

Designing ethically in a complex world

MULTIPLE CHALLENGES WITHIN DESIGN
FOR PUBLIC AND SOCIAL SYSTEMS

Edited by
Elena Caratti and Laura Galluzzo

Design International series

DIRECTION

Silvia Piardi

SCIENTIFIC BOARD

**Alessandro Biamonti, Alba Cappellieri, Mauro Ceconello,
Claudio Germak, Ezio Manzini, Carlo Martino, Promil Pande,
Mario Piazza, Angelica Ponzio, Francesco Scullica,
Francesca Tosi, Yingchun Zang**

EDITORIAL BOARD

**Alessia Brischetto, Alessio Caccamo, Barbara Camocini,
Giuseppe Carmosino, Eugenia Chiara, Andrea Di Salvo,
Elena Elgani, Silvia Gramegna, Shashwat M. Pande,
Gustavo Alfonso Rincon, Fabiano Scherer, Daniela Selloni,
Davide Spallazzo, Livia Tenuta**

The Design International series was launched in 2017 as a place for cultural exchange between the different design souls. Over the years, the series has consolidated its position as a point of reference for international research, outlining a continuously updated research map. The Scientific Committee, consisting of experts in fashion, interiors, graphics, communication, product, service, social interaction, innovation and emotional design guarantees the level of the accepted texts. The Editorial Board, consisting of young experts in the different branches of design, supports the work of the scientific committee. After an initial evaluation by the two committees, the texts undergo international double revision.

FrancoAngeli

SERIES - OPEN ACCESS CATALOG

This volume is published in open access format, i.e. the file of the entire work can be freely downloaded from the FrancoAngeli Open Access platform (<http://bit.ly/francoangeli-oa>).

On the FrancoAngeli Open Access platform, it is possible to publish articles and monographs, according to ethical and quality standards while ensuring open access to the content itself. It guarantees the preservation in the major international OA archives and repositories. Through the integration with its entire catalog of publications and series, FrancoAngeli also maximizes visibility, user accessibility and impact for the author.

Read more: Publish with us (francoangeli.it)

Readers who wish to find out about the books and periodicals published by us can visit our website www.francoangeli.it and subscribe to *Keep me informed* service to receive e-mail notifications.

Designing ethically in a complex world

MULTIPLE CHALLENGES WITHIN DESIGN
FOR PUBLIC AND SOCIAL SYSTEMS

Edited by
Elena Caratti and Laura Galluzzo

MANAGEMENT

Marzia Mortati, Alessandro Deserti, Paola Bertola

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

**Marzia Mortati, Alessandro Deserti, Paola Bertola,
Marco Quaggiotto, Giuseppe Andreoni, Antonella Penati,
Luisa Collina, Maurizio Bruglieri**

PRODUCTION MANAGEMENT

Eleonora De Marchi

ART DIRECTION

Marco Quaggiotto

GRAPHIC DESIGN

Giada Zoncada, Arianna Priori, Francesca Cassanelli

ISBN e-book Open Access: 9788835167907

Copyright © 2024 by FrancoAngeli s.r.l., Milano, Italy

This work, and each part thereof, is protected by copyright law
and is published in this digital version under the license
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0
International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

By downloading this work, the User accepts all the conditions
of the license agreement for the work as stated and set out
on the website <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>

Contents

7	Preface Adam Nocek
13	Designing ethically in a complex and changing world Elena Caratti, Laura Galluzzo
	PART 1 THEORIES FOR AN ETHICS OF RESPONSIBILITY
25	1. Exercises in alterity: nurturing alterity for a design ethic Salvatore Zingale
37	2. Design as pluriversality: the translational territory where practice is plural Silvia Pizzocaro
55	3. Ethical translations for social design Elena Caratti
67	4. Ethical dimensions in interaction design James Postell
	PART 2 APPLIED ETHICS IN DESIGN FOR PUBLIC AND SOCIAL SYSTEMS
85	5. Design for public engagement: merging the role of academics and neighbourhood communities Davide Fassi, Francesco Vergani

