

6th International Forum of Design as a Process



## **SYSTEMS & DESIGN**

BEYOND PROCESSES AND THINKING

2016

Electronic book

**PROCEEDINGS**

June 22<sup>nd</sup> – 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016

EDITORIAL UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA

6th International Forum of Design as a Process

**SYSTEMS & DESIGN**

BEYOND PROCESSES AND THINKING

2016

Valencia, SPAIN

E-book

**PROCEEDINGS**

June 22<sup>nd</sup> – 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016

EDITORIAL UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA

*Congress UPV*

6<sup>th</sup> International Forum of Design as a Process Systems & Design: Beyond Processes and Thinking

The contents of this publication have been evaluated by the Scientific Committee which it relates and the procedure set out <http://ocs.editorial.upv.es/index.php/IFDP/SD2016>

**Scientific Editors**

Bernabé Hernandis Ortuño

**Design**

Iñaki Esnal Angulo

**Publisher**

Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València, 2016  
[www.lalibreria.upv.es](http://www.lalibreria.upv.es) / Ref.: 6275\_01\_01\_01

ISBN: 978-84-9048-440-1 (print version)

Print on-demand

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4995/IFDP.2016>



6<sup>th</sup> International Forum of Design as a Process Systems & Design: Beyond Processes and Thinking

This book is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivates-4.0 International License  
Editorial Universitat Politècnica de Valencia <http://ocs.editorial.upv.es/index.php/IFDP/SD2016>

In collaboration with:





## INDEX

<b>COMMITTEES</b> .....	14
<b>KEYNOTES SPEAKERS</b> .....	18
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	19
<b>POSITIONING PAPER</b> .....	20
Systems and Design: Beyond Processes and Thinking	
<b>INTANGIBLE ASPECTS</b> .....	38
Smart specialization strategy: model innovation systems <i>Fernández-De Lucio, Ignacio</i> .....	38
Design after Design: Creating a wisdom economy through Generative and Collaborative Design practice. <i>Ferrara, Luigi</i> .....	39
The Series Lies within the Object <i>Bihanic, David</i> .....	40
Learning systems within the design praxis <i>Íñiguez-Flores, Roberto</i> .....	41
Diseño inmaterial - Hacia la desmaterialización y digitalización de productos y servicios como herramienta de sostenibilidad <i>Rivera-Pedroza, Julio César &amp; Hernandis-Ortuño, Bernabé</i> .....	42
Opportunities and challenges in teaching Systemic Design <i>Barbero, Silvia</i> .....	57
Fixed, liquid, fluid. Rethinking the digital design process through the ecosystem model <i>Bollini, Letizia</i> .....	67
El Diseño adaptado al entorno de la Industria Cultural y Creativa <i>Santamaría, Jorge Luis &amp; Lecuona-López, Manuel Ramón</i> .....	75
La prospectiva como diseño de lo intangible. El caso de CENTRO <i>Paniagua, Karla</i> .....	86
From the invisible, the everyday and the unmentionable towards narrative strategies to explain, understand, remember. New Perspectives on Cultural Preservation <i>Borsotti, Marco</i> .....	96

Design of information systems as an aid to migrants <i>Hernández-Navarro, Patricia</i> .....	110
Regeneration through Design. Comparing old and new phases of urban renewal strategies. <i>Parente, Marina; Sedini, Carla &amp; Simonelli, Giuliano</i> .....	118
A gestão de design na perspectiva da produção de ativos intangíveis na agricultura familiar: um estudo multicaso em joinville e blumenau no estado de santa catarina <i>Merino, Giselle Schmidt; Zacchi, Giancarlo Philippi; Merino, Eugenio Andrés Díaz; Alves, Adriana Tomazi d &amp; Benevenuto, Dione Nery Cavalcanti</i> .....	133
Reciclaje de plásticos de consumo masivo. Caso comunidad de reciclaje Nashira <i>Orozco-Echeverri, Lina Marcela; Neira-Liscano, Henry Sneyder; Ramirez-Triana, Nelida Yaneth</i> .....	148
Tangible interaction in museums and temporary exhibitions: embedding and embodying the intangible values of cultural heritage <i>Duranti, Daniele; Spallazzo, Davide &amp; Trocchianesi, Raffaella</i> .....	160
Design de produtos para homenagear pessoas <i>post mortem</i> <i>Martins, João</i> .....	172
Cuantificación y cualificación del diseño en la formación de ingenieros-Una nueva perspectiva <i>Ferradas, Daniel Eduardo</i> .....	194
Percepción de la confiabilidad de un producto agroindustrial <i>Goirán, Andrés Roque</i> .....	204
Design education learning: developing skills of observing and managing intangible systems in young generations <i>Bergamini, Isabella &amp; Fanzini, Daniele</i> .....	212
A Research on Designer Roles in Industries <i>Eroglu, Ilgim &amp; Esen, Ozge Ceylan</i> .....	226
Determinación sistémica de valores tangibles e intangibles y atributos clave para el desarrollo de productos-joya <i>Medina-Gómez, Andrea Lucía &amp; González-Díaz, José Rafael</i> .....	240
Design e desenvolvimento de novos produtos através da transferência de conhecimento entre Brasil, Espanha e Portugal <i>Pacheco, Karla Mazarelo M; Paixão-Barradas, Susana; Silva, Mirella Sousa; Pacheco, Almir de Souza &amp; Nascimento, Claudete Catanhede do</i> .....	258
The Pragmatism as a semiotic route to designing – Understanding the inferential logics of sense attribution	

