \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose l} C_{lr}^l \|e_{k-i-l,i+l}(\cdot, \mathbf{y}^{(i+l)}; \mathbf{y})\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(i+l)}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\tilde{D}^{\times l}; W_x^{1,p})} + \|f_{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y})\|_{W_x^{1,p}}.
$$
\n(59)

Using the inductive assumption on *ek*−*i*−*l*,*i*+*<sup>l</sup>* , we get:

$$
\|e_{k-i,i}(x, y)\|_{W_x^{1,p}} \leq C_S C_{fem} h^{\beta} |E^{k-i,i}(x, y)|_{W_x^{2+r,p}}
$$
  
+  $C_S \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} {k-i \choose l} C_{lr}^l$   

$$
\left(C_S C_{fem} h^{\beta} \sum_{m=i+l}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i-l,k-m} \|E^{k-m,m}(x, y^{(m)}; y)\|_{C_{y^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{2+r,p})} + \sum_{m=i+l}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i-l,k-m} \|f_{k-m,m}(x, y^{(m)}; y)\|_{C_{y^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{1,p})}\right)
$$

*F. Bonizzoni and F. Nobile Computers and Mathematics with Applications 80 (2020) 2925–2947*

$$
+\theta_{k-i-l,0}\left\|e_{0}(x,\mathbf{y}^{(k)};\mathbf{y})\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}^{(k)}}^{0,\gamma,\max}(\bar{D}^{\times(k-i)};\mathcal{W}_x^{1,p})}\right)
$$
  
+
$$
\left\|f_{k-i,i}(x,\mathbf{y})\right\|_{\mathcal{W}_x^{1,p}}.\tag{60}
$$

Observe that, by definition of  $\theta_{k-i,0}$ , we have

$$
C_{S} \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} \binom{k-i}{l} C_{tr}^{l} \theta_{k-i-l,0} = \theta_{k-i,0}.
$$
\n(61)

Moreover, by switching the sum in *l* and *m*, and using that  $\theta_{k-i,k-i} = 1$ , we have

$$
C_{S} \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} \sum_{m=i+l}^{k-1} \binom{k-i}{l} C_{tr}^{l} \theta_{k-i-l,k-m} = C_{S} \sum_{m=i+1}^{k-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-i} \binom{k-i}{l} C_{tr}^{l} \theta_{k-i-l,k-m}
$$

$$
= \sum_{m=i+1}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m},
$$

so that

$$
C_{S} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m=i+l}^{k-1} \binom{k-i}{l} C_{tr}^{l} \theta_{k-i-l,k-m} \|f_{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y})\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}} (\tilde{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_{x}^{1,p})
$$
  
+  $||f_{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y})||_{W_{x}^{1,p}}$   
=  $\sum_{m=i+1}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} ||f_{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y})||_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}} (\tilde{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_{x}^{1,p}) + ||f_{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y})||_{W_{x}^{1,p}}$   
=  $\sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} ||f_{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y})||_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}} (\tilde{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_{x}^{1,p})$ , (62)

and

$$
C_{S}^{2} C_{fem} h^{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m=i+l}^{k-1} {k-i \choose l} C_{tr}^{l} \theta_{k-i-l,k-m} \| E^{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_{\chi}^{2+r,p}) + C_{S} C_{fem} h^{\beta} \| E^{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y}) \|_{W_{\chi}^{2+r,p}} = C_{S} C_{fem} h^{\beta} \sum_{m=i+1}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} \| E^{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_{\chi}^{2+r,p}) + C_{S} C_{fem} h^{\beta} \| E^{k-i,i}(x, \mathbf{y}) \|_{W_{\chi}^{2+r,p}} = C_{S} C_{fem} h^{\beta} \sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} \| E^{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,mix}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_{\chi}^{2+r,p})}.
$$
\n(63)

Inserting [\(61\)](#page-15-0), ([62](#page-15-1)) and [\(63\)](#page-15-2) into ([60](#page-15-3)), we conclude the bound [\(54\)](#page-14-0).  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-15-5"></span>**Lemma 22.** *Under the assumptions of [Theorem](#page-13-0)* [20](#page-13-0) *it holds:*

$$
\|E^{0,k} - E_{L,h}^{0,k}\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_x^{1,p}(D))}\n\leq \left(C_{\pi_h}h^{\beta} + C_{\hat{P}_{L,k}}h_L^{\delta(1-\tau)}(C_{\pi}h^{\beta}+1)\right) \|E^{0,k}\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_x^{2+\tau,p}(D))}.
$$
\n(64)

**Proof.** Using the triangular inequality, we have

<span id="page-15-4"></span>
$$
\|E^{0,k} - E_{L,h}^{0,k}\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_x^{1,p}(D))}\n\leq \|E^{0,k} - E_h^{0,k}\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_x^{1,p}(D))} + \|E_h^{0,k} - E_{L,h}^{0,k}\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k};W_x^{1,p}(D))}.
$$
\n(65)

<span id="page-15-3"></span><span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>

We bound the two terms at the right hand side of  $(65)$  $(65)$  separately. Using  $(44)$  $(44)$ , we have

$$
\|E^{0,k} - E_h^{0,k}\|_{C_{y_1,\dots,y_k}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_x^{1,p}(D))}
$$
\n
$$
= \|u^0 - \pi_h u^0\|_{W^{1,p}(D)} \|E^k\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})}
$$
\n
$$
\leq C_{\pi_h} h^{\beta} |u^0|_{W^{2+r,p}(D)} \|E^k\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})}
$$
\n
$$
= C_{\pi_h} h^{\beta} \|E^{0,k}\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W^{2+r,p}(D))}.
$$
\n(66)

Moreover, applying [Proposition](#page-12-3) [18](#page-12-3), the triangular inequality, and ([44](#page-11-4)), we have

