Controlled photothermal therapy based on temperature monitoring: theoretical and experimental analysis

Annalisa Orrico  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Politecnico di Milano  
Milan, Italy  
annalisa.orrico@mail.polimi.it

Leonardo Bianchi  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Politecnico di Milano  
Milan, Italy  
leonardo.bianchi@polimi.it

Sanzhar Korganbayev  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Politecnico di Milano  
Milan, Italy  
sanzhar.korganbayev@polimi.it

Martina De Landro  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Politecnico di Milano  
Milan, Italy  
martina.delandro@polimi.it

Paola Saccomandi  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Politecnico di Milano  
Milan, Italy  
paola.saccomandi@polimi.it

Abstract— Real-time monitoring and temperature-based control are beneficial for optimizing the outcomes of thermal ablation treatments. In this paper, simulations and experiments were performed to investigate the efficacy of the temperature-feedback control system in confining the thermal damaged area and in maintaining the setpoint temperature. The implemented control system adjusts the laser source power based on the maximum temperature values measured by fiber Bragg grating sensors. The theoretical model predicts the thermal response of the biological tissue under different control parameters and allows calculating the produced thermal damage. Different setpoint temperatures ranging from 43 °C to 60 °C were chosen to evaluate their effects on the irradiated tissue region, in terms of temperature trend and thermal damage. The numerical results are validated by the experimental temperature trends obtained applying the same control strategy. Finally, both the simulation results and experiment outcomes show the capability of the control system to confine the tissue thermal damaged area by performing a laser ablation procedure almost at the set temperature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Therapy techniques are being performed as a standard procedure to treat unrecteatable tumors [1]. Laser Ablation (LA) is a minimal invasiveness treatment that allows having a shorter recovery time and a lower risk of unwanted effects after the treatment. In particular, it has been proven that LA can treat many primary and secondary neoplasms [2]. The treated tissue healing response depends on the reached degree of thermal injury [3], and the exposure time and temperature involved are decisive parameters to determine the degree of thermal damage at the end of treatments [4]. The temperatures reached during the photothermal procedure leads to specific biological effects, therefore they can be used to categorize the different regions of the treated area: temperatures comprised between 41 °C and 45 °C lead to a reversible thermal damaged area, and temperatures above 50 °C produce an irreversible thermal damage zone (due to an enzyme activity reduction) [5]. Consequently, the use of a feedback control system based on real-time tissue temperature measurements can be decisive in minimizing unwanted thermal injury during LA. In fact, various temperature-controlled systems have been implemented based on thermocouples [6] and thermistors [7]. Thermocouple and thermistor probes are made of metallic material, thus they could absorb laser light experiencing self-heating that leads to measurement errors. Moreover, being single-point measurement instruments, they are characterized by low spatial resolution. Recently, our group has established the first strategy with fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensors for controlling LA based on temperature measurements [8], [9]. Being characterized by low heat conductivity, immunity to electromagnetic interferences, and having the multiplexing capability, these sensors are compatible with LA treatments [10].

The current study aims at improving the efficacy of FBG-assisted LA, by predicting and evaluating the performances of the control-strategy on the target tissue through a numerical model. To limit the increase of tissue surface temperature, controlled LA was performed based on an ON-OFF control algorithm triggered by FBG sensors. Both numerical modeling and experimental analysis were implemented for different setpoint temperatures $T_s$ (i.e. 60 °C, 55 °C, 48 °C, and 43°C) to evaluate the degree of thermal injury and the tissue temperature distribution.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Temperature control logic

The temperature feedback control-loop is based on the ON-OFF control algorithm which establishes the current state of the laser source according to the real-time measured
temperature value. The laser current is switched to the OFF state (laser power \( P = 0 \) W) when the maximum value of the measured temperatures exceeds the setpoint value \( T_s \); otherwise, it is switched to the ON state (laser power \( P = 2 \) W).

