POLITECNICO
MILANO 1863

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA

mecc



Debinding and presintering of high aspect ratio microbi-lumen tubes produced by extrusion of 17-4PH feedstock

Sandeep Kuriakose, Salvatore Cataldo, Paolo Parenti, Massimiliano Annoni

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in J. Micro Nano-Manuf. Jun 2020, 8(2): 024506, on March 27, 2020. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4046562

https://asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/micronanomanufacturing/article/8/2/024506/1075782/Debinding-and-Presintering-of-High-Aspect-Ratio

This content is ASME © provided under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license

Debinding and pre-sintering of high aspect ratio micro bi-lumen tubes produced by extrusion of 17-4PH feedstock

Sandeep Kuriakose [1]
Politecnico di Milano
Department of Mechanical Engineering, 20156, Milan, Italy
sandeep.kuriakose@polimi.it

Salvatore Cataldo
Politecnico di Milano
Department of Mechanical Engineering, 20156, Milan, Italy

Paolo Parenti
Politecnico di Milano
Department of Mechanical Engineering, 20156, Milan, Italy

Massimiliano Annoni
Politecnico di Milano
Department of Mechanical Engineering, 20156, Milan, Italy

ABSTRACT

Recent developments have showcased that micro extrusion of feedstock can be used for manufacturing metallic micro bi-lumen tubes with very high length-to-diameter aspect ratios which are not viable by conventional metal extrusion or commonly used feedstock processing technologies like injection molding or hot pressing. The extrusion of high aspect ratio micro components faces the challenge of maintaining the geometrical accuracy, surface finish and structural properties since the micro extrusion in green-state is followed by debinding and sintering operations which result in shrinkage and variations in surface finish and structure. The stages of the process chain such as solvent/thermal debinding, to remove the polymeric binder, and pre-sintering, to achieve a mild structural rigidity before the sintering, are of critical

[1] Corresponding author

importance in order to achieve the surface and structural properties of high aspect ratio micro parts and have not been yet studied in case of micro extrusion of feedstock. In this study, the effect of debinding and pre-sintering on surface and structural properties of bi-lumen tubes processed at different extrusion conditions are discussed. Surface roughness of the tubes is analyzed using 3D microscopy and structural properties are studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). The debinding and pre-sintering experiments on extruded micro bi-lumen tubes retained very good surfaces integrity without any cracks or defects. The study showed that interactions of extrusion temperature and extrusion velocity influence the surface finish of the extruded tubes the most. The sintered bi-lumen samples showed a good areal surface finish, Sa of 2.21 µm which is near to the green-state value confirming the suitability of the applied debinding and pre-sintering parameters.

Keywords: micro extrusion, debinding, pre-sintering, bi-lumen tube, surface quality, feedstock.

1. INTRODUCTION

Micro extrusion is a processing methodology exclusively used for manufacturing very high length-to-thickness aspect ratio components specially for various bio-medical and micro fluidic applications. The recent studies by the authors showed that extrusion of feedstock is a revolutionary technique to manufacture micro metallic bi-lumens tubes with very high aspect ratio (length/diameter > 100), which are unachievable by conventional metal extrusion processes and other processing methodologies [1]. Metallic feedstock is a mixture of metal and polymeric binder which is extruded [2] to the shape of bi-lumen tubes of required diameters and lengths. These tubes in green-state (Green) are then debinded and sintered to remove the polymeric binder and to achieve the required strength respectively [3].

The debinding, which is generally executed as solvent/water debinding (WD) or thermal debinding (TD) or both together one after the other, is an important step for the dimensional accuracy, structural properties and strength in case of both metallic and ceramic micro components produced via feedstock processing [1,3]. The binder removal percentage also deeply influences the geometrical shape of the extruded bi-lumen tubes as it results in part shrinkage. The study of debinding processes in injection molded parts showed that lower binder removal percentages during WD and TD resulted in cracked surface and thereby high surface roughness during the sintering stage [4]. The near complete removal of binder is important also to minimize the carbon content of the final sintered part and influences for its density, structural properties [5] and corrosion resistance, especially for components used in the medical field [6].

