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ABSTRACT: Luminescent solar concentrators (LSCs) consist of dye-doped, highly transparent, plastic plates aimed at improving the building integration and reducing the cost of photovoltaic (PV) technology. In the thin-film configuration, the surface of these devices is particularly exposed to mechanical damage and to a consequent loss of performance in terms of power conversion. To address this issue, the first demonstration of a healable thin-film LSC based on a photoreversible polymer network as the host matrix is presented in this work. The photoresponsive matrix is obtained by simple UV curing of a coumarin functional polyurethane through reversible [2+2] cycloaddition. The final cross-linked coating is optically clear and exhibits excellent scratch remendability after suitable UVC/UVA exposure. The LSC devices obtained by doping the responsive matrix with perylene- or coumarin-based organic dyes possess a remarkable PV performance, comparable with control devices based on poly(methyl methacrylate). The loss of performance induced by surface mechanical scratches can be fully recovered by UV irradiation, as a result of the photoreversibility of the coumarin functional polymer network. The healing strategy presented here, purely light-triggered, expands the tools for the design of durable and responsive thin-film LSCs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The growing shift toward renewable resources for energy production has recently raised again the interest in photovoltaic (PV) technologies. Their efficient integration into existing buildings is one of the key challenges to be faced to meet the growing global demand for electricity.1 In this context, luminescent solar concentrators (LSCs) represent a practical solution to this issue, owing to their transparency, easy color and shape tunability, and direct production from available structural materials (typically glass or commodity polymers).2−4 An LSC device is essentially made of a transparent material (i.e., the host matrix) doped with a luminescent species, or luminophore, able to harvest incident solar light by absorption. A fraction of the photons emitted by the luminophore (usually by fluorescence) remains trapped within the matrix, as the latter has a higher refractive index than the surrounding environment (air), and is conveyed toward the edges of the device and collected by small-area PV cells.5 The whole photon collection process is not exempt from loss events, which strongly affect the performance of the device. In this context, an ideal luminophore should provide a broad light collection, possess a high luminescent quantum yield (LQY), and a large Stokes shift to minimize self-absorption.6 To meet these demands, a wide variety of dopants, mainly organic dyes, inorganic quantum dots, and transition-metal complexes, have been developed in the last few decades.7−10 The host matrix plays a crucial role as well since it should be highly transparent to minimize parasitic absorption, have a high refractive index (>1.5) and a homogeneous surface to avoid reflection and scattering phenomena, and should efficiently disperse the luminophore.1 Conventional thermoplastics such as polyacrylates (in particular poly(methyl methacrylate), PMMA) and polycarbonates usually meet these requirements and thus represent a common and cheap choice for the design of LSC devices.3,11