To prevent possible overloading of the laser source equipment, the time interval (\( \Delta t \)) that regulates the change of the laser current-state does not correspond to the acquisition time interval of temperature measurements (\( \tau_T < 0.1 \) s). The temperature control logic aims at maintaining the maximum temperature measured at the setpoint value, and for contactless LA it has been proven that \( \Delta t = 1 \) s allows a smooth temperature control logic aims at

\[
\rho \cdot c \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-k \nabla T) = \dot{Q}_{\text{laser}}
\]

(1)

where \( \rho \) (kg·m\(^{-3}\)), \( c \) (J·kg\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)), and \( k \) (W·m\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)) are the density, the specific heat, and the thermal conductivity of tissue, respectively; \( T \) (K) is the local tissue temperature, and \( \dot{Q}_{\text{laser}} \) (W·m\(^{-3}\)) is the external heat source brought by the laser light. The external heat generated by the laser-tissue interaction can be modeled according to the Beer-Lambert law [13]:

\[
\dot{Q}_{\text{laser}} = \alpha_q \cdot I \cdot \exp(-\alpha_q \cdot d)
\]

(2)

where \( \alpha_q \) (m\(^{-1}\)) is the absorption coefficient, \( d \) (m) is the axial depth in tissue, and \( I \) (W·m\(^{-2}\)) is the laser irradiance. A near-infrared wavelength is employed on biological material, leading to a non-negligible optical scattering phenomenon formation. To model the absorption and the scattering contribution an effective attenuation coefficient \( \alpha_{\text{eff}} \) was used in (2) instead of \( \alpha_q \) [14].

The convective heat transfer equation (3) was included in the model as boundary condition [15] to consider the influence of air on the irradiated surface during the contactless LA procedure.

\[
-k \cdot \nabla T = q_{c,0} + h \cdot (T_{\text{ext}} - T)
\]

(3)

where \( q_{c,0} \) (W·m\(^{-2}\)) is the heat exchanged with the surrounding air, \( h \) (W·m\(^{-2}\)·K\(^{-1}\)) is the air convective heat transfer coefficient, \( T_{\text{ext}} \) (K) is the ambient air temperature, and \( \partial \sigma \) is the external surface subjected to the heat flux.

To calculate the degree of tissue injury \( \Omega(t) \) during the laser irradiation procedure, the Arrhenius equation [16] was considered in the model, according to:

\[
\Omega(t) = A_f \cdot \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT(t)}\right) dt
\]

(4)

where \( A_f \) (s\(^{-1}\)) is the frequency factor, \( E_a \) (J·mol\(^{-1}\)) the denaturation activation energy, \( R \) (J·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)) is the universal gas constant, and \( t \) (s) is the irradiation time. At \( \Omega(t) = 1 \) the probability of cell destruction is equal to 63\% [17], hence this threshold was used to identify the necrotic tissue region.

C. Experimental setup

Contactless LA experiments were performed with an 808 nm diode laser. The laser light was delivered on the surface of an ex vivo porcine liver through a multimode 440 μm diameter quartz optical fiber connected to a collimator (OZ Optics Ltd., Ottawa, Canada). The thermal ablation was performed with 2 W laser power during the ON current-state and with a laser
irradiation duration of 90 s (made of heating phase and controlling phase). The sensing region of one FBG array (consisting of 40 sensors placed at 10 µm edge-to-edge distance, SM1500(9/125)P, Fibercore Ltd., Southampton, UK) was placed on the phantom surface in correspondence of the laser spot. The sensing length of the whole array covers 4.8 cm. The control algorithm was designed and implemented in LabVIEW; the program receives through the Micron Optics si255 interrogation unit the real-time Bragg wavelength values $\lambda_B(T, t)$ and converts them into temperature measurements $T(t)$; based on these measurements, the algorithm changes the laser current-state $I(t)$ at the chosen $\Delta t$.

Fig. 2 depicts the experimental setup and the implemented control strategy.