The solvent debinding parameters, such as solvent temperature and debinding time, and thermal debinding parameters, such as debinding temperature, heating rates and holding time, influence the component's surface finish and structural properties significantly [4,7,8]. The pre-sintering (PS) process, which is usually carried out after TD, is a necessary step to facilitates the transport of the very fragile parts after TD. In fact, they have to be put in a clean furnace to minimize the carbon pollution especially in case of micro components that need high density with low corrosion after the sintering process [6,9]. Due to the above reasons, understanding the variations of component properties and finding the optimum levels of parameters for WD, TD and PS processes is essential for high aspect ratio micro extruded parts [4-8]. Moreover, there

is a lack of knowledge in this field, as feedstock extrusion is a relatively new member of the feedstock processing methodologies. In this study, surface roughness (Sa) and structural property variations of extruded micro bi-lumen tubes obtained via different extrusion processing conditions (i.e. extrusion temperature (*Te*) and extrusion velocity/screw speed (*Ns*)) are studied during WD, TD and PS in order to detect relationships among these processes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

2.1 Material



Fig. 1 17-4PH G120E feedstock pellets and SEM image (left) and micro bi-lumen tubes (length = 125 mm) extruded in Green at 6 different extrusion conditions (right).

The feedstock material chosen for the experimental investigation is a commercial feedstock, 17-4PH G120E from Polymim Gmbh, Germany. The SEM and EDS analysis of the feedstock demonstrated its homogeneity in terms of distribution of the constituting elements of this biocompatible steel (15-17.5% Cr, 3-5% Ni & Cu, 1% Mn &

Si and 0.07 % C). The SEM analysis (Fig. 1) mostly showed steel particles of globular shapes with most of the particle diameters below 10 µm and with particle size varying from 1 to 30 µm. The binder content of the feedstock must be treated with WD (solvent temperature ≤ 60 °C) followed by TD (debinding temperature ≈ 600 °C) to be removed.

2.2 Experimentation

The workpiece samples used for the debinding and pre-sintering studies are manufactured by feedstock extrusion by varying the extrusion parameters, extrusion temperature and extrusion velocity as shown in Table 1. The previous studies of authors showed that extrusion temperature and extrusion velocity are the key extrusion parameters in case of feedstock extrusion which determine the properties of the extruded bi-lumen tubes.

Table 1: Extrusion process parameters

Parameter	**Levels**	**Replications**
Temperature (*Te*) (°C)	140,150,160	4
Speed (*Ns*) (rpm)	22, 24	

A total of 24 samples of 3.18 mm nominal tube diameter, 0.7 mm lumen diameter and 125 mm length were extruded for all the 6 experimental combinations for 4 replicates. The extruded bi-lumen tubes in Green are showed in Fig. 1. A single screw extruder (Gimac s.r.l., Italy) with a 12 mm screw diameter was used with a die and pin of the nominal diameters of the bi-lumen tube was also used for the feedstock micro extrusion. In order to study the variations of the surface and structural properties and at

the same time minimize the breakage of the tubes in each stage of the process chain, the following methodology was used (see Fig. 2). One sample (original length of 125 mm) from each of the 4 replicates of the 6 extrusion experimental combinations was selected and cut in two parts (100 mm + 25 mm). All the samples (of length 125 mm, 100 mm, 25 mm) were water debinded in a tank with distilled water. The 25 mm samples (one for each of the 6 extrusion combinations) were collected after WD for % Removal, Sa and SEM analysis. Remaining tubes were thermally debinded and pre-sintered. The samples of 100 mm were collected for analysis after TD/PS considering the extremely fragile nature of the bi-lumen tubes at the PS state. The remaining samples of 125 mm were kept for future sintering studies.

