

# 1 Immersive Innovation: Bridging Digital 2 Design and Virtual Realities in Jewelry

3 **Livia Tenuta, Susanna Testa, and Beatrice Rossato**

4 Politecnico di Milano, Design Department, Milan, Italy

## 5 **ABSTRACT**

6 The paper presents the results of the workshop “Utopia: Jewelry Beyond the Body,”  
7 held at the School of Design of the Politecnico di Milano as part of the Master’s  
8 degree program to develop an innovative design methodology for future creatives.  
9 The workshop’s main objective was to explore the process of designing a virtual jew-  
10 elry collection conceived to be worn and experienced in the digital world. The paper  
11 describes the workshop’s different stages of the methodology, with a specific focus  
12 on the use of Artificial Intelligence for the ideation phase and the creation of a virtual  
13 exhibition hosted on Spatial.io in the final stage. First, the paper addresses the context  
14 of the workshop. The jewelry and fashion fields have undergone a profound transfor-  
15 mation, with a gradual shift from tangible, physical interactions to the dematerialized  
16 domain of the virtual. The pervasive integration of digital technology has affected the  
17 entire value chain of these sectors, from design practices to distribution consumptions.  
18 Considering this change, the work highlights the need to explore digital manifesta-  
19 tions of tangible products and experiment with spaces of digital co-creation. Then, the  
20 contribution focuses on the methodology implemented in the workshop, integrating  
21 digital technologies, such as virtual reality and artificial intelligence. Finally, the docu-  
22 ment presents the experience’s outputs with quantitative and qualitative results. The  
23 results provide insights into the effectiveness of the design methodology, highlight-  
24 ing the impact of the research conducted. Furthermore, the experience is evaluated  
25 with a focus on the possibilities that can be obtained by merging jewelry design and  
26 virtual exhibition practices. The “Utopia: Jewelry Beyond the Body” workshop repre-  
27 sents an initiative between jewelry design, digital innovation, and academic pedagogy.  
28 By describing the workshop’s evolving context, methodology, and results, this article  
29 contributes to a deeper understanding of the relationship between digital technology,  
30 design creativity, and the evolution of the jewelry industry.

31 **Keywords:** Jewelry design, Artificial intelligence, Virtual exhibition, Digital jewellery, Innovative  
32 Design methodology

## 33 **INTRODUCTION**

34 Digital transformation, particularly thanks to the recent changes driven by  
35 the pandemic (Business of Fashion, 2022), is a source of constant change in  
36 most industries, not excluding fashion and jewelry, despite its strong attach-  
37 ment to the physicality of products, processes, and services (Arribas and  
38 Alfaro, 2018). In particular, the jewelry sector is an area strongly linked to  
39 tradition. However, it has always been so multifaceted in its links with art,  
40 fashion, design, or craftsmanship that, over time, it has become accustomed

41 to absorbing and becoming a mirror of the spirit of the time (Cappellieri,  
42 2016). In the contemporary context, the significant changes underway are  
43 mainly linked to dematerialization (Morhart et al., 2020) and the impact of  
44 artificial intelligence (Kumar et al., 2023) on businesses, which consequently  
45 also reflect on everyone's daily lives. Dematerialization refers to the partial  
46 or total loss of the physicality of products or services connected to a par-  
47 ticular industry (Thackara, 2005). The radical evolution and adoption of  
48 mixed reality in the fashion context has made products increasingly virtual,  
49 with digital influencers wearing them, as in the case of Noonouri. Products  
50 become virtual assets that customers experience mainly through virtual or  
51 augmented reality platforms, therefore designed for predominantly digital use  
52 (Baek et al., 2022). Despite this, digital products are often conceived as copies  
53 of physical ones rather than as stand-alone projects. Design methodologies  
54 are rooted in the culture of the physical products and relegate the digital to  
55 communication support or functional simulation in the design process rather  
56 than as an artifact worthy of a life of its own (Bitonti, 2016).