<i>Domingues, Felipe; Zingale, Salvatore &amp; De Moraes, Dijon</i> .....	275
Advanced design as a systemic practice for innovation on territory: Creative Digital City case, Guadalajara, México	
<i>Iñiguez Flores, Roberto &amp; León Morán, Ruth</i> .....	288
Systemic Education and Awareness. The role of project-based-learning in the systemic view	
<i>Dominici, Laura &amp; Peruccio, Pier Paolo</i> .....	302
Rural Development And Sustainable Innovation. How Systemic Design Approach can contribute to the growth of marginal regions	
<i>Bicocca, Miriam</i> .....	315
Products as communication platforms. Investigating and designing the evolution of retail services in the digital era	
<i>Vitale, Anna Serena &amp; Pillan, Margherita</i> .....	327
Intangibles para el proceso de diseño en el marco de la sociedad del conocimiento	
<i>Matovelle-Villamar, Ruth &amp; Lecuona-López, Manuel Ramón</i> .....	337
Caso Garittea, del campo al campus: Creación del diseño de la identidad visual de una organización a través del trabajo colaborativo entre comunidades campesinas y la academia	
<i>Rosales, Elingth Simóné; Mora, Claudia Lucía; Mora, Florencia; Morales, Rocío &amp; Bermúdez, Diego Giovanni</i> .....	347
Termografia: ferramenta auxiliar na pesquisa de materiais e no design de produtos	
<i>Silva, Júlio César Riccó Plácido; Mira, Maria do Rosário Gonçalves</i> .....	377
<b>TRANSFORMATION FUNCTION</b> .....	<b>392</b>
University/Research/Business: case study of Spinoff Innoarea Design Consulting S.L	
<i>Gabriel Songel</i> .....	392
Projetar a Forma: uma proposta de ferramenta metodológica para o direcionamento da sintaxe visual no design de moda	
<i>Sanches, Maria Celeste de F.; Hernnadis-Ortuño, Bernabé &amp; Martins, Sérgio R. M.</i> .....	393
Farmácia hospitalar como oportunidade para a gestão de design no trabalho de prevenção do erro de medicação: estudo em uma realidade brasileira	
<i>Blum, Arina; Merino - Schmidt, Giselle &amp; Merino - Díaz, Eugenio Andrés</i> .....	405
Programming Visual Representations. Evolutions of Visual Identities between Tangible and Intangible	
<i>Guida, Francesco Ermanno &amp; Voltaggio, Ernesto</i> .....	419

The Design-driven Material Innovation Methodology <i>Ferrara, Marinella &amp; Lecce, Chiara</i> .....	431
Investigating Conceptual Foundations of Design Ability: An Analysis Through the Expressions of the Experiencing Mind <i>Ulusan, Ufuk</i> .....	449
Estudio sobre los factores de diseño en un product mediante análisis de componentes principales <i>Agudo-Vicente, Begoña; Hernandis-Ortuño, Bernabé; Agustín-Fonfria, Miguel Ángel &amp; Esnal-Angulo, Iñaki</i> .....	466
Systematic Design Method for Co-creation of 3D Printing Service <i>Zhou, Ding; Jiang, Jiabei &amp; Zou, Yuqing</i> .....	476
City Branding – Planejamento estratégico de imagem e comunicação na gestão de cidades <i>Arruda, Amilton; Hartkopf, Celso &amp; Balestra, Rodrigo</i> .....	488
Practical urban: the urbanity and its relationship with the contemporary city <i>Arruda, Amilton; Balestra, Rodrigo; M Bezerra, Pablo &amp; Moroni, Isabela</i> .....	499
A cross fertilization como instrumento gerador de inovação <i>Souza, Patricia de Mello &amp; Conti, Giovanni Maria</i> .....	508
The meta-design of systems: how design, data and software enable the organizing of open, distributed, and collaborative processes <i>Massimo Menichinelli &amp; Valsecchi, Francesca</i> .....	518
Translating Place Identity into Transmedia Communication Systems: Communication Design Process and Methods <i>Scuri, Sabrina; Chiodo, Elisa &amp; Calabi, Daniela</i> .....	538
El planteamiento de un proceso de diseño sistémico, para la gestión de la habilidad creativa en los estudiantes que cursan la clase de proyecto arquitectónico en las universidades de México <i>Flores–Miranda, Margarita</i> .....	549
Infographics as a tool for business agreement <i>Aguiar Rendón, Nora Karina; Morales Zaragoza, Nora &amp; Hernández Azpeitia, José Luis</i> .....	563
Multidisciplinary information application for structuring design <i>Ensici, Ayhan</i> .....	575
Efecto de las variables de la gestión de diseño en el producto terminado <i>Goiran, Andres Roque</i> .....	582
Design management: diagnosis based on competitiveness, differentiation and sustainability in an association of artisans in Southern Brazil	

<i>Aguiar, Marina Cuneo; Hinnig, Renata; Merino, Giselle Schmidt; Triska, Ricardo; Figueiredo, Luiz Fernando Gonçalves de; Silva, Carina Scandolara da; Merino-Díaz Eugenio Andrés</i> .....	593
Desarrollo de proceso para elaboración de horma personalizada mediante el uso de herramientas de manufactura flexible. Una visión sistémica <i>Neri Ledezma, Sergio; Santana-Madrigal, Gloria &amp; García-Alvarez, Norberto</i> .....	613
El modelado físico del espacio de diseño concurrente como recurso didáctico para el análisis, exploración y mediación entre lo abstracto y concreto <i>Briede W., Juan Carlos; Leal F., Isabel Margarita &amp; Pérez V., Cristhian</i> .....	622
Estratégias de design em ecossistemas criativos de inovação social <i>Freire, Karine; Del Gaudio, Chiara &amp; Franzato, Carlo</i> .....	639
Aplicación del método sistémico al diseño de un modelo conceptual para sistemas integrales de gestión QHSE3+ en PYMES: O uso do dispositivo IdThink no compartilhamento de conhecimento <i>Perfetto Demarchi, Ana Paula; Fornasier, Cleuza B. R.; Ortuño, Bernabé Hernandis &amp; Marquina Elingth Simoné Rosales</i> .....	665
Emotional maps: neuro architecture and design applications <i>Higuera-Trujillo, Juan Luis; Marin-Morales, Javier; Rojas, Juan-Carlos &amp; Tarruella-Maldonado Juan López</i> .....	677
Design Thinking and its visual codes enhanced by the SiDMe Model as strategy for design driven innovation <i>Ribas-Fornasier, Cleuza; Perfecto-Demarchi, Ana-Paula &amp; de Freitas Martins, Rosane</i> .....	686
O Papel Da Experiência No Desenvolvimento De Habilidades De Design Thinker <i>Garcia Ferraz, Mariana; Perfetto Demarchi, Ana Paula</i> .....	700
Design as a Critical Research <i>Calejo, Marta &amp; Magalhães, Graça</i> .....	710
Essential competences to fashion design practice for sustainability from the perspective of Design Thinking <i>Perez, Iana Uliana; Fornasier, Cleuza Bittencourt Ribas &amp; Martins, Suzana Barreto</i> .....	721
<b>TANGIBLE ASPECTS</b> .....	<b>732</b>
Designing the mesoscopic approach of an autonomous linear dynamical system by a quantum formulation <i>Micó, Joan C.</i> .....	732
Industrial design for aircraft: models and usability for comfort in the cabin	