101	6. Different voices of identity. The role of communication design for the 0-18 community Valeria Bucchetti
115	7. Framing designing practices from the margins. The case of Off Campus San Vittore Virginia Tassinari, Francesca Piredda, Elettra Panepinto
129	8. Design of plural public space Laura Galluzzo
141	9. The accessible landscape. Sustainable narratives for empowerment Daniela Anna Calabi
159	10. Care, community and reuse of places Anna Anzani, Ada Piselli
175	11. Designing digital media. Towards a user-centric approach for public communication strategies Umberto Tolino
191	12. When technology becomes harmful. The contribution of designers at a crossroads between fashion, digital and ethics Martina Motta, Rachele Didero
205	Authors

8. Design of plural public space

Laura Galluzzo

8.1 The context

Within the research carried out by the Polimi DESIS Lab (Design for Social Innovation and Sustainability), there has always been ample opportunity for design experimentation and research in the public arena, attempting to intersect the design skills of spaces with those of service design, working on the overlap between the two sub-disciplines (Fassi, Galluzzo and De Rosa, 2018).

Within the broader design framework for social innovation, design is intended as an activator, guide, and facilitator of societal transformations and changes to improve the current situation. In these processes, it seeks to support, strengthen, and make the ongoing transformations more impactful using the discipline's tools.

The methodology adopted in these cases is that of action research, working on specific situated contexts (Haraway, 1988), and mainly founded on extensive involvement of stakeholders active in that specific territory. The structure of our collaborations always involves strong engagement with residents and local associations

interested in improving that particular place, our group of researchers, often our students, and almost always the participation of the public administration governing the territory in an attempt to build a stronger dialogue between bottom-up and top-down processes.

The strong involvement of local actors is often ensured by a series of co-design workshops (Sanders and Stappers, 2008), usually based on the following:

- observation of the place subject to possible transformation;
- Analysis of the uses made by urban populations (Martinotti, 1993) passing through it daily and of the activities hosted there;
- sharing and discussion of the sensations and feelings that residents experience in those places;
- selection of some inspirational examples of best practices to look at with interest but also with a critical eye;
- envisioning work on possible future scenarios;
- grounding some desired outcomes developed through shared mood boards and floor plans;
- staging some aspects of the project through spatial and service prototyping (Galluzzo *et al.*, 2019).

These workshops generally involve a small group of participants who represent, to some extent, a diversity of perspectives, but naturally this cannot be exhaustive and often fails to capture and represent everyone's experience fully.

Hence, the initial question arises: Who participates in the proposed participatory processes? Consequently, is there a way to make these processes more inclusive?

8.2 The design of public space

The design of public space operates within an intriguing interstice between the disciplines of urban planning, architecture, and design. Over the past decades, interior or spatial design has increasingly focussed on urban interiors (Attiwill *et al.*, 2015), and public interiors (Pimlott, 2007), advancing the idea that looking at a smaller scale – not only at domestic or service spaces, but also at public space –

could bring an improved quality to our cities. Additionally, the designer's perspective, enriched by a focus on the human being, the inhabitant, the user, and the community, inherently leads to greater care in public space design.

In recent decades, design has increasingly engaged with cities through a multidisciplinary lens, akin to that of humanities and social science developments. Designers endeavour to adopt a cross-pollinated approach, strengthening interventions in complex and intricate systems such as contemporary cities.

Feminist urban planning has in the past (and also in the present) emphasized how the gaze of planners and architects has been predominantly male-centric; this stems not only from the fact that designers, planners, and administrators have predominantly been white, cisgender, able-bodied, and economically privileged men, but also because the target demographic in design has often aligned with these characteristics. While there is an increasing body of research on this topic (still not enough), it typically operates at the scale of the city as a whole, reflecting the perspective of urban planners. The results often highlight deficiencies such as inadequate activities in parks, absence of spaces for *light sports*, lack of public services, and inconvenient accessibility features for those engaged in caregiving activities (in Italy, women undertake 74% of unpaid caregiving activities) (International Labour Organization, 2023).