<span id="page-16-2"></span><span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\|E_h^{0,k} - E_{L,h}^{0,k}\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{0,\ldots,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_x^{1,p}(D)\right)} \leq C_{\widehat{P}_{L,k}} h_L^{s(1-\tau)} \left\|E_h^{0,k}\right\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{n,\ldots,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_x^{1,p}(D)\right)}\n\n\leq C_{\widehat{P}_{L,k}} h_L^{s(1-\tau)} \left(\left\|E^{0,k} - E_h^{0,k}\right\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{n,\ldots,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_x^{1,p}(D)\right)} + \left\|E^{0,k}\right\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{n,\ldots,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_x^{1,p}(D)\right)}\right)\n\n\leq C_{\widehat{P}_{L,k}} h_L^{s(1-\tau)}(C_{\pi} h^{\beta} + 1) \left\|E^{0,k}\right\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}^{n,\ldots,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W_x^{1,p}(D)\right)}.
$$
\n(67)

The result is then proved inserting  $(66)$  $(66)$  $(66)$  and  $(67)$  into  $(65)$  $(65)$  $(65)$ .  $\Box$ 

**[Theorem](#page-13-0) [20.](#page-13-0)** To prove [\(52](#page-13-2)) we bound each term at the right-hand side of [\(54](#page-14-0)), separately. Applying [Proposition](#page-10-4) [16,](#page-10-4) we have: *k*−1

$$
C_{S} C_{fem} h^{\beta} \sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} \left\| E^{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}} (\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{2+r,p}(D))
$$
  
 
$$
\leq C_{S} C_{fem} h^{\beta} \left( \sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} \lambda_{k-m} \right) \left\| E^{0,k}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(k)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}^{(k)}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}} (\bar{D}^{\times (k-i)}; W_x^{2+r,p}(D)) .
$$
 (68)

Applying [Proposition](#page-12-3) [18,](#page-12-3) the triangular inequality, and [Proposition](#page-10-4) [16](#page-10-4), we have:

<span id="page-16-3"></span>
$$
\sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} \left\| (E_h^{k-m,m} - E_{L,h}^{k-m,m}) (x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{1,p}(D))}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} C_{\tilde{P}_{L,m}} h_L^{s(1-\tau)} \left\| E_h^{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{1,p}(D))}
$$
\n
$$
\leq h_L^{s(1-\tau)} \sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} C_{\tilde{P}_{L,m}} \left( \left\| E^{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{1,p}(D))} \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \left\| (E^{k-m,m} - E_h^{k-m,m}) (x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{1,p}(D))} )
$$
\n
$$
\leq h_L^{s(1-\tau)} \sum_{m=i}^{k-1} \theta_{k-i,k-m} C_{\tilde{P}_{L,m}} \left( \lambda_{k-m} \left\| E^{0,k}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(k)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(k)}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times (k-i)}; W_x^{2+\tau,p}(D))} \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ C_{\pi_h} h^{\beta} \left\| E^{k-m,m}(x, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}; \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{C_{\mathbf{y}^{(m)}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times (m-i)}; W_x^{2+\tau,p}(D))} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq h_L^{s(1-\tau)}(C_{\pi_h} h^{\beta} + 1) \sum_{m=i}^{k-1}
$$

The result follows by applying [Lemma](#page-15-5) [22](#page-15-5), and inserting ([68](#page-16-3)), and [\(69\)](#page-16-4) into ([54](#page-14-0)).  $\Box$ 

**Remark 23.** The finite dimensional spaces  $W_h$  and  $V_\ell$  are defined on the same physical domain *D*. It is then natural to take  $V_{\ell} = W_h - T_{\ell}$  having discretization parameter  $h_{\ell}$  – and  $h = h_{L}$ . Then, [\(52\)](#page-13-2) becomes:

<span id="page-16-4"></span>
$$
\left\|(E^{k-i,i}-E^{k-i,i}_{L,h})(x,\mathbf{y})\right\|_{W^{1,p}_x(D)}=O(h^{\min\{\beta,s(1-\tau)\}}).
$$

### **6. Conclusions**

<span id="page-16-0"></span>This paper addresses the computation of an approximation for the expected value of the unique stochastic solution *u* to the Darcy problem with lognormal permeability coefficient. In particular, we adopt the perturbation method –

approximating the solution by its Taylor polynomial *T <sup>K</sup> u* – in combination with the moment equation technique – approximating  $\mathbb{E}\left[u\right]$  by  $\mathbb{E}\left[T^Ku\right]$ . The first moment equation is recalled, and its recursive structure is explained. In particular, for each  $k = 0, \ldots, K$ , a recursion on the  $(i + 1)$ -points correlation  $E^{k-i,i}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , is needed. Wellposedness and regularity results for the recursion satisfied by *E k*−*i*,*i* are proved. In particular we show that *E <sup>k</sup>*−*i*,*<sup>i</sup>* ∈  $c^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times i};\,W^{2+r,p}(D)\right)$ , under the assumptions  $Y~\in~\mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma}\left(\bar{D}\right)$  a.s. and  $u^0~\in~W^{2+r,p}(D)\cap W^{1,p}_0(D)$ . Finally, a sparse discretization for the recursion is analyzed, and the convergence of the sparse discretization error is proved.

The procedure proposed in this paper can be used also to approximate higher moments of *u*. In particular, we refer to [\[20\]](#page-22-8) for the recursion on the two-points correlation of *u*,  $\mathbb{E}[u \otimes u]$ . Moreover, the bounds on sparse grid approximations derived in this work could also be useful to establish convergence estimates for low rank approximations as the Tensor Train considered in [[20](#page-22-8)] (see, e.g., [[27](#page-22-15)]).

## **Acknowledgments**

The author F. Bonizzoni acknowledges partial support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the project F 65, and has been supported by the FWF Firnberg-Program Austria, grant T998.

#### **Appendix**

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Proposition [5.](#page-5-0)** Let *V* be a Banach space, and  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Then,

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)\subset\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma/k,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};V\right)
$$
\n(A.1)

for all  $k > 2$ .

