

1 Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability
 2 and Related Topics
 3 (2019) 1950008 (10 pages)
 4 © World Scientific Publishing Company
 5 DOI: 10.1142/S0219025719500085



6 **A characterization of quantum Markov semigroups**
 7 **of weak coupling limit type**

8 Franco Fagnola
 9 *Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano,*
 10 *Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, I-20133 Milano, Italy*
 11 *franco.fagnola@polimi.it*

12 Roberto Quezada
 13 *Departamento de Matemáticas,*
 14 *UAM-Iztapalapa, Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186,*
 15 *Col. Vicentina, 09340 México D.F., México*
 16 *roqb@xanum.uam.mx*

17 Received 21 March 2017
 18 Accepted 6 May 2019
 19 Published
 20 Communicated by A. Chebotarev

21 We characterize generators of quantum Markov semigroups leaving invariant a maximal
 22 abelian purely atomic algebra and certain operator subspaces associated with it in a
 23 natural way. From this result, we also establish a characterization of generators of quan-
 24 tum Markov semigroups of weak coupling limit type associated with a nondegenerate
 25 Hamiltonian.

26 *Keywords:* Quantum Markov semigroup; weak coupling limit-type generator; invariant
 27 operator subspace.

28 AMS Subject Classification: 47D07, 82C10, 82C31

29 **1. Introduction**

30 Weak coupling limit-type (WCLT) quantum Markov semigroups (see Ref. 2) are
 31 semigroups of completely positive maps, closely related with a discrete spectrum
 32 Hamiltonian H_S with remarkable structural properties. Their invariant states sat-
 33 isfy the local Kubo–Martin–Schwinger (KMS) condition, see Ref. 2, that distin-
 34 guishes, among the states of the dynamics (i.e. functions of the invariants of motion
 35 in the commutant of the Hamiltonian $\{H_S\}'$), those which are functions of the
 36 Hamiltonian, i.e. in the von Neumann algebra $\rho \in \{H_S\}''$, the double commutant
 37 of H_S . Generators of these semigroups are written as the sum of other generators,
 38 one for each Bohr frequency, with completely positive part with multiplicity one (in

F. Fagnola & R. Quezada

1 the zero temperature case) or two (in the positive temperature case). Their structure
 2 structure is simple enough to allow explicit computation of their stationary states, but
 3 rich enough to exhibit detailed balance (equilibrium) as well as nondetailed balance
 4 (but local equilibrium) invariant states. Moreover, WCLT generators leave invariant,
 5 not only the commutant of the system Hamiltonian, but also a multiplicity of
 6 operator subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$. In Ref. 2, we conjectured that this property characterizes
 WCLT generators. This paper is aimed at investigating this conjecture for
 QMSs with nondegenerate Hamiltonian H_S .

With this motivation, as a first step, we characterize QMSs leaving invariant the
 maximal abelian purely atomic algebra \mathcal{D}_0 generated by the system Hamiltonian
 H_S and the operator subspaces \mathcal{D}_n (2.7) (Property **P** in Sec. 2) associated with
 it in a natural way. Theorem 3.1 shows that one can find a Gorini–Kossakowski–
 Sudharshan–Lindblad (GKSL) representation of their generators with all operators
 L_ℓ in the completely positive part of the generator belonging to some \mathcal{D}_n and all
 the other operators in the maximal (diagonal) algebra \mathcal{D}_0 .

This shows that the conjecture as stated in Ref. 2, in general, is not true.
 As a matter of fact, one could consider generators with all operators in a GKSL
 representation belonging to \mathcal{D}_0 which are not of WCLT but leave invariant all the
 operator spaces \mathcal{D}_n for all n . However, if we further detail a bit the properties of
 the operators in the GKSL representation as in Theorem 4.1, we can prove the
 conjecture with a slightly different formulation.

We would like to emphasize here that Property **P** is very useful in the study
 of several QMSs because, roughly speaking, it allows one to reduce the dimension
 of the space where the semigroup acts, slicing up it into its subspaces \mathcal{D}_n . This
 happens, for instance, in the study of the spectral gap (see Refs. 5 and 7) and the
 entropy production rate (see Ref. 6).

QMSs leaving invariant a maximal abelian algebra have been studied in Ref. 4.
 This property, however, is much weaker than Property **P** considered here and does
 not allow to draw conclusions on the shape of the operators in a GKSL representation
 of the generator.

