

A11. Raguseo E., Gastaldi L., Neirotti P. (2016) Smart Work: Supporting Employees' Flexibility through ICT, HR practices and office layout, *Evidence-based HRM*, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 240–256, ISSN: 2049-3983, DOI: 10.1108/EBHRM-01-2016-0004, http://bit.ly/SW_EBHRM_2016, *highly commented paper in 2017 Emerald Literati Network Awards for Excellence: http://bit.ly/Emerald_2017*.

Different Ways of Being Smart at Work: Supporting Employees' Flexibility through ICT, HR Practices and Office Layout

Structured Abstract

Purpose - This paper explores Smart Work (SW), a work practice that is characterized by spatial and temporal flexibility, supported by technological tools, and that provides all employees of an organization with the best working conditions to accomplish their tasks. Specifically, the paper aims to: (i) identify whether firms adopt different SW models: (ii) explore complementarities between the factors that can lead to choose a SW model, and (iii) figure out whether contingent variables matters in the implementation of a particular SW model.

Design/methodology/approach - This study is based on: (i) a survey delivered in 2013 to 100 HR directors of medium and large Italian organizations to collect preliminary evidence on SW; (ii) four embedded case studies based on 49 semi-structured interviews to better explain the findings achieved in the quantitative analysis.

Findings - Four SW models appear to be adopted by companies. They are named inconsistent, analogical, digital and complete SW. They are different according to investments in the enabling digital technologies, in transformations of the organizational policies and in workspace settings, according the contingent conditions where firms operate. Results show that there are complementarities between the elements that characterize a SW model and that at least two elements are developed in each SW model. In case all the three elements are developed, companies achieve higher labour productivity.

Originality/value - The paper unpacks the elements that can generate SW environments by deepening the complementarities that can be exploited among ICT, workplace and work practice innovation, and by evaluating their development on employees' performance and satisfaction.

Keywords - Smart Work; ICT; Human Resource Practices; Office Layout.

Paper type - Academic Research Paper

Introduction

The methods and tools through which work practices are accomplished have changed dramatically in the last decade (Hamel, 2012). Successful organizations are increasingly characterized by the ability to abandon now inappropriate working configurations (Birkinshaw et al., 2008) to support new organizational principles, such as emerging collaboration (Vlaar et al., 2008), higher mobility of workers (Neirotti et al., 2013), autonomy in the choices of work settings (Leonardi and Bailey, 2008), spatial and temporal flexibility (Hoeven and Zoonen, 2015), talent enhancement, responsibility and widespread innovation (Gastaldi et al., 2015), taking into account several trade-offs and tensions that are behind the adoption of new work practices characterized by high levels of flexibility (Raguseo et al., 2015).

According to Birkinshaw (2010) if, on the one hand, organizations tend to manifest inertial behaviours in dealing with this paradigm shift, on the other hand, they are consistently looking for elements to balance new business targets with the evolving needs of their employees (Leonardi, 2011). In fact, the generation of value within the business domain is no longer linked only to insightful business models (McGrath, 2013), but also to

how employees actually create, perceive, realize, defend and evolve these business models in day-by-day activities (Corso et al., 2013)—especially in highly turbulent competitive environments (Eisenhardt and Brown, 1998).

Actually, most of the innovation potential of employees remain unexpressed due to inappropriate organizational models (Oksanen and Ståhle, 2013), and an increasing number of firms are rethinking these organizational models, referring to the emerging ones with the term ‘Smart Work’ (SW) (Plantronics, 2014). Specifically, a SW corresponds to a work practice that is characterized by spatial and temporal flexibility (Fogarty et al., 2011), supported by technological tools, and that provides all employees of an organization with the best working conditions to accomplish their tasks (Kim and Oh, 2015).

Given that the literature is scant in investigating SW practices, the purpose of this paper is threefold: (i) identifying the different SW configurations adopted by firms; (ii) figuring out whether contingent variables matters in the implementation of a SW model; (iii) exploring complementarities between the elements affecting these configurations by understanding their impact on firm performance. In order to achieve these goals, we complement qualitative and quantitative analyses.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In paragraph 2, we discuss the theoretical background. In paragraph 3, we show the research methodology and the data measures used to collect and analyse empirical facts on SW phenomenon. In paragraph 4 we discuss the key findings of the quantitative and qualitative analyses. Finally, we conclude the paper with empirical and theoretical implications of the findings and directions for future research that it could be interesting to pursue.

2 Theoretical Background

The concept of SW finds its origin in the literature stream studying the application of non-traditional and flexible work practices and locations for carrying out work (e.g., Van der Voordt, 2004; Gorgievski et al., 2010). Authors in this stream assert that modern companies strive to provide flexible work arrangements and more cost efficient and creative office environments in order to support competitiveness and employee productivity without decreasing job satisfaction (Beauregard and Henry, 2009).

Even if there are still mixed results on the impact that these non-conventional practices have on employees' extrinsic career success (Leslie et al., 2012), many firms are increasingly exploring models to fully leverage on their employees (Rockmann and Pratt, 2015), while new entrepreneurial opportunities and business models are emerging—e.g., the co-working office spaces with related services proposed by WeWork (www.wework.com).

Within these extremely dynamic settings, companies (e.g., Plantronics, 2014) start referring to “SW” as a set of organizational interventions aiming to fully release the innovation potential of their employees, providing them with higher levels of autonomy in the choice of their working spaces, time and tools, and asking in return a strong commitment in achieving corporate goals. According to Mann (2012), the interventions over which practitioners are focusing their attention seem based upon three complementary elements: ICT (Information and Communication Technologies) element, HR (Human Resources) element and layout element.