Some of these insights have informed public space policies in Scandinavian countries, Vienna and Barcelona, occasionally adopting a gender mainstreaming perspective. There is still a significant delay in addressing these issues in Italy, with only sporadic interest from governing institutions in aligning with research findings. However, there is undoubtedly a growing awakening among more active citizens regarding these issues.

Even at a smaller scale, public spaces are never neutral, as they have historically been designed, conceived and administered according to a *norm* that coincides with the concept of *masculine universal* thus ending up supporting and facilitating traditional gender roles, erroneously assuming that this represents a neutral universality. More importantly, it does not offer a plural and richer view of multiple perspectives on public space, its design and use.

The role of design is central for there to be reflection on the accessibility and accommodation of urban space at a small, detailed scale.

An appropriate urban environment should instead be accessible, plural, inclusive, safe, and able to accommodate all the different forms of life that inhabit it, promoting social cohesion among the city's inhabitants. This leads to the second question: How can public space be designed to consider a plurality of perspectives?

8.3 The queer city

These reflections have given rise to the need to explore the dimension of public space as a space of political presence, a meeting platform where differences become possible and stimulating points of encounter, not isolated and erased but emphasized and valued as elements of richness in our urban ecosystems, remembering the importance of the presence of bodies in public space, and not just bodies conforming to a uniform model dictated by others' norms. The heteronormative, macho, and patriarchal culture of violence, which allows for little tolerance and acceptance of diversity and minorities, undermines the ability to coexist within cities, which are traversed daily by a wide variety of different people.

For queer individuals, occupying physical space in cities, claiming it, and reclaiming it, both metaphorically and physically, is a crucial theme in political debates, media, academia, design, urban planning and its streets, in order to move beyond the closet of heteronormativity and the gender binary system where queer individuals feel on the one hand invisible and on the other too *bulky*.

The shift from the concept of inclusive space to coexistent space (Ye, 2019) is crucial in this new vision of public space: the word *inclusive* always implies that someone is including someone else, whereas in the meaning of coexisting or co-habiting, there is greater reciprocity and emphasis on collective action, particularly on the sharing of existences, spaces, and experiences of all and each individual.

Moreover, the approach to coexistence should be taken in an intersectional way (Crenshaw, 1989), without forgetting the layers and intersections between the different facets that make up citizens'

identities: class, origin, religion, sexual and gender orientation, and skin colour. This can happen primarily through a cultural paradigm shift, as well as through the creation of public consensus on these issues even in parts of the city that are less *literate* in these kinds of instances, providing spaces for individuals and LGBTQIA+ associations to express themselves, and contributing to the education of future designers who can design with a more plural, transfeminist, queer, and inclusive perspective.

From here, we have decided to undertake a doctoral research project on the theme of the Queer City, in collaboration with the Participation Department of the Municipality of Milan, some educational experiments, and an action research project funded through Bando 57 by the Fondazione di Comunità Milano in the public spaces of the 9 municipalities of the city of Milan, together with 10 LGBTQIA+ community associations.

The desire to act on these issues stems from some unfortunate premises. According to the Rainbow Map & Index 2023, Italy ranks only 34th out of 49 European countries in terms of safety and rights for LGBTQIA+ people, a position that is dropping every year. Furthermore, according to data from 2019 elaborated by the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights on the condition of LGBTQIA+ people in Europe, regarding Italy specifically, in 48% of cases, homophobia and transphobic hate attacks occur in public spaces such as streets, squares, parking lots; This is not only a matter of safety but also of knowledge, sharing, and dissemination of these issues.

Specifically, these projects aim to promote greater awareness of the values and demands of the queer community, as well as concepts of inclusion and identity through interactive and luminous installations, urban games, sports events, and workshops; activities that aim to raise awareness and sometimes also to educate citizens who live in those places.

These various activities allow us to explore possible responses and the many questions about the plural design of public space.