**Proof.** We first prove  $(A.1)$  $(A.1)$  $(A.1)$  for  $k = 2$ . Let  $\varphi \in C^{0,\gamma}_{y_1,y_2}(\overline{D \times D};V)$ . Then,

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
|\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\gamma/2,\text{mix}}(\overline{D\times D};V)} = \max\left\{\sup_{y_1,y_2,h_1} \left\|D_1^{\gamma/2}\varphi(y_1,y_2)\right\|_V, \sup_{y_1,y_2,h_2} \left\|D_2^{\gamma/2}\varphi(y_1,y_2)\right\|_V, \sup_{y_1,y_2,h_1,h_2} \left\|D_1^{\gamma/2}D_2^{\gamma/2}\varphi(y_1,y_2)\right\|_V\right\}.
$$
\n(A.2)

We bound the three terms in  $(A,2)$  separately. Observe that

$$
\sup_{y_1, y_2, h_1} \left\| D_1^{y/2} \varphi(y_1, y_2) \right\|_V = \sup_{y_1, y_2, h_1} \frac{\|\varphi(y_1 + h_1, y_2) - \varphi(y_1, y_2)\|_V}{\|h_1\|^{y/2}} \n= \sup_{y_1, y_2, h_1} \|h_1\|^{y/2} \frac{\|\varphi(y_1 + h_1, y_2) - \varphi(y_1, y_2)\|_V}{\|h_1\|^{y}} \n\le \max\{1, \text{diam}(D)^{y/2}\} \left|\varphi\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1, y_2}^{0, y}(\overline{D \times D}; V)},
$$
\n(A.3)

which is bounded by assumption, and the same holds for  $\sup_{y_1,y_2,h_2} \left\| D_2^{y/2} \varphi(y_1,y_2) \right\|_V$ . We focus now on the third term in ([A.2\)](#page-17-2). Define

$$
w(y_1, y_2; h_1, h_2) := D_1^{y/2} D_2^{y/2} \varphi(y_1, y_2) \|h_1\|^{y/2} \|h_2\|^{y/2}
$$
  
=  $\varphi(y_1 + h_1, y_2 + h_2) - \varphi(y_1 + h_1, y_2) - \varphi(y_1, y_2 + h_2) + \varphi(y_1, y_2).$ 

Hence, we have

$$
\sup_{y_1, y_2, h_1, h_2} \left\| D_1^{\gamma/2} D_2^{\gamma/2} \varphi(y_1, y_2) \right\|_V = \sup_{y_1, y_2, h_1, h_2} \frac{\| w(y_1, y_2; h_1, h_2) \|_V}{\|h_1\|^{\gamma/2} \|h_2\|^{\gamma/2}} \\
\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{y}, \|h_1\| < \|h_2\|} \frac{\| w(y_1, y_2; h_1, h_2) \|_V}{\|h_1\|^{\gamma/2} \|h_2\|^{\gamma/2}}, \sup_{\mathbf{y}, \|h_1\| \geq \|h_2\|} \frac{\| w(y_1, y_2; h_1, h_2) \|_V}{\|h_1\|^{\gamma/2} \|h_2\|^{\gamma/2}} \right\}.
$$

#### We start considering

$$
\sup_{\mathbf{y}, \|\hbar_1\| \le \|\hbar_2\|} \frac{\|w(y_1, y_2; h_1, h_2)\|_V}{\|h_1\|^{1/2} \|h_2\|^{1/2}} \n\le \sup_{\mathbf{y}, \|\hbar_1\| \le \|\hbar_2\|} \frac{1}{\|h_1\|^{1/2} \|h_2\|^{1/2}} \left( \|h_1\|^{1/2} \frac{\|\varphi(y_1 + h_1, y_2 + h_2) - \varphi(y_1, y_2 + h_2)\|_V}{\|h_1\|^{1/2}} \right) \n+ \|h_1\|^{1/2} \frac{\|\varphi(y_1 + h_1, y_2) - \varphi(y_1, y_2)\|_V}{\|h_1\|^{1/2}} \right) \n\le \sup_{\mathbf{y}, \|\hbar_1\| \le \|\hbar_2\|} \frac{\|h_1\|^{1/2}}{\|h_2\|^{1/2}} \left( \|D_1^{\gamma}\varphi(y_1, y_2 + h_2)\|_V + \|D_1^{\gamma}\varphi(y_1, y_2)\|_V \right) \n\le 2 \|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\gamma}_{y_1,y_2}(\overline{D \times D}; V)}.
$$

The case  $||h_1|| \ge ||h_2||$  is analogous. Hence, we conclude [\(A.1\)](#page-17-1) for  $k = 2$ .

In the general case, given  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \ldots, h_k)$ , let  $\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})$  as in [Definition](#page-4-0) [3](#page-4-0), and  $i^* \in \{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{h})\}$  such that  $\|h_{i^*}\| \leq \|h_{i_j}\|$  for all j such that  $i^*\neq i_j$ . Moreover, define  $w(\cdot,\mathbf{y};\mathbf{h}):=D^{\gamma,\text{mix}}_{\mathbf{i}}\varphi(\cdot,\mathbf{y})\prod_{\ell=1}^j\|h_{i_\ell}\|^{\gamma/j}$ . We bound each term of the seminorm  $(10)$  $(10)$  $(10)$  as follows:

$$
\sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y}, \|\mathbf{h}_{i^*}\| \leq \|\mathbf{h}_{i_j}\|}} \frac{\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{h})\|_V}{\prod_{\ell=1}^j \|h_{i_\ell}\|^{\gamma/j}} \leq \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y}, \|\mathbf{h}_{i^*}\| \leq \|\mathbf{h}_{i_j}\|}} \frac{\|\mathbf{h}_{i^*}\|^{\gamma}}{\prod_{\ell=1}^j \|h_{i_\ell}\|^{\gamma/j}} \frac{\|\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{h})\|_V}{\|\mathbf{h}_{i^*}\|^{\gamma}}
$$
  

$$
\leq 2^{j-1} |\varphi|_{C_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; V_{\chi})},
$$

and the inclusion  $(A,1)$  is then proved.  $\square$ 

**Proposition [6.](#page-5-1)** The spaces  $c_{y_2}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D};c_{y_1}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D};V\right)\right)$  and  $c_{y_1}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D};c_{y_2}^{0,\gamma}\left(\bar{D};V\right)\right)$  are isomorphic to the space  $c_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\overline{D\times D};V\right)$ for all  $n \geq 0$  integer.