<i>Buono, Mario; Capece, Sonia &amp; Cascone, Francesca</i> .....	747
Estudo da adaptação antropométrica de mobiliário residencial em madeira maciça produzido na cidade de Manaus <i>Alencar, Larissa Albuquerque; Pacheco, Karla Mazarelo Maciel &amp; Vieira, Mirella Sousa</i> .....	764
Harnessing User's Knowledge In The Construction Of Rating Flows: The Design Of A Collaborative System Applied To Academic Repositories <i>Azevedo-Monteiro, Bruno Miguel; Silva, Helder &amp; Tortosa, Rubén</i> .....	780
O Design Sistêmico como método de inovação aplicado a fornos tradicionais de cerâmica vermelha no Amazonas – Brasil <i>Cordeiro-Mota, Sheila; Pacheco, Karla Mazarelo Maciel &amp; Hernandis-Ortuño, Bernabé</i> .....	793
Development of the Happiness Index in a country <i>Sanz, Maria T.; Caselles, Antonio; Micó, Joan C &amp; Soler, David</i> .....	807
Scrap denim-PP composites as a material for new product design <i>Gómez-Gómez, Jaime Francisco; González-Madariaga, Francisco Javier; Rosa-Sierra, Luis Alberto; León-Morán, Ruth Maribel &amp; Tobias Abt</i> .....	819
A eficiência da materialidade. O recurso a ferramentas digitais de simulação e fabricação aditiva na procura de uma maior eficácia dos dispositivos <i>Gonçalves, Sérgio; Mateus, João &amp; Hernandis-Ortuño, Bernabé</i> .....	829
System development for the disclosure of Portuguese digital type design . <i>Quelhas, Vítor; Branco, Vasco &amp; Mendonça, Rui</i> .....	843
Materials to boost companies innovation. Systemic production network and technological crossbreed <i>Lerma, Beatrice &amp; Dal Palù, Doriana</i> .....	859
Designing in the IoT Era: role and perspectives in design practices <i>Arquilla, Venanzio &amp; Vitali, Ilaria</i> .....	871
Systemic Design for a sustainable local economic development: Lea-Artibai case study <i>Battistoni, Chiaraa; Pallaro, Agneseb &amp; Arrizabalaga-Arambarri, Leirec</i> .....	883
Emotive qualities of parametrically designed and 3D printed surfaces <i>Ok, Jeongbin &amp; Scudder, Daniel</i> .....	901
Design and Digital Manufacturing: an ergonomic approach for Industry 4.0 <i>Laudante Elena &amp; Caputo Francesco</i> .....	922
Design para saúde e qualidade de vida: desenvolvimento e avaliação de requisitos de projeto para fone de ouvido inclusivo <i>Cunha, Julia M.; D. Merino, Giselle S. &amp; D. Merino, Eugenio A.</i> .....	935

Systemic model applied for the conceptual design of an abdomino-intestinal assistant <i>Esnal-Angulo, Iñaki &amp; Hernandis-Ortuño, Bernabé</i> .....	959
Evaluación y estudio comparativo mediante modelos sistémicos de la implantación del sistema APPCC aplicado al sector agroalimentario <i>Hernandis-De Haro, Cristina &amp; Esnal-Angulo, Iñaki</i> .....	973
Ecodesign assessment information an important tool for the design of new elements for building construction <i>González-Madariaga, Francisco J.a; Rosa-Sierra, Luis A.b &amp; Gómez Gómez, Jaime F.c</i> .....	983
Interaction Design of Public Electronics Equipment: Approach to Categorization Systems and Analysis Model <i>Barreto-Fernandes, Francisco Antonio &amp; Hernandis-Ortuño, Bernabé</i> .....	995
Diseño de material didáctico para la enseñanza de anatomía <i>Ávila-Forero, Juan Sebastián</i> .....	1015
Estrategias colaborativas y open-source para la generación de sistemas productivos entre diseñadores y productores. <i>Gajardo-Valdés, Rodrigo Francisco; Gereá-Petculescu, Carmen &amp; Mollenhauer, Katherine</i> .....	1031

## RED INTERNACIONAL DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN DISEÑO SISTÉMICO

BERNABÉ HERNANDIS,  
Director

DESAMPARADOS PARDO,  
Secretary

## LATIN NETWORK FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN PROCESSES

FLAVIANO CELASCHI,  
President

ELENA FORMIA,  
Secretary



UNIVERSITAT  
POLITÈCNICA  
DE VALÈNCIA

## COMMITTEES

### SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

FLAVIANO CELASCHI,  
Coordinator of the Latin Network, Università di Bologna, Italy.

BERNABÉ HERNANDIS,  
Director of the Red de Investigación en Diseño Sistémico, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

ROBERTO IÑIGUEZ,  
Tecnológico de Monterrey, Mexico.

ELENA FORMIA,  
Università di Bologna, Italy.

DIJON DE MORAES,  
Universidade do Estado de Minas Gerais, Brazil.

CARLO FRANZATO,  
Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos, Brazil.

GABRIEL SONGEL,  
Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

RUTH LEÓN,  
Tecnológico de Monterrey, Mexico.

MANUELA CELI,  
Politecnico di Milano, Italy.

LORENZO IMBESI,  
Università La Sapienza di Roma, Italy.

PIER PAOLO PERUCCIO,  
Politecnico di Torino, Italy.

MANUEL LECUONA,  
Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

## REVIEW BOARD

BEGOÑA AGUDO, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

PATRICIA DOS ANJOS, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Brazil.

CLEUZA BITTENCOURT, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil.

JUAN CARLOS BRIEDE, Universidad de Bío-bío, Chile.

MARCELA CABELLO, Universidad de Bío-bío, Chile.

FLAVIANO CELASCHI, Università di Bologna, Italy.

MANUELA CELI, Politecnico di Milano, Italy.

SHEILA CORDEIRO, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Brazil.

IÑAKI ESNAL, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

MARGARITA FLORES, Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Mexico.

ELENA FORMIA, Università di Bologna, Italy.

CARLO FRANZATO, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos, Brazil.

JOSÉ RAFAEL GONZÁLEZ, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Cali, Colombia.

CRISTINA GUZMAN, Universidad de Monterrey, Mexico.

ROBERTO IÑIGUEZ, Tecnológico de Monterrey, Mexico.

LORENZO IMBESI, Università La Sapienza di Roma, Italy.

MANUEL LECUONA, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

RUTH LEÓN, Tecnológico de Monterrey, Mexico.

KARLA MAZARELO, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Brazil.

ANDREA LUCÍA MEDINA, Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano, Bogotá, Colombia.

EUGENIO MERINO, Universidade Federal do Santa Catarina, Brazil.

GISELLE MERINO, Universidade Federal do Santa Catarina, Brazil.