2.2.1 Water debinding

All the samples were water debinded in a debinding setup using distilled water and 2 % INHIBITOR 4000 (Zschimmer & Schwarz Gmbh, Germany) corrosion inhibitor. The debinding equipment has a heater and a motor to maintain the temperature and circulation of the water uniform. A higher value of solvent temperature increases the binder removal rate but may result in cracking of the micro parts due to the increased transfer rate of polymeric binder. A lower value of solvent temperature improves the surface properties, but reduces the binder removal, increases the debinding time and the processing cost. The WD parameter values, solvent temperature of 50 °C and debinding time of 72 hrs were selected considering the micro lumens of the bi-lumen tube [4]. Water debinding was carried out in two stages, first with a debinding time of

48 hrs and then for another 24 hrs. Samples after debinding in each stage were dried in vacuum for 2 hrs at 70 °C and 1 hr at 100 °C, later cooled down to 70 °C switching off the furnace and then to room temperature by opening the furnace door to atmospheric temperature. The weight of the small pieces (25 mm) was measured after drying to calculate the percentage weight removal (% Removal) of the binder.



Fig. 2. The methodology used for WD and TD/PS.

2.2.2 Thermal debinding/Pre-sintering and Sintering

The TD and PS operations are done one after the other. TD is done by heating the samples to thermal debinding temperature of 600 °C, at heating rates of 3 °C/min and holding time of 2.5 hrs. A small heating rate was selected for the TD process to prevent surface defects as the binder back bone polymer vapors escape from the tubes by heating [4], considering the micro lumens and high aspect ratio of the bi-lumen tubes. The TD and sintering were decided to be done in separate furnaces to minimize the possible contamination of samples to comply with the biomedical use for which the tubes may find applications in the future [5,6]. The PS operation follows TD in the same TD furnace, at a higher heating rate of 4 °C/min to PS temperature of 800 °C and maintained there for a PS holding time of 1 hr followed by natural slow cooling by

switching off the furnace heaters. A PS temperature of 800 °C and holding time of 1 hr were selected to avoid a partial sintering as the 17-4PH starts sintering above 900 °C and sintering effect increases with higher holding time. A Graphite tubular furnace (Proba s.r.l., Italy) with H_2 atmosphere was used for the TD/PS cycle. The H_2 atmosphere was selected for the PS activity as H_2 or Vacuum were found to be less corrosive for the sintered parts. Bi-lumen tubes were placed on Alumina plates as Alumina is inert and maintains its structural rigidity in the operated temperature range. The 100 mm long selected tubes were further sintered in a Vacuum furnace (TAV S.p.a., Italy) at a higher heating rate of 5 °C/min to a sintering temperature of 1380 °C and for a sintering holding time of 2 hrs to evaluate the final properties.

The bi-lumen samples at Green, WD, TD/PS states were analyzed to assess deviations of their surface roughness and structural properties. The % Removal of binder with respect to WD and TD/PS were analyzed by measuring weight at each stage. The Sa of the tubes was evaluated by acquiring a surface of 1000 x 1000 μm^2 at 2 points along the length direction and 2 points around the circumferential direction of the tube. This strategy was adopted to understand the roughness variations along the length and circumferential directions. A focus variation optical 3D microscope (Alicona Gmbh, Austria) with 20X lens, a vertical resolution of 0.08 µm and a horizontal resolution of 2.5 µm was used for the acquisition. The acquired data clouds of the surface were form-removed using a cylindrical function and Sa was calculated by using a filter of 150 µm (15 % of 1000 µm). The structural property variations of bi-lumen tubes such as particle

bonding, carbon content, porosity etc. were analyzed using SEM (Zeiss, Germany) with an attached EDS (Oxford instruments, UK).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The tubes after WD and PS maintained their shape without any deformation. The % Removal of binder with respect to WD and TD/PS is investigated. The variation of bi-lumen tube's surface roughness and structural properties with respect to the variation of extrusion parameters at Green, WD and PS are also analyzed.