![Experimental setup](image)

Fig. 2. Schematic illustrations on the experimental setup for tissue testing under thermal treatment controlled with the implemented strategy for laser current control.

III. RESULTS

Fig. 3 reports the temperature maps of the controlled simulations for the following $T_s$: 60 °C, 55 °C, 48 °C, and 43 °C; on the left, the cases at the moment in which the maximum temperature (maximum peak) is reached are reported. Conversely, on the right, the temperature distributions when the minimum temperature is recorded during the controlled phase of LA are shown.

Fig. 4 reports the power profiles for different $T_s$. We can observe that the time needed to activate the power control is dependent on the value of $T_s$: higher setpoint temperatures require longer times to trigger the first ON-OFF. Indeed, it ranges from 34 s in the case of $T_s = 60$ °C, to 10 s for $T_s = 43$ °C. For higher $T_s$, the number of times in which the laser was in OFF state is lower since the heating phase lasts more (as stated before, longer times are needed to reach higher $T_s$).

As shown in Fig. 5, the experimental temperature profiles follow the trends predicted by the simulations. The temperature profile similarity between the experiments and simulations can be further improved by a deep analysis of raising times and peak temperatures. The raising time is the time needed to reach the setpoint temperature (duration of the heating phase). The raising times last: 10 s, 14.6 s, 23.9 s, and 33.7 s for the experiments and 11.1 s, 16 s, 25.2 s, and 34.3 s during the simulations for the $T_s$ equal to 43 °C, 48 °C, 55 °C, and 60 °C, respectively. It is also important to assess the peak temperature value since it can lead to an unwanted thermal effect and consecutive damage in the tissue. Peaks are present in both simulations and experiments; the maximum overshoots are: 2.2 °C, 2.1 °C, 1.7 °C, and 1.7 °C for simulations, and 2.3 °C, 2.2 °C, 1.9 °C, and 2.0 °C for experiments, at $T_s$ equal to 43 °C, 48 °C, 55 °C, and 60 °C, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 5, the experimental temperature profiles follow the trends predicted by the simulations. The temperature profile similarity between the experiments and simulations can be further improved by a deep analysis of raising times and peak temperatures. The raising time is the time needed to reach the setpoint temperature (duration of the heating phase). The raising times last: 10 s, 14.6 s, 23.9 s, and 33.7 s for the experiments and 11.1 s, 16 s, 25.2 s, and 34.3 s during the simulations for the $T_s$ equal to 43 °C, 48 °C, 55 °C, and 60 °C, respectively. It is also important to assess the peak temperature value since it can lead to an unwanted thermal effect and consecutive damage in the tissue. Peaks are present in both simulations and experiments; the maximum overshoots are: 2.2 °C, 2.1 °C, 1.7 °C, and 1.7 °C for simulations, and 2.3 °C, 2.2 °C, 1.9 °C, and 2.0 °C for experiments, at $T_s$ equal to 43 °C, 48 °C, 55 °C, and 60 °C, respectively. The chosen $T_s$ affects the temperature values reached inside the heated region and its extension, as it can be seen from Fig. 3. Since the thermal damage is a function of
The reached temperature and the exposure time, being the latter fixed, the adopted setpoint temperature influences the degree of injury and its extension. This can be clearly seen on the left of Fig. 6 that shows the treated tissue at the end of the experimental LA procedure. The uncontrolled LA has the largest and most clearly defined thermally affected area with an inner region of ablated tissue. A smaller defined thermal affected area is present for $T_s = 60 \, ^\circ C$ and $55 \, ^\circ C$ without a region of visible ablated area. For $T_s = 48 \, ^\circ C$ and $43 \, ^\circ C$, it is difficult to macroscopically observe the boundary of the thermally affected area. On the right of Fig. 6, the different effects at the end of the LA simulations are reported. The area of necrotic tissue was observed only for the uncontrolled LA case. To consider also the thermally affected areas the following thresholds have been considered: $T \geq 50 \, ^\circ C$ (that corresponds to an enzyme activity reduction) and $T \geq 43 \, ^\circ C$ (that indicates a change in the cells conformation). This last threshold has been represented only if the tissue experienced $43 \, ^\circ C$ at least for one minute. For 120 s of LA procedure (including 30 s of cooling phase), the temperature-feedback control was able to hinder the growth of the thermally affected area.