JOAN CARLES MICÓ, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

JOSÉ LUIS NAVARRO, Universitat Jaume I, Spain.

SUSANA PAIXÃO, Kedge Business School, Toulon, France.

SONIA PATRICIA PAREDES, Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano, Bogotá, Colombia.

IRMA PEÑUÑURI, Universidad de Monterrey, Mexico.

ANA PAULA PERFETTO, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil.

PIER PAOLO PERUCCIO, Politecnico di Torino, Italy.

NÉLIDA RAMÍREZ Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia.

M<sup>a</sup> CELESTE DE FÁTIMA SÁNCHEZ, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil.

SILVIA SEMPERE, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

GABRIEL SONGEL, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

ALMIR DE SOUZA, Faculdade Martha Falcão Devry, Brazil.

## **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE**

JOHN CARDOZO, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia.

ANTONIO CARRETERO, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

MIGUEL ALONSO CORRAL, Instituto Superior de Arquitectura y Diseño, Mexico.

IÑAKI ESNAL, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

VICTORIA FERNÁNDEZ, Instituto Superior de Arquitectura y Diseño, Mexico.

DANIEL FERRADAS, Universidad Tecnológica Nacional de San Francisco, Argentina.

SERGIO GÓMES, Instituto Politécnico de Leiria, Portugal.

MAURICIO GUERRERO, Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile.

ADRIANA HALGRAVES, Instituto Superior de Arquitectura y Diseño, Mexico.

CRISTINA HERNANDIS, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

EDUARDO MARTINEZ, Universidad de Monterrey, Mexico.

HECTOR OMAR MINA, Universidad Tecnológica Nacional de San Francisco, Argentina.

OMAR MIRANDA, Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes, Mexico.

JULIO RIVERA, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

JUAN PABLO SALCEDO, Universidad El Bosque, Colombia.

ELINGTH SIMONE ROSALES, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana Cali, Colombia.

SERGIO SOSA, Tecnológico de Monterrey, Chihuahua, Mexico.

NICK TAYLOR, Instituto Politécnico de Leiria, Portugal.

JOAO VASCO, Instituto Politécnico de Leiria, Portugal.

## **ORGANIZING COMMITTEE**

MIGUEL ANGEL AGUSTÍN, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

JAVIER APARISI, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

SHEILA CORDEIRO, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Brazil.

IÑAKI ESNAL, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

MARGARITA FLORES, Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Mexico.

M<sup>a</sup> ANDREA FERNÁNDEZ, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

ELENA GÓMEZ, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

M<sup>a</sup> CELESTE DE FÁTIMA SÁNCHEZ, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil.

ADOLFO VENTURA, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

## **Paper Calls Platform**

IÑAKI ESNAL, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

## Designing in the IoT Era: role and perspectives in design practices

Arquilla, Venanzio<sup>a</sup> & Vitali, Ilaria<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Design Department - Politecnico di Milano, Italy. [venanzio.arquilla@polimi.it](mailto:venanzio.arquilla@polimi.it).

<sup>b</sup> Design Department - Politecnico di Milano, Italy. [ilaria.vitali@polimi.it](mailto:ilaria.vitali@polimi.it).

---

### **Abstract**

*In the IoT Era technology is ubiquitous (Kuniavski, 2010) and redundant; in 2009 for the first time in history the number of devices connected to the internet surpassed the number of humans on earth initiating Internet's first true evolution (Evans, 2011).*

*New waves of tech gadgets populate the global markets and the big players are competing with startups and DIY people to commercialize the most innovative and efficient gear.*

*Thanks to digital fabrication and open source culture smart connected objects can be easily prototyped and sometimes crowdfunded (Jenkins, Bogost, 2014): yielding a Babel of black-boxed, plastic, gadget-like products and services, a first experiment of what is possible and feasible, that in some ways could be defined as an avant-garde trend.*

*But what about users and their lives? What about the utility and meaning of these objects in real life, with their material qualities and agency of interactions?*

*This paper, with a bottom-up approach, reflects on a case study in which we started to analyze connected products, reflecting on how technology can "enchant" (Rose, 2014) and augment a smart object while giving value to its tangible part.*

*The results demonstrates that design can define a balance between tangible and intangible functions of IoT devices, making them desirable, providing new meanings and functions through its physicality, and reinterpreting traditional artifacts.*

*Some consumers/prosumers are progressively accepting these new connected devices, monitoring health, behaviors and the environment around us, creating big data and modern scenarios for products and services (Acquity Group, 2014).*

*Physical products pervasively gain a new dimension made of intangible digital avatars (Semmelhack, 2013) able to be freely updated and offer different experiences.*

*However, technology is often seen as the core of these smart products, resulting in first naive solutions that merely apply electronic components and wireless capabilities to existing objects and in which designers, if present, only manage the aesthetic part. This is an opportunity to apply Design methods and tools to create advanced desirable scenarios for technological objects, bringing users and their interactions back to the core the product development.*

*Design practices are applied to mediate between users' behaviors and technology, generating devices that leave the gadget-dimension of useless accessories and create more involvement.*

*This means changing the actual design perspective adding new skills and attitudes useful to design research, design education and for professional practices.*

**Keywords:** *Internet of Things, design, research, human centered design, tangible interaction, metadesign*

---

## **1. The big world of IoT: from infrastructures to everyday lives**

In 1991 Mark Weiser, coiner of the term Ubiquitous Computing, and his team at Xerox Palo Alto Research Center, were already wondering what it would be like to live in a world where computers are seamlessly embedded in all kinds of everyday objects (Weiser, 1991). With the Internet of Things (IoT), not only this is common, but connectivity is also ubiquitous, and devices become digitally identifiable, sensory and context-aware, connected and able to interact with each other in a *network of networks*. Cisco IBSG affirms that IoT was actually born between 2008 and 2009, *the point in time when more “things and objects” were connected to the Internet than people* (Evans, 2011). This can be considered as the first real evolution of the Internet, a shift from an Internet of People to one of Things. Our techno-culture crossed the *“line of no return”* (Sterling, 2005) and is now entering an *Internet of Everything*, in which People, Things, Data and Processes are connected, combined together to create new capabilities, richer experiences and business opportunities (Evans, 2012): the value of the created network is amplified with each new connection.

With IoT instead of having a small number of powerful devices is possible to have a large number of less powerful devices monitoring different aspects of everyday life (McEwen, Cassimally, 2014), and future forecasts estimates 25 to 50 billion of connected devices in 2025 (Evans, 2011). The potential economic impact is massive, \$4 to \$11 trillions a year in 2025 (McKinsey Global Institute, 2015) and Big Players are finally entering the Business to Consumer market with smart home solutions, wearable and nearables, competing with start-ups to commercialize new products.