One can speak of a queer city when, both in its centre and its margins, it can be considered plural and accessible, leaving no one behind. Moving beyond the idea of gaybourhoods that characterize contemporary cities, and above all, not thinking of designing public

spaces for the LGBTQIA+ community or with the same community, but rather questioning the more intrinsic meanings of a plural approach to public space design. An approach that is based on the intersection between queer studies and design studies, which is in search of a new epistemology, hybridization of methodologies, a queerization of participatory processes, and particularly in questioning the centrality of perspective, accepting error and failure even in the design process itself, and naturally moving beyond a binary logic in favour of a plural logic.

To better understand this, let us introduce the term *queer*, which was initially used in Anglophone countries to indicate something odd, negatively weird, and then as a harmful slur for LGBTQIA+ people, as the opposite of *straight*. It was then claimed by activists in the US at the beginning of the 1990s to encapsulate the experiences of those who exist beyond conventional norms.

Nowadays, we could try to define queer as an umbrella term, fluid and ambiguous, that describes any sexual orientation or gender identity that is not heterosexual or cisgender.

What is the relationship between queerness and space, and mainly urban public space? Moreover, why is it interesting to study queerness in relation to cities?

In academia, the topic of queer space has been dealt with primarily by geographers and sociologists, who have been open to a connection with Queer Studies for several decades. However, the intersection between the latter and the world of design and planning remains to be explored, particularly in the context of the urban spatial dimension. What is the state of the art, what good practices exist, and what are the challenges for the future?

8.4 The pluriversal public space

Starting from these premises, one can easily push oneself to reflect on who truly inhabits public space from a non-anthropocentric perspective, considering how non-human agents that inhabit the urban ecosystem have never been taken into consideration in the design and participatory processes. The one-world paradigm,

or the assumption of a single reality that aims to subjugate all other worlds to itself or non-existence, is challenged by the idea of the pluriverse. While acknowledging the existence of multiple realities living in complex and interconnected ways, the pluriverse does not validate any one reality as the only one.

This viewpoint seeks to provide new ways of thinking and behaving that value multispecies diversity, in contrast to Eurocentrism and intellectual colonialism, by recognizing cultural, ecological, and ontological diversity (Escobar, 2016).

According to the philosopher Coccia (2022), the city is just a simple group of people living steadily in a section of the Earth where everything considered alive (apart from pets and decorative plants) is confined to the outside world. This idea feeds the perception that civilization, technology, and humanity are absent from areas outside cities. As a result, the myth of the natural and wild is produced due to what the city is not – that is, what opposes the notion of the citizen.

As Escobar (2019) says, it is urgent to approach the city with a pluriversal perspective, characterized by a fixed attention to the relationships and interdependencies between the different beings that inhabit it. When designing a space, it is essential to ask what type of inhabitants are there, how they live there, and how they interact with each other, trying to neutralize the power dynamics.

It is challenging to accomplish this perspective change since, historically, people have learned to feel that they are morally and ethically superior to other creatures. They now perceive themselves as *moral agents* who impose their decisions on *moral patients*, that are described as mute.

The idea is to comprehend that there is another way to live in a city that reduces the anthropocentric division between the natural and urban worlds, treating people as mere occupants of the land with which they share an interaction *ethos* of complementarity and reciprocity.

Cities must become pluriverses; they cannot *belong* to people; instead, they should be extensions of the terrestrial ecosystems they currently are. Recognizing all living things as fellow citizens is essential to breaking down the mental barrier separating humans from the Earth's basic nature.

How can a public space centred on a new paradigm be designed with these premises in mind? What can pluriversal public space mean?

8.5 Between queer and multispecies approaches

The paradigm shift also leads to questions about the relationship between designing the city with a more plural, transfeminist, queer and inclusive perspective and pluriverse design. What is the relationship between an inter-species approach to urban ecosystem design and the queer city?

At this point, having presented both the queer city theme and the theme of pluriversal public space the relationship between the two themes seems clear.

One constant is surely the desire to overcome an exclusive vision of public space that takes into account the needs of the most fragile groups and is far removed from design to date. The premises of *designing with* and overcoming *designing for* also lead to the question of how to involve the most vulnerable groups that have not participated in city planning and design to date.