Fig. 5. Maximum temperature profiles of experiments (blue line) and simulations (red line) obtained from uncontrolled LA (a) and different set point temperatures $T_s$ equal to (b) $60 \, ^\circ C$; (c) $55 \, ^\circ C$; (d) $48 \, ^\circ C$, and (e) $43 \, ^\circ C$.

Fig. 6. Thermal damaged areas of the experiments (left) and simulations (right) for the uncontrolled case (a) and different setpoint temperatures $T_s$ equal to (b) $60 \, ^\circ C$; (c) $55 \, ^\circ C$; (d) $48 \, ^\circ C$, and (e) $43 \, ^\circ C$. 
IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Both numerical simulations and experiments validate the ON-OFF control algorithm, developed to limit the maximum temperature and therefore prevent undesirable thermal effects on the treated tissue. To identify the advantages of controlled LA treatment, two different irradiation modalities (ON-OFF controlled and uncontrolled) were compared numerically and experimentally. During the uncontrolled case, the temperatures involved are elevated leading to undesired and irreversible tissue thermal damage (cell necrosis). In the case of controlled LA, different experiments were performed to evaluate the thermal tissue response to different $T_s$ (i.e. $60 \degree C$, $55 \degree C$, $48 \degree C$, and $43 \degree C$). As a result, irreversible thermal damage occurs without cell necrosis formation at $T_s = 60 \degree C$ and $T_s = 55 \degree C$ [18]; for the remaining $T_s$, only reversible thermal effects are present at the end of the laser irradiation (which lasts 90 s).

In this paper, a theoretical model has been developed as a preliminary pre-operative treatment planning tool to find the laser setting parameters in order to optimize the outcomes of LA. Photothermal-induced heat transfer in biological tissue is a complex phenomenon and numerical results of theoretical models may divert from experimental values [6]. The complexity of the treatment, along with the specific mechanical, thermal, and optical properties of the tissue and the non-ideal physiological conditions, usually limits the repeatability of the thermal effect [8] thus can impair the final clinical outcome. This is one of the main motivations at the basis of the need of a real-time temperature control strategy. Our control strategy is based on the temperature measurements provided by the FBG array. The chosen measurement system is more compatible with LA than conventional measurement techniques. Indeed, with respect to single-point temperature measurement systems, the highly-dense FBG array is characterized by higher spatial resolution [8] allowing the detection of high-gradient temperature profiles and by lower laser light absorption; both characteristics lead to an accurate evaluation of the temperature distribution, also in in vivo cases [19]. With respect to contactless thermometric systems, the FBG array is also suitable to implement a temperature feedback control-loop for interstitial laser ablation. [20]

The availability of a theoretical model that accurately predicts the thermal response of the tissue is fundamental to design the control parameters ($T_s$ in this study) and to perform a preliminary analysis of the control strategy adequate for the target. The numerical and experimental results, here presented, prove the effectiveness of the designed control strategy, and the influence of the chosen setpoint temperature on the nature and the extension of thermal damage.

Some improvements of this study can go in two main directions, one associated with the control strategy, and one related to the model used for studying the heat-transfer in tissues. Indeed, the ON-OFF control algorithm here presented limits the maximum temperature without eliminating the presence of peaks due to the temperature oscillations around the setpoint temperature. The implementation of a proportional-integrative-derivative (PID) controller might mitigate the mentioned limitation in future works. Lastly, the theoretical model should be developed to simulate the control parameters in a more complex scenario, like in vivo perfused organs.
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