31 2. Semigroups of WCLT

32 Let H_S be a positive self-adjoint operator (reference Hamiltonian) acting on a
 33 separable complex Hilbert space \mathfrak{h} with discrete spectral decomposition

$$H_S = \sum_{\varepsilon_m \in \text{Sp}(H)} \varepsilon_m P_{\varepsilon_m}, \quad (2.1)$$

34 where ε_m , with $\varepsilon_m < \varepsilon_n$ for $m < n$, are the eigenvalues of H_S and P_{ε_m} are the corre-
 35 sponding eigenspaces. We consider WCLT-bounded generators of QMSs, associated
 36 with the Hamiltonian H_S , of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\omega \in B_+} \mathcal{L}_\omega, \quad (2.2)$$

AQ: Kindly expand
 at the first
 instance.

AQ: Kindly check
the short title.

A characterization of quantum Markov semigroups

1 where B_+ is the set of all Bohr frequencies (Arveson spectrum)

$$B_+ := \{(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_m) : \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m > 0\}. \quad (2.3)$$

2 For every Bohr frequency ω , \mathcal{L}_ω is a generator with the GKSL structure

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\omega(x) = & i[H_\omega, x] - \frac{\Gamma_{-\omega}}{2}(D_\omega^* D_\omega x - 2D_\omega^* x D_\omega + x D_\omega D_\omega^*) \\ & - \frac{\Gamma_{+\omega}}{2}(D_\omega D_\omega^* x - 2D_\omega x D_\omega^* + x D_\omega D_\omega^*) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

3 for all $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$, with Kraus operators D_ω defined by

$$D_\omega = \sum_{(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_m) \in B_{+, \omega}} P_{\varepsilon_m} D P_{\varepsilon_n}, \quad (2.5)$$

4 where $B_{+, \omega} = \{(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_m) : \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m = \omega\}$, D belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$, the von Neumann
5 algebra of all bounded operators on \mathfrak{h} , $\Gamma_{-\omega}$, $\Gamma_{+\omega}$ are nonnegative real constants
6 with $\Gamma_{-\omega} + \Gamma_{+\omega} > 0$ and H_ω is a bounded self-adjoint operator on \mathfrak{h} commuting
7 with H_S .

8 In the case when the set of Bohr frequencies is infinite, for \mathcal{L} to be the generator
9 of a norm continuous QMS, the series

$$\sum_{\omega \in B_+} (\Gamma_{-\omega} D_\omega^* D_\omega + \Gamma_{+\omega} D_\omega D_\omega^*) \quad (2.6)$$

10 must be strongly convergent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$, see Corollary 30.13 on p. 268 and Theo-
11 rem 30.16 on p. 271 of Ref. 10.

12 The class of WCLT generators leaves invariant the commutant $\{H_S\}'$ of the
13 Hamiltonian as well as several subspaces of off-diagonal operators, see Corollary 3.2
14 in Ref. 2 where it was conjectured that this property characterizes the WCLT
15 generators.

16 In this paper, we suppose that the Hamiltonian H_S is also nondegenerate,
17 namely, in the spectral representation (2.1), spectral projections P_{ε_m} are one-
18 dimensional.

19 In order to introduce our framework, we denote by $(e_m)_{m \geq 0}$ an orthonormal
20 basis of \mathfrak{h} of eigenvectors of H_S , i.e. $H_S e_m = \varepsilon_m e_m$ for all $m \geq 0$. Consider the
21 operator subspaces \mathcal{D}_n with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \left\{ \sum_{i \geq \max(0, -n)} z_i |e_i\rangle \langle e_{i+n}| \mid z_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sup_{i \geq \max(0, -n)} |z_i| < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

22 Clearly, \mathcal{D}_0 is the *maximal* abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ generated by
23 one-dimensional projections $|e_i\rangle \langle e_i|$.

24 Under the above assumptions, WCLT generators enjoy the following.

25 **Property P.** For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for every Bohr frequency ω , the operator subspace
26 \mathcal{D}_n is invariant under the action of \mathcal{L}_ω .