The first one refers to the improvements of the organizations' digital backbone (*ICT element*). The development and diffusion of digital technologies (especially those supporting communication, collaboration and social network creation), along with the increasingly pervasive dissemination of powerful and easy-to-use mobile devices (Ahuja et al., 2007), support working groups in easily sharing files, information and ideas (Chudoba et al., 2005). In such a way, all employees of an organization can efficiently and effectively interact in real time—even if scattered into disperse settings (Kim and Oh, 2015) or tele-working from home (Sewell and Taskin, 2015).

The second element (*HR element*) refers to the HR practices made available to employees in order to actually exercise their flexibility (Coenen and Kok, 2014). Specifically, training programmes for the middle and top management, training for the end users, new communication plans, new management by objectives processes systems, projects of cultural change tend to affect the behaviours of the employees and their attitude toward risk taking and innovation (Cameron and Green, 2015).

The third element refers to the changes accomplished in the physical workplace (*layout element*). Recent works emphasize the importance of promotion strategies in spatial reconfiguration of the office layout (Elsbach and Bechky, 2007) to increase employees' productivity and better manage their work-life balance (Ahuja et al., 2007). Therefore, particular office reconfigurations may lead to innovative ways of collaborating (Smith, 2013).

Literature provides a lot of evidences regarding the importance of each one of these elements (e.g., Rey and Wiesenfeld, 2015 for digital element; Birkinshaw et al., 2008 for HR element; Elsbach and Pratt, 2007 for physical element). However, most of contributions tend to focus only on one element per time, narrowing down the focus in order to have manageable empirical settings. Very few contributions (e.g. Leonardi, 2011) consider two elements simultaneously, while—to our best knowledge—no contribution analysed the SW phenomenon in a comprehensive fashion, and considering all the three elements over which practitioners are focusing their attention.

Based on these considerations, this paper aims starting to fill this gap by analyzing the three SW elements together. In order to achieve this goal, we refer to the notion of 'complementarities' (e.g. Milgrom et al., 1991), which implies that "doing more of one thing increases the returns to doing (more of) the others" (Milgrom and Roberts, 1995, p.181). Understanding the complementarities among SW elements is important because "a successful change has to involve many relevant elements of a system by involving them in a specific way" (Lausen and Foss, 2003). We will look inside the 'black box' of SW, by unpacking the elements that can generate complementarities between the adoption of digital tools, HR models and physical layouts.

3 Methodology

This study is based on a continuative research initiative promoted since 2012 by the School of Management of Politecnico di Milano, i.e. the Smart Working Observatory, which is focused not only on analyzing the SW phenomenon as well as its impacts on organizations' performance, but also on supporting organizations in the progressive implementation of SW models. Refer to Gastaldi and Corso (2013) for an overall description of the logic behind the Observatory.

In order to achieve the goals of this paper, we have triangulated quantitative and qualitative analyses (Jick, 1979). The former is based on a survey run among 100 Italian companies and AIDA Bureau van Dijk database, which contains financial data of Italian firms. The latter has been developed through multiple, embedded case studies oriented in better explaining the findings achieved in the quantitative analysis. In the following paragraph we will describe the methodological choices that have shaped the research process.

3.1 Quantitative Analysis

The quantitative analysis occurred in three steps. First, descriptive statistics and a cluster analysis were computed to examine the diffusion patterns of the three elements taken into exam, and to delineate the main configurations used by firms in terms of SW. In the second step, ANOVA analysis and Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric tests were used to understand the contingent conditions under which SW configurations are chosen by firms. In the third step, we evaluated the existence of complementarities between the three elements taken into account by

running three regression models. Specifically, we evaluated whether the complementary development by companies of the ICT, HR and layout elements has a positive impact on their performance measured by the labour productivity growth of firms.

For data gathering, a survey was sent to companies. It has been delivered through an electronic platform to a convenient sample of 100 HR directors of medium and large Italian firms. On data gathered, a cluster analysis was conducted in order to investigate the complementarities between the three elements that can characterize a SW strategy. We complemented the data gathered through the questionnaires with data contained in the AIDA Bureau van Dijk database, which includes financial data of Italian firms, for evaluating through ANOVA analyses the contingent conditions and the organizational performance that characterize firms that choose a particular SW practice.

Table 1 provides the definition, variable construction, and sources for all of the three elements used in this research. As can be observed, they were operationalized using survey responses.

Elements	Variable Construction/ Definition	Measure	Reference	Data source
Layout element	Adoption of initiatives of redesigning of the physical workspace for creating environments more flexible and oriented to the workers collaboration.	From 0 (none initiatives) to 2 (multiple initiatives)	(Elsbach and Bechky, Survey 2007)	
	Extent to which employees telework.	From 0 (none employee) to 2 (all employees)	(Martínez-Sánchez et al., 2007)	Survey
ICT element	Extent to which employees use IT personal devices (pc, tablet, etc.).	From 0 (none employee) to 2 (all employees)	(Martínez-Sánchez et al., 2007)	Survey
	Extent to which employees use external IT services (Skype, Twitter, LinkedIn,...) at anytime from anyplace.	From 0 (none employee) to 2 (all employees)	(Martínez-Sánchez et al., 2007)	Survey
HR element	Extent to which employees can manage in a flexible way their working hours.	From 0 (none employee) to 2 (all employees)	(Coenen and Kok, 2014)	Survey
	Percentage of employees for which the company uses a MBO (Management By Objectives) system for evaluating their KPI (Key Performance Indicators).	From 0 (none employee) to 6 (all employees)	(Coenen and Kok, 2014)	Survey
	Change management actions for managing the organizational models chosen: 1) Training for the middle and top management, 2) Training for the end users, 3) Communication plans, 4) New MBO systems, 5) Projects of cultural change, 6) Processes' reorganization.	From 0 (adoption of any change management action) to 6 (adoption of all the change management actions)	(Coenen and Kok, 2014)	Survey

Table 1 – *Measure of the three elements*

We assisted the data collection effort with the AIDA Bureau van Dijk database. We use this database in order to figure out contingent variables that may influence the decision of adopting a particular SW model. Their operationalization is shown in Table 2.