57 There is, therefore, a growing need to investigate the creative process,  
58 starting from digital products and immersing future creatives in a learning  
59 process that sees digital technologies as the means and end of their design  
60 process (Tenuta et al., 2023). One of these technologies that is certainly hav-  
61 ing a strong impact on the fashion system is Artificial Intelligence. Elfar and  
62 Dawood (2023) propose a definition concerning humans, defining it as "the  
63 process of creating intelligent machines that can imitate or exceed human  
64 abilities in specific tasks," focusing on its ability to simplify human activities  
65 and the consequent need for man to adapt to "new ways of doing almost  
66 everything" (Elfar and Dawood, 2023). In the fashion and jewelry indus-  
67 tries, AI is now starting to be radically inserted into processes, and it is  
68 particularly used for customer preferences analysis, efficient inventory man-  
69 agement, quality control and authentication, pricing and market insights,  
70 supply chain optimization, and virtual try-on services for retail or online  
71 shopping. Moreover, addressing, in particular, the jewelry industry, AI is  
72 reshaping the personalized customer experience, empowering systems with  
73 recommendations based on the analysis of vast amounts of customer data  
74 (Miking, 2023).

75 The retail experience itself is greatly benefiting from the use of AI technol-  
76 ogy. The launch of Cartier's Looking Glass demonstrates how these changes  
77 are already a reality in jewelry retail. The virtual try-on system includes an  
78 in-store device that allows the jewelry item to be rendered on the digital  
79 visualization of the consumer's hand in high resolution (Jordan, 2023). A  
80 system that changes the way of the shopping experience and fits into tradi-  
81 tional practices also due to its ease of use: according to Wired (Ashworth,  
82 2023), you need a desk, a lamp, and a black band ring to dress on your cho-  
83 sen finger which immediately allows you to simulate the result on an iPad.  
84 Virtual try-on can even precede physical customer-retail interaction, position-  
85 ing itself as a tool to support online purchasing decisions. Maria Tash, after  
86 more than five years of experimentation, launched the Tash Studio software.  
87 This online platform allows consumers to try different piercing patterns from  
88 home: a simulation of the ears with different skin tones is the canvas where

89 the customer can try different compositions, combine different outfits, and  
90 be creatively inspired. With the technological advancements of AI, its use  
91 expands not only to the sales and retail experience but also to the creative  
92 design process. Researchers are investigating how AI can become an effective  
93 creativity support tool for designers, especially by inserting it as a tool in  
94 the ideation phase. Microsoft (Sciling, 2022) is already experimenting with  
95 intelligent systems to deliver good-quality jewelry designs, helping designers  
96 speed up their product design processes. AI can quickly generate a wide  
97 range of design concepts, allowing “human” designers to select the best ones  
98 to pursue further and streamlining the design process. This allows them to  
99 save a considerable amount of time and focus on the creative aspects of the  
100 design, having more references available and operating a selection process on  
101 more creative inspirations that can lead to a more satisfactory result. Designers  
102 can have more options in less time, focusing on the creative association  
103 of ideas and choosing which ones to implement. Designers’ creative options  
104 are influenced by their training and experiences. AI can propose design styles  
105 they are less familiar with, cultural cues they did not grow up with, and  
106 other keywords that broaden their design horizon and help identify possible  
107 areas of design research and development. Moreover, AI can improve  
108 productivity: Wu et al., (2023) have developed an artificial intelligence tool,  
109 StyleMe, which learns the designer’s drawing style and manages to replicate  
110 it, speeding up the fashion sketching process and the creation of different  
111 color variations. Alternatively, another example, Amine Messaoudi, general  
112 director and co-founder of Atelier Mille Or, a Parisian company specializing  
113 in custom-made jewelry, said that they use AI mainly to increase creativity:  
114 “We can experiment with countless design variations in a short period, giving  
115 us more freedom to innovate and create unique pieces” (Messaoudi, 2023). In  
116 those cases, the tool allows designers to focus on critical design aspects while  
117 AI handles more repetitive and time-consuming tasks and provides them with  
118 more initial inspiration. The ability of AI to enhance the creativity of designers  
119 is a relevant aspect that researchers have to take into consideration to  
120 implement not only the systems but also the teaching methodologies connected  
121 to the technology. Nowadays, there are increasing online tools for  
122 designers to experiment with generative AI and produce innovative images  
123 for their designs. Among these, StarryAI is an AI image-creation platform  
124 that guides jewelry creation with generative AI. The five proposed steps are  
125 choosing the correct platform, setting the design parameters, letting AI work,  
126 reviewing the results and refining the concept, and creating a final prototype.  
127 It is interesting to note that there is a need to define parameters for the design  
128 to communicate with the AI. Furthermore, the final human intervention is  
129 essential for correctly creating the finished product. In this scenario of man-  
130 machine co-creation, experimentation with creative futures becomes crucial  
131 to understanding which methodologies to implement. From our review of the  
132 state of the art related to artificial intelligence, we noticed two main gaps.  
133 On the one hand, AI tools are mainly used to create finished products, often  
134 images or artistic representations of the item, or as a support for product  
135 communication in retail. On the other, when tools are used in the design  
136 process, they are often instructed to produce infinite variables that designers