3.1 Effect of WD and PS parameters on % Removal of binder

The analysis of the weight measurements of WD samples during the WD study showed a % Removal of binder with respect to debinding time as shown in Fig. 3. An average % Removal of 2.61 % was observed for 48 hrs of WD at 50 °C. The average % Removal value at 72 hrs was 2.71 %, with a very negligible 0.10 % average removal in the second 24 hrs of WD stage as seen in the Fig. 3. There was no observable difference for the bi-lumen tube surfaces in each of these stages. In WD, water soluble part of the binder dissolves into water. This fact opens the pores in the extruded green parts. As the WD continues, more pores are opened until the last part of soluble binder is removed. In this case there was no significant difference between % Removal value for the debinding times of 48 hrs and 72 hrs which implies that the WD time of 48 hrs itself removes the major part of the water soluble binder component. As this small % Removal in the additional 24 hrs after 48 hrs of WD did not make any significant improvement on

the surface features, the debinding time of 48 hrs or less could be enough for the WD. The graph of Fig. 3 shows that % Removal in case of tubes processed at higher extrusion temperature of 160 °C (for constant Ns) was slightly lower. This effect could be ascribed to the higher binder dissolution from the bi-lumen tube already during extrusion due to the higher temperature of the extruded tube as it passes through the water in the cooling tank (8 °C) after the extrusion process. This higher temperature gradient already removes more binder from the tube and reduces the % Removal in the consecutive WD stage. The discussion has to be supported in the future with more replications.



Fig. 3. % Removal of binder with respect to WD and PS.

The TD removes the remaining backbone binder components with a 1.56 % Removal, but did not show any significant variation in % Removal with extrusion parameters. This implies that the backbone part of the binder remained distributed homogeneously in the extruded part and remained unaffected by extrusion parameters in the chosen range. The TD/PS produced surfaces without any of the damages that usually occur by binder expulsion in the form of vapor from the tubes. This fact shows

that the selected TD/PS parameters were suitable for the micro parts. Still the PS samples were found to be very fragile and this fact suggests the use of a slightly higher PS temperature and holding time than the selected 800 °C and 1 hr for specimen handling purpose.

3.2 Surface quality

3.2.1 Sa variation at Green, WD and PS states

The surface roughness analysis of bi-lumen tubes showed quite wide variability both along the length and circumference directions of the tubes. So, an average of Sa values along the length and circumferential directions is considered as the representative value for each condition. The roughness analysis showed a general increase in the Sa value with respect to WD and PS as seen in Fig. 4. The increase in the Sa value from Green to WD state was very low, passing from an average Sa of 2.23 µm to 2.33 µm. The PS showed a higher Sa value of 2.62 µm, with an increase of 0.29 µm from the WD samples. The roughness variability completely covers these differences. The box plots show that the variability of Sa values are higher at PS state than WD and Green, since the upper and lower whiskers are close to upper and lower quartiles respectively in PS state. This behavior is related to the binder component's behavior. WD removes the water soluble part but the backbone part of the binder remains even after WD. This fact keeps the surface roughness of the tube's surface even after WD. In TD/PS state, the backbone part of the binder is removed completely leaving the pores fully open. This increases the roughness significantly in TD/PS state than in WD state.



Fig. 4. Sa variation with respect to WD and PS.

3.2.2 Sa variation at Green, WD and PS states with respect to Te and Ns levels

The variation of surface roughness with respect to the conditions used for extruding the bi-lumen tubes are studied with the Analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Sa main effects plot and interaction plot at Green (see Fig. 5a) showed that extrusion temperature and the *Te*Ns* interaction are the important parameters for the extruded bi-lumen tubes roughness. ANOVA of Sa at Green showed the interaction *Te*Ns* only as the significant extrusion variable with p-value < 0.05 (ANOVA assumptions are verified). The WD and PS samples seems to follow the same trend as the Green one even when the components of the binder are removed in each of these stages (see Fig. 5 b and c). The main effects plot and interaction plots of the WD state showed that extrusion variables extrusion temperature and *Te*Ns* interaction have an influence on Sa.



Fig. 5. Main effect and interaction plots of Sa with respect to the extrusion parameters *Te* and *Ns* at a) Green b) WD and c) PS states.