Variable	Operationalization	Data source
Industry types	Firms are classified into public administration, retail industry, bank sector, engineering industry, food industry, ICT sector, other industries.	Survey

Size	Number of employees.	AIDA
Capital intensity	Ratio between the property plants and equipment and the number of employees.	AIDA
Human capital	Ratio between the total labour cost and the number of employees.	AIDA
Year of foundation	Year of foundation.	AIDA
VA/employees	Ratio between the value added and the number of employees.	AIDA

Table 2 – *Variables operationalisation*

For evaluating the complementary effect on the performance of firms, we run three regression models where we included as dependent variable the labour productivity of companies measured as the growth rate of the productivity between 2012 and 2008. As control variables we included the firm age, computed as the logarithmic form of the difference between the year of data gathering and the year of foundation; the level of human capital; the productivity level of the company in the 2007; the dummy variables that refer to the industry affiliation of every company.

3.2 Qualitative Analysis

In order to complement the results found by employing the quantitative analyses, we performed four case studies on the Italian branches of International organization, which were similar in terms of C-level's willingness to invest in SW, but adopted different implementation strategies.

As suggested by Eisenhardt (1989), we have relied on several data sources: face-to-face interviews, phone conversations, follow-up emails, and archival data such as internal documents, press releases, websites, and news articles. In order to maximise the benefits from these sources of evidence, and better deal with reliability issues, two of the three principles suggested by (Yin, 2003) have been followed: the triangulation of data sources, and their organization in an electronic and navigable case study database.

The primary data source was 49 semi-structured interviews conducted over seven months (from April 2013 to October 2013) with the HR director of the firms, at least one of the C-levels (mainly Chief Executive Officers, Chief Information Officers and Chief Financial Officers), and other knowledgeable informants involved in SW implementation process (e.g. the Facility Managers, the Responsible of the training programme associated to SW or some power users involved in the very first phases of the SW implementation). Among the various potential interviewees, we have chosen those who were universally recognised within the firms as power adopters of the SW model—i.e., people who managed the request of a SW model and, who pioneered its development. These actors have been selected through a social inquiry based on a snowball technique (Patton, 2005). Within each firm, authors continued recruiting informants until additional interviews failed to dispute existing, or reveal new, categories or relationships that is, until theoretical saturation (Strauss et al., 1990) was achieved. Table 3 proposes the organizations involved in the case studies as well as the interviews accomplished.

Org.*	Industry	Employees	Interviews**			Total
			HR manager	C-levels	Others	
A	Public administration	3,407	2	2	5	9
B	Food and beverage	3,764	5	8	2	15
C	Brewing	961	4	2	6	12

D	Food packaging and processing	824	6	2	5	13
---	-------------------------------	-----	---	---	---	----

* Pseudonyms are used to protect the anonymity of the organizational and their members

** Each interview lasted approximately 1.5 hours

Table 3 – Organizational involved in the qualitative analysis

Potential informant bias has been addressed in several ways. First, the interviews collected both real-time and retrospective longitudinal data in several waves over seven months. According to (Ozcan and Eisenhardt, 2009) these kinds of data collection are ideal because retrospective data enable efficient collection of more observations (thus enabling better grounding), while real-time data mitigate retrospective bias (Leonard-Barton, 1990). Second, anonymity has been promised to companies and informants. According to (Eisenhardt, 1989) this decision encourages candour. Third, the interviews have been complemented with wide-ranging archival and observational data, as suggested by (Bingham and Eisenhardt, 2011). Fourth, open-ended questioning has been used to give the informants wide scope to relate the concept as they chose. According to (Koriat et al., 2000) this helps in addressing potential informant bias. Fifth, informants not only from multiple levels of hierarchy, but also with different perspectives have been considered during the interviews (Ozcan and Eisenhardt, 2009). Finally, interview techniques like courtroom questioning, event tracking, and nondirective questioning (Martin and Eisenhardt, 2010) have been used to yield accurate information (Huber and Power, 1985).

Following recommendations regarding multiple cases theory-building (Eisenhardt and Graebner, 2007), within and cross-case analyses have been performed with no a priori hypotheses. The authors cycled among the emergent theory, case data, and literature to further refine abstraction levels, construct measures, and theoretical relationships (Eisenhardt, 1989). To converge on a parsimonious set of constructs, authors focused (and will present) only on the most robust findings (Andriopoulos and Lewis, 2009).

4 Findings

4.1 Configurations of SW practices: The cluster analysis

Descriptive statistics (Table 4) highlighted that firms invest more in ICT solutions (59% of companies surveyed) regard to the reconfiguration of the workplace and of the office layout (39% of companies surveyed). However, the majority of the organizations surveyed (the 67%) make innovations in the human resource practices and in the organizational model followed.