**Proof.** According to definition [\(20\)](#page-5-3), we have

$$
\|\varphi\|_{C_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\overline{D\times D};V)} = \max\left\{ \|\varphi\|_{C_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\text{mix}}(\overline{D\times D};V)}, |\varphi|_{C_{y_1,y_2}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\overline{D\times D};V)} \right\}
$$
  
= 
$$
\max\left\{ \max_{y_1,y_2} \|\varphi(y_1,y_2)\|_V, \sup_{(y_1,y_2),h_1} \|D_1^{\gamma}\varphi(y_1,y_2)\|_V, \sup_{(y_1,y_2),h_2} \|D_2^{\gamma}\varphi(y_1,y_2)\|_V \right\}.
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_{y_2}\left(\bar{D} ; \mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_{y_1}(\bar{D} ; V)\right)}=\text{max}\left\{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0}_{y_2}\left(\bar{D} ; \mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_{y_1}(\bar{D} ; V)\right)} , |\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_{y}\left(\bar{D} ; \mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}_{y_1}(\bar{D} ; V)\right)}\right\},
$$

where

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_2}^0(\bar{D};\mathcal{C}_{y_1}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D};V))} = \max_{y_2} \|\varphi(\cdot,y_2)\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D};V)}
$$
  
=  $\max_{y_2} \max \left\{ \|\varphi(\cdot,y_2)\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1}^0(\bar{D};V)}, |\varphi(\cdot,y_2)|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_1}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D};V)} \right\}$   
=  $\max \left\{ \max_{y_1,y_2} \|\varphi(y_1,y_2)\|_V, \max_{y_2} \sup_{y_1,h_1} \|D_1^{\gamma}\varphi(y_1,y_2)\|_V \right\},$ 

and

$$
\begin{split} |\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_{2}}^{0}(\bar{D};\mathcal{C}_{y_{1}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D};V))} &= \sup_{y_{2},h_{2}} \|D_{2}^{\gamma}\varphi(\cdot,y_{2})\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_{1}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D};V)} \\ &= \sup_{y_{2},h_{2}} \max\left\{\left\|D_{2}^{\gamma}\varphi(\cdot,y_{2})\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_{1}}^{0}(\bar{D};V)},\left|D_{2}^{\gamma}\varphi(\cdot,y_{2})\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{y_{1}}^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D};V)}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\max_{y_{1}} \sup_{y_{2},h_{2}} \|D_{2}^{\gamma}\varphi(y_{1},y_{2})\|_{V}, \sup_{y_{2},h_{2}} \sup_{y_{1},h_{1}} \|D_{1}^{\gamma}D_{2}^{\gamma}\varphi(y_{1},y_{2})\|_{V}\right\} \end{split}
$$

Hence, we conclude that  $\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\gamma, \min}_{y_1, y_2}(\overline{D\times D}; V)}\ =\ \|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\gamma}_{y_2}\left(\bar{D}; C^{0,\gamma}_{y_1}(\bar{D}; V)\right)}.$  In the same way, it is possible to show that  $\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\gamma, \max}_{y_1, y_2}(\overline{D\times D}; V)} = \|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\gamma}_{y_1}(\overline{D}; C^{0,\gamma}_{y_2}(\overline{D}; V))}.$ 

.

**Proposition [8.](#page-5-2)** Denote with  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}\right)$  the space  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k};\,\mathbb{R}\right)$ . Then, it holds *k*

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
||u||_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} ||u_{\ell}||_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})},
$$
\n(A.4)

*for all*  $u(y_1, \ldots, y_k) := u_1(y_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k(y_k) \in C^{0,\gamma, \text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k}).$ 

## **Proof.** Using ([9](#page-4-4)), we have:

$$
\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k})}=\max\left\{\|u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_k\|_{C^0(\bar{D}^{\times k})},|u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_k|_{C^{0,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k})}\right\}.
$$

Observe that:

$$
||u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k||_{C^0(\bar{D}^{\times k})} = \max_{(y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in \bar{D}^{\times k}} |u_1(y_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k(y_k)|
$$
  
= 
$$
\max_{(y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in \bar{D}^{\times k}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k |u_\ell(y_\ell)| = \prod_{\ell=1}^k \max_{y_\ell \in \bar{D}} |u_\ell(y_\ell)| = \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{C^0(\bar{D})}.
$$

We focus now on the seminorm of *u*:

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
|u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k|_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} = \max_{j=1,\ldots,k} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}, \mathbf{h} \neq 0, \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0} = j, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{h} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}}} \left| D_i^{\gamma,\text{mix}} u(y_1, \ldots, y_k) \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
= \max_{j=1,\ldots,k} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}, \mathbf{h} \neq 0, \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0} = j, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{h} \in \bar{D}^{\times k}}} \prod_{\substack{\ell \in \{1,\ldots,k\}\backslash\{\mathbf{i}\}}} |u_{\ell}(y_{\ell})| \prod_{\ell \in \{\mathbf{i}\}} |D_{\ell}^{\gamma} u_{\ell}(y_{\ell})|
$$
  
\n
$$
= \max_{j=1,\ldots,k} \prod_{\substack{\ell \in \{1,\ldots,k\}\backslash\{\mathbf{i}\} \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0} = j}} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}, \mathbf{h}_{\ell} \neq 0}} |u_{\ell}(y_{\ell})| \prod_{\substack{\ell \in \{\mathbf{i}\} \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0} = j}} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \bar{D}, h_{\ell} \neq 0 \\ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{0} = j}} |D_{\ell}^{\gamma} u_{\ell}(y_{\ell})|.
$$
 (A.5)