The central theme of *the right to the city* naturally arises (Lefebvre, 1969) and through this lens children, animals, sex workers, migrants, the homeless, adolescents, and so many more are united by an alienation from public space: it remains still for the few and of the few. The role of design is also central in terms of the participation that human and non-human agents can bring to bear on the co-construction of public space as a political platform, characterized by the presence of bodies, as a physical and mental occupation of the public thing.

This relational dimension of design has aesthetic value but also countless ethical repercussions that the discipline itself must place at the centre of the design, starting from the initial questions: Who inhabits public space? Who participates in its design? Do those who occupy it with their bodies have the right to express themselves about it? Inspiring group discussion about prospective futures, speculative design has the ability to «highlight the re/creation of worlds

based on the horizontal relation with all forms of life, respecting the human embeddedness in the natural world» (Escobar, 2018). By proposing fictitious scenarios that have the potential to fundamentally alter our perception of reality, design-speculative thinking can stimulate the imagination and inspire new ways of being. Thinking of design as a way to extend the potential of who we can be through our materials, locations and bodies, is one way to approach the subject. In particular, the object of speculative design in this case is plural public space: meaning, future scenarios, and possible definitions. Design not only has the capabilities but, also and most importantly, has the ethical responsibility to tackle issues related to inclusivity, coexistence, alterity, otherness, differences and queerness, since it influences the contexts, cities, places and, more generally, the entire world in which we live.

References

- Andreola F. and Muzzonigro A. (2021), *Milan Gender Atlas*, LetteraVentidue Edizioni, Syracuse.
- Arup (2021), *Queering Public Space. Exploring the relationship between queer communities and public spaces*, University of Westminster, London.
- Attwill S., Giunta E., Fassi D., Crespi L. and Hermida B. (2024), "Urban+interior", *Idea Journal*, 15, 1: 2-11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37113/idea.j.vi0.266>.
- Bernini L. (2018), *Le Teorie Queer. Un'introduzione*, Mimesis, Milan.
- Butler J. (2005), *Giving an Account of Oneself*, Fordham University Press, New York.
- De la Bellacasa M. P. (2017), *Matters of care: Speculative ethics in more than human worlds* (Vol. 41), University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis.
- Coccia E. (2022), *Metamorfosi*, Einaudi, Turin.
- Crenshaw K. (1989), "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics", *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989,8: 139-167. Available at <https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/uclf/vol1989/iss1/8>. Accessed January 2024.
- De Leo M. (2021), *Queer. Storia Culturale Della Comunità LGBT+*, Einaudi, Turin.
- Escobar A. (2016), "Thinking-feeling with the Earth: Territorial Struggles and the Ontological Dimension of the Epistemologies of the South", *AIBR: Revista de Antropología Iberoamericana*, 11, 1: 11-32. DOI:10.11156/aibr.110102e.
- Escobar A. (2018), *Design for the Pluriverse: Radical Interdependence, Autonomy, and the Making of Worlds*, Duke University Press, Durham.