Variable		Mean	Median	Dev. std.	Min.	Max.
Element	Layout	0.39	0	0.49	0	1
	ICT	0.59	1	0.49	0	1
	HR	0.67	1	0.47	0	1
Industry	PA	0.14	0	0.35	0	1
	Bank	0.13	0	0.34	0	1
	Engineering	0.08	0	0.27	0	1
	Food	0.06	0	0.24	0	1
	Retail	0.06	0	0.24	0	1
	ICT	0.06	0	0.24	0	1
	Other	0.47	0	0.50	0	1
Contingent var.	Human capital (k€)	54.76	57.00	16.84	10.00	88.00
	Capital intensity (k€)	762	128	2,980	1.00	21,319
	Size	4,197	566	18,387	57	140,435
	Year of foundation	1984	1996	29	1865	2010
	VA/employees (k€)	80.15	77.00	34.28	15.00	165.00

Table 4 – Descriptive statistics

The three binary variables¹ operationalizing the three elements that may determine a company to adopt SW practices were subject to a Hierarchical Cluster Analysis using Ward’s method (Forst and Vogel, 1977), which produced a dendrogram². The dendrogram showed that within the sample there were four distinct approaches to SW, which are shown in Table 5. The existence of distinct approaches provided empirical evidence on the existence of complementarities between the elements investigated.

SW typology		1. Inconsistent SW	2. Analogical SW	3. Digital SW	4. Complete SW	Total
Element	Layout	Low	High	Low	High	39%
	ICT	Low	Low	High	High	59%
	HR	Low	High	High	High	67%
Industry	PA	21.4%	15.4%	12.1%	7.7%	14%
	Bank	3.6%	38.5%	15.1%	7.7%	13%
	Engineering	7.1%	0%	12.1%	7.7%	8%
	Food	3.6%	7%	9.1%	3.8%	6%
	Retail	10.7%	7.7%	3.0%	3.8%	6%
	ICT	0%	7.7%	0%	19.2%	6%
Contingent var.	Human capital (k€)	44.76	55.83	57.80	60.16	54.76
	Capital intensity (k€)	2.079	192	443	166	762
	Size	566	1,579	274	606	566
	Year of foundation	1978	1974	1993	1981	1984
	VA/employees (k€)	66.76	70.80	89.40	85.11	80.15
Percentage of firms		28%	13%	33%	26%	100%

* “Low” means that the value of the element is under the mean of the sample, “high” otherwise.

Table 5 – ANOVA results

¹ Values in the scale higher to the median value were converted into a 1 and the others to 0.

² For further details please contact the authors.

A first approach (*cluster 1*) consists of 28% of companies surveyed. We called these firms “inconsistent smart work” as they do not invest significantly in any of the three elements investigated. This cluster is composed mainly by organizations that operate in the public administration and in the retail industry. These organizations have not high-level human capital and are capital intensive. Furthermore, the majority of them are older than the others are, and are characterized by low levels of productivity.

The second group (*cluster 2*) in terms of frequency in the sample consists of 13% of surveyed companies whose features are based on attributing importance to investments in innovations in the HR practices and in the organizational model followed, and in the reconfiguration of the workplace and of the office layout. Since ICT element is not significantly used by this cluster, we labelled it as “analogical smart work”. This cluster is mainly composed by organizations operating in banking and with medium qualified employees. These organizations are older than others, have more employees, are labour intensive, and have relatively low productivity levels.

The bigger group (*cluster 3*) consists of 33% of organizations whose distinguishing trait is the limited importance for the reconfiguration of the workplace and of the office layout. For this reason, we labelled this group as “digital smart work”. This cluster is composed mainly by organizations of the engineering and in the food industry. These organizations have medium-qualified human capital and are labour intensive. The majority of them is younger than others firms, have a medium size and are characterized by high levels of productivity.

Finally, a fourth approach to SW (*cluster 4*) consists of 26% of organizations that have invested in all the three elements investigated. Given the typology of investments made by these organizations, we labelled this group as “complete smart work”. This cluster is composed mainly by organizations of the ICT industry that have hired qualified employees (high human capital levels). These companies are labour intensive, are characterized by medium dimensions, and have high productivity levels.

4.2 Estimation of the complementarities

The estimations of the complementarities between the ICT, HR and layout elements are shown in Table 6. It can be seen from this table that the individual effects of the three elements (estimated in Model 1) do not impact significantly on the outcome of the model, the labour productivity growth, with the except of the layout element whose contribution is positive and significant with a p-value less than the 5%.

From Model 2, we verify that there are not any significant complementary effects on the outcome variable in case two elements are developed. Specifically, the three coefficients of the two-way interaction effects do not impact significantly on the outcome variable.

Instead, for gauging the complementarities between the three elements, we looked in Model 3 at whether the three-way interaction effect was significant on the outcome variable. Results of this model demonstrate the importance of complementarities of the three elements with respect to determining labour productivity. Indeed, we found that the contribution of the variable measured as the interaction between the three elements, on the outcome variable, is positive and significant at the 5% level.

	Dependent variable	
Independent variables		Labor productivity

Model	M1	M2	M3
<i>Direct effect</i>			
ICT element	-0.222	-0.010	-0.039
HR element	-0.092	-0.081	-0.137
Layout element	0.096*	0.104**	0.085*
<i>Two-way interaction effects</i>			
ICT element x Layout element	...	-0.067	-0.093
HR element x Layout element	...	-0.046	-0.033
ICT element x HR element	...	0.062	0.012
<i>Three-way interaction effect</i>			
ICT element x HR element x Layout element	0.189*
<i>Control variables</i>			
Firm age	-0.045	-0.037	-0.025
Human capital	0.011**	0.010**	0.010**
VA/employees	-0.217*	-0.226*	-0.220*
<i>Fit indexes</i>			
F	4.02**	3.92***	6.32***
R ²	36.32%	39.08%	42.22%

Note: *** = p -value < 0.1%; ** = p < 1%; * = p < 5%; industry dummy variables included in the models

Table 6 – Regression models for evaluating complementarities on labour productivity

4.3 Results of Qualitative Analysis

We have structured the results of the case studies according to the main reasons that led the different organizations to invest in SW (Table 7), and the specific configurations of the three elements characterizing each SW model (Table 8). The rest of the paragraph will briefly describe the cases deepening the statements reported into the tables. A final sub-paragraph will report the considerations rose during the cross-case analysis.