A real-life implementation of these matrices raises the issue of durability of such LSC devices in outdoor environment. In fact, weathering caused by environmental agents such as radiation, oxygen, moisture, dust, and mechanical damages can significantly degrade the polymer matrix, resulting in a reduction in the smoothness of device surface, a consequent
Self-healing coatings are a class of smart coatings able to repair small mechanical damages autonomously (typically by the rupture of microcapsules containing the healing agent) or in response to a suitable stimulus in the form of heat or radiation (a nonautonomous mechanism). Within this context, the self-healing function could extend the lifetime of LSC devices, particularly those in thin-film configurations, which are more prone to mechanical damage with respect to bulk-configuration devices owing to their high surface/thickness ratio. Self-healing coatings are a class of smart coatings able to repair small mechanical damages autonomously (typically by the rupture of microcapsules containing the healing agent) or in response to a suitable stimulus in the form of heat or radiation (a nonautonomous mechanism). Within this context, the self-healing function could extend the lifetime of LSC devices, particularly those in thin-film configurations, which are more prone to mechanical damage with respect to bulk-configuration devices owing to their high surface/thickness ratio.
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In light of this, some strategies to overcome the limitations of LSC devices with efficiency comparable with reference state-of-the-art systems. Moreover, a purely photoinduced healing of the damaged surface of the device resulted in a complete recovery of the original performance.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials. 7-Hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin (HMC), 2-bromothanol, dibutylin dilaurate (DBDTL), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), ethyl acetate, and chloroform were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Potassium carbonate was obtained from CARLO ERBA Reagents. Desmodur XP 2599 (DX2599, aliphatic isocyanate prepolymer with 6.0 wt % NCO, average functionality of 4.2) was kindly provided by Covestro. Lumogen F Red 305 (LR305) was supplied by BASF and Coumarin 6 (C6), more specifically 3-(2-benzothiazolyl)-7-(diethylamino) coumarin, by TCI Chemicals. PMMA (ALTUGLAS BS 550) and index matching liquid 150 (IML150) were purchased from Arkema and Norland, respectively. Monocrystalline high-efficiency silicon solar cells were provided by IXYS (IXOLAR SolarBIT KXOB22-12X1F, active area = 2.2 cm²). Typically, HMC (8 g, 45.4 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of DMF in a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer. Upon addition of 2-bromothanol (8.6 g, 68.8 mmol) and potassium carbonate (9.1 g, 69.4 mmol), the mixture was stirred for 18 h at 90 °C, cooled to room temperature, and poured into 150 mL of ice water. The solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized twice from ethyl acetate to give a yellow powder. Yield = 78%; mp 149 °C; IR (KBr): ν = 3439 (s; ν(C–O–H)), 3069 (w, ν(Aromatic C–H)), 2955, 2931 (w, ν(Aromatic C–H)), 1701 (s, ν(C=O)), 1621 (s, ν(C≡C pyrone ring)), 1555, 1388 (m, aromatic ν(C=C)), 1153, 1076 cm⁻¹ (m, ν(C–O)). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ: 7.65 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 6.95 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 6.18 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, C=C–H), 4.92 (br, 1H, –OH), 4.09 (t, f = 4.9 Hz, 2H, –CH₂–), 3.73 (s, f = 4.9 Hz, 2H, –CH₂–), 2.38 (d, f = 1.1 Hz, 3H, –CH₃), and 1.15 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, –CH₂–). 2.2. Synthesis of Coumarin Urethane Prepolymer (PU-Cou). Desmodur XP 2599 (7.3 g, 10.4 mmol of NCO) was weighed into a two-necked round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer. The flask was sealed with a rubber septum and heated for 1 h at 90 °C, cooled to room temperature, and poured into 150 mL of ice water. Under dynamic vacuum, to dry the prepolymer. Upon cooling to rt, the flask was filled with nitrogen and a solution of HEOMC (3.08 g, 10.1 mmol) in 50 mL of DMF was added. DBDTL (1 wt % with respect to dry reagents) was added and stirred for 2 h at room temperature (rt), under an inert atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by following the disappearance of 2270 cm⁻¹ band (NCO stretching in isocyanates) in the FTIR spectra. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residual solids were redissolved in THF (10 wt%). Insoluble particles (unreacted HEOMC) were separated by centrifugation, while the supernatant was dried in a vacuum oven (50 °C, 24 h) to give a waxy, white solid. Yield = 92%.

2.4. Coating Preparation and UV Irradiation. PU-Cou was spin-coated (600 rpm, 60 s) from chloroform solutions (120 mg/mL) on glass or quartz slides using a Laurell WS-400BZ-6NPP/LITE instrument. The obtained samples were irradiated under UV polymerization apparatus (POLIMER 400W, Helios Quartz) equipped with high-pressure mercury lamps. Specifically, cross-linking was performed using a UV lamp (Zs type, Helios Quartz) with the emission window between 315 and 405 nm and with radiative power density equal to 54.6 mW/cm². De-cross-linking was performed under a UVC lamp (Zp type, Helios Quartz) with the emission window between 100 and 400 nm, equipped with a 254 nm bandpass filter (Omega Optical, 254 nm CWL, Hard Coated OD Bandpass Filter), and a power density of 2.4 mW/cm². Irradiations were performed both in air and an inert atmosphere (flush of nitrogen).
2.5. LSC Device Fabrication. LSCs were fabricated in thin-film configuration starting from chloroform solutions of PU-Cou macro-mer (120 mg/mL) with different concentrations of LR305 or C6 dyes (1–9 wt % with respect to dry polymer). Films with an average thickness of ~1.5 μm were obtained by spin-coating (600 rpm for 60 s) onto 4.4 × 4.4 × 0.6 cm² glass slabs and UVA irradiation (120 min). LSCs were attached to two modules, each incorporating two monocrystalline silicon solar cells connected in series, by means of a low-viscosity IML150 index matching liquid (viscosity 100 cP, refractive index ~1.52), so that two opposite edges of the glass substrate faced the photovoltaic area of one PV module each.