137 should then choose. However, the research focuses little on co-creation and  
138 the inspiration exchange between the designer and the machine.

139 In the workshop that we describe in the paper, the challenge was to use AI  
140 as a brainstorming companion to validate the guiding stylistic codes for the  
141 project. The following sections illustrate the methodology used for the work-  
142 shop and the main results both for the use of the AI tool and a comparison  
143 with the results on the use of the Spatial.io platform.

## 144 **IMPLEMENTING CREATIVITY THROUGH EXPERIMENTATION**

145 We analyze the integration of artificial intelligence and virtual exhibition  
146 spaces in the Utopia workshop within the Accessory Design Studio course  
147 of the Politecnico di Milano with the students of the Master's Degree in  
148 Design for the Fashion System. The primary information related to the course  
149 development and the innovative methodology proposed can be found in our  
150 previous papers (Tenuta et al., 2023). To facilitate the understanding of the  
151 study described here, a brief definition of the objectives and methods used to  
152 conduct the workshop follows. The objective of the workshop was to subject  
153 the 38 international learners to interaction with digital tools, in particular by  
154 using artificial intelligence (i.e., Midjourney) and creating a virtual exhibition  
155 in Spatial.io within the design process. The project brief involved the design  
156 of a collection of digital jewels, which were then transformed into physical  
157 twins, starting from the digital simulation. The central focus was to bring  
158 design attention back to digital objects to give them a worthy life in digital  
159 environments and to insert digital technologies inside the design process. It is  
160 essential to underline that the workshop took place between November and  
161 December 2022, when the Midjourney platform was still in its embryonic  
162 stage of development. The tool was used in its free version, with 30 itera-  
163 tions for each student. Spatial.io was chosen as the platform to design five  
164 virtual exhibitions with the learners' project divided into thematic areas. At  
165 the beginning of the workshop, theoretical lessons were proposed to inform  
166 students about the project brief, the methodology to be used, and the digital  
167 technologies to be integrated into the design process.


168 The workshop, particularly the interaction with artificial intelligence, was  
169 evaluated through observation of the design process and with two ques-  
170 tionnaires submitted to the participants at the beginning and the end of the  
171 workshop.

172 In the following sections, we describe the main results proposed regarding  
173 the use of the AI tool and the virtual exhibition created.

### 174 **AI as Tool to Brainstorm Through Images**

175 As seen in the introduction, there are several scenarios in which AI can be  
176 used. In this paper, we will outline an innovative method called AI-Mood  
177 Validation, which helps designers test their brainstorming in a co-creation  
178 process with the AI tool Midjourney. We proposed a scheme shared through  
179 the Miro platform to support the organization of the images and the use of the  
180 method (Figure 1). The scheme is structured with an initial iterative process of  
181 AI image creation, structuring a prompt related to keywords properly selected

182 to mirror the aesthetic and brand choices of the students. Among the images  
 183 generated, students had to choose one key image to start building the mood  
 184 board. The subsequent images were gathered by the students with traditional  
 185 search methods (e.g., searching via Pinterest, Instagram, Google images, and  
 186 personal photographs).