Organization*	A	B	C	D
SW typology				
Impacts	Inconsistent SW	Analogical SW	Digital SW	Complete SW
Organizational efficiency	<u>Cost reduction</u>	<u>Rationalisation</u>	<u>Productivity</u>	Flexibility
Organizational effectiveness	Response rate	Quality improvement	<u>Collaboration</u>	Innovation
Employees engagement	Empowerment	Creativity	Sense of community	Work-life balance

* For each organization we have underlined the main reasons explaining the investments in SW

Table 7 – Main reasons for investing in SW

4.3.1 Organization A: Inconsistent Smart Work

Organization A is a public administration managing a big Italian Region. With 3,407 employees and different facilities spread throughout a large geographical territory, the C-levels of organization A started thinking to SW principles with the main aims of reducing the commuting costs of their employees while increasing their functional integration and, thus, their effectiveness in answering citizen requests. The underlying objectives were not only to switch from silos-based to cohesive service delivery, but also—using the words of the Chief Information Officer—“to progressively empower all employees toward the usage of ICT as a lever through which disrupting the service processes”.

Organization	A	B	C	D
--------------	---	---	---	---

SW typology	Inconsistent SW	Analogical SW	Digital SW	Complete SW
Layout element	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant intervention made • Building constraints to be faced (old facilities not easily re-configurable) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of a new building focused on fully exploiting a SW model • Concentration rooms, collaboration rooms and relax rooms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant intervention made • Building constraints to be faced (necessity of changing building in order to fully benefit from SW models) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intelligent, modular building, which adapt to organizational needs • Building automation (light and temperature) • Acoustic isolation
ICT element	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant intervention made • Unified collaboration and communication as enabling investment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant intervention made • Unified collaboration and communication as enabling investment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mobile workspace for all employees • Unified collaboration and communication • Cloud solutions • Social network within the firm 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full digitalization of archives and documents • Unified collaboration and communication • Mobile workspace and app for employees
HR element	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant intervention made • Assessment of the effectiveness of current model in balancing employees' needs with firms performance goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extension to all senior managers • Training • SW leadership program (engagement) • Clear definition of the SW priorities on which focusing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preliminary pilots in ICT and marketing divisions • Quantification of the SW benefits • Extension to all other employees • Training 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extension to all employees (blue collars) • Full autonomy in choosing working times, places and tools • Self-certification of working hours

Table 8 – Usage of the three elements in the cases

Organization A started to invest in a unified communication and collaboration suite (instant messaging, presence and collaboration) as an enabling ICT-based investment to achieve these potential benefits. However, the lack of a solid budget associated to strong financial constraints not only forced to focus on a (suboptimal) general-purpose suite, but also to ignore other complementary SW elements. In particular, as stated by the HR manager, “the lack of training programmes explaining how to take advantage of the digital solution limited its extension from the convenient pilot units in which it has been tested to the whole organization”. Recognizing this initial mistake, organization A is now focused on assessing the effectiveness that the current organizational model has in balancing employees' needs with firms' performance goals, and compare it to models used in other public administrations and firms. The results of this exercise will be used to define the next priorities to move organization A along the continuum toward SW models.

4.3.2 Organization B: Analogical Smart Work

Organization B is the Italian branch of a multinational food and beverage company that, in the last months of 2013, has moved all its employees into a new building structured into functional areas (concentration rooms, collaboration rooms, relax rooms, etc.). This change provided an opportunity to rethink the whole working model, with the aims of rationalizing the cost of facilities (as well as their management), improving the quality of the internal decision-making processes and stimulate creativity in individuals.

During the construction of the new building, the organization has extensively invested in training all senior managers regarding the levers and benefits related to SW. According to the Chief Executive Officer: “this phase is an essential basis on which constructing any further SW initiative”. Once a clear idea of SW levers and benefits was disseminated, organization B developed a leadership program to engage senior managers in the devel-

opment of SW model and mature the capabilities necessary to efficiently and effectively accomplishing this task. A clear definition of specific SW priorities completed the programme of HR development, and allowed to fully exploit the new building once ready. One of the results of the prioritization of all SW efforts has been the choice of not making particular interventions in ICT domain. Two are the main reasons explaining this choice: (i) the organization already had a supportive and mature digital infrastructure; (ii) managing also this element could compromise the effectiveness of the whole process of SW development. These reasons are confirmed by the Chief Financial Officer, who added that *“too many variables to be taken into account risk of defocusing and, thus, producing no benefits to be shown to the board in order to continue benefiting from their commitment”*. Within these settings, only unified collaboration and communication solutions have been considered an indispensable and enabling investment that cannot be neglected.

4.3.3 Organization C: Digital Smart Work

Organization C is the Italian branch of a multinational brewing company that in July 2013 started developing a SW model with the objectives of increasing the productivity and the level of collaboration of its employees instilling a sense of community in them. Starting from the consideration that it was impossible to work on layout element, since current building structure impedes the rearrange of office layout allowing to fully benefits from SW principles, the C-levels of organization C decided to start a SW initiative involving its HR and the ICT divisions. This initiative has been structured according to three phases: (i) evaluation of current organizational and individual needs; (ii) piloting of a SW model into controlled, supportive settings; (iii) quantification of SW benefits and extension of SW model to the whole organization through a set of training sessions.