F. Fagnola & R. Quezada

1 **Proof.** Indeed, if $z \in \mathcal{D}_n$, denoting by $e_i^{\pm\omega}$ the eigenvector of the eigenvalue $\varepsilon_i \pm \omega$,
2 an easy computation yields

$$D_\omega z D_\omega^* = \sum_{i \geq \max(0, -n)} z_i \langle e_i, D e_i^{+\omega} \rangle \langle e_{i+n}^{+\omega}, D^* e_{i+n} \rangle |e_i\rangle \langle e_{i+n}|,$$

$$D_\omega^* z D_\omega = \sum_{i \geq \max(0, -n)} z_i \langle e_i, D^* e_i^{-\omega} \rangle \langle e_{i+n}^{-\omega}, D e_{i+n} \rangle |e_i\rangle \langle e_{i+n}|,$$

$$D_\omega^* D_\omega z = \sum_{i \geq \max(0, -n)} z_i \langle e_i, D e_i^{+\omega} \rangle \langle e_i^{+\omega}, D^* e_i \rangle |e_i\rangle \langle e_{i+n}|,$$

$$D_\omega D_\omega^* z = \sum_{i \geq \max(0, -n)} z_i \langle e_i, D^* e_i^{-\omega} \rangle \langle e_i^{-\omega}, D e_i \rangle |e_i\rangle \langle e_{i+n}|$$

3 and, taking the adjoint, similar formulae hold for $z D_\omega^* D_\omega$, $z D_\omega D_\omega^*$. As a conse-
4 quence, all the above operators belong to \mathcal{D}_n for all ω . \square

5 The family of subspaces \mathcal{D}_n has a rich structure. One can easily verify that each
6 \mathcal{D}_n is a pre-Hilbert \mathcal{D}_0 -module with the inner product defined for $z, w \in \mathcal{D}_n$ as

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z^* w \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

7 Moreover we have the following.

8 **Lemma 2.1.** (i) Every element $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ can be represented as $X = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n$
9 with $X_n \in \mathcal{D}_n$, the series being strongly convergent,

10 (ii) If $W = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} W_m$ and $V = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m$ are two bounded operators, then

$$W_m^* \mathcal{D}_n V_{m'} \subset \mathcal{D}_n$$

11 if and only if $m = m'$.

12 **Proof.** (i) It suffices to note that $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{m \geq 0} P_{\varepsilon_m}$ and the series is strongly con-
13 vergent. Since the product $(A_n B_n)_n$ of two strongly convergent sequences $(A_n)_n$,
14 $(B_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a strongly convergent sequence because, for all $u \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\|A_n B_n u - A B u\| \leq \|A_n (B_n - B) u\| + \|(A_n - A) B u\|,$$

15 and $(B_n)_n$ is uniformly bounded in norm by the uniform boundedness principle, we
16 have

$$X = \sum_{m, m'} P_{\varepsilon_{m'}} X P_{\varepsilon_m} = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\{(\varepsilon_{m'}, \varepsilon_m) \mid \varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_{m'} = \omega\}} P_{\varepsilon_{m'}} X P_{\varepsilon_m},$$

17 where the sum on ω is on all differences $\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_{m'}$ of eigenvalues of H_S (not only
18 strictly positive Bohr frequencies).

A characterization of quantum Markov semigroups

1 (ii) Now, for every k, k' , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} W_m^*|e_k\rangle\langle e_{k'}|V_{m'} &= \sum_{j,j'\geq 0} \langle W e_{j+m}, e_j \rangle \langle e_{j'}, V e_{j'+m'} \rangle \delta_{j,k} \delta_{j',k'} |e_{j+m}\rangle\langle e_{j'+m'}| \\ &= \langle W e_{k+m}, e_k \rangle \langle V e_{k'+m'}, e_{k'} \rangle |e_{k+m}\rangle\langle e_{k'+m'}| \in \mathcal{D}_{k'-k} \end{aligned}$$

2 if and only if $m = m'$. This proves the lemma. \square

3. Characterization of QMSs Leaving all \mathcal{D}_n s Invariant

4 In this section, we prove that invariance of the operator spaces \mathcal{D}_n for the generator
5 \mathcal{L} implies that it can be decomposed as the sum of other generators, each one of
6 them with completely positive part with multiplicity one and leaving all operator
7 spaces \mathcal{D}_n invariant with a special GKSL representation. More precisely, we prove
8 the following.