The ANOVA at WD state showed interaction *Te*Ns* as the only significant extrusion variable with p-value < 0.05 (assumptions are verified). The trend is more visible as the process chain moves to the PS state, where the binder is removed completely and the metal particle starts to attach by generating bonds. In addition to main effect plots and interaction plots, the ANOVA showed that extrusion temperature

and interaction of *Te*Ns* are important for Sa at PS state (p-value < 0.05, assumptions verified). The analysis showed that *Te*Ns* as the most influencing factor. Extrusion temperature and extrusion velocity play a significant role in the melting of the polymeric binder, which happens by direct heat transfer and shear melting. ANOVA, main effect and interaction plots in WD, TD and PS states showed that *Te*Ns* is the most significant extrusion parameter. This means a right combination of *Te*Ns* is necessary as it regulates the viscosity and flow properties of the feedstock. Non-uniformity in the flow during extrusion results in wavy surface and cause an increase in the surface roughness value. A uniform stable flow resulting from the optimum extrusion parametric values of *Te* and *Ns* results in homogeneous distribution of feedstock and results in good surface finish of the bi-lumen tubes. The *Te*Ns* remains as the most significant extrusion factor determining the Sa during Green, WD and PS states as the WD and PS resulted in uniform binder removal and good structural integrity, thanks to the near homogenous distribution of feedstock in the bi-lumen tube in Green in the selected extrusion parametric range.

3.3 The structural properties at Green, WD and PS states

The SEM analysis of the extruded bi-lumen tubes at Green shows generally sharp boundary reproduction for both tube and lumens. The cross-sectional analysis showed the retaining of good particle adhesion from the homogeneously distributed binder (that still should have all of its components) among the metal particles even after extrusion. WD removed the water-soluble component of the

feedstock binder as a first phase in the binder removal process. The SEM images (Fig. 6 left) show this difference with partial small agglomerated attachments because of the difference in the adhesion property at the cross section where the sample was broken for the analysis.

The SEM analysis of the tube cross-section and tube surfaces showed good quality without any damage. The tubes were devoid of any cracks or defects that usually occur during WD due to the dissolution of polymer from the feedstock. The surfaces after WD also did not show any corrosion of the metal particles as EDS didn't display oxides. This fact indicates that the selected solvent temperature of 50 °C and debinding time of 72 hrs were capable enough to maintain the good surface finish without defects or corrosion of the extruded high-aspect-ratio micro bi-lumen tubes.



Fig. 6. SEM image of the bi-lumen tube cross-section at WD state.



Fig. 7. SEM image of the bi-lumen tube cross-section at PS state.

The SEM images of PS samples (see Fig. 7) show that TD and the following PS removed the last polymeric content of the feedstock. It evaporates before 600 °C and a TD holding time of 2.5 hrs assures the complete binder removal from the bi-lumen tubes leaving metal particles attached very loosely. Further heating at 4 °C/min and PS at 800 °C generate bonds between the bi-lumen tubes metal particles. The SEM images of the PS tubes show the surfaces without any cracks or damages in the cross-section or at the surface, which is necessary in case of the debinding and PS of micro parts. The cross-section shows some opened pores. This fact is due to the free space created by the binder removal. The magnified SEM images of WD and PS samples (Fig. 8) clearly show the back bone binder attachments of the metal particles at the WD state and the bonding formation at the PS state.



Fig. 8. SEM images of the metallic particle bonding at WD (left) and PS (right) states.

3.3.1 Properties at the sintered state

One sample at the extrusion conditions extrusion temperature of 160 °C and extrusion velocity of 24 rpm was sintered as a representative sample (Mean Sa value was close to the grand mean values of the all the extrusion conditions at PS state) to qualitatively understand the final sintered properties of extruded bi-lumen tubes at the used WD and PS conditions. The SEM image of the cross section of the bi-lumen tube showed the good structural integrity (see Fig. 9). The pores observed in the PS state were removed to a great extent by sintering. This fact proves the selected sintering parameters were suitable for the extruded bi-lumen tubes. Some pores were observed with the size of 1-6 µm in addition to some dark small globules with mainly Silicon and Oxygen content. This could possibly be due to some foreign particles observed in feedstock pellets and from the alloying elements in the steel.



Fig. 9. SEM image of the bi-lumen tube cross-section at sintered state.