During this process, organization C invested in the development of a digital environment complementing the HR strategy of letting people work whenever and wherever they wanted. Thus, in addition to some investments in unified communication and collaboration tools, a mobile workspace (constituted by a laptop, a smartphone and an internet connection) has been made available to all employees. Moreover, a set of cloud-based solutions has been developed to improve the performance, the reliability and the scalability of the applications used in day-by-day tasks. Finally, a corporate social network significantly increased the intra-organizational knowledge exchange. The Chief Information Officer confirmed this aspect by highlighting: *“the core importance of progressively investing in an enabling digital infrastructure—able to bring flexibility in the process through which the intricate flows of information within the company are manage and leveraged”*.

The combination of HR and ICT elements allowed achieving significant results in compressed timeframes. This is for instance confirmed by what has been stated by the Chief Financial Officer: *“In just three months of experimentation, we have registered a productivity growth of 20% only in our ICT department. The improvement reached the peak of 30% in the HR division”*. With these numbers, the promoters of SW initiative convinced the board of organization C to significantly invest into the development of a SW model.

4.3.4 Organization D: Complete Smart Work

Organization D is the Italian branch of a multinational food packaging and processing company. Organization D started thinking to SW in 2006 in order to increase the innovativeness of its employees and the flexibility in managing them. As stressed by the managing director of the organization: *“Underlying these objectives there was the necessity of retaining key human resources in a geographical territory full of other strong employer*

brands". Within these settings, and recognizing the centrality of HR in producing the competitive advantage of the firm, organization D focused on increasing work-life balance.

One peculiarity of organization D is that its HR director is also the ICT leader as well as the facility manager of the firm. This organizational configuration ensured high levels of interrelations and complementarities among the three SW elements. An intelligent and modular building has been developed to adapt to organizational need. Thus, if employees necessitate of a big conference room, open spaces are autonomously created by moving transparent walls and dynamically rearranging office layout. The light and the temperature within the building are centrally controlled in order to provide employees with the most conformable conditions to accomplish their tasks.

All archives and documents have been digitalised or moved to a separated warehouse. An internal logistic service brings the documents that employees need where and when they need it. In this way: "*the working place is highly simplified, and human resource can focus on one task at a time and boost both their efficiency and effectiveness*" (HR director). The organization has developed a set of apps allowing booking a meeting room on the run, releasing it, checking the queue at the canteen, etc. More generally, organization D has created a mobile workspace allowing employees to work whenever and wherever they want.

These and many other benefits (corporate kindergarten, wellness areas, centralised commuting services, etc.) have been made to all employees—blue collars included. These last ones have not only a full autonomy in choosing their working times, places and devices, but also self-certificate their working hours and spontaneously coordinate in the different R&D projects within the firm. The end result is "a reduction of the HR, ICT and lay-out yearly costs by an order of 10%, and a significant increase in the innovativeness of the organization" (HR director) who recently won the best-place-to-work award.

5 Discussion and conclusions

We began by asserted that successful organizations are increasingly characterized by the ability to abandon now inappropriate working configurations (Birkinshaw et al., 2008) to support new organizational principles. This happens because the extremely dynamic settings that companies have to manage nowadays, lead them to restructuring the old forms of work in order to make possible the implementation of new forms of work, as those that they start labelling "smart work". In order to investigate this issue, we rooted our reflections in the theoretical stream of flexible work practices (e.g., Leslie et al., 2012) and we recalled the notion of complementarities (e.g., Milgrom et al., 1991) to look inside the black box of SW, by unpacking the elements that can generate complementarities between the adoption of ICT, workplace and work practice innovation, and by evaluating their impact on outcome variables.

Specifically, this study allows highlighting the elements characterizing SW models and the contingent conditions where they are implemented. The main reasons for which an organization invests in SW tend to shape and being shaped by both the investments accomplished in SW elements. Inconsistent SW organizations tend seeing SW only a paradigm to reduce cost. Analogical SW organizations tend combining resources rationalisation with employee creativity. Digital SW organization focus on establishing collaboration and a sense of community among their employees. Complete SW organizations tend focusing on work-life balance, and see the innovativeness of its assets as a by-product of a satisfied employee, who has to be retained as a key resource.

Overall we demonstrate that there are complementarities between the elements that can characterize a SW model. At least two elements are developed in each SW configuration found. Quantitative and qualitative analyses show the centrality of HR element in the development of SW models. In particular, the cross-analysis of the

four different implementation strategies of SW suggests that the development of pilots in controlled organizational niches, the quantification of the benefits associated to SW, the engagement of senior managers and employees in training programs are central in the development of SW. Indeed, SW requires the concurrent presence of at least two elements, where HR element is always developed.

We also demonstrated in this paper that the complementary investments in the three elements positively affect the labour productivity of firms, highlighting that SW means higher returns for companies. This implies that firms should focus their attention and their investments on all the three elements that characterize a SW setting in a comprehensive and holistic fashion. In this way we found empirical support to the importance of complementarities between the three elements by supporting the discussion of Milgrom et al. (1991).

Regarding the layout element, it is important to note that most of current organizational facilities have different constraints that impedes to fully benefit from the potential of SW. Recognizing that the organizational layout tend to shape working practices in a significant way, many organization are deciding to start from green field, and “use” the development of new facilities as an opportunity to rethink organizational models in order to combine efficiency (e.g. less space used due to the usage of shared desks) with effectiveness (e.g. exploitation of room favouring collaboration among employees). In the quantitative analysis we found that younger firms do not make any particular investments in the layout element. This maybe is due to the fact that such companies adopt already flexible solutions that allow them to be “smart” and do not need do reconfigure their facilities.

With a reference to the ICT element, the cases suggest that the unified communication and collaboration solutions seem to be a necessary but insufficient investment to develop a SW model. In order to concretise the SW potential, most advanced cases complement these investments with (at least) the development of a mobile workplace allowing: (i) employees to work also outside the firm facilities, and (i) firms to progressively develop flexible models of ICT governance opening up further SW opportunities. What is clear is that there are different stages of ICT maturity towards a SW model, and that banks invest to a lower extent in ICT solutions probably given security problems that can arise in an extensive usage of them.