**Figure 1:** Scheme on miro shared board for the AI-mood validation process (created by the author, 2022).

187 In detail, students were requested to search for images representing the vibe  
 188 of the project but also try to create new ones through AI image generators to  
 189 understand if the keywords they were using corresponded to the feeling they  
 190 wanted to communicate. They had to brainstorm with AI until they were sat-  
 191 isfied with the result. The objective of this request was to push students to be  
 192 as detailed as possible in defining and describing the mood board and to raise  
 193 their awareness of the importance of the words they choose and the choices  
 194 they make while collaborating with an AI tool. For example, we noted how  
 195 if the prompt included the request to represent an image in red and if the  
 196 adjective red was used in the first three words, then all the proposals would  
 197 be characterized by that. The same methodological principle applies to cre-  
 198 ating the mood board, whether defined via AI or traditional methods. The  
 199 definition of aesthetic branding codes is an action that involves an a priori  
 200 decision of characteristics to which one should remain faithful throughout  
 201 product development. We also noticed how this caused one of the main diffi-  
 202 culties for the students, who received answers from the AI that differed from  
 203 what they imagined and had to reiterate the word order of the prompt to  
 204 obtain a coherent result.

205 The results of the questionnaires demonstrated how the students had no  
 206 previous knowledge regarding the proposed generative AI tool: 25 out of  
 207 35 students had no knowledge of artificial intelligence platforms for image  
 208 generation (such as Midjourney), 7 evaluated their knowledge as “1” on a  
 209 scale of 0 to 5, and 3 students self-rated as “2” (Figure 2). This contributes  
 210 significantly to the difficulties in constructing the prompt and communicating  
 211 with the AI tool.


## AI Image Generation platform (as Midjourney)

35 risposte



**Figure 2:** Results of the questionnaire related to previous knowledge of students on AI image generation platform (2022).

212 From the responses to the questionnaire, it also emerges that the students  
 213 were, on average, satisfied with the experience: 43.8% maintain that the  
 214 Creative AI tool is among the most implemented skills, and for 50% of  
 215 the students, this contributed to greater self-expression, understood as the  
 216 production of images not present in traditional search engines but creatively  
 217 co-created with AI (Figure 3).




**Figure 3:** Results of the questionnaire related to general rating of the workshop's experience on AI tool (2022).

218 Furthermore, the majority of students, 40.6%, stated that Midjourney was  
 219 interesting for exploring new design tools, 18.8% that it served to create an  
 220 original mood board, 15.6% that it provided various inputs from the point  
 221 of view of inspiration, and less relevant percentages evaluate it as a tool to  
 222 create connections between words and images and vice versa or as input to  
 223 implement one's creativity (Figure 4).

what do you think Midjourney has helped you the most?



32 risposte



**Figure 4:** Results of the questionnaire related to the usefulness of the AI tool (2022).

224 To support those results, below are some significant students' comments on  
225 the experience emerging from the questionnaires: "I got what I was looking  
226 for by typing in what I was imagining"; "Interesting and, for me, a new way  
227 of working"; "Midjourney was difficult to digest, I realize the usefulness of  
228 the tool for learning to find the right keywords, but I was never satisfied with  
229 the results. So many words that mean something to me are misread by arti-  
230 ficial intelligence, and the images always come out so-so unreal, ruining the  
231 whole composition."; "In using it, the images generated after entering key-  
232 words were often not to my satisfaction." These represent just some of the  
233 comments collected and bring out two categories: on the one hand, those stu-  
234 dents who were fascinated by the tool even in its unpredictability, and on the  
235 other hand, those who were frustrated by the interpretative misunderstand-  
236 ings between the expected results and the images obtained. On the one hand,  
237 this signals the need to support students in defining the prompt, expanding  
238 their skills, and working on teaching methodologies that integrate innovative  
239 digital technologies; on the other hand, the limits of the AI tool have to be  
240 considered. As previously mentioned, Midjourney has undergone significant  
241 updates and is now a more qualitatively reliable tool than last year. We are  
242 currently continuing our research with new experiments in the application of  
243 artificial intelligence in the jewelry and accessory sector, in particular, in the  
244 educational experiences of future creatives.