Choosing  $j = k$ , we have

$$
(A.5) \geq \prod_{\ell=1}^k \sup_{\substack{y_\ell \in \bar{D}, h_\ell \neq 0 \\ y_\ell + h_\ell \in \bar{D}}} \left| D_\ell^{\gamma} u_\ell(y_\ell) \right| = \prod_{\ell=1}^k |u_\ell|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})}.
$$

On the other hand, given *j*<sup>\*</sup> the index which realizes the maximum, we have

$$
(A.5) = \prod_{\ell \in \{1,\ldots,k\}\backslash\{i\}} \sup_{X_{\ell} \in \bar{D}} |u_{\ell}(y_{\ell})| \prod_{\ell \in \{i\} \atop \|i\|_{0} = j^{*}} \sup_{y_{\ell} \in \bar{D}, h_{\ell} \neq 0} |D^{\gamma}_{\ell} u_{\ell}(y_{\ell})|
$$
  

$$
= \prod_{\ell \in \{1,\ldots,k\}\backslash\{i\}} \|u_{\ell}\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})} \prod_{\ell \in \{i\}} |u_{\ell}|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})} \leq \prod_{\ell = 1}^{k} \|u_{\ell}\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})}.
$$

Hence, we have proved:

$$
||u||_{C^{0,\gamma,\min}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} \geq \max \left\{ \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{C^0(\bar{D})}, \prod_{\ell=1}^k |u_\ell|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})} \right\} = \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})},
$$

and

$$
||u||_{C^{0,\gamma,\text{mix}}(\bar{D}^{\times k})} \leq \max \left\{ \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{C^0(\bar{D})}, \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})} \right\} = \prod_{\ell=1}^k ||u_\ell||_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{D})},
$$

and  $(A.4)$  follows.  $\square$ 

**Proposition [11](#page-6-1).** Let  $\varphi = \varphi(x, y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in C^{n, \gamma, mix}_{y_1, \ldots, y_k}(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W^{m, p}_{x}(D))$ , with  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \ge 1$  integer,  $n \ge m \ge 0$  integers,  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  and  $p > \frac{2d}{\gamma}$ . Then, for all  $j = 2, \ldots, k + 1$ , and for all  $(y_j, \ldots, y_k) \in D^{\times (k-j+1)}$ ,  $(\text{Tr}_{|_{1j}}\varphi)(x; y_j, \ldots, y_k) \in W_{x}^{m, p}(D)$ . In *particular, there exists*  $C_{tr} > 0$  *such that* 

<span id="page-19-2"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned} &\left\|({\rm Tr}_{1_{1j}}\varphi)(x;y_j,\,\ldots,y_k)\right\|_{W_X^{m,p}(D)}\\ &\le C_{tr}^{j-1}\,\left\|\varphi(x,y_1,\,\ldots,y_{j-1};y_j,\,\ldots,y_k)\right\|_{C_{j_1,\ldots,j_{j-1}}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times (j-1)};W_x^{m,p}(D)\right)},\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}
$$

*for all*  $(y_j, ..., y_k) \in D^{\times (k-j+1)}$ *.* 

 $M$ oreover,  $\text{Tr}_{|_{1;j}}\varphi\in \mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_j,\dots,y_k}\left(\bar{D}^{\times (k-j+1)};\,W^{m,p}_x(D)\right)$ , and  $\left\|{\rm Tr}_{|_{1;j}}\varphi\right\|_{{C}^{n,\gamma,mix}_{y_j,\ldots,y_k}\left(\bar{D}^{\times (k-j+1)}; W^{m,p}_x(D)\right)}\leq C_{tr}^{j-1}\left\|\varphi\right\|_{{C}^{n,\gamma,mix}_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}\left(\bar{D}^{\times k}; W^{m,p}_x(D)\right)}$ (A.7) *for all*  $j = 2, ..., k + 1$ *.* 

**Proof.** We prove the results in three steps.

## **Step 1: inequality**  $(A,6)$  for  $k = 1$  and  $j = 2$

Let  $\xi = \xi(x, y) \in C_y^{n,y}(\overline{D}; W_x^{m,p}(D))$ , i.e.,  $x \mapsto \xi(x, \cdot) \in C^{n,y}(\overline{D})$  a.e., and  $y \mapsto \xi(\cdot, y) \in W^{m,p}(D)$ . Denote with  $g(x) := (\text{Tr}_{1,2}\xi)(x)$  for all  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in D$ . We want to show that  $g \in W^{m,p}(D)$ , i.e.,  $\partial_x^{\alpha} g = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} g}{\partial^{\alpha_1} x_1 \cdots \partial^{\alpha_d} x_d} \in L^p(D)$ for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \ge 0$  with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d \le m$ . Let  $\alpha$  be such that  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , and let  $x^{(i)} = (x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_d^{(i)}) \in D$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then, it holds

<span id="page-20-0"></span> $\left\|\partial_x^{\alpha} g(x)\right\|_{L_x^p(D)} = \left\|$  $\partial^{|\alpha|} g$  $\partial^{\alpha_1} x_1 \cdots \partial^{\alpha_d} x_d$  $\bigg\|_{L^p_x(D)}$ *x* =  $\sum^{\alpha_1}$ *t*1=0  $\ldots \sum^{\alpha_d}$ *td*=0  $\int \alpha_1$ *t*1  $\bigg) \ldots \bigg( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_d \\ \mu \end{array}$ *td*  $\partial^{|\alpha|} \xi(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$  $\frac{\partial^{t_1} x_1^{(1)} \partial^{\alpha_1 - t_1} x_1^{(2)} \cdots \partial^{t_d} x_d^{(1)} \partial^{\alpha_d - t_d} x_d^{(2)}}$  $\Bigg|_{(x,x)}$  $\bigg\|_{L^p_x(D)}$ *x*  $\leq \sum^{\alpha_1}$ *t*1=0  $\ldots \sum^{\alpha_d}$ *td*=0  $\int \alpha_1$ *t*1  $\bigg) \ldots \bigg( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_d \\ \mu \end{array}$ *td*  $\Big)\Big\|$  $\partial^{|\alpha|} \xi(x^{(1)},x^{(2)})$  $\frac{\partial^{t_1} x_1^{(1)} \partial^{\alpha_1 - t_1} x_1^{(2)} \cdots \partial^{t_d} x_d^{(1)} \partial^{\alpha_d - t_d} x_d^{(2)}}{d}$  $\Bigg|_{(x,x)}$  $\bigg\|_{L^p_x(D)}$ *x* .