2.6. Structural and Thermal Characterization. 1H-NMR (400 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 using deuterated DMSO as the solvent. FTIR spectra were recorded using a Thermo Nicolet Nexus 670 instrument. Measurements were performed on solids coated on KBr discs, recording 64 accumulated scans at a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. GPC analyses were performed on an apparatus consisting of a Waters 515 HPLC pump (mobile phase, THF; flow rate, 1 mL/min, at 35 °C), three Styragel columns (models HR 4, HR 3, and HR 2) from Waters, and a refractive index detector Waters 2410. Samples were dissolved in THF at concentrations of 0.2 wt %. A calibration curve was prepared using monodispersed fractions of polystyrene. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses were performed with a DSC 823e Mettler-Toledo instrument by applying the following thermal cycle: from 25 to 180 °C, from 180 to −50 °C, and from −50 to 180 °C. The heating/cooling rate was 20 °C/min. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed with a Q500 TGA system (TA Instruments) from rt to 800 °C at a scan rate of 10 °C/min in an air atmosphere.

2.7. Optical Characterization. UV-visible spectroscopy analyses were performed at rt on a Thermo Scientific Evolution 600 UV–vis spectrophotometer. Relative reflectance was measured on a Jasco V-570 UV–vis–NIR spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere and a PTFE foil as reference. Reflective index was measured using a Filmetrics F20 thin-film analyzer in the wavelength range of 400–800 nm and the BK7 reflection standard was used to calibrate the instrument in the contact stage mode. The samples for reflective index analysis were prepared by spin-coating (600 rpm, 60 s) a chloroform solution of PU-Cou (80 mg/mL) on a silicon wafer substrate, followed by UVA irradiation for cross-linking. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Jasco FP-6600 spectrofluorometer. Photoluminescence quantum yield of cross-linked PU-Cou was measured on a FluoroMax-2 fluorometer equipped with a Leica DMI3000B fluorescence microscope. Measurements were performed through a Leica DMI3000B fluorescence microscope depending on the excitation standard was used to calibrate the instrument in the contact stage mode. The samples for reflective index analysis were prepared by spin-coating (600 rpm, 60 s) a chloroform solution of PU-Cou (80 mg/mL) on a silicon wafer substrate, followed by UVA irradiation for cross-linking. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Jasco FP-6600 spectrofluorometer. Photoluminescence quantum yield of cross-linked PU-Cou was measured on a FluoroMax-2 spectrofluorometer equipped with an R928 photomultiplier tube. Optical and fluorescence micrographs were recorded through a Leica DMi3000B fluorescence microscope equipped with a digital camera, using specific filters depending on the excitation and emission wavelengths of the investigated samples. Device efficiency measurements were performed using an Abet Technologies Sun 2000 solar simulator with AM1.5G filter. A Keithley 2612B source-measuring unit was used to perform the voltage scans and measure the current output. The data obtained were averaged out of at least three different devices.

2.8. Photochemical Stability and Surface Properties. Weathering tests were performed in a Solarbox 3000e weather-o-meter (Cofomegra srl), equipped with a xenon lamp and an outdoor filter (λ > 280 nm). The total applied irradiance was equal to 550 W/m² in the 300–800 nm range at a temperature of 40 °C and an average relative humidity of 25%. Adhesion strength of the coatings on glass substrates was determined through a PosiTector AT-M Manual pull-off tester (DeFelsko) by measuring the pulling force needed to detach a 20 mm diameter aluminum foil dully glued to the cross-linked coatings by means of a two-component epoxy adhesive (Araldite 2011, curing cycle: 50 °C, 24 h). Wettability was studied in terms of static optical contact angle (OCA) measurements, which were performed with OCA 20 (DataPhysics) equipped with a CCD photocamera and with a 500 μL Hamilton syringe to dispense liquid droplets. Water and diiodomethane (CH2I2) were used as probe liquids. The surface energy of the coatings was calculated according to the Owens–Wendt–Rabel–Kaelble (OWRK) method.36

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization of Coumarin-Functionalized Polyurethane (PU-Cou). The photosensitive polyurethane was obtained by a one-step reaction between a commercial aliphatic isocyanate prepolymer (Desmodur XP 2599, from here on referred to as DX2599) and a coumarin derivative (7-(hydroxy ethoxy)-4-methylocoumarin, HEOMC) (Scheme 1A). Since aliphatic alcohols exhibit higher reactivity toward isocyanate addition compared to the aromatic ones,37 7-hydroxy-4-methylocoumarin (HMC) was reacted with 2-bromoethanol to obtain an aliphatic alcohol (HEOMC).36 Indeed, the reaction was complete in 2 h at rt and the coumarin-modified prepolymer (PU-Cou) was obtained in excellent yield. The modification was studied by FTIR spectra (Figures 1A and S1 in the Supporting Information). Specifically, the signals at 3440 cm⁻¹ (O–H stretching) and 2270 cm⁻¹ (N=CH-O stretching) associated with HEOMC and isocyanate precursor, respectively, are absent in the final product, confirming full conversion of isocyanate and alcohol groups. Moreover, the peaks appearing in the PU-Cou spectrum at 3075 cm⁻¹ (unsaturated C–H stretching), 1618 cm⁻¹ (C=C stretching in pyrrole rings), and 1152 cm⁻¹ (C–H bending in pyrrole rings)36 confirmed the incorporation of coumarin moieties in the prepolymer. GPC analyses evidenced a clear increase in the number average