The surfaces of sintered tubes appeared shining with good surface quality. The surface roughness of the sintered sample showed a Sa of 2.21 µm, which was close to the Sa at Green. The surfaces of the tubes did not show any cracks or defects. Sintering eliminated the porosity produced by debinding to a great extent but defects like extrusion marks were still retained on the surface even though in a diminished manner.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The debinding and pre-sintering study of the extruded micro bi-lumen tubes showed that the extrusion parameters influence their surface finish and properties. The extrusion parameters, extrusion temperature (*Te)* and interaction *Te*Ns* influence the tubes surface roughness at green, WD and PS states. The experimented WD parameter levels; solvent temperature of 50 °C and debinding time of 48 hrs were found to be suitable for debinding with a good surface finish. A higher debinding time did not generate significant additional binder removal. The TD at 600 °C for 2.5 hrs and PS at

800 °C for 1 hr of the extruded bi-lumen tubes produced good surfaces without any cracks and proved suitable for processing of micro parts produced by feedstock extrusion. Sintering produced a good structural integrity with minimal pores and shows promising surface quality for bi-lumen tubes for the micro applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to G. De Gaudenzi and Mattia Garabelli (Films S.p.a.), Alessandro Fiorese and Andrea Gionda (TAV S.p.a.), Fabio Sanna and Daniele Alberti (Enki s.r.l.) and Valerio Mussi (MUSP) for their support.

FUNDING

This research work was undertaken in the context of MICROMAN project ("Process Fingerprint for Zerodefect Net-shape MICROMANufacturing", http://www.microman.mek.dtu.dk/). MICROMAN is a European Training Network supported by Horizon 2020, the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (Project ID: 674801).

REFERENCES

[1] Attia, U. M., and Alcock, J. R., 2011, "A Review of Micro-Powder Injection Moulding as a Microfabrication Technique," J. Micromech. Microeng., 21(4), pp. 1–22.

[2] Li, S., and Xie., J. 2007, “Fabrication of Thin-Walled 316L Stainless Steel Seamless Pipes by Extrusion Technology.” Journal of Materials Processing Technology., 183(1), pp. 57–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2006.09.024.

[3] Gonzalez-Gutierrez, J., Cano. S., Schuschnigg. S., Kukla, C., Sapkota, J., and Holzer, C., 2018, “Additive Manufacturing of Metallic and Ceramic Components by the Material Extrusion of Highly-Filled Polymers: A Review and Future Perspectives,” Materials., 11- 840, pp. 1-36.

[4] Zaky, M. T., 2004, “Effect of Solvent Debinding Variables on the Shape Maintenance of Green Molded Bodies.” Journal of Materials Science., 39(10), pp. 3397–3402.

[5] Wu, Y., German, R. M., Blaine, D., Marx, B., and Schlaefer. C., 2002, “Effects of Residual Carbon Content on Sintering Shrinkage, Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Injection Molded 17-4 PH Stainless Steel,” J. Mater. Sci., 37, pp. 3573–3583.

[6] Hamidi, M. F. F. A., Harun, W. S. W., Samykano, M., Ghani, S. A. C., Ghazalli, Z., Ahmad, F., and Sulong, A. B., 2017, “A Review of Biocompatible Metal Injection Moulding Process Parameters for Biomedical Applications.” Materials Science & Engineering C., 78, pp. 1263–1276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2017.05.016.

[7] Enneti, R. K., Shivashankar, T. S., Park, S., German, R. M., and Atre. S. V., 2012, “Master Debinding Curves for Solvent Extraction of Binders in Powder Injection Molding.” Powder Technology., 228, pp. 14–17.

[8] Xiang- quan, L., Yi-min, L., Jian-ling, Y., and Feng-hua L., 2008, “Deformation Behavior and Strength Evolution of MIM Compacts during Thermal Debinding.” Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China (English Edition)., 18(2), pp. 278–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(08)60049-7.

[9] Shi, J., Cheng, Z., Gelin, J. C., Barriere, T., and Liu. B., 2017, “Sintering of 17-4PH Stainless Steel Powder Assisted by Microwave and the Gradient of Mechanical Properties in the Sintered Body,” Int J Adv ManufTechnol., 91, pp. 2895–2906.