Everything is Big in the IoT world: (i) the forecasted numbers of connected devices and future economic value; (ii) the size of investments impacting all vertical markets, ranging from cities that want to get smarter to enterprises that seek automatization and efficiency; (iii) data become big, fast and unstructured; (iv) the number of players developing new solutions and products, including Big Players, start-ups and DIY people; (v) and lastly the hype around IoT, which is seen as one of the most important future drivers for innovation.

Debates around IoT mostly gravitate towards two topics: technological challenges and market projections. On one hand, it is relevant to identify what will enable an IoT diffusion and how to deploy it, connecting devices together and to the cloud, achieving interoperability and energy efficiency, gathering and processing big data. On the other hand, economic forecasts help to see where enterprises and cities are investing, what the most promising markets are, and to check if people are ready to buy the newest tech solutions (Osservatorio Internet of Things, 2015).

Technologists mostly argue about privacy and security implications of cyber-physical devices, generally highlighting that the potential benefits that technology and connectivity may bring to the final users outmatch the concerns. It's evident the value that adding connectivity, sensing capabilities, "intelligence" and automation can deliver when applied at infrastructure level, in smart cities, smart building and enterprises, for smart metering, asset management, logistics, agriculture and many other applications. A better use of existing assets, saving money, resources and energy, increasing efficiency, enabling remote control and monitoring, running pattern recognition algorithms, visualizing predictive analytics in real time are clear benefits of Machine to Machine interaction.

It's less clear how exactly IoT will add worth on the smaller, domestic scale, through smart homes and cars, fitness trackers, wearables and nearables. The first waves of domestic networked products are just an example of what smart things may be; the results are often experiments in which internet capabilities are added to traditional objects, or smartphone-controlled plastic gizmos with many functions. As new connected devices appear in the global distribution and start to become mainstream, new challenges arise for product designers, who need new competences and knowledge to deal with the complexity of this kind of cyber-physical devices.

For modern designers, the IoT should be considered like a mix of enabling technologies to be applied when they are able to add a visible value, acting as an enabler, mediator or facilitator of new services (Cambridge Service Alliance, 2015). Including electronic components and network capabilities in a traditional product is not enough to make it smart, the whole system needs to be designed to ensure a clear and strong value proposition, address real user's needs and guarantee a natural and seamless interaction, so that *end users should not need to focus on its connectivity or onboard computing: it should just make sense.* (Rowland, et al, 2015)

### 1.1 IoT as an entropic system

Clive Humby first said in 2006 that "*Data is the new Oil*" (ANA Senior marketer's summit, Kellogg School) of digital economy as objects are connected, can gather real-time signals and accumulate Big Data. Big Data. Big Data is called such because of the large volume of structured and unstructured data that flows and inundates a business on a day-to-day basis. Like oil, it needs to be processed to reveal its true value, transforming raw fragments into useful information. Companies that will gain the most out of IoT are using mathematics (Arthur, 2016) e.g. machine learning algorithms to recognize usage patterns, identify critical situations, predict future development, and enable systems to adapt in real time. Information leads to fast, informed decisions, which can be automated or support users with objective insights. However, currently most IoT data is not used; *For example only 1% of data from an oil rig with 30,000 sensors is examined.* Data is mostly used for anomaly detection and control and not yet applied for optimization and prediction (McKinsey Global Institute, 2015).

For now, data are mostly created by things, for things, not exploited at their full potential in an entropic system in which are accumulated. Most of the value is lost without any findings. The IoT Industry itself is a self-sustained system that doesn't communicate its benefits well to end users. In an ideal process, data should be transformed into information, information into actions and knowledge, knowledge into wisdom and experience. To achieve its full potential, IoT should exit the Machine2Machine-only loop and offer ways to consume data usefully and flexibly, e.g. by delivering the right information at the right time to the right user, shifting from an "If This, Then That" approach to an "If This, Then What?" one (Biron, Follett, 2016).

Smart devices now offer an unthinkable level of Omniscience (Rose, 2014) about the world around us, our bodies and behaviors, and the full potential still needs to be grasped. When we move from an entropic

system to an open -sharing- one, it is possible to envision services in which existing assets and resources can generate new businesses and opportunities. Airbnb and car sharing services are just an example of a virtuous combination of things, people, data and processes.

Instead of Oil, data should become *the new Steel* (Semmelhack, 2013), a resource used to build upon, to make products and service better and updated, *altering the traditional lifecycles to more of an ongoing flow, a kind of living relationship* (Biron, et al, 2016).

## 2. Designing Smart Connected products

When the word “*design*” is used in an Internet of Things discussion, it would probably be in regards of technical details and solutions. For instance, how to design a whole technological infrastructure, how to connect a great number of sensors in an efficient network or code for interoperability and so forth.

In this paper the term *design* refers to the Industrial Design discipline, as a “*trans-disciplinary profession that harnesses creativity to resolve problems and co-create solutions with the intent of making a product, system, service, experience or a business, better*”. As the International Council of Societies of Industrial Design defines it, “*it links innovation, technology, research, business and customers to provide new value and competitive advantage across economic, social and environmental spheres*” (ICSID, 2015).

Industrial Design applies methods from different disciplines with a strategic approach, to place humans at the center of the design process and to deliver solutions that are meaningful and desirable for users and for the market. Therefore, how does IoT impact the Industrial Design field? How can designers deal with modern smart products?

There are two fundamental elements that impact the traditional product design approach: first, objects become more technological and connected, and second, technologies become more accessible. The most relevant difference between traditional products and connected devices is that the latter are cyber-physical systems with a double identity: there is the tangible product and its digital counterpart, an *avatar* of the object *liberated of its material form* (Semmelhack, 2013). The digital part is often the most significant aspect, used as the main interaction interface to input and visualize data and access all services; tangible interaction is often set aside except for notification purposes. In this scenario the design of products’ intangible *avatars* acquires great importance, to shape the User Experience and plan customer’s journey through different media, as users must be free to access the product’s functionalities through different touch points in the easiest way. At the same time the physical qualities of materials and analogic interactions must not be left behind in the development of a smart solution.

Another important change is that users now expect connected objects to follow the rules of mobile applications: from products to *app-products* (Vitali, 2015), platforms for services. Apps are not static, they are usually upgraded with new functions, so products should improve in time too. In the app stores developers are free to market their own solutions. Likewise products should be open platform so that any developer or start-up could extract data and information to build something new. With these changes the nature of the product-consumer relationship will shift deeply: products will evolve even after their shipping through data analysis and continuous user feedback (Biron, Follett, 2016).