To sum up, we believe that this study provides an important approach to how we conceptualize and operationalize SW concept, and to how complementarities between the three elements characterizing a SW practice matter.

6 Implications and future research

Our results suggest that managers should devote more effort in thinking about restructuring their old work practices in order to implement new forms of work characterized by higher levels of flexibility, which can bring higher returns for the company. Managers should think about implementing SW practices, not only for achieving better returns at company level, but also because SW can bring benefits at individual level.

SW is related also to a cultural change and therefore policymakers should consider education redesign as a priority in order to prepare managers but also individuals for the new demands and opportunities brought by SW. Therefore, policymakers should think about several questions that concern the characteristics that firms should have for succeeding in a SW environment; the requirements for educational and training for firms and individuals, and how they need to be delivered and accessed; what the role of managers in ensuring that a dispersed team is able to respect its tasks; and what the right technologies for providing the support and the connection to make effective a SW implementation.

Future research should focus on four main aspects. First, there is the necessity of further investigations the development dynamics of SW configurations in order to understand the adoption timing of the three elements.

Second, future studies should focus on studying SW adoption at a functional level in order to measure the relative performance. Third, future empirical research should be devoted to investigate whether the complementary development of the three elements leads to other performance improvements at firm level, but also at employee level. Finally, future studies should also analyse more in detail the sectorial effects, if any, of the implementation of SW practices.

References

- Ahuja, M. K., Chudoba, K. M., Kacmar, C. J., McKnight, D. H. and George, J. F. (2007) 'IT road warriors: Balancing work-family conflict, job autonomy, and work overload to mitigate turnover intentions.' *MIS quarterly*, 31(1) pp. 1–17.
- Andriopoulos, C. and Lewis, M. W. (2009) 'Exploitation-exploration tensions and organizational ambidexterity: Managing paradoxes of innovation.' *Organization science*, 20(4) pp. 696–717.
- Beauregard, T. A. and Henry, L. C. (2009) 'Making the link between work-life balance practices and organizational performance.' *Human resource management review*, 19(1) pp. 9–22.
- Bingham, C. B. and Eisenhardt, K. M. (2011) 'Rational heuristics: the “simple rules” that strategists learn from process experience.' *Strategic management journal*, 32(13) pp. 1437–1464.
- Birkinshaw, J. (2010) *Reinventing management: smarter choices for getting work done*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Birkinshaw, J., Hamel, G. and Mol, M. J. (2008) 'Management innovation.' *Academy of management review*, 33(4) pp. 825–845.
- Cameron, E. and Green, M. (2015) *Making sense of change management: A complete guide to the models, tools and techniques of organizational change*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- Chudoba, K. M., Wynn, E., Lu, M. and Watson-Manheim, M. B. (2005) 'How virtual are we? Measuring virtuality and understanding its impact in a global organization.' *Information systems journal*, 15(4) pp. 279–306.
- Coenen, M. and Kok, R. A. (2014) 'Workplace flexibility and new product development performance: The role of telework and flexible work schedules.' *European management journal*, 32(4) pp. 564–576.
- Corso, M., Gastaldi, L. and Martini A. (2013) 'The role of ICT in the new (virtual) working space: an empirical investigation on enterprise 2.0.', in: Lytras, M., Ruan, D., Tennyson, R. D., Ordonez De Pablos, P., Garcia Peñalvo, F.J. and Rusu, L. (Eds.) *Information systems, e-learning, and knowledge management research*, Springer-Verlag, pp. 546–556.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989) 'Building theories from case study research.' *Academy of management review*, 14(4) pp. 532–550.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. and Brown, S. L. (1998) 'Patching. Restitching business portfolios in dynamic markets.' *Harvard business review*, 77(3) pp. 72–82.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. and Graebner, M. E. (2007) 'Theory building from cases: opportunities and challenges.' *Academy of management journal*, 50(1) pp. 25–32.
- Elsbach, K. D. and Bechky, B. A. (2007) 'It's More than a Desk: working smarter through leveraged office design.' *California management review*, 49(2) pp. 80–101.
- Elsbach, K. D. and Pratt. M. G. (2007) 'The physical environment in organizations.' *Academy of management annals*, 1(1) pp. 181–224.
- Fogarty, H., Scott, P. and Williams, S. (2011) 'The half-empty office: dilemmas in managing locational flexibility.' *New technology, work and employment*, 26(3) pp. 183–195.