Denote  $\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \xi(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})}{\partial^{|\alpha|} x^{(1)} + \partial^{|\alpha|} x^{(2)} \partial^{|\alpha|} x^{(1)}}$  $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \xi(x^{(1)},x^{(2)})}{\partial^{t_1}x_1^{(1)}\partial^{\alpha_1-t_1}x_1^{(2)}\partial^{t}u_x^{(1)}\partial^{\alpha_d-t_d}x_d^{(2)}}$ , where  $t=(t_1,\ldots,t_d)$ . Using the triangular inequality, we have

<span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
\left\| \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) \right\|_{(x,x)} \Big\|_{L_x^p(D)} = \left\| \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, x) \right\|_{L_x^p(D)}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{y \in D} \left\| \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, x) - \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, y) \right\|_{L_x^p(D)} \tag{A.8}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sup_{y \in D} \left\| \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, y) \right\|_{L_x^p(D)} \tag{A.9}
$$

We bound first the term ([A.8](#page-20-1)). According to the Sobolev embedding theorem, if  $sp > d$ , then  $W^{s,p}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{0,\beta}(D)$  for all  $0 < \beta < s - \frac{d}{p}$ . Hence, there exists a positive constant  $C_s$  such that

<span id="page-20-2"></span>
$$
\left|\partial_t^{\alpha}\xi(x,y_1)-\partial_t^{\alpha}\xi(x,y_2)\right|\leq C_s\left|y_1-y_2\right|^{\beta}\left(\int_D\int_D\frac{\left|\partial_t^{\alpha}\xi(x,z_1)-\partial_t^{\alpha}\xi(x,z_2)\right|^p}{|z_1-z_2|^{d+sp}}dz_1dz_2\right)^{1/p}.
$$
\n(A.10)

Using ([A.10\)](#page-20-2), we have

for

$$
\sup_{y\in D} \left\| \frac{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, x) - \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, y) \right\|_{L_x^p(D)}^p}{\partial y \in D} \right\|_{L_x^p(D)}^p
$$
\n
$$
= \sup_{y\in D} \int_D \left| \frac{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, x) - \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, y) \right|^p dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq C_s^p \int_D \sup_{y\in D} |x - y|^{\beta p} \left( \int_D \int_D \frac{\left| \frac{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, z_1) - \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, z_2) \right|^p}{|z_1 - z_2|^{d + sp}} dz_1 dz_2 \right) dx}{\left| z_1 - z_2 \right|^{d + sp}} dx_1 dz_2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq C_s^p |D|^{\beta p} \int_D \int_D \int_D \left( \frac{\left| \frac{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, z_1) - \partial_t^{\alpha} \xi(x, z_2) \right|}{|z_1 - z_2|^{d/p + s}} \right)^p dz_1 dz_2 dx}{\left| z_1 - z_2 \right|^{d/p + s}} dx dz_1 dz_2
$$
\n
$$
\leq C_s^p |D|^{\beta p} \left| \frac{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi}{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi} \right|_{C^{0,\varepsilon/p+s}(\bar{D}; L^p(D))}^p \int_D \int_D \frac{1}{|z_1 - z_2|^{d - \varepsilon}} dz_1 dz_2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq C_1(\varepsilon) C_s^p |D|^{\beta p} \left| \frac{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi}{\partial_t^{\alpha} \xi} \right|_{C_y^{0,\varepsilon/p+s}(\bar{D}; L^p(D))}^p
$$

for all  $0 < \varepsilon < d$ , with  $C_1(\varepsilon) := \int_D \int_D \frac{1}{|z_1 - z_2|^{d-\varepsilon}} dz_1 dz_2 < +\infty$ . Hence, we have shown that

<span id="page-20-4"></span>
$$
\sup_{y \in D} \|\partial_t^{\alpha}\xi(x, x) - \partial_t^{\alpha}\xi(x, y)\|_{L_x^p(D)} \le (C_1(\varepsilon))^{1/p} C_s |D|^{s-d/p} |\partial_t^{\alpha}\xi|_{C_y^{0, \tilde{\gamma}}(\bar{D}; L_x^p(D))},
$$
\n
$$
\text{any } s > \frac{d}{p}, \text{ with } \tilde{\gamma} = \varepsilon/p + s. \text{ Since } p > \frac{2d}{\gamma} \text{ and } \varepsilon < d, \text{ by taking } s = \frac{\gamma}{2} > \frac{d}{p}, \text{ we have } \tilde{\gamma} < \gamma.
$$
\n(A.11)

We bound now the second term ([A.9](#page-20-3)). Since  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d \le m \le n$ , then

$$
(A.9) \leq \|\xi\|_{C_y^{\eta}}(\bar{D}; W_x^{m,p}(D)) \leq \|\xi\|_{C_y^{n,\gamma}}(\bar{D}; W_x^{m,p}(D)) \tag{A.12}
$$

<span id="page-21-8"></span><span id="page-21-7"></span><span id="page-21-6"></span>,

Putting together ([A.11\)](#page-20-4) and ([A.12\)](#page-21-6), we conclude [\(A.6\)](#page-19-2) (for  $k = 1$  and  $j = 2$ ) with constant  $C_{tr} = 2^m (C_1(\varepsilon)^{1/p} C_{\varepsilon} |D|^{s-d/p} + 1)$ . **Step 2: inequality** [\(A.6](#page-19-2)) **for**  $k > 1$  **and**  $j = 2, ..., k + 1$ 

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{n,\gamma,\tilde{m} ;\chi}_{y_1,...,y_k}(\tilde{D}^{\times k};W^{m,p}_{x}(D)),$  with  $k>1$  and  $n\geq m.$  We prove the proposition by induction on j. In Step 1, we have shown that the result holds for  $j=2$ , namely, for all  $(y_2,\ldots,y_k)\in D^{\times (k-1)}$ ,  $Tr_{|_{1:2}}\varphi(x;y_2,\ldots,y_k)\in W^{m,p}_x(D)$ . In particular,

$$
\|{\rm Tr}_{1_{12}}\varphi(x;y_2,\ldots,y_k)\|_{W^{m,p}_x(D)} \leq C_{tr} \|\varphi(x,y_1;y_2,\ldots,y_k)\|_{C^{n,\gamma}_{y_1}}(\bar{p},w_x^{m,p}(D))
$$

for all  $(y_2, ..., y_k) \in D^{\times (k-1)}$ .