9 **Theorem 3.1.** *Let \mathcal{L} be the generator of a norm continuous QMS on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ such
10 that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ for all n and operator spaces \mathcal{D}_n as above determined by a given
11 orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Then there exists a GKSL representation of the gener-
12 ator \mathcal{L}*

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = i[H, x] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \geq 1} (L_\ell^* L_\ell x - 2L_\ell^* x L_\ell + x L_\ell^* L_\ell) \quad (3.1)$$

13 with $L_\ell \in \mathcal{D}_{n_\ell}$ for all ℓ and some n_ℓ , the series $\sum_{\ell \geq 1} L_\ell^* L_\ell$ strongly convergent and
14 $H = H^* \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

15 The first step in the proof is the following.

16 **Lemma 3.1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, there exists a decomposition*

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = G^* x + \Phi(x) + xG \quad (3.2)$$

17 with $G \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and Φ a completely positive map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\Phi_\omega(\mathbb{1}) = -G - G^*$
18 and $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

19 **Proof.** It suffices to recall (see, e.g., Theorem 3.14 and Eq. (3.11) of Ref. 9) that
20 we can find a GKSL decomposition of the generator by fixing a unit vector e and
21 taking as operator G the adjoint of the operator G^* defined by

$$G^* u = \mathcal{L}(|u\rangle\langle e|)e - \frac{1}{2} \langle e, \mathcal{L}(|e\rangle\langle e|)e \rangle u$$

22 for all $u \in \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore, if we choose $e = e_0$, then, putting $2c_0 = \langle e_0, \mathcal{L}(|e_0\rangle\langle e_0|)e_0 \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} G^* e_i &= \mathcal{L}(|e_i\rangle\langle e_0|)e_0 - c_0 e_i \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} z_{ij} |e_{i+j}\rangle\langle e_j| e_0 - c_0 e_i \\ &= (z_{ii} - c_0) e_i \end{aligned}$$

23 for all i . In other words, each vector e_i is an eigenvector for G . \square

AQ: Kindly check the edit.

F. Fagnola & R. Quezada

1 Now, we consider the completely positive part of the generator.

2 **Theorem 3.2.** *Let Φ be a completely positive map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$*
 3 *for all n . Then there exists a Kraus representation $\Phi(x) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} L_\ell^* x L_\ell$ in which*
 4 *each L_ℓ belongs to some \mathcal{D}_m .*

5 **Proof.** Let $\Phi(x) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} V_\ell x V_\ell^*$ be a minimal (i.e. with the minimum number of
 6 operators V_ℓ) Kraus representation of Φ with operators $V_\ell \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that the
 7 series $\sum_{\ell \geq 1} V_\ell V_\ell^* = \Phi(\mathbb{1})$ is strongly convergent.

8 For all j, k , define $v_\ell(j, k) = \langle e_j, V_\ell e_k \rangle$. Collections of complex numbers $v(j, k) =$
 9 $(v_\ell(j, k))_{\ell \geq 1}$ can be viewed as vectors in the multiplicity space \mathfrak{k} of the Kraus
 10 representation of Φ , indeed,

$$\|v(j, k)\|^2 = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} |v_\ell(j, k)|^2 = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \langle e_k, V_\ell^* e_j \rangle \langle V_\ell^* e_j, e_k \rangle = \langle e_k, \Phi(|e_j\rangle\langle e_j|) e_k \rangle < \infty.$$

11 Writing $V_\ell e_i = \sum_k v_\ell(k, i) e_k$, a straightforward computation yields

$$\Phi(|e_i\rangle\langle e_j|) = \sum_{\ell, k, m} v_\ell(k, i) \overline{v_\ell(m, j)} |e_k\rangle\langle e_m|, \quad (3.3)$$

12 so that Φ -invariance of \mathcal{D}_n implies

$$\langle v(k, i), v(m, j) \rangle_{\mathfrak{k}} = \sum_{\ell} v_\ell(k, i) \overline{v_\ell(m, j)} = 0,$$

whenever $j - i \neq m - k$, i.e. $j - m \neq i - k$.

13 In other words, vectors $v(k, i), v(m, j)$ in \mathfrak{k} are orthogonal if $j - m \neq i - k$.

14 It follows that one can find a new basis of \mathfrak{k} and a family of disjoint (possibly
 15 infinite) subsets $I(k - i)$ of the set of indices (each difference is associated with one
 16 and only one subset!) such that, denoting by U the unitary operator of the change
 17 of basis, the following property holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{for each } \ell \text{ and differences } k' - i' \neq k - i, \\ & \text{either } (Uv(k, i))_\ell = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (Uv(k', i'))_\ell = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

18 Clearly, coordinates of vectors $v(k, i)$ in the new basis are given by

$$(Uv(k, i))_\ell = \sum_{\ell'} U_{\ell\ell'} v_{\ell'}(k, i).$$

19 For all $\ell \geq 1$, let

$$L_\ell^* = \sum_{k', i'} (Uv(k', i'))_\ell |e_{k'}\rangle\langle e_{i'}|. \quad (3.5)$$

AQ: Kindly check
the insertion of
bracket.