Scheme 1. (A) Functionalization of Polyisocyanate (DX2599) with Coumarin Precursor (HEOMC) and (B) Reversible Cross-Linking of PU-Cou via Photoinduced [2+2] Dimerization of Coumarin Groups
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molecular weight ($M_n$) from 3210 g/mol for the isocyanate prepolymer to 4370 g/mol for PU-Cou, while polydispersity index ($D$) was around 1.1 for both the macromers, providing further confirmation of functionalization.

UV−vis absorption spectrum (Figure 1B) of PU-Cou coated on quartz displayed a broad, intense signal around 320 nm, which is characteristic of substituted coumarins and related to overlapping $\pi-\pi^*$ electron transitions of conjugated benzene and pyrone chromophores. Moreover, the fluorescence emission spectrum, with 320 nm as the excitation wavelength, exhibited a broad band peaking at $\lambda = 394$ nm (blue curve), which is typical of coumarin derivatives bearing electron-donating groups at position 7, as the precursor HEOMC.52

3.2. Photoinduced Cross-Linking and Characterization. The photoreactivity of PU-Cou was studied by recording UV−vis spectra of thin films spin-coated on quartz slides after different time intervals of exposure under the UVA source ($\lambda > 300$ nm). As evidenced in Figure 2A, the strong absorption signal at around 320 nm gradually decreased with the irradiation time. As the double bonds of coumarin groups reacted to form the cyclobutane rings by [2+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 1B), the conjugation is progressively lost, leading to a decrease in the absorbance. The dimerization degree (DD%) was calculated according to the following equation:

$$DD\% = \left(1 - \frac{A_t}{A_0}\right) \times 100$$

where $A_0$ and $A_t$ are the absorption intensities at 320 nm before and after a time $t$ of UV exposure, respectively. As shown in Figure 2B, the dimerization degree increases over time and reaches a plateau after 120 min of exposure, corresponding to conversion of 93.2%, in line with previously reported systems.37,53 As reported in Figure 2C, the UVA treatment for 120 min (corresponding to the maximum conversion of coumarin groups) strongly reduced the fluorescence intensity at 394 nm, owing to the decrease in the concentration of luminophores in dimerized PU-Cou.54 Nevertheless, the decrease was only 68.8%, significantly lower than the one calculated from the absorbance spectra (93.2%). The difference can be explained by considering that a lower number of coumarin chromophores also results in a lower probability of reabsorption/re-emission events, as suggested by the slight blue shift in the fluorescence spectrum of dimerized PU-Cou with respect to as-cast material.

Owing to the high functionality of PU-Cou (around 4.2 coumarin units per mole, based on the NCO/mole ratio of the parent polyisocyanate), the UVA photoinduced dimerization process of coumarin moieties is expected to yield photocuring of the material. Indeed, upon UVA irradiation, the soluble macromer turned into an insoluble, densely cross-linked network, as evidenced by gel content measurements. Specifically, PU-Cou was spin-coated from chloroform solutions on glass slides and irradiated under UVA (120 min). The obtained samples, with an average thickness of 1.5 μm, were immersed in chloroform for 24 h and the residual insoluble content was found to be >95%, consistent with the dimerization degree calculated by UV−vis spectroscopy.