 $\mathbf{u}$ 

By induction, we assume that

$$
\mathrm{Tr}_{1_{1:\ell}}\varphi(x;y_{\ell},\ldots,y_{k})\in W_{x}^{m,p}(D)
$$
  

$$
\left\|\mathrm{Tr}_{1_{1:\ell}}\varphi(x;y_{\ell},\ldots,y_{k})\right\|_{W_{x}^{m,p}(D)}\leq C_{tr} \left\|\mathrm{Tr}_{1_{1:\ell-1}}\varphi(x;y_{\ell-1},\ldots,y_{k})\right\|_{C_{y_{\ell-1}}^{n,y}\left(\bar{D};W_{x}^{m,p}(D)\right)}
$$

for all  $\ell = 3, \ldots, j$ , and for all  $(y_{\ell}, \ldots, y_{k}) \in D^{\times (k-\ell+1)}$ . Then, it holds

$$
\left\|{\rm Tr}_{1,j}\varphi(x;y_j,\ldots,y_k)\right\|_{W^{m,p}_x(D)} \leq C_{tr}^{j-1}\left\|\varphi(x,y_1,\ldots,y_{j-1};y_j,\ldots,y_k)\right\|_{C^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}_{y_1,\ldots,y_{j-1}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times j};W^{m,p}_x(D)\right)},\tag{A.13}
$$

where we have used the isomorphism  $(24)$  $(24)$  $(24)$ .

 $\sim$  11

Denote with  $\mathbf{y} = (y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_k)$ . We bound  $\|\text{Tr}_{1_{1:j+1}} \varphi(x; \mathbf{y})\|_{W^{m,p}_{X}(D)}$  as follows:

$$
\|{\rm Tr}_{1_{1:j+1}}\varphi(x;\mathbf{y})\|_{W_{X}^{m,p}(D)} = \|{\rm Tr}_{1_{1:j}}\varphi(x,x;\mathbf{y})\|_{W_{X}^{m,p}(D)} \leq \sup_{y_{j}\in D} \|{\rm Tr}_{1_{1:j}}\varphi(x,x;\mathbf{y}) - {\rm Tr}_{1_{1:j}}\varphi(x,y_{j};\mathbf{y})\|_{W_{X}^{m,p}(D)} + \sup_{y_{j}\in D} \|{\rm Tr}_{1_{1:j}}\varphi(x,y_{j};\mathbf{y})\|_{W_{X}^{m,p}(D)}.
$$
\n(A.14)

Using inequality  $(A.13)$  $(A.13)$  we bound the second term on the right hand side of  $(A.14)$  $(A.14)$  as:

$$
\sup_{y_j \in D} \|Tr_{1_{1j}} \varphi(x, y_j; \mathbf{y})\|_{W_x^{m, p}(D)} \leq C_T^{j-1} \sup_{y_j \in D} \|\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_j; \mathbf{y})\|_{C_{y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}}^{n, \gamma, mix} \left(\bar{D}^{\times (j-1)}; W_x^{m, p}(D)\right)} \\ \leq C_T^{j-1} \|\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_j; \mathbf{y})\|_{C_{y_1, \dots, y_j}^{n, \gamma, mix} \left(\bar{D}^{\times j}; W_x^{m, p}(D)\right)}.
$$

We bound the first term on the right hand side of  $(A.14)$  $(A.14)$  by proceeding as in the case  $k = 1$  and  $j = 2$ :

$$
\sup_{y_j\in D} \left\|{\rm Tr}_{\vert_{1;j}} \varphi(x, x; \mathbf{y})-{\rm Tr}_{\vert_{1;j}} \varphi(x, y_j; \mathbf{y})\right\|_{W_x^{m,p}(D)} \n\leq C_{tr} \left\|{\rm Tr}_{\vert_{1;j}} \varphi(x, y_j; \mathbf{y})\right\|_{C_{y_j}^{n,\gamma}\left(D; W_x^{m,p}(D)\right)} \n\stackrel{\text{(A.13)}}{\leq} C_{tr}^j \left\|\varphi(x, y_1, \ldots, y_j; \mathbf{y})\right\|_{C_{y_1,\ldots,y_j}^{n,\gamma,\text{mix}}\left(\bar{D}^{\times j}; W_x^{m,p}(D)\right)},
$$

and the conclusion holds.