A characterization of quantum Markov semigroups

1 Since $L_\ell^* = \sum_{\ell'} U_{\ell\ell'} V_{\ell'}$, the operator $\sum_{\ell} L_\ell^* x L_\ell$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k', i', k'', i'', \ell', m', \ell} U_{\ell\ell'} v_{\ell'}(k', i') \overline{U_{\ell m'} v_{m'}(k'', i'')} |e_{k'}\rangle \langle e_{i'} | x | e_{i''}\rangle \langle e_{k''}| \\
&= \sum_{k', i', k'', i'', \ell', m'} \left(\sum_{\ell} U_{\ell\ell'} \overline{U_{\ell m'}} \right) v_{\ell'}(k', i') \overline{v_{m'}(k'', i'')} |e_{k'}\rangle \langle e_{i'} | x | e_{i''}\rangle \langle e_{k''}| \\
&= \sum_{\ell', k', i', k'', i''} v_{\ell'}(k', i') \overline{v_{\ell'}(k'', i'')} |e_{k'}\rangle \langle e_{i'} | x | e_{i''}\rangle \langle e_{k''}| \\
&= \sum_{\ell', i', i''} |V_{\ell'} e_{i'}\rangle \langle e_{i'} | x | e_{i''}\rangle \langle V_{\ell'} e_{i''}| \\
&= \sum_{\ell, i', i''} V_\ell |e_{i'}\rangle \langle e_{i'} | x | e_{i''}\rangle \langle e_{i''} | V_\ell^* \\
&= \sum_{\ell} V_\ell x V_\ell^*.
\end{aligned}$$

2 Moreover, L_ℓ belongs to some \mathcal{D}_m because, by (3.4), there is one and only one
3 difference $m = k' - i'$ (but possibly infinitely many pairs (i', k') with $k' - i' = m$)
4 for which $(Uv(k', i'))_\ell$ may be nonzero. \square

5 We denote by S the right shift operator $Se_n = e_{n+1}$. The following corollary
6 immediately follows.

7 **Corollary 3.1.** *Let Φ be a completely positive map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$
8 for all n . Then there exists a Kraus representation $\Phi(x) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} L_\ell^* x L_\ell$ in which
9 each L_ℓ can be written in one of the following forms:*

$$S^n M \quad \text{or} \quad S^{*n} M$$

10 for some $n \geq 0$ and some multiplication operator M .

11 **Proof.** Clear from the definition of \mathcal{D}_n . Indeed, if $Z = \sum_{i \geq \max(0, -n)} z_i |e_i\rangle \langle e_{i+n}|$
12 and $n \geq 0$, say, so that $Z = \sum_{j \geq 0} z_j |e_j\rangle \langle e_{j+n}|$, considering the multiplication
13 operator $M = \sum_{j \geq 0} z_j |e_{j+n}\rangle \langle e_{j+n}|$, we have $Z = S^{*n} M$. In a similar way, if $n <$
14 0 , $Z = \sum_{j \geq 0} z_{j-n} |e_{j-n}\rangle \langle e_j|$ and so, defining $M = \sum_{j \geq 0} z_{j-n} |e_j\rangle \langle e_j|$, we have
15 $Z = S^{-n} M$. \square

16 **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Consider a representation of \mathcal{L} as in (3.2), Lemma 3.1,
17 and a Kraus representation of the completely positive map Φ as in Theorem 3.2 with
18 all L_ℓ in some \mathcal{D}_n . Since $G \in \mathcal{D}_0$, we have also $G^* \in \mathcal{D}_0$ so that its anti-self-adjoint
19 part $H = (G^* - G)/(2i)$ belongs to \mathcal{D}_0 . \square