Technological components are frequently economical and easier to use. Thanks to prototyping boards, affordable microcontrollers and microprocessors, a huge number of online resources, shared knowledge and communities, makers and designers are able to prototype and develop their own solutions freely. Even manufacturing technologies are conveniently available and allow an almost effortless shift from bits to atoms.

Given this panorama, what is the role of product designers?

We believe that to grasp this complexity designers need to apply a Metadesign approach to understand technologies, markets and users in order to define products and services that may have a value. Giaccardi and Fisher reflecting on Creativity and Evolution stated that *Metadesign is a unique design approach concerned with opening up solution spaces rather than complete solutions (hence the prefix meta-), and aimed at creating social and technical infrastructures in which new forms of collaborative design can take place* (Giaccardi, 2008). In our vision this is achieved by evaluation of: (i) Technologies: know what can be developed and humanize technology to build seamless and natural experiences. (ii) Markets: to make the product attractive from an economic and productive point of view, with the right price, positioning and a sustainable, defined production model. (iii) Users: to identify new needs and delineate new meanings. This approach could be compared at the human-centered design process (IDEO, 2015) and is a way to ensure that the final solutions remain connected to the people whom are designed for and for this *desirable* at the human level, *viable* at the business level and *feasible* at the technological one. Metadesign is a design research activity fundamental to identify new subtle unspoken dynamics and achieve *meaning-driven innovation* (Norman, Verganti, 2012). The output of a Metadesign phase gives designers a broad context in which to define a project brief that will be used to design and test different solutions.

After that will be added: (iv) Product System Service elements, including service and communication aspects, to gain a clear overview of the system complexity, with its stakeholders, touchpoints and relationships. (v) It is also considerably important to design interfaces and the whole *phygital* interaction (physical and digital) for technological products. (vi) An understanding of manufacturing dynamics and production methods (e.g. DIY, self-production, digital fabrication, mass production).

All above elements frame a wider and complex scope for design. This dimension is also treated on the *Advanced Design* definition where is stated that *Advanced Design is not just highly developed design, but also design which anticipates, which sees before others* (Celi, 2014). It is not expected of a single designer to master all those aspects, in fact interdisciplinary collaboration is fundamental in Design and specially in Design for the IoT. Reflecting on the current dynamics of education and professional practices we applied the Metadesign approach in a case study in which, by mapping IoT products and with exhaustive case studies research, we identified a “new” need, a meaning change, that led to the development of a connected product.

### 3. Mapping The IoT: research phase and design of InTune - a connected product

The “Mapping the IoT” project was born as a Master’s thesis research at Politecnico di Milano. Our approach was to read the existing Business to Consumer market from a designer point of view, analysing products and trying to find correlations to extract elements that can be later used to design new devices. Since existing classifications are usually generic and mostly focus on market and technological segmentations we felt the need to apply a bottom-up approach inspecting existing consumer solutions to gather data from the market, without imposing any solution. Through the analysis of 107 case studies of smart connected products an Analysis Tool was developed.





Fig. 1 Mapping the IoT Analysis Tool



The tool then evolved into an online platform ([mappingtheiot.tumblr.com](http://mappingtheiot.tumblr.com)), that can be used as an open research tool, since it simplifies the mapping process through the use of selectable tags and anybody can submit new cases to be reviewed.

Fig. 2 Mapping the IoT, home

summarized as follows: (i) Technological point of view: features and specifications (e.g. sensors, apps, what the product is connected to, relationship and dependence between the product and its apps/the need of connectivity), degree of innovation, area of application. (ii) Financial point of view: e.g. price and perceived value, exploited funding method. (iii) User point of view: what fundamental needs does the product try to fulfil, e.g. omniscience, safety (Rose, 2014), intended user and context (e.g. degree of definition, value of the solution), frequency and quality of interaction. (iv) Design-thinking point of view: conceptual qualities (e.g. is it a new artefact? What is its aim? Can be traced to an archetypical shape/typology of artefacts?)

Of an initial sample of 400 cases, 107 were selected and analysed using the tool. An analysis card was made to map each case study, and data were recorded.




Fig. 3 Legend used to map each case study. Icons and graphs highlight the main characteristics

The gathered data were processed using Raw (raw.densitydesign.org) a versatile online tool that enables data visualization and clustering. The results were summarized in a final report and a set of infographics.



Fig. 4 Mapping the IoT Infographics

From that it was possible to identify features and common characteristics (e.g. the need of omniscience, telepathy, control and automation those products fulfil, the idea to materialize intangible content) that were used as a starting point for the brief definition of InTune, the developed product.

InTune reflects around the topic of time in our digital modern society. Modern time is managed through shared digital calendars, smart alarms, notifications, personalized objectives and deadlines. In this technological era clocks are not enough to express this complexity and seconds are not the most relevant means of measure. Depending on what users consider important *progress* may be used as measurement unit; how many calories were burnt today? How many followers are left to reach 1000? How many to-dos remain in the Trello list at the end of the day? How much until your product gets funded on Kickstarter? How long until summer? Personal time is multi-channelled and punctuated by activities, events, tasks, future plans and self-set objectives that may be physical or digital, offline or online. Following the MappingTheLot analysis framework we understood the fundamental needs the product would have had to fulfil: the need of Omniscience (know everything), Telepathy (see only what is important) and Entertainment (keep users motivated, challenging themselves).

The concept of modern time was linked with progress bars and seek bars, two common elements in the digital UI panorama, with visual properties and intuitive use. Thus the idea was to make the bar tangible, connected and reprogrammable, able to interact with online services and existing applications, showing intangible data with a physical medium.


InTune has three different functions: (i) It is a tangible and connected progress bar, compatible with different services and applications (e.g. digital calendars, fitness tracker apps, IFTTT). It uses its own app to add personal objectives and challenge other people, and it can be used as an alarm clock. In this case the smartphone is used as a data input interface. (ii) It is a timer. No app nor smartphone are needed for this function. (iii) It is a Bluetooth enabled speaker: the motorized progress bar moves in sync with the music and it is possible to physically interact with the played song, going backwards or forwards.



*Fig. 5 InTune mockup: display the description of an ongoing progress*

All these functions were defined considering the degree of dependence between the product and its app. Connected products often lose functionalities when the smartphone is out of battery: by augmenting a traditional object (speaker) InTune offers more features. The smartphone is used only to create, save, and send new tasks to InTune. To change mode, visualize the progress of all ongoing objectives, the interaction is completely physical and designed to put the smartphone away.