An extensive characterization of cross-linked PU-Cou was performed in terms of thermal, optical, and surface properties to assess its relevance for optical coating applications. As for thermal characterization, DSC traces evidenced a single glass transition ($T_g$) at around 50 °C for the cross-linked polymer (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information), while the pristine PU-Cou macromer displayed a broad melting transition around 70 °C, which was evidently suppressed after the UVA-induced dimerization. The decomposition profile, measured by TGA in air, did not show any degradation event before 210 °C (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information), thus demonstrating the excellent thermal stability of the cross-linked material.55 As for the optical properties, coatings were visually clear and colorless after the UVA irradiation, with a transmittance greater than 90% in the 400−800 nm range (Figure 2D). Specifically, no discoloring was observed, owing to the inherent UV stability of aliphatic polyurethanes compared to the aromatic ones.56 Such high transparency was retained even during a long-term (>500 h) accelerated weathering test under continuous white-light illumination (Figure S4, Supporting Information), clearly evidencing the excellent photostability of the cross-linked PU-Cou system and confirming its potential suitability for outdoor use. Furthermore, the reflectance was as low as 8.0% over the 400−800 nm spectral range and the refractive index resulted to be 1.51 ($\lambda = 589$ nm). Both values were close to those reported for poly(methyl methacrylate) and, combined with its high transparency, demonstrated the suitability of cross-linked PU-Cou as a potential host matrix for LSCs.57 The LQY of the cross-linked coatings resulted to be 26.7%. Regarding surface properties,
static contact angle measurements evidenced moderate hydrophobicity ($\theta_{H2O} = 93^\circ$) and surface energy ($\gamma = 46.12$ mN/m) predominantly determined by the dispersive component ($\gamma_d = 46.01$ mN/m). Lastly, the adhesion strength on glass obtained from pull-off tests was found to be higher than 16 MPa, with cohesive failure in the glass substrate and no detachment of the coating. The value is particularly remarkable in view of the application of this material as a functional coating for transparent substrates, e.g., on retrofitted windows.

### 3.3. Photoinduced Network Reversibility and Self-Healing

The cross-linked coatings were irradiated under monochromatic UVC light ($\lambda = 254$ nm). As evidenced by the progressive increase of the absorption signal at around 320 nm (Figure 3A), the photocleavage of cyclobutane adducts in the dimers restores the conjugation of the coumarin moieties (Scheme 1B). The DD% decreased over time reaching a plateau at 23% (Figure 3B), implying that the photocleavage was not complete. This feature is generally observed in coumarin-based photoreversible polymer networks when irradiated in the solid state and it is ascribed to a dynamic equilibrium between cycloaddition and its reverse.30,31,39 Fluorescence emission spectra (Figure 3C) evidenced the high degree of recovery ($\approx 85\%$) of the original emission intensity after UVC irradiation. FTIR analysis, performed on thin films coated on KBr crystal windows, allowed to monitor structural changes after the UVA/UVC irradiation cycles. In accordance with the literature,56 the spectrum of pristine, uncross-linked PU-Cou presented two relevant signals in the 1800–1600 cm$^{-1}$ spectral range: the band centered at 1718 cm$^{-1}$ (C=O of pyrone ring overlapped with C=O in polyurethane) and the one at 1618 cm$^{-1}$ (C=C of pyrone ring overlapped with phenyl ring). Upon UVA irradiation, a shoulder peak appeared at 1760 cm$^{-1}$, while the band at 1618 cm$^{-1}$ decreased and shifted, indicating conversion of double bonds. The subsequent UVC irradiation allowed to restore the structural changes (Figures 3D and S5 in the Supporting Information).

Photoinduced dimerization and cleavage were performed cyclically by alternating UVA and UVC irradiations (Figure 4A). The slight decrease in the efficiency of the dimerization/cleavage process upon repeated cycles is commonly observed in coumarin-based systems and attributed to side reactions during the 254 nm treatment, which cause the formation of nonreversible adducts and/or cleavage byproducts.30,39 Nevertheless, the polymer network displayed high reversibility and recovery of fluorescence during multiple cycles (Figure 4B). Indeed, full reversibility on a molecular scale is not a necessary condition for complete aesthetical and functional recovery of the coating surface, as confirmed by qualitative self-healing tests. The PU-Cou coating was cross-linked under UVA and its surface was damaged by means of a scalpel, producing scratches with an average width of 30–40 $\mu$m and depth lower than the coating thickness (Figure 4C). Upon exposure to UVC ($\lambda = 254$ nm, 160 min) followed by UVA ($\lambda > 300$ nm, 120 min), complete repair was observed (Figure 4D). Exposure times were established to maximize the number of free coumarins generated during the photocleavage and the number of dimer adducts during the photo-cross-linking stage, on the basis of the kinetics determined by UV–vis spectroscopy. Two control experiments were performed to elucidate the specific role of [2+2] photocycloaddition in the repairability of the polymer matrix. First, when the damaged samples were directly irradiated for 5 h under UVA only, no
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Figure 2. (A) UV–vis absorption spectra of PU-Cou coating and (B) percent conversion of coumarin groups into dimers as a function of different UVA ($\lambda > 300$ nm) irradiation times. (C) Fluorescence emission spectra of pristine PU-Cou and after UVA irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm, 120 min). (D) UV–vis transmission spectrum of PU-Cou after irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm, 120 min); inset: picture of the cross-linked PU-Cou coated on a glass slab, evidencing its excellent transparency in the visible spectrum.
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healing was observed (Figure S6A, Supporting Information). This indicates that the sole mechanical damage, which is commonly considered to occur preferentially at the cyclobutane cross-links, does not generate enough free coumarin moieties able to promote healing through UVA re-cross-linking. As a consequence, a preliminary UVC treatment for photocleavage is necessary to achieve the repair, in accordance with the majority of previously reported systems.29,36,38 Second, when damaged samples were heated at 60 °C (i.e., above the Tg) for 5 h in the dark, no healing was observed, pointing out that the sole thermal stimulus was not able to induce repair (Figure S6B, Supporting Information). The photoinduced healing ability in the presence of multiple surface scratches was also investigated. As more extensively discussed in Section S6 in the Supporting Information, optical microscopy and profi- lumetric analyses proved the full repair of the PU-Cou coating after healing treatment (UVC+UVA). These results further demonstrate the excellent photoinduced healing ability of our system.