- Forst, H. T. and Vogel, F. (1977) 'Hierarchish-agglomerative Klassifikation von Merkmalstragern.' *Merkmale. Kiel: Institut für Betriebswirtschaft*.
- Gastaldi, L. and Corso, M. (2013) 'Academics as Effective Orchestrators of Interorganizational Change and Development: The Experience of Italian Observatories', in: Pasmore, W., Woodman, R., Shani, A.B. (Rami) and Noumair, D.A. (Eds.) *Research in organizational change and development (Vol. 21)*, Emerald, pp. 59–119.
- Gastaldi, L., Appio, F. P., Martini, A. and Corso, M. (2015) 'Academics as orchestrators of continuous innovation ecosystems: towards a fourth generation of CI initiatives.' *International Journal of Technology Management*, 68(1/2) pp. 1–20.
- Gorgievski, M. J., van der Voordt, T. J. M., van Herpen, S. G. A. and van Akkeren, S. (2010) 'After the fire: new ways of working in an academic setting, *Facilities*, 28(3/4) pp. 206–224.
- Hamel, G. (2012) *What matters now. Jossey-Bass*.
- Hoeven, C. L. and Zoonen, W. (2015) 'Flexible work designs and employee well-being: examining the effects of resources and demands.' *New technology, work and employment*, 30(3) pp. 237–255.
- Jick, T. D. (1979) 'Mixing qualitative and quantitative methods: Triangulation in action.' *Administrative science quarterly*, 24(4) pp. 602–611.
- Kim, Y.-Y. and Oh, S. (2015) 'What Makes Smart Work Successful? Overcoming the Constraints of Time Geography.' *In System Sciences (HICSS), 2015 48th Hawaii International Conference on. IEEE*, pp. 1038–1047.
- Koriat, A., Goldsmith, M. and Pansky, A. (2000) 'Toward a psychology of memory accuracy.' *Annual review of psychology*, 51(1) pp. 481–537.
- Laursen, K. and Foss, N. J. (2003) 'New human resource management practices, complementarities and the impact on innovation performance.', *Cambridge Journal of economics*, 27(2) pp. 243–263.
- Leonard-Barton, D. (1990) 'A dual methodology for case studies: Synergistic use of a longitudinal single site with replicated multiple sites.' *Organization science*, 1(3) pp. 248–266.
- Leonardi, P. M. (2011) 'When flexible routines meet flexible technologies: Affordance, constraint, and the imbrication of human and material agencies.' *MIS quarterly*, 35(1) pp. 147–167.
- Leonardi, P. M. and Bailey, D. E. (2008) 'Transformational technologies and the creation of new work practices: Making implicit knowledge explicit in task-based offshoring.' *MIS quarterly*, 32(2) pp. 411–436.
- Leslie, L. M., Flaherty Machester, C., Park, T.-Y. and Mehng, S. A. (2012) 'Flexible work practices: a source of career premiums or penalties?.' *Academy of management journal*, 55(6) pp. 1407–1428.
- Mann, J. (2012) 'Transform the workplace with focus on bricks, behaviors and bits.' Gartner report, G0021229.
- Martínez-Sánchez, A., Pérez-Pérez, M., De-Luis-Carnicer, P. and Vela-Jiménez, M. J. (2007) 'Telework, human resource flexibility and firm performance.' *New technology, work and employment*, 22(3) pp. 208–223.
- Martin, J. A. and Eisenhardt, K. M. (2010) 'Rewiring: Cross-business-unit collaborations in multibusiness organizations.' *Academy of management journal*, 53(2) pp. 265–301.
- Milgrom, P., Qian, Y. and Roberts, J. (1991) 'Complementarities, momentum, and the evolution of modern manufacturing.' *The american economic review*, 81(2) pp. 84–88.
- Milgrom, P. and Roberts, J. (1995) 'Complementarities and fit strategy, structure, and organizational change in manufacturing.' *Journal of accounting and economics*, 19(2) pp. 179–208.
- Neirotti, P., Paolucci, E., and Raguseo, E. (2013) 'Mapping the antecedents of telework diffusion: firm-level evidence from Italy.' *New technology, work and employment*, 28(1) pp. 16–36.
- McGrath, R. G. (2013) 'Transient advantage.' *Harvard business review*, 91(6) pp. 62–70.

- Oksanen, K. and Ståhle, P. (2013) 'Physical environment as a source for innovation: investigating the attributes of innovative space.' *Journal of knowledge management*, 17(6) pp. 815–827.
- Ozcan, P. and Eisenhardt, K. M. (2009) 'Origin of alliance portfolios: Entrepreneurs, network strategies, and firm performance.' *Academy of management journal*, 52(2) pp. 246–279.
- Patton, M. Q. (2005) *Qualitative research*. Wiley.
- Plantronics (2014) 'Smarter Working: Welcome to the Next Age of Work.', available at <http://www.plantronics.com/us/solutions/smarter-working>.
- Raguseo, E., Paolucci, E. and Neirotti, P. (2015) 'Exploring the tensions behind the adoption of mobile work practices in SMEs.' *Business process management journal*, 21(5) pp.1162-1185.
- Reyt J.-N. and Wiesenfeld, B. M. (2015) 'Seeing the forest for the trees: exploratory learning, mobile technology, and knowledge workers' role integration behaviors.' *Academy of management journal*, 58(3) pp. 739–762.
- Rockmann, K. N. and Pratt, M. G. (2015) 'Contagious Offsite Work and the Lonely Office: The Unintended Consequences of Distributed Work.' *Academy of management discoveries*, 1(2) pp. 150–164.
- Sewell, G. and Taskin, L. (2015) 'Out of sight, out of mind in a new world of work? Autonomy, control and spatiotemporal scaling in telework.' *Organization studies*, 36(11) pp. 1507–1529.
- Smith, R. (2013) 'The End of Competitive Advantage: How to Keep Your Strategy Moving as Fast as Your Business.' *Research-technology management*, 56(5) p. 64.
- Strauss, A. L., Corbin, J. M. and others (1990) *Basics of qualitative research*. Sage Newbury Park, CA.
- Van der Voordt, T. J. M. (2004) 'Productivity and employee satisfaction in flexible workplaces.' *Journal of corporate real estate*, 6(2) pp. 133-148.
- Vlaar, P. W., van Fenema, P. C. and Tiwari, V. (2008) 'Cocreating understanding and value in distributed work: How members of onsite and offshore vendor teams give, make, demand, and break sense.' *MIS quarterly*, 32(2) pp. 227–255.
- Yin, R. K. (2003) *Case study research design and methods (3rd edition)*. Sage.
- Yoo, Y., Henfridsson, O. and Lyytinen, K. (2010) 'Research commentary-The new organizing logic of digital innovation: An agenda for information systems research.' *Information systems research*, 21(4) pp. 724–735.