## 245 **BEYOND PHYSICAL: EXPLORING SPATIAL.IO**

246 Secondly, the Spatial.io platform was tested for developing five digital exhi-  
247 bitions containing the jewels designed during the workshop, divided into  
248 thematic categories. The details on the creation of the exhibitions and the  
249 results obtained were published in a previous article of ours (Tenuta and  
250 Rossato, 2023). However, considering the new results produced by the anal-  
251 ysis of the use of AI, it is interesting to draw parallels. In particular, the  
252 main difficulties in using Spatial.io that emerged from the questionnaires  
253 are technical, relating to the interface management or the processing capac-  
254 ity of the computers used by the students. Entering into the perspective of

255 technology implies knowing how to govern it: as with AI tools, these are  
256 new languages to be included within the skills of future designers. Unlike AI,  
257 however, most comments underlined the creative possibilities that the digital  
258 environment entailed, without geographical restrictions or exploiting oppor-  
259 tunities to create anything desired. Similar to using AI, the importance of  
260 maintaining conceptual coherence across the project emerges. As with the  
261 words used in the prompt and the stylistic codes chosen when creating mood  
262 boards with AI, the same aesthetic characteristics had to be respected for the  
263 digital exhibition. This aspect was mainly respected by one group out of five,  
264 proposing a digital environment in line with the thematic characteristics of  
265 the area assigned to them. This is a difficulty that once again underlines, on  
266 the one side, the technical insufficiency of the platform, on the other, the lack  
267 of skills of the students to cooperate with the new digital tools.

## 268 CONCLUSION

269 In this paper, we have shown the results of the “Utopia: Jewelry Beyond the  
270 Body” workshop linked to the introduction of innovative digital technologies  
271 within the design process of the jewelry and accessory students of Politecnico  
272 di Milano. By describing the workshop’s evolving context, methodology, and  
273 results, this article contributes to a deeper understanding of the relationship  
274 between digital technology, design creativity, and the evolution of the jewelry  
275 industry.

276 The contribution offered is twofold. On the one hand, the paper analyzes  
277 an innovative method of using technology in AI-Mood Validation, which  
278 opens significant discussions around the relationship between the machine  
279 and the designer. In particular, future research should be directed towards  
280 the analysis of this relationship because artificial intelligence is increasingly  
281 trying to imitate human behavior and language while, on the contrary, there  
282 is the need on the part of man, in this case, designers, to adapt to the  
283 computational language.

284 On the other hand, the paper questions teaching methodologies with the  
285 integration of dematerialized experiences to support the presentation of digi-  
286 tal products. It becomes essential to focus the design process on strengthening  
287 the aesthetic codes of the product so that, transferred and used on differ-  
288 ent platforms, they are equally recognizable and coherent. The lines between  
289 physical and digital are blurring, requiring a new design language that is flex-  
290 ible yet distinctive. Digital tools are now becoming a critical component of  
291 the design process, deeply rooted not only in industries but also in the every-  
292 day practices of our lives. This permeability of innovative technologies and  
293 the increasingly digitalized use of products outlines the need to educate future  
294 creatives and designers in the different languages of technologies.

295 In approaching the future, it is essential to continue experimenting with  
296 the capabilities of AI but also address the ethical implications that these  
297 new opportunities bring along. AI may offer unexplored design scenarios but  
298 brings fundamental questions about authenticity, privacy, and the responsi-  
299 ble use of sensitive data. As educators and pioneers of these technologies,  
300 we should not only teach our students how to apply these innovative tools



301 within processes but also guide them through the ethical challenges these  
302 tools present (Verbeek, 2006).

303 Our current investigation has laid the foundation for a more in-depth and  
304 widespread investigation, extending beyond the boundaries of creativity and  
305 design. Our future research will address not only the experimentation with  
306 artificial intelligence per se but also its relationship with society and ethics.  
307 We will encourage students to independently incorporate AI into their design  
308 processes, recognizing how the technology has evolved rapidly, expanding the  
309 tools and skills at their disposal in a short space of time. These tools, although  
310 not yet fully explored, offer a panorama of broad creative scenarios.

311 With this view, our study aims to address the discussion that merges the  
312 design process and education methods with ethics, technology, and the social  
313 fabric in which we operate. We embrace change with a holistic view, know-  
314 ing that the decisions we make now in jewelry design and beyond have the  
315 potential to significantly influence the world of tomorrow.

## 316 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

317 The authors would like to acknowledge all the students who participated in  
318 the “Utopia: Jewellery Beyond the Body” workshop.