3.4. Dye Incorporation and Optical Characterization.

Given the excellent thermal, optical, and functional characteristics of this photoresponsive coumarin-based coating system, its suitability as host matrix material for LSC application was investigated by doping PU-Cou with two distinct fluorophores: Lumogen F Red 305 (LR305) and Coumarin 6 (C6), selected because of their wide availability, high LQY (>95%), and broad Stokes shift.52 In particular, LR305 represents the state-of-the-art in organic dopants for LSCS,52,57,58 while C6, a widely used fluorescent dye,59 only recently was proposed as a luminescent dopant for this application.60 Each dye was combined with PU-Cou in chloroform solution, spin-coated on glass slabs, and irradiated under UVA for 120 min to ensure maximum cross-linking of the polymer matrix. The obtained coatings were visually clear and homogeneous. Upon immersing the coated slides in chloroform for 24 h under stirring, the dyes were completely extracted from the cross-linked PU-Cou coatings, as confirmed by UV−vis spectroscopy (Figure S9, Supporting Information). Thus, no chemical interaction between the embedded fluorescent dyes and the host matrix was found to occur during the UVA treatment to induce cross-linking.

To gain preliminary insights into the optical properties of the selected fluorophores, a first characterization by means of UV−vis and fluorescence spectroscopy was performed on LR305 and C6 dispersed in a reference polymeric matrix (i.e., PMMA) subjected to the same UVA treatment used on PU-Cou coatings. The absorption spectrum of LR305/PMMA (Figure 5A) exhibited the two characteristic peaks of LR305 (445 and 575 nm) and a peak at 611 nm in the fluorescence emission spectrum, in accordance with the literature.21,61 As for C6/PMMA, the peak at 444−450 nm in the absorption spectrum and the one at 539 nm in the emission profile (Figure 5B) are indicative of coumarin derivatives substituted with an amino group at the 7 position of the benzene ring, as in C6.52,62,63 In addition, the spectral overlap of the absorption spectrum of the luminophore (acceptor species) with the emission spectrum of the undoped cross-linked PU-Cou coating (donor specie) suggested possible occurrence of energy transfer via fluorescence resonance mechanism.
Indeed, the values of spectral overlap integral (see the Supporting Information for details on the calculation) resulted to be $2.93 \times 10^{-14}$ and $7.42 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^3$ M$^{-1}$ for LR305- and C6-doped PU-Cou, respectively, in line with those reported in the literature. A deeper analysis of the FRET mechanism is presented in the Supporting Information (Section S8).

UV–vis absorption spectra performed on LR305/PU-Cou highlighted the contribution of the absorption features of both the matrix and the dye (Figure 6A). Owing to the complete transparency of the cross-linked PU-Cou in the visible range, the characteristic peaks of the perylene-based dye could be clearly observed. Furthermore, by increasing the concentration of the dye from 1 to 7 wt %, the absorbance ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 577$ nm) linearly increased, while a progressively decreased solubility of LR305 in PU-Cou at higher concentrations caused deviation from linearity.