F. Fagnola & R. Quezada

1 4. Characterization of QMSs of WCLT

2 The following result gives our characterization of QMSs of WCLT.

3 **Theorem 4.1.** *Let \mathcal{L} be the generator of a norm continuous QMS on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ such*
 4 *that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ for all n and operator spaces \mathcal{D}_n as above determined by a given*
 5 *orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and consider a GKSL representation (3.1) by means of*
 6 *operators $H = H^* \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and $L_\ell = S^{*n_\ell} M_\ell$ for $n_\ell \geq 0$, $L_\ell = S^{-n_\ell} M_\ell$ for $n_\ell < 0$. \mathcal{L}*
 7 *is a generator of WCLT if and only if*

- 8 (1) $n_\ell \neq 0$ for all $\ell \geq 1$.
 9 (2) The function $\ell \mapsto n_\ell$ is injective.
 10 (3) For all pair (ℓ, l) such that $n_\ell = -n_l$, there exist complex constants z_ℓ, w_l such
 11 that $z_\ell M_\ell = w_l \overline{M_l}$ (i.e. $z_\ell M_\ell = w_l M_l^*$ since M_ℓ and M_l are diagonal).

12 **Proof.** Generators of WCLT clearly enjoy the properties (1)–(3). Conversely, if
 13 these properties hold, let $K = \sum_{m \geq 0} m |e_m\rangle\langle e_m|$ and let

$$\Lambda^- = \{\ell \geq 1 : L_\ell = S^{*(-n_\ell)} M_\ell \text{ with } n_\ell < 0\},$$

$$\Lambda^+ = \{\ell \geq 1 : L_\ell = S^{n_\ell} M_\ell \text{ with } n_\ell > 0 \text{ and } \nexists k \text{ s.t. } L_k = S^{*(n_\ell)} M_k\}$$

14 (recall the convention $S^{*m} = S^{-m}$ for $m < 0$). In other words, Λ^- is the set of
 15 indices corresponding to operators L_ℓ which are of annihilation type, mapping each
 16 level j into the lower level $j + n_\ell$, Λ^+ is the set of indices corresponding to operators
 17 L_ℓ of creation type, mapping each lower level j into the upper level $j + n_\ell$, for which
 18 there exists no another associated operator L_k of annihilation type mapping the
 19 same upper levels $j + n_\ell$ into the same lower levels j .

20 The sets Λ^- and Λ^+ form a partition of the set of indices ℓ . Define

$$D = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda^-} S^{*(-n_\ell)} M_\ell + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda^+} S^{*n_\ell} M_\ell.$$

21 Clearly,

$$\sum_{\{(m, m') : m - m' = |n_\ell|\}} P_{m'} D P_m = \begin{cases} S^{*(-n_\ell)} M_\ell & \text{if } n_\ell < 0, \\ S^{*n_\ell} M_\ell^* & \text{if } n_\ell > 0. \end{cases}$$

22 Recalling that, for all $\ell \in \Lambda^-$, $z_\ell M_\ell = w_l M_l^*$ for another index l such that $n_\ell = -n_l$,
 23 with $z_\ell = 0$ if and only if there is no creation type operator associated with L_ℓ , it
 24 follows that the generator \mathcal{L} is of WCLT with $B_+ = \{|n_\ell| : \ell \geq 1\}$ and

- 25 • for $\ell \in \Lambda^-$, $L_\ell = S^{*(-n_\ell)} M_\ell$, $\Gamma_{-|n_\ell|} = 1$, $\Gamma_{+|n_\ell|} = \overline{w_\ell z_\ell^{-1}}$ if there is an associated
 26 creation type operator, $\Gamma_{+|n_\ell|} = 0$ if not,
 27 • for $\ell \in \Lambda^+$, $L_\ell = S^{*n_\ell} M_\ell^*$, $\Gamma_{+n_\ell} = 1$, $\Gamma_{-n_\ell} = 0$.

28 This completes the proof. \square

1 **Remark 4.1.** It is worth noticing here that a system with a generic Hamiltonian
 2 H_S , weakly coupled with a reservoir with an interaction like $D \otimes A(g) + D^* \otimes A^*(g)$,
 3 gives rise to a generic QMS (see Refs. 1 and 8 and the references therein). However,
 4 a highly degenerate system Hamiltonian such as the number operator on the one-
 5 mode Fock space $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with a suitable interaction operator D may give rise
 6 to a generic QMS as well. Indeed, if we consider the canonical orthonormal basis
 7 $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$, the system Hamiltonian N and interaction operator D

$$N = \sum_{n \geq 0} n |e_n\rangle\langle e_n|, \quad D = \sum_{k \geq 1} |e_{2^{k-1}}\rangle\langle e_{2^k}|,$$