InTune was one of the winners of “Next Design Innovation”, an open call promoted by Regione Lombardia and Polifactory, the makerspace of the Politecnico di Milano ([www.polifactory.polimi.it/](http://www.polifactory.polimi.it/)), and was prototyped and exhibited at Milan Design Week 2016. The product was partially functioning: due to time, components availability, and knowledge limitations only the speaker function was implemented. In order to assemble a working prototype many compromises had to be reached and, although the final result was well manufactured, the object was changed and detuned.



*Fig. 6 Next Design Innovation: InTune was prototyped by Polifactory - Politecnico di Milano in collaboration with Regione Lombardia - photo by F. Villa*

From this experience we reflected on the topic of prototyping. Designers are given tools to prototype even advanced technological solutions and autonomously materialize their own ideas in new places (Fablab, Makerspace ecc.) where they can also become really *Designer=Enterprise* (Bianchini, et al, 2014 - Arquilla, et al, 2011). We observed that while talking about the Internet of Things it may be an opportunity but also a substantial limitation because designers may be aware of a given conventional technology but unable to put it into practice. Hence the “functioning prototype” self-production threatens to impoverish the concept and qualities of the design scenario, approaching oversimplified solutions but with an acceptable technological complexity. We believe that a line needs to be traced between knowledge and execution, and designers need to focus on the phases in which they can add more value. Technological awareness eventually enables designers to act as “headhunters” and understand what are the needed competences and skills to form a multidisciplinary team to implement any solution, while completing execution

### 3. Role and perspectives in design practices

With the IoT, network technologies are accessible and applicable, the delicate move is to use them consciously, when are really able to add value and innovate. Knowledge is the first step towards consciousness: being aware of what exists and what is feasible empowers designers to conceive new

scenarios, finding new applications for consolidated technological solutions and generating meaning-driven innovation. The design discipline contributes to give signification to products and services, humanizing technologies, placing people at the centre of the process and exploring their needs to allow a meaningful cultural interpretation and to build compatible captivating services and business models. Hence the IoT could turn into a new important resource in the designers' set of skills.

From our experience we experimented that following a Metadesign approach before starting the design phase may prove an effective way to tackle the design complexity of connected products since both technology, market and user needs are strongly bound together. After our research we constructed a list of guidelines that can be followed while designing for smart connected products, and that frame future perspective in design practices.

(i) Connected products are augmented products: adding network capabilities and sensors, several functions and big data is not enough to make them smart. Meaning needs to be added. From our research it strongly emerged that in the proliferation of technological performance-driven products (Morozov, 2013) there is substantial space to build sense and acceptance, defining innovative dynamics where objects enable services and new interactive interactions.

(ii) Therefore having an app linked to a product is not enough. Applications, network capabilities and sensors are just tools to be wisely exploited: inevitably adding them to any object means to potentially offer a new service. In "Designing for connected products" the authors (Rowland, et al, 2015) state that there may be *service-enabled devices* and *device-enabled services*. In the first case *the device is seen as the most salient part of the service*, central to the overall User Experience (e.g. Nest thermostat); in the second case users just want to benefit from specific functionalities and services (e.g. an alarm service). In both situations there will be tangible objects with both physical and digital aspects. The intangible, digital part may improve flexibly over time, extending the purpose of the tangible product and engaging the final users and its developers in a relationship. It is again crucial to underline that adding connectivity must augment a given object. A notable example of a smart IoT device is Amazon's Kindle, since it augments a traditional artifact (the book) amplifying its strengths and decreasing its weaknesses (one "smart-book" has multiple texts, fast translations, smart notes ...), and connectivity enables a new service: instant book purchase and delivery. Therefore with IoT, objects may not be just bought but have different business models, inspired by digital business models (e.g. freemium and premium model) or service ones (e.g. sharing). It is evident that connected devices are strongly linked with the *servitization* phenomenon, which is *the process of creating value by adding services to products* (Cambridge Service Alliance, 2015).

(iii) The value proposition of complex product has to be clear: users need to perceive how connectivity can unlock new services and meanings. Performances may be simpler or limited but designers need to create beneficial and appealing use scenarios that clearly communicate the worth of a proposed solution. It is then interesting to point out that early adopters of IoT solutions are enjoying their wearable devices more than the apps they use in conjunction with (Breitenfeld, 2016) because there is a gap between what objects pledge and what they really deliver. Therefore creating a scenario is not enough, designers must supervise and ensure that there is consistency between the value proposition and the effective execution. This also means trying to design how users can truly make use of the data that many smart devices produce.

(iv) Connected products are *phygital*, but that does not mean that screens and smartphones should be the only way to interact with them. Depending on the project one aspect may be more relevant than the other, still we advise to design for balance between tangible and intangible features. Since functions often become intangible it is indeed important not to overlook material and sensorial qualities and physical

interaction with artefacts and devices. The physicality of products has to be managed with quality and regain its centrality.

Going back to Weiser again, he stated that “*the most profound technologies are those that disappear. They weave themselves into the fabric of everyday life until they are indistinguishable from it*” (Weiser, 1991). Thus the role of design is supporting this seamless combination, using design-related tools and practices, specially the Metadesign one, to envision and materialize novel products and processes giving them quality and meaning.

#### 4. References

AA. VV., (2016). *Next Design Innovation*, Libraccio Editore, Milano

ACQUITY GROUP. (2014). *The Internet of Things: The Future of Consumer Adoption*. Acquity Group’s 2014 Internet of Things Study. <<http://quantifiedself.com/docs/acquitygroup-2014.pdf>> [See: February 26, 2016]

ARDUINO. (2016). *Arduino Iot Manifesto*. <<https://create.arduino.cc/iot/manifesto/>> [See: April 27, 2016]

ARQUILLA V., MAFFEI S., BIANCHINI M. (2011), Designer = Enterprise. A new policy for the growth of the next Italian design.. pp.177-184. In Design Management. Toward a new era of innovation. - ISBN:9789881598417

ARTHUR, R. (2016). *Data Is the New Oil’ and More from SXSW*. Business Of Fashion, March 16 <<http://www.businessoffashion.com/articles/fashion-tech/data-is-the-new-oil-and-more-from-sxsw>> [See: May 5, 2016]

BIANCHINI, M., BOLZAN, P., MAFFEI, S. (2014). *(re)Designing Design Labs. Processes and places for a new generation of Designers=Enterprises*. Nord Design 2014, Conference Proceedings, August 27 – 29, 2014 Espoo, Finland