Fluorescence emission spectra ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 327$ nm, recorded in front-face configuration) evidenced a strong emission signal peaking at 611 nm, contributed by both the matrix and the dye through energy transfer, as previously discussed. The intensity of the fluorescence peak was found to increase gradually with the absorbance up to $A \approx 0.45$ (corresponding to 5 wt % LR305), while, above this threshold, a gradual decrease was observed (Figure 6B). Furthermore, a bathochromic shift of the emission peak was observed in normalized emission spectra (Figure 6C), typical of highly doped LSCs and ascribed to a higher probability of reabsorption processes and to aggregation of dye molecules.

In contrast to what was observed in LR305/PU-Cou systems, a markedly different optical behavior was reported for C6/PU-Cou, resulting in maximum optical performance for 3 wt % C6 doping level (see Section S12 in the Supporting Information).

(FRET) from the host matrix to the luminescent species. Indeed, the values of spectral overlap integral (see the Supporting Information for details on the calculation) resulted to be $2.93 \times 10^{-14}$ and $7.42 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^3$ M$^{-1}$ for LR305- and C6-doped PU-Cou, respectively, in line with those reported in the literature. A deeper analysis of the FRET mechanism is presented in the Supporting Information (Section S8).

UV–vis absorption spectra performed on LR305/PU-Cou highlighted the contribution of the absorption features of both the matrix and the dye (Figure 6A). Owing to the complete transparency of the cross-linked PU-Cou in the visible range, the characteristic peaks of the perylene-based dye could be clearly observed. Furthermore, by increasing the concentration of the dye from 1 to 7 wt %, the absorbance ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 577$ nm) linearly increased, while a progressively decreased solubility of LR305 in PU-Cou at higher concentrations caused deviation from linearity.
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3.5. LSC Device Characterization and Photoinduced Healing.

Considering the favorable optical characteristics of luminophore-doped PU-Cou self-healing coatings (5 wt % LR305 or 3 wt % C6), their performance as thin-film LSCs was evaluated in the presence of edge-coupled c-Si PV cells (see the Experimental Section for details) by calculating the so-called device efficiency \( \eta_{\text{LSC}} \) \( \text{Eqn. (2)} \)

\[
\eta_{\text{LSC}} = \frac{\text{FF} \cdot I_{\text{SC}} \cdot V_{\text{OC}}}{P_{\text{IN}} \cdot A_{\text{LSC}}}
\]

where \( A_{\text{LSC}} \) is the active (top) area of the LSC device; \( P_{\text{IN}} \) is the incident solar-simulated power density; and FF, \( I_{\text{SC}} \), and \( V_{\text{OC}} \) are the fill factor, the short-circuit current, and the open-circuit voltage of the edge-coupled PV cells, respectively. To ensure reliability in the measurements, a dark absorbing background was used underneath the LSC slab and no reflective elements were placed at the free edges of the light guide. In this way, reflections and backscattered light from inside or outside the waveguide as well as multiple-pass of photons could be excluded, thus avoiding overestimation of the obtained results. In addition, direct illumination of the edge-mounted PVs was carefully prevented. In these experimental conditions, overall (four edges) \( \eta_{\text{LSC}} \) values as high as 1.16 \( \pm \) 0.06\% for LR305/PU-Cou and 0.64 \( \pm \) 0.04\% for C6/PU-Cou could be achieved, which are in line with recently reported results on other thin-film LSCs using similar fluorophores as luminescent species and tested in analogous conditions.\(^{73,74}\) To assess the contribution of our UV-responsive, self-healing matrix to the obtained PV performance, convenient benchmark LSC devices were obtained by coating glass slabs with doped PMMA, the latter being selected as the reference host matrix given its wide use in the field. The concentration of LR305 and C6 in PMMA was adjusted in such a way as to obtain LSCs with the same optical density (OD, evaluated at \( \lambda_{\text{peak}} \)) as those based on our PU-Cou matrix, namely, OD \( \approx 0.61 \) for LR305 and OD \( \approx 0.18 \) for C6. Under the same experimental conditions previously described, the \( \eta_{\text{LSC}} \) values of such PV-coupled LSCs were 1.20\% for LR305/PMMA and 0.66\% for C6/PMMA, thus comparable with the ones obtained with our photoresponsive systems. This result further confirms the factual potential of PU-Cou as a host matrix material for high-efficiency LSCs.