8 then one immediately sees that the only nonzero D_{ω} s (see (2.5)) are those corre-
 9 sponding to frequencies $\omega = 2^k - 2^{k-1} = 2^{k-1}$. Choosing constants $\Gamma_{-\omega} > \Gamma_{+\omega} > 0$
 10 in such a way that the series (2.6) is strongly convergent, we find a generic QMS.
 11 Indeed, this QMS could also arise from the weak coupling limit of the system
 12 Hamiltonian

$$H_S = \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^k |e_k\rangle\langle e_k|$$

13 and $2^k - 2^j = 2^{k'} - 2^{j'}$ if and only if $k = k'$ and $j = j'$. This can be seen supposing
 14 that $k \geq k'$ (if not exchange k and k') and $k > j$ (if not exchange k and j) to fix
 15 the ideas. In this case, the identity $2^k - 2^j = 2^{k'} - 2^{j'}$ with $k = k'$ implies $j = j'$.
 16 Moreover, it cannot hold for $k > k'$ because it is equivalent to $2^{k-k'} - 2^{j-k'} =$
 17 $1 - 2^{j'-k'}$ and one can see that $2^{k-k'} - 2^{j-k'} > 1 > 1 - 2^{j'-k'}$.

18 **Remark 4.2.** The class of WCLT generators introduced in Ref. 2 correspond to
 19 the case when the interaction is of multiplicity one. More general interactions are
 20 possible, like those of dipole type $\sum_j (D_j^* \otimes A(g_j) + D_j \otimes A^*(g_j))$, studied in Ref. 3,
 21 where D_j are operators acting on \mathfrak{h} and $A(g_j), A^*(g_j)$ are annihilation and creation
 22 operators of a quantum field. WCLT generators with interaction of multiplicity
 23 greater than one will be considered in the nearest future.

24 4.1. Circulant generators are not WCLT

25 Circulant generators are another class of finite-dimensional generators simple
 26 enough to allow explicit computation of their invariant states but rich enough to
 27 go beyond detailed balance, see Ref. 6. They leave invariant operator subspaces
 28 $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{0 \leq n \leq d-1}$ similar to our subspaces $(\mathcal{D}_n)_{-d \leq n \leq d}$ but with a cyclic (or circu-
 29 lant) structure. Due to this fact, they are not generic WCLT with a nondegenerate
 30 Hamiltonian.

31 Acknowledgments

32 The financial support from CONACYT-Mexico (Grant 221873) and PRODEP Red
 33 de Análisis Italia-UAM México is gratefully acknowledged.

F. Fagnola & R. Quezada

References

1. L. Accardi, F. Fagnola and S. Hachicha, Generic q -Markov semigroups and speed of convergence of q -algorithms, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **9** (2006) 567–594.
2. L. Accardi, F. Fagnola and R. Quezada, On three new principles in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics and Markov generators of weak coupling limit type, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **19** (2016) 1650009, doi:10.1142/S0219025716500090.
3. L. Accardi and S. Kozyrev, Lectures on quantum interacting particle systems, in *Quantum Interacting Particle Systems, QP-PQ*, eds. L. Accardi and F. Fagnola, Vol. XIV (World Scientific, 2002), pp. 1–195.
4. B. V. Rajarama Bhat, F. Fagnola and M. Skeide, Maximal commutative subalgebras invariant for CP-maps: (counter-)examples, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **11** (2008) 523–539.
5. J. R. Bolaños-Servin and R. Carbone, Spectral properties of circulant quantum markov semigroups, *Open Syst. Inf. Dyn.* **21** (2014) 1450007.
6. J. R. Bolaños-Servin and R. Quezada, A cycle decomposition and entropy production for circulant quantum Markov semigroups, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **16** (2013) 1350016, doi:10.1142/S0219025713500161.
7. R. Carbone and F. Fagnola, Exponential L^2 -convergence of quantum Markov semigroups on $\mathcal{B}(h)$, *Mat. Zametki* **68** (2000) 523–538 [translation in *Math. Notes* **68** (2000) 452–463].
8. R. Carbone, E. Sasso and V. Umanità, Structure of generic quantum Markov semigroups, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **20** (2017) 1750012.
9. F. Fagnola, Quantum Markov semigroups and quantum Markov flows, *Proyecciones* **18** (1999) 1–144.
10. K. R. Parthasarathy, *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus* (Springer Basel AG, 1992).