- Escobar A. (2019), "Thinking-feeling with the Earth: Territorial Struggles and the Ontological Dimension of the Epistemologies of the South", in Boaventura de Sousa Santos M. M., ed., *Knowledges Born in the Struggle. Constructing the epistemologies of the Global South*, Routledge, London. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429344596>.
- European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (2022), *LGBTI Survey Data Explorer*. Available at <https://fra.europa.eu/en/data-and-maps/2020/lgbti-survey-data-explorer>. Accessed June 2024.
- Fassi D., Galluzzo L. and De Rosa A. (2018), "Service + Spatial design: Introducing the fundamentals of a transdisciplinary approach", *Proceedings of the ServDes 2018 Conference: Service Design Proof of Concept*, 19th-20th June 2018, Vol. 150, pp. 847-862, Linköping University Electronic Press. Available at <https://servdes.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/71.pdf>. Accessed March 2024.
- Furman A. N. and Joshua M. (2022), *Queer Spaces: An Atlas of LGBTQIA+ Places and Stories*, RIBA Publishing, London.
- Galluzzo L., Mastrantoni C., Borin A. and Jiang J. (2019), "Prototyping Temporary Urban Solutions in Milan", *Proceedings of 4D Conference: Meanings of Design in the Next Era*, Osaka, 21st - 23rd October 2019. Available at http://4d-conference.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/4D_Proceedings_Osaka019_04122019.pdf. Accessed January 2024.
- Granata E. (2023), *Il senso delle donne per la città*, Einaudi, Milan.
- Haraway D. (1988), "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question. Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective", *Feminist Studies*, 14, 3: 575-599. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066>.
- Haraway D. (2020), *Chthulucene: sopravvivere su un pianeta infetto*, Nero, Rome.
- Ilga Europe (2023), "Rainbow Europe I ILGA-Europe", ILGA-Europe I *We Stay on Top of What LGBTI Activists and Organisations in Europe and Central Asia Need and Prioritise*. Available at <https://www.ilga-europe.org/report/rainbow-europe-2023/>. Accessed January 2024.
- International Labour Organization (2023), "World Employment and Social Outlook. Trends 2023", *ILO Flagship Report*, ILO, Geneva. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54394/snrcp1637>.
- Kern L. (2021), *La città femminista. La lotta per lo spazio in un mondo disegnato da uomini*, Treccani, Rome.
- Koskinen I. (2016), "Agonistic, Convivial, and Conceptual Aesthetics in New Social Design", *Design Issues*, 32, 3 :18-29. Available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24916443>. Accessed February 2024.
- LaRochelle L. (2020), "Queering the map: On designing digital queer space", *Queer sites in global contexts*, Routledge, London.
- Lefebvre H. (1969), "Les paradoxes d'Althusser", *L'Homme et la société*, 13, 1: 3-37. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.3406/homso.1969.1227>.
- Lefebvre H. (1992), *The Production of Space*, Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken.
- Mancuso S. (2020), *La pianta del mondo*, Laterza & Figli, Bari.
- Martinotti G. (1993), *Metropoli. La nuova morfologia sociale della città*, Il Mulino, Bologna.
- Miccichè M. (2024), *Femminismo di Periferia*, Edizioni Sonda, Milan.

- Pavka E. (2020), "What Do You Mean By Queer Space?", *Azure Magazine*. Available at: <https://www.azuremagazine.com/article/what-do-we-mean-by-queer-space>. Accessed December 2023.
- Perez C. C. (2019), *Invisible Women: Data Bias in a World Designed for Men*, Abrams, New York.
- Pimlott M. (2007), *Without and within: Essays on territory and the interior*, Episode publishers, Rotterdam.
- Puwar N. (2004), *Space Invaders: Race, Gender and Bodies out of Place*, Berg, Oxford-New York.
- Sanders E. B. N. and Stappers, P. J. (2008), "Co-creation and the new landscapes of design", *Co-design*, 4, 1: 5-18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15710880701875068>.
- Ye J. (2019), "Re-orienting geographies of urban diversity and coexistence: Analyzing inclusion and difference in public space", *Progress in Human Geography*, 43, 3: 478-495. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132518768405>.

This volume focuses on the ethical challenges of design for public and social systems, attempting to clarify what it means to design *ethically* in a complex world and how it is possible to do so, within a multifaceted reality in which everything is interconnected and constantly changing. The first section of the book introduces some theoretical aspects, principles and values underlying ethical design. The second part is aimed at reflecting on the relationship between ethics and design from a phenomenological perspective. Ethics, in the philosophical sense of the term, pertains to the whole range of behaviors that individuals adopt to conduct their existence without causing damage to themselves or others, what challenges does design for public and social systems face in designing ethically? Can designers become real agents of social transformation? What kind of impact and effects do designers produce within the public and social system? How can we recognize those ethical design practices that bring about real structural change? What is the role of digital technologies? Our aim is to offer a series of critical reflections and concrete examples of the substantial effects of an ethical approach to design, with recognition of the value of otherness and the awareness of the interdependence between artifacts, individuals, societies, governments, institutions, and the planetary ecosystem.