Then, to evaluate the effect of surface damages on the performance of PV-coupled LSCs and to demonstrate the photoinduced healing ability of the new PU-Cou LSC system, tests were performed on scratched LSC coatings prior to and after UV healing treatment. As shown in Figure 7, by damaging the surface of the LSC with a scalpel to induce a single 20–30 \( \mu \)m wide scratch, a non-negligible reduction of device performance could be observed compared with the pristine undamaged LSCs (namely, a \( \sim 5 \) and \( \sim 7\% \) loss in \( \eta_{\text{LSC}} \) for LR305-doped and C6-doped matrix, respectively). Indeed, an increase in surface roughness resulting from the mechanical damage determines a higher probability of light-scattering events at defects generated on the coating surface, leading to a reduced ability to trap traveling photons within the host matrix. Upon UV-induced healing, namely, by irradiating the damaged samples at 254 nm (160 min), followed by UVA (\( \lambda > 300 \) nm) treatment for 120 min, complete disappearance of the surface scratches was reported from optical micrographs on both LR305- and C6-doped LSC systems, paired with complete recovery (\( \sim 100\% \)) of the original LSC performance (Figure 7C,E). These results clearly demonstrate the excellent photoinduced healing capabilities of these systems also in the presence of a luminescent dopant and provide evidence of their potential in restoring esthetic and functional properties in...
operating LSCs in an easy and straightforward manner. In particular, the self-healing response of these systems may become crucial in the presence of multiple surface scratches, which would be expected to yield a significant device performance drop. To investigate this aspect, LSCs with an increasing number of surface damages were prepared and their performance after both scratching and light-induced healing cycles were recorded as a function of the damage level. These experiments were carried out only on LR305/PU-Cou LSC devices, as it was previously demonstrated that the addition of the luminophore into the PU-Cou matrix does not affect the photoinduced healing response of the coating. As illustrated in Figure 8A, the presence of a higher number of surface cuts led to a sharper drop in $\eta_{\text{LSC}}$ compared with the single-scratch system (namely, a $\sim 5$ and $\sim 11\%$ loss in $\eta_{\text{LSC}}$ for single-scratch and multiple-scratch LSC samples, respectively), ascribable to a progressively lower ability to trap traveling photons within the waveguide. Interestingly, after irradiation treatment, the multiple surface scratches were completely repaired and the $\eta_{\text{LSC}}$ of the correspondingly healed LSC devices resulted to be comparable to that obtained for pristine samples. This effect could be attributed to the ability of the [2+2] photoreversible cycloaddition reaction occurring at a molecular level to re-establish the original surface smoothness of the coating, as demonstrated by the results of profilometric analysis (Figures S7 and S8, Supporting Information).

Finally, the performance of large-area LSC samples damaged with multiple scratches and healed via the proposed light-exposure treatment was evaluated to elucidate the role of mechanically induced surface scattering on the overall device efficiency for increasing LSC dimensions. More specifically, 5 × 5 cm$^2$, 10 × 10 cm$^2$, and 15 × 15 cm$^2$ LR305/PU-Cou LSCs were produced and the value of $\eta_{\text{LSC}}$ in pristine, damaged, and healed LSC devices with different dimensions was recorded. To ensure reproducibility, the same scratch pattern was used on all LSCs to obtain the same level of surface damage, as schematically depicted in Figure 8B. Interestingly, a clear reduction in $\eta_{\text{LSC}}$ values was observed for scratched LSCs of increasing dimension. This confirms the detrimental effect of the presence of imperfections and optical defects at the waveguide/air interface on LSC performance even in the case of larger-area LSC devices, thus further highlighting the relevance of the photoresponsive polymer matrix presented in this work also in real larger-scale applications.

4. CONCLUSIONS
A coumarin-based, photoresponsive polymer coating exhibiting excellent UV-induced self-healing capabilities was demonstra-
This cross-linked polymeric system is characterized by high optical transmittance (>90%) in the visible spectrum and by remarkable molecular reversibility, which results in outstanding light-induced self-healing behavior. Indeed, healing of surface scratches could be possible solely by UV irradiation, with no need for additional thermal treatment.

LSC devices based on such photoresponsive coatings incorporating suitable luminescent species yielded PV performance comparable with reference thin-film LSCs based on state-of-the-art matrixes (i.e., PMMA), thus ranking this functional LSC platform among the best-performing systems in the field, with the valuable advantage of providing additional light-induced healing ability. In particular, the decline in PV performance experienced by mechanically scratched LSCs could be fully recovered upon a suitable UV irradiation cycle, as a result of the successful healing process provided by the reversible [2+2] cleavage/dimerization photocycloaddition of the coumarin-based moiety.

This work provides the first demonstration of optically transparent, photoreversible polymeric host matrixes for LSCs with high efficiency and excellent healing capabilities, thus enabling the development of stimuli-responsive durable LSC devices with added functionalities.
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