<[https://www.academia.edu/8629200/\\_re\\_Designing\\_Design\\_Labs.\\_Processes\\_and\\_places\\_for\\_a\\_new\\_generation\\_of\\_Designers\\_Enterprises](https://www.academia.edu/8629200/_re_Designing_Design_Labs._Processes_and_places_for_a_new_generation_of_Designers_Enterprises)> [See: May 5, 2016]

BIRON, J., FOLLETT, J. ( 2016). *Foundational Elements Of An Iot Solution*. Sebastopol: O’Reilly Media, Inc. <[http://www.thingworx.com/White-Papers/IoT-OReilly-Foundational-Elements-for-IoT-eBook-1?utm\\_campaign=IoT%20ThingWorx%20Newsletter%20April%20Email%203B&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=Eloqua&src=TSbutton1&elqTrackId=4a6cac2cb8754d0fa85da6c90d02e661&elq=28d896a154364b72a62e5a0043b5d412&elqaid=16429&elqat=1&elqCampaignId=2771](http://www.thingworx.com/White-Papers/IoT-OReilly-Foundational-Elements-for-IoT-eBook-1?utm_campaign=IoT%20ThingWorx%20Newsletter%20April%20Email%203B&utm_medium=email&utm_source=Eloqua&src=TSbutton1&elqTrackId=4a6cac2cb8754d0fa85da6c90d02e661&elq=28d896a154364b72a62e5a0043b5d412&elqaid=16429&elqat=1&elqCampaignId=2771)> [See: April 27, 2016]

BREITENFELD, M. (2016). *Examining the Wearables Ecosystem Roadblocks in Personal Data Interpretation. Argus Insights*. <<http://www.argusinsights.com/wearable-apps-2016/>> [See April 26, 2016]

CAMBRIDGE SERVICE ALLIANCE. (2015). *The Future of Servitization: Technologies That Will Make A Difference*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge. <<http://cambridgeservicealliance.eng.cam.ac.uk/resources/Downloads/Monthly%20Papers/150623FutureTechnologiesinServitization.pdf/view>> [See April 27, 2016]

CELI, M., (2014) *Advanced Design Cultures: Long-Term Perspective and Continuous Innovation*. Springer International Publishing.

EVANS, D. (2011). *The Internet of Things : How the Next Evolution of the Internet Is Changing Everything*. Cisco Internet Business Solutions Group (IBSG). <[http://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en\\_us/about/ac79/docs/innov/IoT\\_IBSG\\_0411FINAL.pdf](http://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/about/ac79/docs/innov/IoT_IBSG_0411FINAL.pdf)> [See: February 25, 2016]

EVANS, D. (2012). *The Internet of Everything: How More Relevant and Valuable Connections Will Change the World*. Cisco Internet Business Solutions Group (IBSG). <<http://www.cisco.com/web/about/ac79/innov/IoE.html>> [See: February 25, 2016]

GIACCARDI, E., FISCHER, G., (2008), *Creativity and Evolution: A Metadesign Perspective*, Digital Creativity, 19(1), pp. 19-32. <<http://13d.cs.colorado.edu/~gerhard/papers/digital-creativity-2008.pdf>> [See April 26, 2016]

ICSID, *ICSID Definition of Design*. <<http://www.icsid.org/about/about/articles31.htm>> [See: May 1, 2016]

IDEO, (2015), *Design Kit, What is Human-Centered Design?* <<http://www.designkit.org/human-centered-design>> [See: May 10, 2016]

- JENKINS, T. BOGOST, I., (2014). *Designing for the Internet of Things : Prototyping Material Interactions*. Toronto, ON, Canada: CHI 2014, One of a CHIInd. [two authors]
- KUNIAVSKY, M. (2010). *Smart Things : Ubiquitous Computing User Experience Design*. Amsterdam: Morgan Kaufmann. [an author]
- MAPPINGTHEIOT, *Mapping The Iot: the visual database of IoT products*. <<http://mappingtheiot.tumblr.com>> [See: Apr. 20, 2016]
- MCEWEN, A., CASSIMALLY, H. (2014). *Designing The Internet Of Things*. Chichester: Wiley [two authors]
- MCKINSEY GLOBAL INSTITUTE. (2015). *The Internet of Things: mapping the value beyond the hype*. McKinsey Global Institute (MGI). <<http://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/business-technology/our-insights/the-internet-of-things-the-value-of-digitizing-the-physical-world>> [See: February 27, 2016]
- MOROZOV, E. (2013). *To save everything, click here: technology, solutionism, and the urge to fix problems that don't exist*. London: Allen Lane. [an author]
- NORMAN, D., VERGANTI, (2012). *Incremental and radical innovation: design research versus technology and meaning change*. Design Issues <<http://jnd.org/dn.mss/Norman%20%26%20Verganti.%20Design%20Research%20%26%20Innovation-18%20Mar%202012.pdf>> [See: May 10, 2016]
- OSSERVATORIO INTERNET OF THINGS. (2015). *Internet Of Things: il futuro è già presente! Report 2015*. Milano: Politecnico di Milano: School of Management: Osservatorio Internet of Things
- O'Reilly. (2015) *Designing For The Internet Of Things: A Curated Collection Of Chapters From The O'Reilly Design Library*. O'Reilly Media. <<http://www.oreilly.com/design/free/designing-for-the-internet-of-things.csp>> [See: May 7, 2016]
- RAW. *Raw, The missing link between spreadsheets and vector graphics*. < [Raw.densitydesign.org](http://Raw.densitydesign.org)> [See: May 1, 2016]
- ROSE, D. (2014). *Enchanted Objects: Design, Human Desire, and the Internet of Things*. New York: Scribner. [an author]
- ROWLAND, C., GOODMAN, E., CHARLIER, M., LIGHT, A., LUI, A. (2015). *Designing Connected Products*. O'Reilly Media [five authors]
- SEMMELHACK, P. (2013). *Social Machines: How to Develop Connected Products That Change Customers'Lives*. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc. [an author]
- STERLING, B. (2005). *Shaping Things*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. [an author]
- VITALI, I. (2015). *Mapping the Iot: un percorso di ricerca, analisi e sperimentazione in ambito Internet of Things*. Master's thesis. Milan: Politecnico di Milano.
- WEISER, M. (1991). *The Computer For The 21St Century*. Scientific American, Inc. 1991, Reprinted with permission in IEEE Pervasive Computing. 2002, January-March issue, p. 18-25 <[www.cs.cmu.edu/~jasonh/courses/ubicomp-sp2007/papers/02-weiser-computer-21st-century.pdf](http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~jasonh/courses/ubicomp-sp2007/papers/02-weiser-computer-21st-century.pdf)> [See: May 7, 2016]