## Step 3: mixed Hölder regularity of  $\text{Tr}_{|_{1;j}}\varphi$

Let  $\xi(x,y_1,y_2)\in{\mathcal C}^{n,\gamma,mix}_{y_1,y_2}\big(\bar{D}^{\times 2};\,W^{m,p}_x(D)\big).$  By applying the same steps as in Step 2 to the increment in the variable  $y_2$ of the trace of  $\xi$ ,  $D_2^{\gamma}$   $(\text{Tr}_{1:2} \xi)(x; y_2)$ , we conclude ([A.7](#page-20-0)) in the case  $k = 2$  and  $j = 2$ . Then, by induction, we conclude ([A.7\)](#page-20-0) for any  $k$  and  $j$ .  $\square$ 

#### **References**

- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[1] [J. Bear, A.H.-D. Cheng, Modeling Groundwater Flow and Contaminant Transport, Vol. 23, Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb1)
- [2] [G. Dagan, Flow and Transport in Porous Formations, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb2)
- [3] [C.-A. Xia, A. Guadagnini, B.X. Hu, M. Riva, P. Ackerer, Grid convergence for numerical solutions of stochastic moment equations of groundwater](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb3) [flow, Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess. 33 \(8\) \(2019\) 1565–1579.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb3)
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[4] [L. Li, H.A. Tchelepi, D. Zhang, Perturbation-based moment equation approach for flow in heterogeneous porous media: applicabiliy range and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb4) [analysis of high-order terms, J. Comput. Phys. 188 \(1\) \(2003\) 296–317.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb4)
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[5] [J. Charrier, Numerical analysis of the advection-diffusion of a solute in porous media with uncertainty, SIAM/ASA J. Uncertain. Quantif. 3 \(1\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb5) [\(2015\) 650–685.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb5)
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[6] [F. Bonizzoni, F. Nobile, Perturbation analysis for the Darcy problem with log-normal permeability, SIAM/ASA J. Uncertain. Quantif. 2 \(1\) \(2014\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb6) [223–244.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb6)
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>[7] [J. Charrier, A. Debussche, Weak truncation error estimates for elliptic PDEs with lognormal coefficients, Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb7) [1 \(1\) \(2013\) 63–93.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb7)
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>[8] [C.J. Gittelson, Stochastic Galerkin discretization of the lognormal isotropic diffusion problem, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 20 \(02\) \(2010\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb8) [237–263.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb8)
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[9] F. Bonizzoni, F. Nobile, Perturbation analysis for the stochastic Darcy problem, in: Proceeding in ECCOMAS 2012, ISBN: 9783950353709, 2012.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[10] [F. Bonizzoni, Analysis and Approximation of Moment Equations for PDEs with Stochastic Data \(Ph.D. thesis\), Department of Mathematics,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb10) [Politecnico di Milano, Italy, 2013.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb10)
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[11] [D. Zhang, Z. Lu, An efficient, high-order perturbation approach for flow in random porous media via Karhunen-Loève and polynomial expansions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb11) [J. Comput. Phys. 194 \(2\) \(2004\) 773–794.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb11)
- [12] [Z. Lu, D. Zhang, Conditional simulations of flow in randomly heterogeneous porous media using a KL-based moment-equation approach, Adv.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb12) [Water Resour. 27 \(9\) \(2004\) 859–874.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb12)
- [13] [Z. Lu, D. Zhang, Stochastic simulations for flow in nonstationary randomly heterogeneous porous media using a KL-based moment-equation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb13) [approach, Multiscale Model. Simul. 6 \(1\) \(2007\) 228–245.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb13)
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[14] [G. Liu, Z. Lu, D. Zhang, Stochastic uncertainty analysis for solute transport in randomly heterogeneous media using a Karhunen-Loève-based](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb14) [moment equation approach, Water Resour. Res. 43 \(7\) \(2007\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb14)
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[15] [A. Chkifa, A. Cohen, R. DeVore, C. Schwab, Sparse adaptive Taylor approximation algorithms for parametric and stochastic elliptic PDEs, ESAIM](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb15) [Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 47 \(1\) \(2013\) 253–280.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb15)
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[16] [A. Cohen, R. DeVore, Approximation of high-dimensional parametric PDEs, Acta Numer. 24 \(2015\) 1–159.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb16)
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[17] [A. Guadagnini, S.P. Neuman, Nonlocal and localized analyses of conditional mean steady state flow in bounded, randomly nonuniform domains:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb17) [1. Theory and computational approach, Water Resour. Res. 35 \(10\) \(1999\) 2999–3018.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb17)
- [18] [A. Guadagnini, S.P. Neuman, Nonlocal and localized analyses of conditional mean steady state flow in bounded, randomly nonuniform domains:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb18) [2. Computational examples, Water Resour. Res. 35 \(10\) \(1999\) 3019–3039.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb18)
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[19] [R.A. Todor, Sparse Perturbation Algorithms for Elliptic PDE'S with Stochastic Data \(Ph.D. thesis\), ETH Zurich, Switzerland, 2005.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb19)
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[20] [F. Bonizzoni, F. Nobile, D. Kressner, Tensor train approximation of moment equations for elliptic equations with lognormal coefficient, Comput.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb20) [Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 308 \(2016\) 349–376.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb20)
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>[21] [N.N. Chentsov, Weak convergence of stochastic processes whose trajectories have no discontinuities of the second kind and the heuristic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb21) [approach to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, Theory Probab. Appl. 1 \(1\) \(1956\) 140–144.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb21)
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>[22] [C.G. Simader, On Dirichlet'S Boundary Value Problem, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 268, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, An](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb22)  $L^p$ [-theory based on a generalization of Garding's inequality.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb22)
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>[23] [P. Houston, I. Muga, S. Roggendorf, K.G. van der Zee, The convection-diffusion-reaction equation in non-Hilbert Sobolev spaces: A direct proof](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb23) [of the inf-sup condition and stability of Galerkin's method, Comput. Methods Appl. Math. 19 \(3\) \(2019\) 503–522.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb23)
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>[24] [F. Nobile, R. Tempone, C.G. Webster, A sparse grid stochastic collocation method for partial differential equations with random input data,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb24) [SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 46 \(5\) \(2008\) 2309–2345.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb24)
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>[25] [H.J. Bungartz, M. Griebel, Sparse grids, Acta Numer. 13 \(2004\) 147–269.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb25)
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>[26] [F. Bonizzoni, A. Buffa, F. Nobile, Moment equations for the mixed formulation of the Hodge Laplacian with stochastic loading term, IMA J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb26) [Numer. Anal. 34 \(4\) \(2014\) 1328–1360.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb26)
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>[27] [R. Schneider, A. Uschmajew, Approximation rates for the hierarchical tensor format in periodic Sobolev spaces, J. Complexity 30 \(2\) \(2014\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb27) [56–71.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0898-1221(20)30416-8/sb27)