## 319 REFERENCES

- 320 Arribas, V., Alfaro, J. A. (2018). 3D technology in fashion: from concept to con-  
321 sumer, *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*,  
322 Volume 22, No. 2. 240–251.
- 323 Ashworth, Boone (January 19, 2023). Cartier Beams That Diamond Ring Right to  
324 Your Finger, *Wired Website*: <https://www.wired.co.uk/article/cartier-beams-that-diamond-ring-right-to-your-finger>.
- 325
- 326 Baek, E., Haines, S., Fares, O. H., Huang, Z., Hong, Y., Lee, S. H. M. (2022). Defining  
327 digital fashion: Reshaping the field via a systematic review, *Computers in Human*  
328 *Behavior*, Volume 137.
- 329 Bitonti, F. A. (2016). When Matter Becomes Media: How Our New Tools Are  
330 Reinventing Physicality. *Archit. Design*, Volume 86, pp. 100–107.
- 331 Business of Fashion. (2022). *The State of Fashion 2022*, pp. 57–60.
- 332 Cappellieri, A. (2016). *Brilliant! The futures of Italian jewellery*. Corraini Edizioni,  
333 Mantova, Italy.
- 334 Elfar, M. A. A., Dawood, M. E. T. (2023). Using Artificial Intelligence for enhancing  
335 Human Creativity, *Journal of Art, Design and Music: Volume 2, No. 2*.
- 336 Jordan, Sarah. (April 19, 2023). Cartier’s Looking Glass Is Luxury’s Latest Frontier,  
337 *Rapaport Website*: <https://rapaport.com/magazine-article/cartiers-looking-glass-is-luxurys-latest-frontier/>
- 338
- 339 Kumar, S., Lim, W. M., Sivarajah, U., Kaur, J. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and  
340 Blockchain Integration in Business: Trends from a Bibliometric-Content Analysis,  
341 *Information Systems Frontiers*, Volume 25, 871–896.
- 342 Messaoudi, Amine. (May 30, 2023). Pioneering the Future of Jewelry Design with  
343 AI: The Next Big Leap Forward, *LinkedIn Website*: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/pioneering-future-jewelry-design-ai-next-big-leap-amine-messaoudi/>
- 344 Miking. (August 2, 2023). How Artificial Intelligence is Revolutionizing the Jew-  
345 elry Industry, *LinkedIn*: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/how-artificial-intelligence-revolutionizing-jewelry-industry-miking/>
- 346
- 347

- 348 Morhart, F., Wilcox, K., Czellar, S. (2020). *Research Handbook on Luxury Branding*.  
349 Edward Elgar Publishing.
- 350 Sciling. (2022). How artificial intelligence has revolutionized the jewelry mar-  
351 ket, LinkedIn: [https://sciling.com/how-artificial-intelligence-has-revolutionized-t](https://sciling.com/how-artificial-intelligence-has-revolutionized-the-jewelry-market/?lang=en)  
352 [he-jewelry-market/?lang=en](https://sciling.com/how-artificial-intelligence-has-revolutionized-the-jewelry-market/?lang=en)
- 353 Tenuta, L., Rossato, B., Cappellieri, A. (2023). From digital to physical twin. An  
354 innovative design methodology for future creatives, *Proceedings of INTED2023*  
355 *Conference*, pp. 5301–5307.
- 356 Tenuta, L., Rossato, B. (2023). Digital Jewelry and Virtual Exhibition: Interaction in  
357 the Metaverse, in proceeding of EDULEARN23 Conference, pp. 7554–7560.
- 358 Thackara, J. (2005). *In the Bubble: Designing in a Complex World*, Cambridge: MIT  
359 Press.
- 360 Verbeek, P. P. (2006). Materializing Morality: Design Ethics and Technological Medi-  
361 ation. In *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, Vol. 31, No. 3, Ethics and  
362 Engineering Design (May, 2006), pp. 361–380. Published by: Sage Publications,  
363 Inc. Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/29733944>
- 364 Wu, D., Yu, Z., Ma, N., Jiang, J., Wang, Y., Zhou, G., Deng, H., Li, Y. (2023).  
365 StyleMe: Towards Intelligent Fashion Generation with Designer Style, in Pro-  
366 ceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems,  
367 pp. 1–16, ACM.